



Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee

Agenda Item 5b

April 13, 2026

Item Name: Annual Review: 2026-27 Incentive Metrics

Program: Administration

Item Type: Information

Executive Summary

This item presents information on fiscal year 2026-27 incentive metrics for executive and investment management positions, including Total Fund Performance, Enterprise Operational Effectiveness, Investment Office CEM, Customer Service, and Stakeholder Engagement. Incentive metrics are reviewed annually by the Performance, Compensation, and Talent Management Committee (Committee). The Board's Primary Executive and Investment Compensation Consultant, Global Governance Advisors (GGA), will present their assessment and initial recommendations for the Committee's consideration before returning in June 2026 with final recommendations. Final Board-approved incentive metrics will be included in some combination on incentive plans for eligible executive and investment management positions.

Strategic Plan

This agenda item supports CalPERS' Strategic Goal to promote a high-performing and diverse workforce in the 2022-27 Strategic Plan. The Executive Compensation Program provides a means for recruiting, retaining, and empowering highly skilled executives to meet organizational priorities and strengthen the long-term sustainability of the pension fund by generating returns to pay member benefits.

Background

In August 2016, the Board approved five shared organizational metrics, including: Total Fund Performance, Investment Office CEM, Customer Service, Stakeholder Engagement, and Enterprise Operational Effectiveness. Some combination of these metrics has been included on the incentive plans of covered executive and investment management positions, as well as other senior leadership positions since fiscal year 2016-17. As part of the initial implementation plan, it was recommended the metrics be reviewed annually and adjusted, if necessary, for the subsequent fiscal year.

For fiscal years 2018-19 and 2019-20, the Committee approved scoring threshold adjustments for the Stakeholder Engagement metric to align with Key Performance Indicator (KPI)

aspirations. Also, for fiscal year 2019-20, the Committee approved a change to extract Board and Third-Party Administrator Costs from the data used to calculate the outcome for the Enterprise Operational Effective metric.

For fiscal year 2020-21, the Committee approved retaining the metrics from fiscal year 2019-20 with no changes, and for fiscal year 2021-22, they approved slight scoring threshold changes to the Customer Service and Stakeholder Engagement metrics. At that time, GGA suggested the Committee consider potential future changes to the fund performance hurdle rates to address payout levels for performance below the relative benchmark.

For fiscal year 2022-23, the Committee adjusted the performance hurdles for Total Fund Performance to provide a narrower range between threshold and maximum performance. The Committee also directed annual lump sum retirement payments to be excluded from the calculation of overhead costs when measuring Enterprise Operational Effectiveness performance and aligned to the CalPERS Strategic Plan.

For fiscal year 2023-24, the Committee approved retaining the metrics from fiscal year 2022-23 with no changes.

For fiscal year 2024-25, the Committee revised the scoring thresholds for the following metrics: Enterprise Operational Effectiveness, Investment Office CEM, Customer Service, and Stakeholder Engagement. These adjustments were intended to align performance expectations with probabilities of attainment over time, ensuring performance hurdles are set at a challenging yet reasonable level for plan participants while ultimately ensuring a high level of performance for CalPERS stakeholders.

For fiscal year 2025-26, the Committee revised the Total Fund Performance metric hurdles to better align with more typical market expectations for outperformance relative to benchmarks and the Enterprise Operational Effectiveness metric scoring thresholds to reflect recent performance trends. Revisions to the Board's Compensation Policy for Executive and Investment Management Positions were also made to adopt a full five-year rolling performance period for all program participants with fund performance on their incentive plan. This approach, consistent with U.S. pension fund peers, simplifies administration and ensures equitable treatment across participants.

Analysis

Today's item is provided as information for the Committee to discuss and provide input before GGA returns in June 2026 with final recommendations. GGA will present their analysis and recommendations, along with specific points for consideration, for fiscal year 2026-27 incentive metrics for executive and investment management positions. The recommendations and items for consideration are discussed in their Opinion Letter in Attachment 1 and cover the following metrics: Total Fund Performance, Enterprise Operational Effectiveness, Investment Office CEM, Customer Service, and Stakeholder Engagement.

For reference, prior fiscal year 2025-26 metrics as approved by the Board in June 2025 are included in Attachment 2.

Budget and Fiscal Impacts

CalPERS' 2026-27 proposed budget includes \$43.5 million for annual incentive awards and \$13.6 million for long-term incentive awards. This amount is an estimate based on budgeted salaries and the 2025-26 metric outcomes. In fiscal year 2024-25, actual incentive awards paid across all incentive programs was approximately \$29.9 million for annual incentive awards and \$8.8 million for long-term incentive awards. Actual incentive payouts are subject to several factors (e.g., metric outcomes, fund performance, and individual performance) and cannot be quantified until after the end of the fiscal year.

Benefits and Risks

The incentive metrics were intended to be reviewed annually for incentive compensation purposes. The Committee's annual review of these metrics ensures incentive goals are aligned with the Board's organizational priorities for executive and investment management teams. Without a periodic and systematic review, there is a risk of misalignment with the overarching goals of the organization.

Attachments

Attachment 1 – Incentive Metrics Review for FY 2026-27 by Global Governance Advisors

Attachment 2 – Prior Fiscal Year 2025-26 Incentive Metrics

Michelle Tucker, Chief
Human Resources Division