Board of Administration

Agenda Item 8a7

November 18, 2026

Item Name: Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Appeal Regarding the CalPERS Health Benefit Enrollment of MICHAEL F. BRITT, Respondent.

Program: Health Account Management Division

Item Type: Action

Parties' Positions

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

The position of Respondent Michael Britt (Respondent), if any, is included in Attachment C.

Strategic Plan

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

Procedural Summary

Respondent submitted an appeal regarding whether CalPERS: (1) appropriately corrected its mistake of placing Medicare-eligible annuitants with foreign addresses, such as Respondent, in a holding plan and providing them with coverage and benefits under the PERS Platinum Basic Plan while charging them for the lower cost of the CalPERS Platinum Supplement to Original Medicare Plan; and (2) appropriately denied Respondent's request for re-enrollment into the Platinum Basic Plan from 2019 through the present, without requiring him to pay additional member contributions.

The matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on August 19, 2026, and August 25, 2026. A Proposed Decision was issued on October 2, 2026, affirming CalPERS' determination and denying the appeal.

Alternatives

A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

   RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated October 2, 2026, concerning the appeal of Michael Britt; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated October 2, 2026, concerning the appeal of Michael Britt, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated October 2, 2026, concerning the appeal of Michael Britt, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Michael Britt, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Michael Britt.

Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

Attachments

Attachment A: Proposed Decision

Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

Donald Moulds, Ph.D.
Chief Health Director
Health Policy and Benefits Branch