MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

RISK AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

FECKNER AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2025 1:01 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Malia Cohen, Chair

David Miller, Vice Chair

Fiona Ma, represented by Frank Ruffino

Jose Luis Pacheco

Kevin Palkki

Ramón Rubalcava

Mullissa Willette

BOARD MEMBERS:

Michael Detoy

Lisa Middleton

Yvonne Walker

STAFF:

Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer

Michele Nix, Chief Financial Officer

Robert Carlin, Senior Attorney

Beliz Chappuie, Chief Auditor

Kami Niebank, Deputy Chief Compliance Officer

Prashant Yerramalli, Special Advisor

ALSO PRESENT:

Craig Christie, BDO

APPEARANCES CONTINUED ALSO PRESENT: Bill Kim, BDO Keith Miller, BDO Dipika Nagin, BDO

INDEX PAGE 1. Call to Order and Roll Call 1 2 2. Executive Report - Prashant Yerramalli 3 Action Consent Items - Prashant Yerramalli Approval of the September 17, 2025, Risk and Audit Committee Meeting Minutes Approval of the November 18, 2025, Risk and Audit Committee Timed Agenda 4. Information Consent Items 4 Annual Calendar Review Draft Agenda for the February 2026 Risk and b. Audit Committee Meeting Quarterly Status Report - Office of Audit Services Status Report - Enterprise Compliance d. Activity 5. Action Agenda Items Independent Auditor's Report - Fiscal Years 2024-2025 - Beliz Chappuie, BDO, Michele Nix Review of Independent Auditor's Management Letter - Beliz Chappuie, BDO, Michele Nix 26 Information Agenda Items Summary of Committee Direction - Prashant Yerramalli 34 Public Comment 34 b. 35 Adjournment Reporter's Certificate 36

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR COHEN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome back. I want to welcome you to open session of the Risk and Audit Committee. My name is Malia Cohen. I'm chair of this Committee and to my right is the Vice Chair, Mr. Miller. Could we please do a roll call.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Malia Cohen.

CHAIR COHEN: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: David Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Frank Ruffino for Fiona

Ma.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Jose Luis Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Kevin Palkki.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PALKKI: Good afternoon.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Ramón Rubalcava.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Mullissa Willette.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Here.

CHAIR COHEN: Thank you very much. And just as a -- at the top of the order of business, I just want to recognize my two colleagues, Jose Luis Pacheco and Frank Ruffino who today is your last meeting -- Committee

meeting. 1 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. 2 CHAIR COHEN: Not you, Frank. 3 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Mine is in 4 5 January. CHAIR COHEN: Oh, sorry. I'll come back. 6 7 All right, Frank is like what are you talking 8 about? 9 Frank. Jose Luis, thank you very much. It's been a 10 pleasure to serve with you. I appreciate your work on 11 this Committee. 12 All right. With that, let's go ahead and call 1.3 the next order, the Executive Report. 14 SPECIAL ADVISOR YERRAMALLI: Good afternoon, 15 16 Madam Chair, Vice Chair, and Committee Members. My name is Prashant Yerramalli. For those of you who haven't had 17 a chance to meet yet. I'm currently the special 18 consultant in the Legal Department and I -- hopefully 19 20 after I pass the Bar exam, I'll be the General Counsel of this fine organization. 21 CHAIR COHEN: He's joking, right? 2.2 23 SPECIAL ADVISOR YERRAMALLI: I am not. CHAIR COHEN: You haven't passed the Bar? 24

25

SPECIAL ADVISOR YERRAMALLI: I've passed many

```
Bars, just not the California Bar.
1
             CHAIR COHEN: Okay. Thank you for that
2
    clarification.
 3
             (Laughter).
 4
             SPECIAL ADVISOR YERRAMALLI: Today, you'll
5
    receive presentations of the independent auditor's report
6
    for fiscal year 2024 and '25, as well as a review of the
7
8
    independent auditor's management letter. The next Risk
9
    and Audit Committee meeting is scheduled for February 2026
    and includes the midyear plan update on the 2025-2026 ECRG
10
    annual plan.
11
             That concludes my report, and I'm happy to answer
12
    any questions that you might have.
13
             CHAIR COHEN: All right. Thank you very much.
14
   We appreciate that. You did a great job.
15
16
             SPECIAL ADVISOR YERRAMALLI:
17
             CHAIR COHEN: Thank you.
             Colleagues, any questions or any comments?
18
             All right. Seeing none. Is there a motion?
19
20
             VICE CHAIR MILLER: Move approval.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Second.
21
             CHAIR COHEN: All right. A motion made Mr.
2.2
23
   Miller seconded by Mr. Pacheco.
```

Do we need to take a roll call vote?

No. Okay. All those in favor?

24

25

(Ayes.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CHAIR COHEN: All right. By a full house, by all of them saying "aye". What is that?

Appreciate it. Thank you.

Next item. Information consent item.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I move it.

CHAIR COHEN: Okay.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, there is no action.

CHAIR COHEN: There is no action on that one.

Okay. Madam Clerk, next item is Item number 5.

It's an action item, independent auditor's report fiscal

years '24-'25. It's good to see you, ma'am. How are you?

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Hi. Good afternoon,

15 Madam Chair, members of the Committee. Beliz Chappuie,

16 Office of Audit Services.

Agenda Item 5a is an action item. Staff requests that the Risk and Audit Committee approve the Board's independent financial statement auditor BDO's audit reports for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025. I want to turn it over to the BDO staff here who are presenting with me today.

BILLY KIM: Thank you.

Hello, Chair, Vice Chair, and Committee members.

25 | Billy Kim BDO Principal, and engagement leader of the

external audit team. With me today is Keith Miller, BDO
Principal who leads our investment audit work for the
audit, as well as Dipika Nagin, who is our audit director.
She assists me in the overall leading of the team. On top
of that, we also have Craig Christy, who is also on the
call. He is information systems principal. He assists me
in leading the IT work associated with the audit as well.

2.2

If we could jump to slide five, please [SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Yeah, the objective of our audit was to provide reasonable and not absolute assurance as relates to whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. And the scope of our audit actually includes the basic audited financial statements that you've approved today. And that specifically related to the fiscal year ended dated June 30th, 2025.

And this includes the financial statements associated with the fiduciary funds, as well as the proprietary funds. And so we expect to issue a clean audit opinion over these statements, as well as also a report on the internal controls over financial reporting and compliance. And those drafts have been included in your materials, Agenda Item 5a.

Also, all records and information, which we request a lot of during the audit, has been made available

for us from management. And we received full cooperation from them.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Now, these items here are factors of consideration regarding the quality of management's financial reporting process. And as part of our report to you, we've included a discussion on each of these following items.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Now, in June, we also reported to you the results of our risk assessment procedures. And this included three areas of significant risk, which are on this slide as you see. And basically, these are areas that we consider that requires more audit attention than other areas.

And so the first one is valuation of investments specifically over private equity and real asset investments. Management override of internal controls over financial reporting. Now, this is a risk that is considered as a default significant risk for all of our engagements. And then three is a valuation of estimated future policy liability, specifically for the Long-Term Care Fund.

Now, these risks have remained unchanged, but we wanted to ensure that we report that to you.

Okay. Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Now, as part of our audit, we perform thorough, very extensive audit procedures. There's a lot of work that goes -- involved in the audit. And again, this is to adhere to performing a quality audit. And to the credit of management, there were no corrected or uncorrected misstatements proposed by BDO, as part of the overall audit.

Can we move to slide 10, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Now, as part of our audit, we also assessed the internal control environment. And this is to obtain an understanding of the related internal controls. And also, that does include assessing the design and implementation of relevant controls. And based upon our work we did not identify any control matters that raised to a level of material weakness or significant deficiency.

Now, I'm going to be passing it off to Keith and Dipika, they'll be discussing in more detail about the work performed, particularly over the significant risk areas that I have mentioned, as well as other areas of focus. Now, starting with Keith, can we move to slide 12,

please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

Valuation of the area of significant risk identified for our audit related to the private equity and the real assets investments. These comprise the PE and real estate interests in underlying investee funds that are managed by external fund managers. A summary of our procedures, sorry, is shown on the right side of this slide. The PE and real estate investments are typically valued based on the net asset value per share computed by each investee fund following GAAP guidelines or what, in accounting, we call the practical expedient.

Most of the funds that CalPERS invests in are audited and so we review these underlying fund audits during our own audit process. CalPERS uses the reported June 30th mark or ending capital statement balance on that date from each investment as its year-end valuation, which means that CalPERS doesn't need to make significant estimates or judgments in determining the valuation of each of those investments. Management updates the value of each investment quarterly, based on the capital statement that is received from each of those funds.

Real asset funds typically have a June 30th year-end, which is aligned with CalPERS year-end. And the

private equity funds often have a December 31st year-end. So during our audit, especially for the private equity investments, we consider the time gap between the latest fund audit we have, which will be as of June -- as of December 31, and CalPERS own June 30th valuation.

2.2

So our audit work, as summarized in the bullet points on the slide, includes rolling forward the investment values from December 31 to June 30th, and verifying capital activity. And we confirm all of these amounts, including the June 30th ending balance with each of the underlying funds directly via a confirmation.

Also, our own internal valuation team assists us on the audit team by analyzing the performance of these investments for the first half of 2025 to ensure the values recorded by CalPERS are all reasonable. Based on the work we've performed, we do not find any issues to report to you today.

And with that, I think I'll hand it to Dipika.

DIPIKA NAGIN: Yep. Thanks, Keith.

Management -- actually, next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DIPIKA NAGIN: Management override of internal controls over financial reporting is a standard significant risk, as Billy mentioned. This typically applies to all organizations. It represents the potential

for material misstatement due to fraud, regardless of the strength of the existing controls in place.

2.2

To address this risk, we perform various procedures. We held fraud inquiries across management and also including with the executive team. We conducted walk-throughs to gain an understanding of internal controls over the key cycles. We review tip line activity. We performed targeted journal entry testing using data analytics, setting and using risk-based criteria for our selections.

We also incorporate unpredictability into our work. An example of this this year, on top of our normal analytics that we do over investment expenses, this year we added a sample test where we sampled Investment Manager fees and agreed those fees back to relevant source documents, and we noted no issues there.

We also sent out external legal confirmations and completed risk-based testing over certain accounts. So these audit steps are designed to address the risk and uphold the integrity of financial reporting.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DIPIKA NAGIN: So the valuation of estimated future policy liability is also a significant risk area. And this is particularly due to potential for material

misstatement, if management uses improper assumptions, most notably regarding the discount rate, which is a key assumption. So to address this, we conducted walk-throughs of controls over the policy liability estimation process. We also reviewed the actuarial valuation report and management assumptions, specifically regarding the discount rate, and with the support from BDO actuarial specialists as well.

We compared management's valuation to third-party parallel reports for consistency. We completed retrospective reviews of significant estimates especially around the discount rate. We performed analytical analysis, as well as sample testing, over the underlying data used within the models to validate the completeness and accuracy of that data.

Additionally, our actuarial specialists independently evaluated various assumptions, including the discount rate, using their own models to determine the reasonableness of the liability. These procedures ultimately help ensure the liability is appropriately valued and reported.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DIPIKA NAGIN: Okay. In addition to the significant risks previously discussed, I will also

highlight a few other areas of focus, due to their materiality, complexity, or the level of judgment involved.

2.2

So for the health care estimated insurance claims due, our work included sample testing, retrospective review, actuarial evaluation, analytics and a recalculation of the liabilities. Specifically for incurred but not reported liabilities, our actuaries review every medical and pharmacy claims data model. We also confirm a hundred percent of the liability balances with the third-party administrators for all HMO plans.

To ensure completeness and accuracy, we perform sample testing on the data inputs for each of the claim data reports as well. For pension and OPEB liabilities, we tested the census data for completeness and accuracy. We performed actuarial reviews of assumptions for he pension actuarial report.

And then for the remaining areas of focus that are listed, we perform a mix of testing, including testing the data sets used for completeness and accuracy, data analytics, and sample testing. We also perform a review of internal controls over financial -- key financial systems as part of our audit.

Slide 17, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DIPIKA NAGIN: Okay. CalPERS significant accounting practices and policies are described in note 2 of the financial statements. These practices are appropriate and comply with relevant standards.

Recently issued accounting pronouncements are also summarized in note two. This year, there were two new standards that was issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, GASB 101, and GASB 102. So CalPERS adopted both GASB 101 on compensated absences, which had no material impact. And GASB 102 related to certain risk disclosures, which did not require any additional disclosures. There were no other changes in significant accounting policies or practices for the year ended June 30, 2025.

The notes of the financial statements include disclosures on critical accounting estimate, including those for private equity, real asset investments,

Long-Term Care Fund liabilities, health care insurance claims, and pension liabilities. Management's process and assumptions remain consistent year over year.

Slide 20, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DIPIKA NAGIN: So slide 20 contains or other required communications. So in addition to our main audit findings, there are several other communications that we

must share as part of our responsibilities to you. I will highlight a few of these. The first one, there was no significant changes to our planned audit strategy or the significant risks we previously communicated.

Second, we utilized our internal firm specialists, which included our investment valuation team and our actuarial specialist as well.

Lastly, there were no disagreements with management or difficulties encountered during the audit.

With that, I'll pass it back to Billy to take us through the rest of the presentation. Thank you.

BILLY KIM: Thanks.

Slide 21, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Now, this slide here is related to auditor independence. And this is an area we take seriously, as we're required by our professional standards to be independent of our clients, and this includes at the firm level, also includes at the individual level as well for each of our team members. And so we're always continuously monitoring and assessing our independence throughout the audit for any potential matters or questions that are raised, and we assess them. That includes a question that was raised during our work, but was cleared by a response from CalPERS legal counsel, as a

issue that's not related to independence.

And so we are happy to reaffirm to you, as our external auditors, that we are independent of CalPERS.

Slide 23, please.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

actual links.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: This slide contains information about BDO's quality management. And this is just to remind you that we are subject to quality management standards and we strive to ensure that we adhere to these quality standards.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BILLY KIM: Now, this last slide is a resource to you as a Board of CalPERS and includes a link for Board educational resources. This includes thought leadership, as well as insights, webcasts, and other resources.

That concludes our plan presentation. Thank you.

CHAIR COHEN: Thank you very much. I have a quick question about the resource that's available to us. You said this is -- how do we access this information?

BILLY KIM: Oh, yeah. There's a -- those are

CHAIR COHEN: Hyperlinks.

BILLY KIM: Yeah, hyperlinks, yes.

CHAIR COHEN: All right. Thank you very much.

BILLY KIM: So those are all available complimentary, right? It's just something that's available to the public and to our clients.

CHAIR COHEN: Thank you.

Colleagues, do you have any questions?

Mr. Ruffino.

1.3

2.2

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Thank you.

Am I on?

Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair and than you for the presentations. I heard some great terms, "clean opinion", "no significant deficiencies", "no issues to report." Great phrases in the audit world. But for clarity, I'd like to ask just one more time whether there were any observations from the audit that you can think of related to data integrity, cybersecurity controls, or financial system reliability that the Board should be aware of, particularly given the ongoing modernization efforts? Any thoughts on that?

BILLY KIM: Yes. So overall, as relates to our audit, we do cover the information technology controls as part of our review. So we look at, in terms of scope, key financial systems. And that does include cybersecurity controls as well, just to assess and understand them as well. And as part of that, we do actually have a IT finding that is in our management letter that we're going

to be presenting to you shortly, and that is related to a control matter that we wanted to raise to your attention.

1.3

2.2

But outside of that though, there is no specific issues that we felt necessary to raise or any other control issues or concerns related to that area. And we do have Craig Christie on the call who is our information systems principal.

Craig, do you want to add anything else to that?

CRAIG CHRISTIE: No, I think the IT environment is a strong environment for the audits that we've been doing. It's consistent. We do sample testing in many areas and it's consistent that the -- it's operating at an effective level.

We also do, as Billy mentioned, a detailed cybersecurity assessment, and there is no concerns identified during those reviews.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Excellent answer. Thank you. And thank you, too. You know, when you do and audit, obviously it takes a team, both internally and externally. So thank you to the entire team who works diligently making sure it keeps us on target. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR COHEN: Of course. Mr. Jose Luis Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you, Madam

Chair. And thank you again for your presentation. Can

you hear me now better?

2.2

Yeah. I just want to ask you a question about the -- one of the areas of risk that you identified, a significant area, the evaluation of the investments. And I'm just curious, how did you -- how did you select the sample -- the sample selection process on selecting which of the investments to look at and how did you -- again, how did you assess the evaluation? You looked at the audit reports, but, you know, since there are different dates, for different ones, can you just elaborate a little bit more about that?

KEITH MILLER: Yeah, sure. So in terms of selecting which investments to look at, we have a proprietary in-house sampling tool, which will take materiality metric's value of the entire investment population metrics. And that will essentially tell us how many items in the entire population that we should test, that the system considers to be representative of the -- of the population.

And then within that, we will select a number of the largest value investments, you know, irrespective of whether the sampling tool includes them in its -- in its sample size. So we have -- so we have measures of the largest plus also a random number of investments based on the -- on the sample size that our sampling tool selects.

Within that also, we skew the sample population toward private equity, because that's both the largest balance compared to real estate, but also because, as you mentioned and, you know, we talk about often, private equity typically has a December 31 year-end. And so it's causing us to catch more of those investments that we have the six-month time lag for, so we then -- our testing that time gap and the appropriateness of the change in valuation over that period.

So all of that is designed to pick the most meaningful sample of investments to test, so that we are covering those issues we've talked about, including the six-month lag between the last audit and CalPERS year-end. But tell me if I missed a part of the question.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: No, you actually -- that's -- no, you did very well on that.

KEITH MILLER: Perfect. Okav.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I was just -- and thank you for elaborating on the -- on the gap, because that's -- that it is significant.

KEITH MILLER: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: There's a lag in

23 how --

2.2

KEITH MILLER: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: -- we evaluate it,

because we have an -- fiscal end year of June 30th.

KEITH MILLER: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And many of these private equities are on that December 31st.

KEITH MILLER: Correct.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And another question that you brought up as well is that the audit statements from the private equity funds are they certified? Are they audited? They're audited already.

KEITH MILLER: Correct. Correct. They are. they are -- the private equity funds use something called Topic 946 under the investment -- under the audit rules, which -- accounting rules, sorry, which in plain English is accounting specifically for investment funds. those financial statements include, you know, investment They are largely, fully covering the nature of investment. So it's different to say if you held an investment directly in a portfolio company, for example, where the gap might be talking about fixed assets or other things that don't drive straight at valuation. But for the private equity investments, because those -- under Topic 946, those investments carry all of their assets and liabilities already up fair value. So it -- that's what removes a lot of the judgment, because if you know that the fair value of the collection of assets and liabilities

is, let's say, \$100 million and you though that you own 25 percent of that investment, then through that, you can simply show, that your investment under the practical expedient has a value of 25 million.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so, one extra thing I can add is is so when we're reviewing those audited financial statements, what we're really looking for is anomalies and things within the footnotes that might suggest there's more afoot with this investment fund that we might want to know about. So, for example, you know, litigation, things like that that might -- that might -- and other going concern issues that might suggest that the -- that the investment value is different from that -- from that kind of simple practical expedient calculation that I mentioned. there are other matters that we look at as we're going through those financial statements, so largely to make sure that that simple practical expedient valuation, that there aren't other significant issues that should also be factored into the valuation that might not have been and so -- and so you'd want -- you'd want to make sure that basically that that type of issue is not present.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Excellent. Well, that's excellent then. And thank you very much for that --

KEITH MILLER: Sure.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: -- that comprehensive explanation of the -- of the audit reports and so forth.

And I just want to compliment the entire team of your -- of your incredible work and having an unremarkable report, which is very -- which is very good for all of us.

And just last question is when was the last time we've had a clean -- a clean report?

BILLY KIM: You had a clean report last year, as well.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Last year as well.

BILLY KIM: Yeah.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay. So excellent. Well, thank you very much.

CHAIR COHEN: Thank you very much. I just want to ask a couple questions before. You've presented a clean report. This is some great. I'm just wondering if we also are paying attention to some inherent risks that are within CalPERS. We do a lot talking about control risk, guardrails, ensuring that the decisions are made with integrity and the investments are solid and fully vetted.

What about detection risks? Does that also incorporate it? I don't -- we did'nt hear very much about it. The findings --

BILLY KIM: Yeah, the --

CHAIR COHEN: Wait. The findings focused on significant risk. I want to maybe dial back a little bit and see what other -- what other risks are out there that didn't make it into the presentation.

2.2

BILLY KIM: Yeah, as it relates to our risk assessment process, we do look at all different types of risk, so that does start with inherent risks. That does also then goes to the internal controls of detection risk. Is it properly detecting any specific errors, so that they could be corrected before the financial statements are issued for instance, right?

So our understanding of the internal controls covers the full gamut. So it does include detection controls as well, so that includes like monitoring type controls, and throughout each cycle that is scoped in for audit work, whether that's investments, whether that's pension liabilities, what -- you know, all these premiums and et cetera. We are assessing and trying to understand what all the internal controls are, what the lay of the land is, and if they're appropriately addressing each of those specific risks that ultimately impact what gets on to the financial statements.

CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm.

BILL KIM: So whether that's preventative controls, whether that's monitoring or detective controls,

and we end up going through and assessing. And if we consider them to be relevant or, in other words to say that they're key, we would then actually get examples of them and just ensure that they're actually appropriately designed and implemented.

CHAIR COHEN: Do you guys do or study reputation risks in your audit?

BILLY KIM: A reputation --

CHAIR COHEN: A reputation risk, the effects of just kind of the external, like the media articles. I don't know. I'm asking you.

BILLY KIM: Yes. No. As part of our audit, we do look at anything publicly released --

CHAIR COHEN: Okay.

2.2

BILL KIM: -- about our clients. We -- that is part of our overall understanding of the organization, and anything that's out there, and is it something that we should be considering as part of our risk assessment process. And all of that is actually thoroughly documented as well, as we go through that process as well.

CHAIR COHEN: Okay. So I know you have -- only have a brief few minutes to make a presentation, so you're kind of taking the high top level. And most people just want to know what are our significant risks. I was just wanted to take a moment to dive down a little bit

deeper -- a little deeper and figure out where some of the other risks are. Maybe risk is a strong word, some of our other areas of vulnerability. Did you find any?

BILLY KIM: No. I mean -- well, there is -- when we one say risks, there are risks that exist, but that doesn't necessarily mean that's going to result in an issue, right? And so, from a financial reporting perspective, no, we did not -- when we -- when we -- we do procedures for each of these risks that we identify and to ensure that there is nothing that ultimately comes about that has a material impact --

CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm.

BILL KIM: -- on the financial statements. And so, yeah, there's nothing of that sort that we're here to report to you that we identified.

CHAIR COHEN: Great. Yeah, you summed it up.

I'm looking for any material weakness --

BILLY KIM: Yeah.

19 CHAIR COHEN: -- but it sounds like there aren't 20 any.

21 Great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

24

CHAIR COHEN: Anyone else would like to speak?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Call it.

CHAIR COHEN: You'd like to call it. Okay.

25 | Please be my guest.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I'd like to approve the independent auditor's report.

CHAIR COHEN: All right. There's been a motion to approve the independent auditor report by Member Jose Luis Pacheco.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: (Hand raised).

CHAIR COHEN: And it's been seconded by Mr. Frank

Ruffino.

Can we take that without objection, colleagues? Without objection, the motion passes.

Let's hear the next item

1.3

2.2

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Madam Chair, 5b is also an action item.

CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm.

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Staff requests that the Risk and Audit Committee approve the Board's independent financial statement auditor BDO's draft management letter. And I want to turn it back over to Billy.

BILLY KIM: Dipika.

DIPIKA NAGIN: Dipika here. Good afternoon, Chair, Vice Chair, and members of the Committee. I will cover the draft management letter here. So during the planning and execution of our audit of the CalPERS financial statements for the fiscal year 2025, we evaluated the internal controls over financial reporting.

Now this evaluation is conducted to inform our audit procedures aimed at expressing an opinion on the financial statements, rather than to assess the effectiveness of the internal controls themselves.

1.3

2.2

Earlier, we provided an overview of the control findings categories. And we did not identify any material weaknesses in our audit this year. However, we did note a control deficiency related to the Automated Real Estate Investment System, or otherwise known as AREIS.

Specifically, we observed that one user had both developer access and approver access. So this represents a segregation of duties conflict and could allow for code changes to be made and approved by the same individual. While CalPERS does require a second approver for code changes, there was no audit log for us to confirm this configuration remain unchanged and turned on during the audit period.

And no regular monitoring review of change logs or database access reports were being performed. So with that, management promptly responded by removing the developer access from the affected user. And confirmed that no unauthorized changes occurred. They also reviewed all user access rights to ensure that no other conflicts existed.

Going forward, management will conduct

independent biannual user access reviews and role checks in AREIS to promptly identify and address any segregation of duties issues. This concludes our remarks on the management letter. We're now open to any questions that you may have.

CHAIR COHEN: Jose Luis Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. And, yeah, this is a -- this is a really interesting area, because this is kind of like what I -- this is my job, what I do as SharePoint developer, administrator. In terms of the AREIS, is it hosted on the Azure system, because that's what I -- I noticed it was bypassing the Azure DevOps system. And further more, with respect to the all -- respect to the administration rules, were there -- you also identifying any deficiencies or any access with the global administration? Did you look at that level, because it's another area of access.

CRAIG CHRISTIE: Craig Christie, the IT principal on this. They use Azure DevOps for kind of code movement

BILLY KIM: We're going to have -- go ahead

22 have AREIS --

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Um-hmm.

CRAIG CHRISTIE: -- which is where the issue was identified, related to developer access. We do

specifically look at administrator access across the environment. We didn't have any issues in the administration, so that's -- that's a good thing.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's really good.

CRAIG CHRISTIE: That's strongly supported. This was just specific to someone inappropriately getting access to the developmental environment.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And -- no that's great. And now that we have identified that with the remedy, are we -- are new logging -- we have logs and so forth to identify and trail on who has access to what and when?

CRAIG CHRISTIE: Management determined not to implement the log. Sometimes when you implement logs, it does have a performance impact on the system. And I believe AREIS is in the process of being migrated to a new system in 2026. We felt that as long as they are monitoring that development group and making sure that everybody in there is appropriate, and doesn't have a conflict with the approvers, it's a sufficient monitoring control to make sure it doesn't occur again.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Another dumb question. I think I -- I think I might know the answer, but I want to make -- confirm. Is this -- is AREIS an internal -- an internal application --

CRAIG CHRISTIE: Yes.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: -- and if someone could just let me know about that.

CRAIG CHRISTIE: Yes. It's developed internally.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's the problem.

So, yeah, I think -- as we move more and I think I brought this up during the Finance and Administration, during the modernization update, you know, it's very important for us, as we move forward, that we employ, you know, enterprise applications out-of-the-box solutions, so that we don't have these kinds of problems, because these are -- these are problems that would come up, either institutional knowledge problems or issues that are our, but having a very robust out-of-the-box solution from an enterprise application and avoiding as much as possible internal development, internal applications would be an appropriate thing. And it would also make sure that we would have a clean bill on this particular area with the management letter. So, my opinion. Thank you.

That's all my questions

CHAIR COHEN: All right. Thank you.

Ramón Rubalcava, did you want to say something?

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: No.

CHAIR COHEN: No.

Just commenting that -- no. No.

CHAIR COHEN: Okay. All right. So I want to talk a little bit about -- so you raise a little issue with AREIS. And you found -- you found, I don't know, a glitch. I don't how to describe it. You found something that was inconsistent. You found a risk. That leads me to where our internal controls are. This -- I don't know how long it's been around. This is my -- certainly my first time chairing this body, so I don't have institutional knowledge on this. I think you guys have been around a little bit longer than I have on dealing with this issue. But should this -- shouldn't our internal controls have caught this access? What happened there?

BILLY KIM: Yes, exactly. So that's the reason why we're calling it out, is that there should have been some way of catching this, right? And --

CHAIR COHEN: So one more question. Was this long-standing or is this something that was new? Are you able to put a timeline to this exposure?

BILLY KIM: Yeah. No, the root matter of it, I believe is a situation where you have an employee that changed departments, right --

CHAIR COHEN: Okay.

1.3

2.2

BILLY KIM: -- and their access was not removed. And basically then, they got additional access and it

caused a segregation of duties issue. 1 CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm. 2 BILLY KIM: And so without a monitoring control, 3 it didn't identi -- so you didn't have this detective 4 control, right? 5 CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm. 6 7 BILLY KIM: And so therefore, it -- you know, it 8 went unnoticed --CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm. 9 BILL KIM: -- until we actually was -- we're 10 going through the review. The good thing is --11 CHAIR COHEN: Now, are you able to tell me how 12 long it went unnoticed? 1.3 BILLY KIM: Craig, do you have the details on 14 that? 15 16 CRAIG CHRISTIE: We don't know exactly how long that occurred. I think in that perspective it was 17 definitely new and not there when we were doing our review 18 19 last year. 20 CHAIR COHEN: Okay. CRAIG CHRISTIE: Additionally, I think 21 management, in this situation, is relying upon a system 2.2 23 within a system, which requires secondary approver. you -- the setting basically prevents you from approving 24

25

your own developed code --

CHAIR COHEN: Um-hmm.

CRAIG CHRISTIE: -- which is a good configuration to have in place and important. Our issue was we couldn't validate that that setting was in place the full period.

CHAIR COHEN: Okay. I understand. Thank you.

And I know the executive director is on top of

it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yes.

CHAIR COHEN: All right.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: And I can add some context if you want. So Craig is correct, so you did get an update on our data and technology project in the Finance and Administration Committee. This system is internally developed. It is at end of life. It will be replaced by a system that will lift the governance. And this is really a governance issue, as I would describe it.

CHAIR COHEN: Okay. Thank you.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Um-hmm.

CHAIR COHEN: All right. Thank you. Does that conclude your presentation? I think so.

BILLY KIM: Yes.

CHAIR COHEN: Okay. Colleagues, is there any further discussion, any other questions that you want to ask?

All right, let's keep moving forward. Thank you

very much. This is an action item. Is there an action or a motion for this action?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I'll move.

CHAIR COHEN: All right. Jose Luis Pacheco has made a motion to accept the staff recommendation.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.

CHAIR COHEN: And a second by Mr. Miller.

Can we take that without objection?

And we take that without objection. Thank you very much.

Thank you.

All right. Let's keep moving forward. Oh, we're now going to recess into closed session.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Summary of Committee Direction

CHAIR COHEN: Oh, okay. We're going to do the summary. Let's take some public comment, if you -- BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: (Shake head).

CHAIR COHEN: All right. Thank you. Let the record reflect that there is no public comment at this

21 time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

Okay. All right. We're going to transition to closed session.

All right. We're going to recess now into closed session for Items 1 through 3 from the closed session

```
agenda. We will immediately reconvene in open session
1
    after the closed session. Thank you.
2
 3
             (Off record: 1:47 p.m.)
             (Thereupon the meeting recessed
 4
             into closed session.)
5
             (Thereupon the meeting reconvened
 6
             open session.)
7
8
             (On record: 1:57 p.m.)
9
             CHAIR COHEN: All right. Good afternoon, ladies
    and gentlemen. We are back in open session. And this
10
    adjourns the meeting. Thank you very much for everyone'
11
    service. Mr. Pacheco, it's been a pleasure.
12
13
             Thank you.
             (Thereupon the California Public Employees'
14
             Retirement System, Board of Administration,
15
16
             Risk & Audit Committee open session
             meeting adjourned at 1:57 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,
Board of Administration, Risk & Audit Committee open
session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F.
Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of
California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 26th day of November, 2025.

1.3

fames & Potter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063