

ATTACHMENT C

**RESPONDENT'S ARGUMENT REGARDING THE PETITION
FOR RECONSIDERATION**

From: [Ortega, Christina](#)
To: [Jimenez, Jennifer](#); [Anderson, Kayla](#); [Limon, Kristina Ferrari](#); [Lemus, Marina](#)
Subject: FW: [**External**] - POSSIBLE FALSIFIED APPEAL LETTER
Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 8:35:57 AM

Good morning Jennifer,
This came in late Monday evening. Thank you!

From: Monica Stinson [REDACTED] >
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2026 10:32 PM
To: CalPERS Board of Administration <CalPERS_Board_of_Administration@CalPERS.CA.GOV>
Cc: Monica Stinson [REDACTED]
Subject: [**External**] - POSSIBLE FALSIFIED APPEAL LETTER

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the CalPERS organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Honorable Calpers Members,

I was asked by the legal department of Friday (3 days only) to write a respondents urguement. As I mentioned in my petition for reconsideration attached with exhibits below, there is some fraudulent activities on my case and I mentioned that the appeal letter was switched with a document I do not know about.

This switch in appeal explains why we were having trouble agreeing on the statement of issues with attorney Wakily which lead to her having a one sided hearing. There were no exchange of documents until after the hearing and that is when I found out about the swap she did with my appeal letter in her list of exhibits.

The Judge's court decision knowingly or not was based on fraudulent information which led to your misguided Board decision.

I mentioned this in my email below to the Board but nothing was done about it. Now I find it difficult to argue my case because it will defer from the appeal letter you were given. It is possible we are having two different sets of documents.

Is this really on behalf of the members or some else 's. I do not think any member would want another member to be denied justice so they can benefit. If this is about the members then I am one of those members.

I did not have a chance to have a proper hearing where all my evidence is produced.

Also the Judge's decision did not look like a court/official document. I have never seen a legal or official document that is not on a headed paper. All your documents including Calpers were on a head official paper. That is the only way to know if a is legit especially now that the real appeal letter is in question.

I would like to have the document issue resolved before we can proceed.

Honorable members imagine as a nurse at a hospital if I intentionally switched out your medications and ignored any questions about it. What would happen is my license would be in jeopardy. I think all licenses should be treated equally.

Looking forward to your favorable consideration.

Thank you.

Monica Stinson

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Monica Stinson** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Mar 24, 2026, 11:53 PM

Subject: PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGES DECISION

To: <Board@calpers.ca.gov>



[20260324_221712.jpg](#)