



## Board of Administration

# Agenda Item 8a3

---

**March 18, 2026**

**Item Name:** Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Appeal of Forfeiture of Benefits of NOE YANEZ, Respondent, and CITY OF LONG BEACH, Respondent.

**Program:** Retirement Benefit Services Division

**Item Type:** Action

### **Parties' Positions**

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision, as modified. Respondent Noe Yanez's (Respondent) position is included in Attachment C, if any.

### **Strategic Plan**

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

### **Procedural Summary**

Respondent appealed CalPERS determination to apply felony forfeiture to the service credit he earned from January 1, 2009, through April 20, 2012, due to his felony conviction for conduct arising from or in the performance of his official duties as a police officer. The matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on January 6, and September 11, 2025. Due to Respondent City's failure to appear, the case proceeded as a default under Government Code section 11520 as to Respondent City only. A Proposed Decision was issued on February 9, 2026, affirming CalPERS' determination and denying the appeal.

### **Alternatives**

- A. For use if the Board decides to modify and adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, pursuant to Government Code section 11517, subdivision (c)(2)(C), which authorizes the Board to "make technical or other minor changes in the proposed decision," hereby modifies the Proposed Decision, by replacing "Patrol Officer" with "Police Officer" each time it appears in the Proposed Decision, and hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated February 9, 2026, as modified, concerning the appeal of

Noe Yanez; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated February 9, 2026, concerning the appeal of Noe Yanez; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

C. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated February 9, 2026, concerning the appeal of Noe Yanez, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

D. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated February 9, 2026, concerning the appeal of Noe Yanez, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

E. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Noe Yanez, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Noe Yanez.

**Budget and Fiscal Impacts:** Not applicable

**Attachments**

Attachment A: Proposed Decision

Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

---

Kimberly A. Malm  
Deputy Executive Officer  
Customer Services and Support