MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

PENSION & HEALTH BENEFITS COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

FECKNER AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2025 10:30 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Ramón Rubalcava, Chair

Kevin Palkki, Vice Chair

Malia Cohen, represented by Deborah Gallegos

Monica Erickson, represented by Nicole Griffith

David Miller

Jose Luis Pacheco

Theresa Taylor

Yvonne Walker

Mullissa Willette

BOARD MEMBERS:

Michael Detoy

Fiona Ma, represented by Frank Ruffino

Lisa Middleton

STAFF:

Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer

Kim Malm, Deputy Executive Officer

Donald Moulds, PhD, Chief Health Director

Robert Carlin, Senior Attorney

Rob Jarzombek, Chief, Health Plan Research & Administration

Julia Logan, MD, Chief Clinical Director

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

ALSO PRESENT:

Lisa Agcaoili, Lawndale Federation of Teachers

Jared Critchfield, Amador County Unified School District

Jeff Freitas

Bonnie Gale, Amador County Office of Education

Sara Granda

Tracy Hinman, Amador County School Member

Jeannie Jentzen, Amador County Schools

Carrie Marrama, Amador County Office of Education, California School Employees Association 827

Mathew Montgomery, Service Employees International Union Local 1000

Robert Norton, Amador County School District

Nick Partida, United Nurses Association of California, Union of Healthcare Professionals

Bobby Roy

Keith Umemoto, California State Retirees

Greg Wall, Amador County Teachers Association

C.T. Weber

Carl Williams, Lawndale Federation of Classified Employees
Larry Woodson, California State Retirees

| | INDEX | |
|------|--|----------|
| | <u>———</u> | AGE |
| 1. | Call to Order and Roll Call | 1 |
| 2. | Executive Report - Don Moulds, Kim Malm | 2 |
| 3. | Action Consent Items - Don Moulds a. Approval of the September 16, 2025, Pension & Health Benefits Committee MeetingMinutes b. Approval of the November 18, 2025, Pension & Health Benefits Committee Meeting Timed Agenda | 25 |
| 4. | Information Consent Items - Don Moulds a. Annual Calendar Review b. Draft Agenda for the March 17, 2026, Pension & Health Benefits Committee Meeting c. CalPERS Health Benefits Program Annual Report for Plan Year 2024 | 26 |
| 5. | Action Agenda Items a. Health Benefits Program Proposals for the 2027 Plan Year - Rob Jarzombek, Julia Logan 2 | 26 |
| 6. | Information Agenda Items a. Summary of Committee Direction - Don Moulds, Kim Malm b. Public Comment | 52 53 |
| 7. | Adjournment of Meeting 7 | 77 |
| Repo | cter's Certificate 7 | 7 8 |

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Good morning. We're going to get started. Good morning, everybody. We'll call the Pension and Health Benefits Committee to order, please. And the first order of business is the roll call, call to order.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Ramón Rubalcava.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Kevin Palkki.

VICE CHAIR PALKKI: Good morning.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Deborah Gallegos for Malia

12 Cohen.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER GALLEGOS: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Nicole Griffith for Monica

15 Erickson.

16 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER GRIFFITH: Good morning.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: David Miller.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Jose Luis Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Theresa Taylor?

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Yvonne Walker.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WALKER: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Mullissa Willette.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Here.

2.2

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, everybody. Now, we'll proceed to the Executive -- Item number 2, Executive Report. Don Moulds and Kim Malm, please.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MALM: Good morning.

Kim Malm, CalPERS team. I wanted to give you a few updates from the Customer Services Branch. I'll start with a wrap-up of open enrollment calls to the Call Center. Last time I briefed you, we were in the middle of open enrollment, which ended in October. During this four week period, we received nearly 112,000 calls. I appreciate all the hard work of the Call Center agents and all lines of management that were taking these calls.

Next, as of yesterday, November 17th, we implemented multi-factor authentication for our employers. Our members have been using this security feature for some time now. Multi-factor authentication adds an extra layer of protection, enhancing data security and safeguarding sensitive information for the employers and the members. This also ensures that we're following industry standard best practices for cybersecurity.

As you may recall from my September update, I mentioned that we launched an effort to boost myCalPERS member self-service registrations in July. I thought I would share that we've increased total registrations by

almost 40,000, approximately 32,000 of them from active members and 1,500 from retirees. And if you're doing the math, the remainder is from beneficiaries and inactives. The campaign aims to highlight how creating a myCalPERS account makes it easy to manage your benefits anytime and adds an extra layer of protection against fraud.

2.2

To make regional office appointments more efficient and valuable for our members, we've updated our Contact Center procedures. Now, our Call Center agents verify whether members have a myCalPERS account and if they don't, guide them through creating one with clear instructions and a helpful link. There's more to come on this project, and I will keep you updated as the metrics progress.

Also, my September update, I mentioned that we were introducing a retiree class webinar. This post-retirement webinar is scheduled for December 9th. It will cover topics that help retirees prepare for the upcoming year. We'll discuss changes taking place in the health plans, the deduction changes, cost of living, or COLA, updates, such as the timing of the COLA update, the process, when it will be posted to our website, when to expect it in their warrant, and address other important topics, such as beneficiary designations, power of attorney, documents, working after retirement rules, and

when they can expect their 1099-Rs.

1.3

2.2

Registration is now open, and to date, we have over 2,200 signed up for this webinar and there are little half page fliers in the back of the room for people to pick up if they wanted to take one and use the QR code to register.

Moving on to some of our other training. We launched a new virtual class in October preparing for regional office visits. This video has nearly 12,000 views and is available on YouTube. We also recently held Funding Your Retirement Future virtual classes on November 4th and 5th, was it was a successful event with over 900 attendees.

Next, let me just give you a quick update on our CalPERS benefit education events. Our next virtual even will take place on March 4th and a 5th, and registration will open in February. Our next planned in-person CBEE is in Monterey on January 9th and 10th, and registration opened today for that event.

Other planned CBEEs for 2026 are Anaheim in -- on April 8 -- sorry, 10th and 11th and Redding for June 5th and 6th. In closing, I'd like to ask for a moment of personal privilege, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Please.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MALM: I am pleased to

introduce Thor Dunn, the new Division Chief of the Customer Experience Division, also known as our Contact Center. He joined the CSS team at the beginning of October and he has spent over 25 years with California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, and Board of Equalization's Call Center, the last five years acting as the Customer Service Chief of CDTFA.

1.3

2.2

His expertise in running a call center and implementing a Gen AI tool will be instrumental in ensuring the future success of our services to CalPERS members. There was also a Veteran from the Marines and retired from the Air Force Reserves in 2013.

I'd like to have him stand and be recognized.

(Applause).

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you. If I could just speak here. Since it's November, I want to say thank you -- and Veterans Day, thank you for your service and welcome to Calpers. I look forward to your service here.

CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE DIVISION CHIEF DUNN: Thank you. It's good to be here.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MALM: That concludes my comments and I'm happy to answer any questions or turn it over to Mr. Moulds.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Don.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: All right. Mr.

Rubalcava, members of the Committee, I have a handful of updates for you today. On October 28th, we delivered the Health Benefits Program Annual Report for the 2024 plan year to the California Legislature and the Director of Finance. Every year the report provides an overview of the Health Program's performance and impact on our members. Throughout the report, you'll find information about different health plan offerings, including benefit design changes, and medical spend and utilization trends. It's available on our website for anyone who is interested. We hope you find the report informative and a valuable resource. I'd like to thank as well Calpers team members who contributed to the development and delivery of the report.

1.3

2.2

Next, one of the conditions of receiving CalPERS retiree coverage is that annuitants age 65 and older must apply for Medicare parts A and B to remain enrolled in a CalPERS health plan. Most members can apply for Medicare online. However, some must schedule and in-person or phone appointment with the Social Security Administration to complete their application.

During the government shutdown, some members reported delays in securing appointments as well as delays in the processing of their applications. We are encouraging any member who has encountered delays of

either type to reach out to CalPERS through the Call
Center or via secure message in their myCalPERS account.

For members who have faced delays, we will be offering a
60-day extension as needed. This ensures that no one will
lose their coverage while waiting for their appointment or
for processing. We will continue to monitor the situation
and just -- and adjust the timelines as needed.

2.2

I wanted to let you all know that last month I had the honor of testifying in front of the U.S. Senate Committee on Aging about some of the innovative work CalPERS is doing to address the cost of health care. Specifically, the Committee was interested in learning about the various iterations of our reference pricing program, which creates incentives for our PPO members to have elective medical procedures, like hip and knee replacements, done at high quality, lower cost facilities, and provides benefits such as covering the cost of travel for those who do.

Over the last decade, we've extended the program to cover more than a dozen and a half different procedures, and last year the Board approved the extension of the reference pricing concept to our new Member Incentives for Labs Program, which waives cost sharing for members who have their lab work done at Labcorps or Quest, where we have negotiated very competitive pricing, rather

than at high cost hospital affiliated labs.

2.2

The hearing included Mark Cuban, who discussed his online pharmacy Cost Plus Drugs and Jeanne Lambrew, who ran the White Office of Health Reform during the Obama administration. It's on the Senate's website if anyone is interested in viewing it.

Last, I want to share a progress report on the transition to our new pharmacy benefits manager, CVS
Caremark, which goes into effect on January 1st. Since we talked last about this in September, we have had a relatively smooth open enrollment, thanks to the efforts of both the CalPERS and CVS teams. To help support our members, the CVS website contains a variety of information, such as the new formulary, excluded drugs, and other details, so members have the ability to verify the status of their specific medication. They also have the option to call CVS and talk with a CVS agent about the transition and get answers to their specific questions, and, of course, they can always call CalPERS.

Member communications have started going out and will continue through the end of the year. This includes mailings to members impacted by a formulary change and those taking specialty medications. Additionally, we've updated our website with estimated mailing dates and all the various letters coming out, as well as the new ID

cards, which are targeted for mailing by our health plans.

2.2

I will note that last month our Medicare members did receive a letter from our current PBM, Optum Rx that left some of them with the impression that they were losing their drug coverage. While it was a CMS required notice, it could have been communicated more clearly. As we have pushed out through our website, and through stakeholder meetings, and other communications, drug coverage for all of our members will be continuous. No Calpers members will have any lapse in coverage during the transition.

As we approach the new year and our new partnership with CVS, we remain committed to supporting our members through this transition. Many thanks to all involved for making this as smooth as possible for our members.

That includes[SIC] my remarks and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you very -- thank you very much Mr. Moulds. And questions from the Committee?

Thank you for the update and it's good to know -- we do have President Taylor, please.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

Thank you, Don for -- and Kim for your presentation.

Congratulations Thor on your new position and welcome to

CalPERS.

1.3

2.2

So, Don, I had a question. The -- so we did experience some delays from Medicare, but it has kind of been taken care of and --

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: We've had a few reports. We talked to CMS who said there shouldn't be any. We are erring on the side of caution and we have pushed out this information in all of the usual channels to make it clear to our members that if they encounter any delays, we will work with them. So the standard response for someone who's experienced a delay will be to give them a 60-day extension to go through the process of registering for Medicare parts A and part B. If we need to extend that further, we will do that obviously.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: You'll notify people if it needs to be made -- their time frame needs to start earlier basically?

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: Yeah. We'll just -- we're just going to -- they will call in and let us know. We will offer them the extension. And if, for whatever reason, that isn't sufficient time, we'll work with them to make sure that they're properly enrolled.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Okay. Because I did run into a few employees before this actually that was having trouble with Medicare anyway, so I just wanted to

make sure.

2.2

So I love the fact that you went to a Senate hearing regarding reference pricing, but didn't we used to be already working with CMS on referencing pricing. I'm a little confused.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: So reference pricing is a program we've implemented ourselves. It's about a decade old.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Right.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: We've had various iterations of the program. We had a major update in 2018, where we extended it to about 13 new procedures.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Right.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: And then the Member Incentives for Labs Program was a little over a year ago now.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Okay. Okay. So -- and that's what basically you were in there talking to the Senate about?

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: (Nods head).

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Okay.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: The Committee was -- the Committee was interested in directed consumer type programs where consumer incentives are used. We talked about the successes we've had with our referencing

pricing program. We made it clear that we did not think that all of the woes of the U.S. health care system were solvable through consumer incentives, which is an important point. And we talked a little bit about some of the other things. I talked a little bit about some of the other things that we're doing at CalPERS.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Okay. Great. I just -- congratulations. Thank you very much. I actually heard from somebody that they saw you and was very happy that you represented us. So thank you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, President Taylor.

Trustee Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you. And thank you, Mr. Moulds and thank you, Ms. Malm, for -- and also, sir, thank you for your service as well.

Don, I wanted to ask you a question regarding the CVS Caremark, that issue. In terms of the -- let me -- in terms of the communication of the -- of the information, have you been communicating that like in other languages, for instance, Spanish? Is there availability?

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: I'm going to let Rob give you specific details about availability and other languages.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Good morning, Ron Jarzombek, Calpers team member. So upon request, it is -- all the information is available in additional languages. So the initial notifications will go out in English, but if a member would like it in a different language, they're able to request that.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And they're able to -when they're able to call, they're able to talk to
someone?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yes. So the CVS call center has been up starting with open enrollment. And so they remain open for our members now through the end of the contract -- the current contract. And so they can call anytime and get that -- submit their request to CVS directly.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Perfect then. And in terms of the specialty drugs and so forth, how has that been going?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: So the specialty drugs, those letters
are being geared up to be sent out next week. And so this
is where we're working with Optum to transition all that
information over to CVS, so they know who is taking which
medications. Then the letters are teed up to go out next
week. The letters will go out to both the member as well

as their prescriber, so both entities know what's happening, and especially if they're impacted by a formulary change.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, excellent then. So they'll -- so both the provider and the member will know exactly what's happening.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Correct.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And then with respect to that, is it going to be a seamless transition then with -- to move to the CVS Caremark?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yes. That is our hope. That's been our charge all along. This is Calpers choice to choose a different PBM, not a member's choice, so we do want to make this as smooth as possible as Don said. So that is where members don't typically need to take any action.
The small percentage of members who are impacted by a formulary change, we're outreaching to them and their prescriber, as I said, to make that transition.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And if there is a hiccup, they can just call the Call Center.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION CHIEF

JARZOMBEK: Absolutely. So the hiccup -- if there's any
hiccups, they can call CVS directly. They can also call

us. We have ongoing meetings, regular meetings, with all of the teams, and all the health plans to make sure everyone is aware of what's changing into -- addressing any issues that may arise.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Perfect. That's all my questions then. Thank you so much.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Trustee.

Thank you, Don Moulds and Ms. Malm for our presentation, and thank you, Rob, for weighing in.

I have a request for public comment under this item. We usually don't have it under executive report, but because we didn't have the discussion before the clarity, we'll proceed in letting them speak. So we'll go with -- and then I'll give the option to the speaker for 6b, if she wants to speak also at this moment.

So can I have Lisa Agcaoili, and Carl Williams, and Nick Partida please come up. Sit up in front.

Please introduce yourself, and you can proceed, and you have three minutes.

LISA AGCAOILI: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. My name is Lisa Agcaoili and I have been a CalPERS member for over 20 years. I work with Lawndale Elementary School District for over 30 years and I work with the special needs department as an instructional assistant.

For most of those years, I worked without health care. I was like 15 minutes a day from being eligible for benefits through my employer. For decades, I lived with the insecurity of not knowing whether I would be able to receive care or the decision to take care of my family's needs or take care of my own.

2.2

But after a major health scare that almost actually took my life, I became a walking billboard for my employers to see that health care is not only a moral right, but was necessary for our para-professionals. So we fought, negotiated, and won the rights for quite a few of us in that classification.

Because of my experiences with the health care system, I am here to stand in solidarity with the Kaiser employees fighting for a fair contract. We know what poor staffing looks like, because the people that we serve while I served students, they served patients, and they all deserve quality care.

With staffing shortages, health care workers are being asked to handle more than their fair share of the work, than they're getting paid for. More than they can handle and still provide quality care that each patient deserves. Kaiser workers are seeking fair wages. And with the prices of everything going up, including medical care, our health care workers deserve the same, and they

also need to be caught up.

2.2

It's our health and our lives at stake, and I want the people who are taking care of us to also to be able to take care of their families the way they do so many others. For Kaiser to invest in its workforce and not buy up more facilities across the country, health care workers are the cornerstone of delivering good care to patients, and that's where they should be investing their dollars at this time.

Thank you so much for your time and the opportunity to speak before you today.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you for your comments.

Carl Williams, please.

CARL WILLIAMS: Good morning. My name is Carl Williams and I've worked with Lawndale Elementary School District since 1994, first as a staff, and later President of the Lawndale Federation of Classified Employees. I also serve as president of the CFT Council of Classified Employees, and I'm a national Vice President for the AFT. And I have been a beneficiary of Calpers for 31 years.

The members of our union are grateful to CalPERS and your work to provide us with a dignified, secure retirement, and affordable and accessible health care. We know your track record is champion for your members. In that spirit, I ask that you send a strong message to

Kaiser that our members, CalPERS members, need Kaiser to settle a fair contract with its workers, so that we can continue to receive the access to high quality care for our members and our families.

1.3

2.2

Kaiser workers are fighting for improved staffing and fair pay. And our members are affected. They have experienced delayed care due to chronic staffing shortages, leading to longer wait times, rescheduled appointments, and treatment delays. And we know that staffing shortages hurt quality, whether it's in the classroom or in an emergency room. Kaiser staffing shortages have led to their use of traveling nurses and contracting out work, which is also very costly and an inefficient Band-Aid. Settling a fair contract would fix this problem.

The five-day strike last month meant that my members had to reschedule appointments and struggle to get their medications filled. That's a huge disruption to the scheduling process, because our members have to request time off months in advance and can't easily change those dates. Having to seek emergency care during a strike is a terrifying experience and no one should have to go through that. Hearing that the labor disputes remained unresolved with the bargaining not progressing and more Kaiser workers in California with contracts expired, we are

concerned about the future disruptions and other strikes this will create.

2.2

And we know that this is avoidable with a profit margin 10 percent greater than Amazon. We know that Kaiser has the resources to settle the contract. No one is asking you all to mediate a labor dispute, but in your capacity as a health care purchaser, we are asking on behalf of the CFT's classified members across California please send a clear message to Kaiser. Stop stalling. Bargain if their contract that puts quality care first for my members and patients across the state of California, not Kaiser's excessive corporate profits.

Thank you again for your work in advocating for public sector workers through -- throughout California and your time and your attention to this very, very important issue.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Mr. Williams, for your comments.

CARL WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Nick Partida, please.

NICK PARTIDA: Good morning. Thank you to the Chair, Vice Chair, and members of the Committee for granting us time to speak. My name is Nick Partida. I am a registered nurse and a member of the United Nurses Association of California, Union of Healthcare

Professionals.

2.2

I've worked for Kaiser Permanente for over 10 years, most currently working as an emergency room nurse at Kaiser Permanente in the City of Irvine. UNAC/UHCP represents a broad range of health care professionals dedicated to patient care across California and Hawaii. Our 42,000 members include registered nurses, nurse practitioners, physician's assistants, pharmacists, optometrists, physical and occupational therapists, speech language pathologists, nurse midwives, social workers, case managers, clinical laboratory scientists among many others.

In total, UNAC/UHCP represents professionals in more than 70 different job classifications all committed to providing the highest quality care to our patients and communities. In the past, I was proud to work for an employer known for being labor friendly. Through Kaiser's well known labor management partnership, we use to resolve tough issues collaboratively before they escalated into disputes that could disrupt patient care.

Unfortunately, under the current leadership, that has changed and not for the better. Today, we're literally fighting just to have a guaranteed voice in how we care for our patients. That voice is one of our key bargaining priorities, along with fair wages that allow us

to hire and retain the staff our patients need and depend on. That worker voice matters. It ensures that the people at the bedside, those who understand patient's needs best, can advocate for safe staffing levels and the highest quality care. Right now, Kaiser's pay proposals lag behind other area hospitals, they failed to keep pace with inflation, and will only deepen our chronic staffing shortages. If this continues, we'll see fewer staff, longer wait times, and patients spending less -- patients spending less time with their providers. At the end of the day, it all comes down to staffing.

2.2

Kaiser can afford to do better. With profit margins exceeding 10 percent, it's fair to ask where are those profits going, because they're not showing up at the bedside. After months Of bargaining with little progress, we were forced to strike for five days in October. Yet, Kaiser continues to refuse meaningful compromise, creating the very real possibility of larger and more disruptive strikes ahead, when once again patients will bear the brunt.

Kaiser may claim that the impact of a strike is minimal or managed, but what they don't tell you is how frightening it can be for a patient in an understaffed hospital during a strike, when too few travel nurses are available, supplies are misplaced, routines are

unfamiliar, or when patients urgently need a prescription refill only to find the pharmacy being closed.

2.2

And what we've shared concerns you, please speak up to Kaiser on behalf of CalPERS members, our patients.

Kaiser can settle. Their profitability proves that. They simply must choose to put patients, your members, before profit. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you very much.

I have two more callers -- to more speakers on the phone. Go ahead, please.

CALPERS STAFF: Yes, Chair Rubalcava. We have Jeff Freitas with CFT to speak on Agenda Item 2.

Jeff, you are now live and can proceed with your comments.

JEFF FREITAS: Thank you. This is Jeff Freitas, President of CFT. As stated, as you have heard from two of our members, we have many, many members who participate in the Calpers health care true Kaiser. And we have many affiliates that are reeling with this. As you know in education, our working conditions are the classroom, the learning conditions of our students. So the same is true in the medical field, where the working conditions of the workers are the medical conditions of the patients. And we need to make sure, and we are asking you as true believers in providing the best dignified secure

retirement, affordable, accessible health care for all employees and all members of CalPERS to not mediate this, but to put pressure on Kaiser to actually do the right thing.

2.2

As you know, and as was just spoken by others, a five day strike last month meant that members had to reschedule procedures, had their appointments and struggled to get their medications filled. These are our members. These are CalPERS participants and this is a very serious issues.

And this labor dispute remains unsolved. As was just mentioned, with profit margins exceeding 10 percent, greater than that of Amazon, we know Kaiser has the resources to settle a fair contract. Again, we are not asking you to mediate this labor dispute, but put -- but in your capacity as a health care purchaser, the largest of Kaiser I believe, I am asking you, on behalf of CFT's members throughout California, classified as well as we have part-time faculty, many faculty members that are part of PERS to send a clear message to Kaiser. Stop stalling, bargain a fair contract that puts quality care first for my members as patients throughout California, not to put Kaiser's executive profits first.

So I thank you for listening to this. I thank you for listening to these comments, and for your work in

advocating, your continued work in advocating for public sector workers throughout California, and for your time and attention to this matter. Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you. We have one more speaker on the phone, I believe

CALPERS STAFF: Yes. Next, we have Bobby Roy to speak on Item 2. Bobby, you are now live and can proceed with your comments.

BOBBY ROY: Thank you. Bobby Roy. For identification purposes, I am also a State employee with the California Department of Education. I -- add me to the list of people that wanted to give kudos to Dr. Moulds during the Congressional hearing and the presentation there. I really was -- I found it enlightening and insightful. I'm really glad that you're on our side. And I know I was bragging on you and sharing it with other folks within the retirement security committee at SEIU Local 1000 in order to make sure that those talking points and the points you made were shared and that they get spread throughout our organization. So kudos and thank you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you. Since they allowed speakers on -- thank you very much. You can go sit down.

Since I allowed speakers on Item 2, I want to extend the same courtesy to -- too many names now -- to

Sara Granda, if she wants to speak right now, if you would like or you can wait.

1.3

2.2

SARA GRANDA: I thought I was speaking after Item 6.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Okay. Thank you. All right. Thank you. We do have one last speaker on item 2, Keith Umemoto wanted to speak on this item.

KEITH UMEMOTO: Am I doing this right? Yes.

Keith Umemoto California State Right -- Retirees. I just wanted to thank the CalPERS staff on the transition -- at least up to this point, the transition from OptumRx to Caremark, in particular, when a lot of our members and lot of your members received the letter from OptumRx on terminating their current relationship with Optum, they immediately sent a blast email that we forwarded to our members. So that was really appreciated.

At the same time, I think they are so well prepared. And as the transition evolves with Caremark in January, I'm sure there will be a lot more questions, and I'm sure your staff is well aware and will be prepared for that. And hopefully, they can influence Caremark, as well as OptumRx, to be prepared for all those avalanches of calls. So thank you very much.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Keith. Now, we'll proceed to the action consent items.

```
COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Move it.
1
             COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Second.
2
 3
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Okay. It's moved by Trustee
    Pacheco, seconded by President Taylor.
 4
             All those in favor?
5
             (Ayes.)
 6
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: The ayes have it. We don't
7
8
   need a roll call, right?
9
             Oh, okay. We need to do it formally.
             Okay. All those in famers -- all those in favor,
10
11
    say aye?
             (Ayes.)
12
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Any opposed?
1.3
             No.
14
             Any abstentions?
15
16
             The ayes have it. The item passes.
             Both items pass. Now, we'll move on to
17
    information consent items. I have got no notice from
18
    anybody to with -- to pull any items, so they're accepted.
19
20
             Okay. Now, we go to the action items starting
   with 5a, Health Benefits Program proposals for the 2027
21
2.2
   plan year. Rob Jarzombek.
             HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
23
    CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Jarzombek.
24
25
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: And Dr. Logan. Sorry about
```

that.

2.2

(Slide presentation).

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: That's all right.

Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. Rob Jarzombek, CalPERS team member.

This is Agenda Item 5a, approval of the Health Benefits Program proposals for the 2027 plan year. This is an action item.

As background, this agenda item is part of our process to consider a variety of changes to our program for the upcoming plan year before the formal rate development process begins.

We do this so that everyone knows what, if anything, is changing for the next plan year before any rates are developed. The types of changes we consider in this process are adding a new Basic or Medicare plan, incorporating a new Health Benefit Program, making a benefit design change or a product change, and lastly, changing a current plan's service area.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Over the next few slides, I'll walk

through the timeline and the recommendations we're

proposing for your approval. I'll also provide an update

on SB 729, which requires CalPERS Basic HMO plans provide coverage for the diagnosis and treatment of infertility effective July 1st, 2027.

And finally, I'll go over next steps.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: All year long, the Calpers Health team
consider ways to further enhance our program. We also
seek input from the plans to gain their perspectives on
our ideas. The approved items will be incorporated into
the rate development process in the spring for changes to
take effect on January 1st, 2027. While we typically
bring all proposals to you in this November, there may be
others that present themselves before next spring. If
this is the case, we'll return to you early next year
should there be anything additional to consider for the
2027 plan year.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: To align with our strategic goal, we
develop proposals that improve health care quality,
increase equity, and maintain affordability. We also work
closely with the plans on proposals that improve access to
care for our members, especially those in underserved
areas lacking affordable HMO options. Let's begin with a

product change for Blue Shield's Medicare Advantage plan.
[SLIDE CHANGE]

2.2

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Blue Shield's current Medicare Advantage
plan is one that combines medical coverage and
prescription drug coverage in a single plan. We recommend
separating the prescription drug plan from the medical
portion of the plan to potentially receive a higher
reimbursement amount from the Centers for Medicaid -Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS. This is because
with separate medical and pharmacy plans, the pharmacy
risk score is Calculated differently than when combined.

The idea of separating the two from each other is that the individual pharmacy risk score would use a different calculation and would generate additional CMS reimbursement beyond what is received under the current combined plan. This potentially higher reimbursement amount would go towards reducing premiums.

If this change were to move forward, members would receive two separate ID cards instead of one. One ID care would be for medical benefits, and the second card would be for pharmacy benefits. This two-card approach is what has been in place for many years in our PERS Gold and PERS Platinum Medicare Supplemental plans, as well as for those members enrolled in Anthem's Medicare Advantage

plan. There have not been any issues associated with members using two cards for their benefits.

2.2

Other than having two cards, there would not be any other changes for members, meaning the copays, benefits, and formulary would all remain the same. Should this be approved, we will work through the details with Blue Shield during the upcoming rate development process.

Next is a recommendation about Blue Shield's EPO plan.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: To further increase access for members,
we recommend expanding Blue Shield's exclusive provider
organization plan to the remaining California zip codes
that do not have an HMO option. Previously, you approved
Blue Shield to expand their EPO plan into counties that
did not have an HMO option. And this occurred in 2022 and
2023. However, there are still 51 zip codes in California
where only the Basic PPO plans remain available to
members.

These zip codes are largely in the rural north, but there are four zip codes in San Bernardino County that also do not have an option other than the PPOs. At this time, Blue Shield does not anticipate an impact to EPO premiums due to this expansion. However, if there is an

impact, we'll report it out to you during the rate development process, should this be approved.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Next is Kaiser. As we shared in the
September meeting, Kaiser Permanente and Renown Health
have entered and agreement to jointly own and operate a
health plan and new patient care delivery system that will
bring Kaiser Permanente to northwestern Nevada in 2027.

To further increase access for our members, we recommend expanding CalPERS service area for Kaiser's Basic HMO and Medicare Advantage plans into northwestern Nevada. At this time, both Basic and Medicare plans are being pursued, and the expansions are pending regulatory approval. We expect the regulatory approval to be received and completed next year.

Once the respect regulatory organizations have completed their reviews, we will know what the exact service area will be. We will communicate the service area out once known.

Finally, there's an update on SB 729.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: As I mentioned earlier, SB 729 requires

Calpers Basic HMO plans provide coverage for the diagnosis

and treatment of infertility effective July 1st, 2027. This past summer, we requested proposed pricing and Implementation information from the health plans and fertility vendors.

1.3

2.2

It's important to clarify that SB 729 does not apply to our PPO plans. However, we are evaluating our options for the PPO plans as well. We expect the implementation of SB 729 to impact premiums and are exploring all options to ensure a cost-effective and impactful benefit. There is considerable uncertainty with the benefits and costs for a couple reasons. First, we are awaiting final guidance from the Department of Managed Health Care, or DMHC, to understand the specifics around what is to be covered under the fertility benefit. Once we have final DMHC guidance, we can move forward with our final negotiations with the plans and vendors.

Second is that the experience with adding and providing IVF benefits is playing out in real time. Several other purchasers across the country are implementing fertility benefits. And while we are learning from their experience, it is difficult to predict our utilization and cost based on their unique fertility a benefits and utilization. We continue to monitor other purchasers' experiences to help inform the impacts to our premiums and to better understand how pent up demand for

these services will impact utilization and access in the initial years.

2.2

Implementing the HMO benefit first, as required by State law, will also allow us to apply lessons learned to a potential PPO implementation of the fertility benefits, as well as make improvements to the HMO benefit as needed. Any proposed implementation for the PPOs would be brought to you in the future for your consideration and approval.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Here is a quick recap of the three
proposals we recommend for approval. First is separating
Blue Shield's prescription drug plan from its Medicare
Advantage plan, second is expanding Blue Shield's EPO plan
into the California zip codes that do not have an HMO
option, and third is expanding Kaiser's Basic and Medicare
plans into northwestern Nevada.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Upon the Committee's approval we'll incorporate the approved changes into the rate development process and lay out the necessary implementation activities. We will communicate the plan expansions and product change to members in advance of and during open

enrollment.

1.3

2.2

This concludes the presentation and we're happy to take any questions.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you for the presentation. Any questions from the Committee?

We will start with Trustee Yvonne Walker.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WALKER: Thank you. When we talk about the rate development process, is there a high level thing to go over, like, you know, what is actually tails. I get that asked a lot, and it would be helpful if we could get that out there to have a little more understanding.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yes, we can prepare something. We have -- we can provide a presentation on this before we go, put we can put some talking points together, so you can have that to refer to.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WALKER: You turned it off. (Laughter).

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: I'm sorry. I still -- how many years have I been doing this and still can't work the button. We provide a broad overview ahead of the rate development process every year, but, you know, I think the question is what would be -- I mean, we're happy to take this offline, but what other materials would

be helpful and what places would -- you know, where would it be helpful to put that information forward, but certainly happy to do it.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WALKER: Cool. Okay. Yeah, we'll talk.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

Ms. Willette. Trustee Willette, please.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Okay. Thank you,
Chair. Thank you, Board members. I just wanted to
discuss -- we heard the testimony today about the Kaiser
strike and I do have some questions about Kaiser. I'm in
favor of the approved changes though for this Board item,
but I do know that because Kaiser is a closed system, and
our members with Kaiser coverage can't go anywhere else,
how did the Kaiser -- how did Kaiser compensate our
members for disruptions in their care during that strike?

Of any specific compensation. We're not party to the negotiations, so we don't see all the details. Kaiser communicates with us ahead of these things, but that was not part of a conversation.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: So did Kaiser, in any way, as the plan sponsor compensate CalPERS for the disruption in service to our members?

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: No. What

Kaiser -- what Kaiser did communicate to us is that they had an alternative plan in place, where, you know, in the event that there were -- that there were rescheduled or there was a need to reschedule that they had alternative sites available and were working with members to reschedule. I'm not aware of any cancellations. I -- what they communicated to us, if memory serves, is that the most significant delays were in Hawaii, not in California per se, and that they -- but that they were able to schedule in a timely way everything. I think there were some marginal impacts on pharmacy business as well.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Okay. I just think that it really puts this Board in bad position when Kaiser does not work with its workforce to resolve these issues. And Kaiser's value proposition from its founding has been centered on low cost, worker friendly labor practices. And I think that its prices should be more competitive than other health plans. But as we know, Kaiser is now among the top tier of expensive health plans. And they've had waves of labor unrest for a number of years now impacting our members and their access to care.

I think as we heard earlier, the workers, you know, who are on strike and who are looking for settlement are still the same COVID heroes we called out five years

ago. They did show up for our families, right? They show up for our seniors in our communities. And today, they're asking for really basic respect, safe staffing levels, and the ability to provide the care to our members that our members deserve, and. We know the high cost of turnover in health care. It's just enormous, not just financially, but on the human impact, the -- you know, we're talking in other sessions over the year about getting more people into the health care fields.

2.2

So that instability really does affect our CalPERS members who depend on the reliable high quality care. And I also -- you know, we're facing the backdrop of the HR 1 implications, which will threaten our health care systems further and just the long-term sustain -- sustainability, excuse me, of our health benefit plans.

So I'm really concerned, not just within our system on health care, but the effects on California's economy, right? We heard an economic report yesterday in the Investment Committee on those impacts. And when workers aren't treated well, it does push our entire economy down, lost wages, burnout, understaffed hospitals, delayed care. They all are a drag on our economic stability, and the quality of life in California, but the return that we depend on for our investments. I don't need to tell you all, striking workers don't buy cars.

Striking workers don't eat out or go on vacation and spend their money.

1.3

2.2

And so I'm wondering what steps have we taken to ensure that Kaiser is at the table and that they're working toward real solutions that will stabilize the workforce and our economy, that will restore trust and protect the health of our members.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: We have a long history of staying neutral in these kinds of disputes. Our members obviously have an interest in maintaining continuity of care, and these disruptions are not good for our members. There are many other considerations at play. The challenge here is that we are not party to these negotiations, so we do not have a lens into the particulars of the negotiations. And weighing in without that site line is difficult and potentially problematic.

COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Are there any actions that we can, as a Board, take to communicate the gravity of our concerns?

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: So we certainly work with Kaiser ahead of time to understand and make sure that there is a B plan in place, so that when there are disruptions, we both understand where our members are getting care, and we will kick the tires on that to make sure that we are comfortable that they are doing all the

things that they need to be doing.

1.3

2.2

appreciate the plan B and I suspect with bargaining not progressing, that we should anticipate more strikes coming, more workforce disruption. But I really would love us to work on plan A, like that's what we probably should be working on first is getting these workers to the -- you know, to work, and so then our members don't have to work -- worry about disruptions, reschedules, you know, alternative items. So I think I made my point, but thank you so much for the report. And I am in favor of the changes.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Trustee Willette. We'll have Jose Luis Pacheco, please, next.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Moulds and thank you, Rob, for your information. I wanted to go back to page seven of the -- of the report on the Kaiser Nevada Basic and MA process. The zip code -- I mean, what I was trying to understand is that this is still pending regulatory approval. The exact service area is still being determined. Are we determining -- are we still working out the zip codes that are going to be -- that are going to be applied?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yes, so we're still working out the zip

codes. So there's two different approvals that are being pursued. The first one is for the Basic plan. So the Basic plan needs to get approval from the Division of Insurance in Nevada. So it's basically the DMHC equivalent in the State of Nevada to determine the Basic plan service area. So they are doing that.

2.2

And then CMS is going to determine the Medicare Advantage service area. And so it's possible that there could be two different service areas. CMS typically approves service areas when it's a full county service area and not just a partial county with partial zip codes. And so that, we have a wait to be -- we need to wait for those things -- two things to play out. So it could be two different service areas, depending on what each of those regulatory entities ultimately approves.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I see. And with respect -- with respect to the persons that -- this applies to people that actually live in Nevada, right?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: The -- yeah, so eligibility is based off of a person's zip code. So for an active person, it's based off of their home zip code or their work address.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Um-hmm.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Then for our retiree or person not

working, it's only based off of their home address.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: So if they were -- if they were -- let's say they were working on the other side of the state, like let's say along, like in Susanville, the area up in that -- very rural areas of the -- of the northeastern part of California, they would be able to have access, if they -- if they lived on the other side, on the northwestern side of Nevada?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Correct. If they had a zip code -- their home zip code let's say was in -- on -- within the area that will be approved, then they could be eligible for Kaiser based off of that zip code.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And the rates would be applic -- the rates would be determined at the -- at Nevada's rates, not California's rates?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: So we're still working out what the
accurate will be. So right now, with Kaiser, Kaiser has
out-of-state regions that have a different rate than the
California rate. It's a higher rate. And so we're
working with Kaiser to determine which rate they will use.
Will it be the out-of-state right that they use currently,
will it be the California rate, that is the one we have
here for Calpers members, in California, or will it be

something, its own rate, that might be something in between or just different.

1.3

2.2

So those will all be fleshed out during the rate development process. And then after they get the regulatory approvals as well.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay. And this is a question for actually Dr. Logan. I'd like to ask you a question. Given that situation, are we -- are we going to still be maintaining the same level of clinical outcomes, you know, the same ones in California will be in Nevada and so forth. Will we have synergy?

CHIEF CLINICAL DIRECTOR LOGAN: Yes. So they will be held to the same standard for the Quality Alignment Measure Set and those performance measures, as the rest of our book of business across the HMOs. So, yes, that same high level of quality.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And we'll be working with their -- the equivalent of their Department of Managed Care, I mean, or their partners in the State of Nevada?

CHIEF CLINICAL DIRECTOR LOGAN: On the quality side, that would really stay within Kaiser and CalPERS, in terms of monitoring quality, for the -- for what we're holding them accountable for --

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, I see.

CHIEF CLINICAL DIRECTOR LOGAN: -- among the Quality Alignment Measure Set.

1.3

2.2

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: Yeah. Mr. Pacheco, those are our requirements. They're not the State of California's requirements.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, I see.

Dr. Logan mentioned, we hold all of our HMOs, regardless of where they are providing service, to those standards. We wouldn't envision a lot of interaction with the State of Nevada on this, but it's certainly something where if there was an issue that would arise, we could reach out to them. But all of -- the extensive quality requirements that we have in place are contractual --

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Right.

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: -- and so they're ours.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Excellent then. Thank you very much for that. And the other question is, the other one is the separation, as you mentioned, on the Blue Shield Medicare Advantage, separating the Medicare with the pharmacy -- the pharmaceutical drug plan. And you said because we're going to be able to get higher reimbursement from CMS. Is that -- is that something that we will see as we move on in the years to come?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: So that is what the idea behind splitting these -- separating them from each other is. will see that hope -- we will hopefully see that for the first year. We don't know how if that will be a continued -- a continued trend, multiple year over year. This is a fairly new -- it's a new idea on how to approach this. And so we'll see how it pans out over time. does frequently make changes to their processes and their reimbursement amount, as we saw through the Inflation Reduction Act. So it's just like something else could happen that it doesn't play out for multiple years in this scenario, but we think it should play out positively for our members for 2027.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: For this particular 16 year.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Correct.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: But, of course, anything with respect to the federal government could change --

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: -- the whole -- the whole equation and calculus.

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay. Very good then.

And that's all. And I also support this as well. Thank

you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Mr. Pacheco.

Mr. Frank Ruffino.

2.2

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Am I on? Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Yes. Please proceed.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: I'm happy to wait until the rest of Committee asks questions. I have a -- CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Vice Chair Palkki will follow you. Go ahead, please.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: I'm good. Okay.

All right. Thanks again, Mr. Chair, and thank you, staff, for the presentation.

I've got a question -- more of a broader question about risk management. And so, you know, looking forward, right, looking ahead, and even looking at the proposal that we have before us, I'm curious how is staff evaluating the risk of any future labor dispute, whether it's Kaiser or any other of our other plans, and specifically is there an expectation -- is CalPERS -- what expectation is CalPERS setting with our health plan

partners to ensure continuity of care for our members during any future work stoppage or similar events.

1.3

2.2

mentioned to -- or as I responded to Mr. -- Ms. Willette's question, we -- any time that we are aware of a potential dispute, we engage the plan that is party to that dispute to talk through their continuity plans, to ensure that our members will have access to care during that time, and that it is as seamless as possible. That is the conversation that takes place.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Is that just at a -- for clarification, is that part of the contracts when we negotiate or is that an after -- practice that we do after --

CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: This is a great questions. Is it part of our contract?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION
CHIEF JARZOMBEK: So it is not necessarily part of the
contract, but that is our policy. So we have the policy
that Don is referring, where members are not to be put in
the middle. And so we do enforce that every time this
comes up, making sure that we're getting a good deal for
our members. We want -- we want the plans to get a good
deal, because we all are concerned with the rising health
care cost, but we also want to make sure that it's a fair

deal, and also that -- make sure that our members aren't put in the middle and aren't used as bargaining chips.

2.2

So that's really our charge to them, how they -how they handle that, they take that seriously. But
sometimes it does put members in the middle where there is
some uncertainty when there is a termination at times with
that provider contract.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: That's great to hear. And it's great for our members to know, you know, that we have, not necessarily during the contract negotiation, but we have a policy that ensures, you know, the continuity of care. I think that's very important. Thank you for clarifying that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Mr. Ruffino. Kevin Palkki, please.

VICE CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you. So easy questions. I promise. So just to clarify, the effects of the expansions would start in January of '27 or would there be like a '26-'27?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF JARZOMBEK: So all of the three -- the two expansions we have here today are all for 1-1-2027. So nothing would be happening in 2026. That is all for 2027 plan year.

VICE CHAIR PALKKI: Okay. And then the two card

idea, I know there's individuals that like the physical card and then there's younger generation that wants everything on their phone. Do they have that option to put their cards on their phone?

HEALTH PLAN RESEARCH & ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

CHIEF JARZOMBEK: Yeah, so the option will be the same as they are today. So today everyone gets a hard copy of their ID cards, if they haven't opted out for the hard copy. And so they still have the digital options as well. So that will still be -- all of that will remain the same.

VICE CHAIR PALKKI: Great. Thank you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: I'd like to thank the Committee for their questions. It was a robust discussion. And thank you, Rob Jarzombek and Dr. Logan.

So that concludes -- oh, we need a motion. We need a motion. This is --

VICE CHAIR PALKKI: I'll move approval.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Vice Chair Palkki moves to -the recommendation for the plan changes for 20 -proposals for plan year to 2027.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I'll second it.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Second by Mr. Pacheco.

All in favor say aye?

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: You do have public comment

25 | for this item.

2.2

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Oh, we have public comment for this item. Yes, we do. Thank you. We'll have Larry Woodson please come forward,

2.2

LARRY WOODSON: After I was going way.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: I apologize. You were so regular here every week -- every month and now I feel like you're a special guest.

LARRY WOODSON: No real objections at all, but I did request four minutes, if I could. I think I can do it in three and a half.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Three and a half is fine.

LARRY WOODSON: Okay. Good morning. Larry
Woodson California State approach -- Retirees. It was a
good approach and good proposals. I would like to add
one. I hope that in 2027, you will take action to
minimize the harm of PE to health care. Your heard my
comments yesterday at Investment Committee. My request
for an extra two minutes then was denied. So today, I'm
going to cover some of what I had to leave out and repeat
some.

The Board members voted unanimously to approve the staff proposal regarding the TPA, total portfolio approach. However, the fact that it passed, in no way prevents the staff from lowering, rather than increasing, the PE target considerably, even down to three percent,

which I pointed out is how much the New Zealand Pension
Fund had in PE during the five years Mr. Gilmore was their
CIO. And, as I pointed out, in those five years, they
averaged 8.64 percent rate of return in difficult COVID
times compared to CalPERS 7 percent for the same period.
So we believe this does demonstrate that Mr. Gilmore and
CalPERS can do quite well without any PE investments.

2.2

But my focus today is private equity doing harm to our health care system. The nine-page report I submitted to the Board documents an explosion of PE acquisitions of health care in the last 15 years, doing great harm to the acquired companies, while making profit for themselves. PE acquisitions most notably of hospitals, nursing homes, rural health, doctors and dental practices, especially ER and dermatology, are skyrocketing. At least 386 hospitals are now owned by PE, comprising 30 percent of all for-profit hospitals in the U.S. I detailed the most egregious and extensive harm to health care to date, which involves the PE firm Cerberus Capital whose acquisition to Steward Health led to the closure of six hospitals and put 31 in bankruptcy.

I was able to document CalPERS invested nearly 480 mil in the very Cerberus fund that played a part in the demise of 37 hospitals in 10 states. Yesterday, mentioned the destructive MO of PE when they acquired a

company, but I didn't explain how their MO drivers hospitals, nursing homes, and -- et cetera, into bankruptcy.

First, they finance their acquisitions with debt. It's currently around 70 percent debt. They saddle the company with that debt. Second, they sell the real estate, which is owned by the hospitals and other health entities and lease it back to the acquired company, saddling them with years of lease payments. And the last is asset stripped. Almost immediately PE cuts staff, reduces needed supplies, and more, which further contributes to their path towards insolvency, not to mention the threat to patient safety and poor medical outcomes.

In conclusion, if the facts I've presented regarding PE's harm to health care cause you even half the concern they do me, I strongly encourage the Committee, who are also on investments, to question Investment staff regarding PE and move them towards PE divestment, particularly in health care. And I would hope that Don and the Health Benefits team will do the same.

Thank yo.

1.3

2.2

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

And it was 31 seconds.

(Laughter).

```
LARRY WOODSON: I was right.
1
2
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you very much.
             LARRY WOODSON: I went over a second.
 3
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Appreciate your comments.
             Now, we'll go back to the motion.
 5
             All those in favor?
 6
7
             (Ayes.)
8
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Any opposed?
             Any abstentions?
9
             The motion passes and you have your direction for
10
    2027. Thank you.
11
             Now, we'll proceed to information item -- Agenda
12
    item number 6, starting with Summary of Committee
1.3
14
    Direction.
             CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS:
15
                                            Mr. Chair, I did
16
   not record any Committee. Direction
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: I think we had that from --
17
    about the rate development material from Trustee Walker.
18
             CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS: Oh, I'm sorry.
19
20
         Yes. Yes. Apologies. Yes, we will be talking
    Yes.
    about rate development process materials and venues for
21
    said materials.
2.2
23
             CHAIR RUBALCAVA: I know at the Educational
    Forums, they always have some nice materials there also.
24
             CHIEF HEALTH DIRECTOR MOULDS:
25
```

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: So we can use it, recycle that.

Thank you. Now, we'll go to public comment and we'll start with Jared -- no. Sorry. We'll start with Sara Granda.

2.2

SARA GRANDA: I need to unplug from the wall and then I'll come down there.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Proceed whenever you are ready.

SARA GRANDA: Hi. So I submit -- I gave you guys the packet of information, so you could follow along with my public comment, which has kind of add to it, just given the discussion today.

What I provided you was the issue with PPO plan and the changes in, oh gosh, 2024 to 2025, and then now 2025 to 2026, because you guys are the only ones that review -- the Board is the only one that reviews these plans, right? Everyone else's plans are reviewed by DMHC or CDI, right, but not any of the self-funded plans. That's all done internally with approval. So I hope that makes sense.

I also can't see the timer for my comment when I started. Yeah, I only have two minutes now? But I didn't start the comment. I was just telling them why I was giving them these packets, but I can try to go.

So good afternoon, CalPERS members. My name is Sara Granda and I thank you for allowing me to speak.

I've listened today as several individuals have spoken highly of Dr. Donald Moulds. And I want to respectfully share that Dr. Moulds and I have a very different type of interaction, and, you know, relationship.

1.3

2.2

So in 2019, Dr. Moulds sent a letter disclosing my confidential medical status to an unauthorized third party, someone I never approved. So that action violated my privacy under California's CMIA, HIPAA, and the California Constitution. In the same letter, he referred to my care as an enhanced benefit, a term that fundamentally represents the legal nature of my agreement with Calpers.

So let me be clear. These services are not enhanced. They were subject to a binding 1998 mediation agreement, a contract entered into by CalPERS provide in-lieu-of care outside the standard evidence of coverage, because the regular plan could not meet my needs, so this was a matter of survival. And I'm here speaking as a matter of survival for a case that I need settled, that CalPERS is delaying.

And so CalPERS upheld this agreement for over close to three decade, but the in recent years, the agency has treated it as optional, making unilateral changes, failing to conduct annual reviews, and denying me procedural rights guaranteed under the ADA and Rehab Act,

right? These decisions have created escalating costs, but let's be honest, the cost is not caused by me or my medical condition. It's a result of CalPERS's own administrative design, layering vendors, bypassing collaborative review, and possibly misclassifying care providers in ways that risk violating California labor laws.

2.2

I'm about to wrap up, I promise. This matter is not just within CalPERS, but in the court as well, reflecting federal litigation. CalPERS omitted the 1998 agreement entirely from its summary judgment filing including the 2012 reassertion of these same benefits covering 24 hours of the -- of my nursing care. This is something Don Moulds remember that you omitted from your filings in the MSJ and your declaration that therefore should be, I guess, impeached, right?

So when CalPERS leaves out fundamental facts in both its administration and its legal defense, it places both legal and financial burdens on members like me, and ultimately on this Board. Today, I'm respectfully asking for the following acknowledge, that the 1998 agreement is binding to restoration of the annual care review. So it's a grade three and then to the mislabeling of services as enhanced benefits for accountability for the 199 -- the 2019 privacy breach, including Board level awareness, and

finally, that CalPERS recognized these costs are the result of its own framework not my care needs.

2.2

So this is about institutional responsibility and not about upholding a -- and about upholding a promise CalPERS made to a teenage girl over 25 years ago. So I am that girl and I kept my part of the agreement. I'm just asking you guys to do the same.

So I mean that's what pertains to today's comments. The other piece is that -- the EOC is now being eroded more, and more, and more. And that is a problem, but it's a problem that's only kept within the Board and you guys, because the Board is the only one who approves that, the EOC. So that's a big, big problem. I also have my nurse here who is a Kaiser nurse. And so that's also a challenge, and -- but these nurses here with me today, they don't have any like labor protections or scope of practice compliance that you guys can provide, so that makes it an estoppel argument that all of this extended negligence dates back to the collapse of your agreement.

So I mean, that's all I have to really say today is I just need you guys to settle this whole thing and not misrepresent my, you know, efforts in trying to desperately plead with you for my life, like over, and over, and over again. That's all. You know, I don't think anyone is going to answer any questions, but thanks

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: We thank you for your testimony and comments.

SARA GRANDA: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: We will now proceed with the rest of the public comment.

Jared Critchfield, please, and Tracy Hinman, you can come down.

JARED CRITCHFIELD: Good morning. Jared Critchfield, Superintendent of Schools for Amador County Office of Education and the Amador County Unified School District. We are what is referred to in education as single-single. So we are one school district that comprises the entire county of Amador. If you're not familiar with Amador County, we're not far from here. We're only about an hour from our District office. may be familiar with some of the other large employers in Amador County, Mule Creek State Prison. We have one of only four State Cal Fire training facilities in our county, and also the Jackson Rancheria Casino and Resort or maybe you've gone wine tasting in Shenandoah Valley, or perhaps gone up to the mountains of Silver Lake and Kirkwood Ski Resort.

And so we are new to CalPERS. So first this is just an acknowledgement and thank you for your partnership with us. We've been through much distress over health

care in our district and county, and we are new to you as of October 1st, just last month.

1.3

2.2

Some positives that I want to report is we appreciate the fact that you allow an unlimited number of opt-outs. That has been a priority for our employees. We have about 40 percent of our employees who do opt out of health care. As most Educational agencies struggle to hire employees, affordable health care and health care options is a -- is a huge priority.

And so I want to focus today my comments on your two strategic goals -- two of the strategic goals, access and affordability. And I don't want to get into the numbers. And I -- we deeply appreciate your approval of the EPO. We were on that list today that you just approved. Amador County for the EPO starting in 2027. However, for the majority of our employees they live in our county. Since we're rural, cover the entire county, it is hard to commute elsewhere.

And so the plans available for the majority of the county were just two, the Gold and the Platinum. And so the challenge with that, in Amador County is simple, without getting into the numbers. The Gold plan is affordable, but not accessible. Our own hospital -- we have one hospital in the county, Sutter/Amador, is not covered by the Gold plan. And so the Platinum plan is

accessible, but it's not affordable.

1.3

2.2

And so our request is that you continue to -that staff continue to consider looking at the Gold plan
and other options as we hope to have a long-standing
relationship with you, so that we can have a plan for our
employees that is both accessible and affordable. And I
want to thank you for your time, and in particular thank
the staff that we worked with in the transition. As it is
difficult in an organization of 600 employees to
transition over, the staff has been phenomenal in helping
us transition to your plan.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

Tracy Hinman, please.

TRACY HINMAN: I'm not sure. Oh, than you.

A little bit nervous. Thank you, Committee and thank you, Board, for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Tracy Hinman and I'm a teacher in Amador County.

And recently, our school did enter into agreement with CalPERS to provide health insurance for its employees. And your representatives, they were informed and they were helpful explaining the coverage. And our members were frankly devastated by the two options provided. Like you said, we had two options, Platinum or Gold. Some people can take Kaiser, but for most, due to

our address, it was only those two. And only one, the Platinum, your most expensive, has our local hospital in network.

2.2

So I ask the representatives what can I do about this? How do we get our local hospital in network? Why don't we have more options in our county? And I was told I could come here and speak today. So here I am. And I appreciate on the agenda that EPO plan was accepted for Amador County. Thank you. But I don't know the exact details of that plan. And if our local hospital is not part of that in-network plan, then the EPO is still not accessible and it's still not affordable for the more than likely urgent or emergency care that will happen.

Your strategic plan outlines quality, equity, affordability, and access. And I can't speak to the quality. I haven't used the insurance yet, but it is not -- as to the affordability, for families with the Platinum plan, the cost is \$52,000 a year, and on the Gold plan, it's \$34,000 in premiums. The median salary of our teaches is \$62,000 a year. So teaching is an ideal profession for families because of the shared hours and the time off, but you can't be expected to spend half or nearly all of your salary on health care.

Well, the employer should pay, you say. But our employer is a nonprofit public entity who gets their

budget from the State. Property taxes In Amador County don't yield the same funds as education -- for education in bigger cities. So our employer can't put 35,000 to 50,000 per employee for health insurance. And I'm referring to a teacher's wage. When you consider classified staff, bus drivers, para-educators, cafeteria workers, their median salary is 28,000. Even your single plan is -- for -- is 14 to 20 thousand dollars a year. So for Amador County your plan is not affordable.

1.3

2.2

Let's look at access. Many of our residents live up the mountain 20, 30, 40 minutes beyond our local hospital in Jackson. If our local hospital is not in-network, members have to travel an additional 40 to 60 minutes for care.

So here I am deciding to be part of the solution. Whom do I turn to? Who can I network to -- with? Who can we petition?

Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you for your comments.

Next, we'll have Robert Norton and Jeannie Jentzen.

ROBERT NORTON: Thank you, Board. My name is
Robert Norton, I'm the Chief Business Official for Amador
County Unified School District in Amador County Office of
Education.

Over the past year, our school district faced an extreme challenge. For reasons too lengthy to discuss in the time allotted, we transitioned to a self-insured health coverage model in October of 2023. And by the of the school year, our District was running significant deficits due to nationally increasing costs of health care and our own millions of dollars in overages stemming from excess health insurance claims.

1.3

2.2

Once we grasped the untenable financial risk of our District's liability, we spent the better part of last school year reviewing a multitude of models and exit strategies to leave our school district con -- our self-insured contract and determine our next steps in tackling our financial woes. However with each of the vendors that we approached, we heard three words, "Decline to quote, decline to quote." Three simple words, but the impact of these was life changing.

Due to our years as a self-insured entity, any prospective health insurance provider is afforded the ability to look at our past claims history.

Unfortunately, the main reason or liability was so costly, was a simple fact that our high number of claims that we had. Therefore, prospective groups deemed our teachers, administrators, and everybody that educates our youth as too risky to ensure.

Well, with that said, I expressed a sincere thank you to the Board and CalPERS health overall for allowing us to join with CalPERS Health. Regardless of the number of claims or risks, your group allows anyone to join on. And you provided us a way out of the deficit and providing health insurance to our valuable team members as well.

2.2

But frankly, CalPERS was not our first choice. You literally were our only option. And that, more than anything tells the story of how broken the insurance market is for entities like ours. Whereas, CalPERS offers many regional benefits, we've experience limited options in terms of practicality and costs. And some examples is in our zip code, we only have the two plans available. In our district, we have just the CalPERS Gold and the CalPERS Platinum.

These are the only available ones for all but two of our zip codes in our county. And on the surface, the two plans are fine. The Platinum plan provides the best coverage at a steep cost for our families at literally thousands of dollars a month, so you're trading basically the great cost and everything else there. But the CalPERS Gold, whereas more affordable, by no means is exceptional. As has been stressed here just a minute ago, it is not available at our local hospital and you have to do a 30 minute drive just to get basic health care coverage.

So with that, my appeal today is for more health care options for Amador County on behalf of our school district. In addition, we hope that we can work together to review our current access issues and high cost of premiums. On the agenda today was a great first step with the addition of the EPO plan for our county. So thank you very much for that. However, we desperately need, and more specifically, is Sutter Health to be added to our school district's Gold plan. By including the EPO, and especially by negotiating with Sutter Health component, you will not just be fixing our health plan, you'll be making good on your goal to ensuring your members have access to care where they need it, and you will secure health and stability for those shaping the youth of Amador County for years to come. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JEANNIE JENTZEN: Hi. Good morning, members of Calpers Board. My name is Jeannie Jentzen and I am the President of the Amador County Teachers Association. As of October 1st, 2025, our district and members have contracted with Calpers for our health care coverage. I'm speaking today on behalf of myself, our educators, and the families we serve in Amador County.

Our top concern is access to affordable health care, care that is both financially attainable and locally

available. Our educators and their families would benefit from plan options that include local, in-network doctors, specialists, and hospitals. Right now, that is not the case. In our rural community, the CalPERS Gold plan does not include in its network the Sutter Amador Hospital, our only local hospital. This leaves our members without access to emergency care close to home.

1.3

2.2

When a child is hurt or an Amador County school's employee needs urgent treatment, being told that they nearest in-network hospital is 60 miles away is not only unreasonable, it is unsafe. We are asking you to change your offerings to include local, affordable options, and to let Gold truly be of benefit to us. As it stands, it fails to meet the needs of the people it is supposed to serve.

The combination of limited plan choices and unlimited out-of-network costs is forcing impossible decisions. Our district has stretched its budget to help make these health care options even somewhat accessible, but the financial strain is immense on both the district and our employees. This burden directly affects our ability to attract and retain qualified educators, something critical for the success of our students and schools.

Change is hard but the options before us make the

fear of change even greater. Many of our employees are delaying or avoiding medical care, not because they don't need it, but because they can't afford the hardship that comes with it.

1.3

2.2

The premiums alone are overwhelming and that's before anyone even sees a doctor. A teacher with a partner earning \$60,000 a year without any district contribution pays 40,000 -- 400 -- \$40,083 dollars annually for the CalPERS Platinum plan. That leaves less than \$20,000 for the entire year about \$1,659 a month to cover all other living expenses. In order to make these plans even remotely attainable, the district's contribution must be extremely high, which in turn diverts essential resources away from our classrooms and our students. In rural areas like ours, we simply cannot compete with urban salaries or benefits, but what we should be able to expect is equitable access to health care.

We are not asking for luxury. We are asking for fairness, for coverage that recognizes the realities of rural California, and supports the educators and public employees who serve our communities. Please make local affordable health care access a priority in our -- in your offerings. Let the Gold plan and all CalPERS plans truly serve the people they are meant to protect within our

communities.

2.2

Thank you for your time and your commitment to public service. But regarding the EPO, as Tracy said, if the doctors and hospital are not in network, it isn't possibly a benefit to us as well. So please consider that.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

JEANNIE JENTZEN: Thank you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Next, we'll have Carrie Marrama and Bonnie Gale, please, if you could come down.

CARRIE MARRAMA: Good afternoon. My name is

Carrie Marrama. I'm a medical fragile para-professional

for Amador County Office of Education.

I just wanted to speak today to let you all know the stress and the financial struggles that this insurance change has brought to me and my family. Due to the change of the insurance to CalPERS, provided plans has had a huge incurred cost. Due to the outrageous cost of the premiums, I had to remove my husband who has major disabilities, in order to avoid owing the school district money at the end of my hard working month. Approximately \$600 I would owe my job to work for them to keep the insurance.

Does this make any logical sense or seem fair to anybody? This is also happening to many of my co-workers

throughout the whole district. They seem to be facing the same problems. With the removal of him from my policy, we are now paying the outrageous cost of his medications, which is approximately \$1,100 a month, because he doesn't have insurance at all. And that is only for one medication. He takes nine.

Our doctor copays are much higher. The medication costs are significantly higher for myself. The medication that I personally have been taking for nine years with extremely detailed medical records have been denied by your CalPERS plan by people that -- who have never met me and do not know my medical needs. They refuse to acknowledge my health care records. And every appeal my doctor has submitted has been denied, because they say my medication is not medically necessary.

I apologize. I have heard this from multiple of my co-workers having the same problems with their medications they've been on for many years being denied. I hope today that you guys will listen and help us somehow. It is not fair that we all work hard for our paychecks every month and we need affordable health care. It is vital that we can -- we provide care to help and educate our most disabled and medically fragile students. Please address this issue.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

2.2

BONNIE GALE: Good afternoon. My name is Bonnie Gale and I am here today on behalf of Amador County Office of Education, most especially the special educators of Amador County. We educate and serve students with extensive supports needs. I am here today on behalf of my colleagues and partners in education.

According to your strategic goals, you aim to provide affordable, equitable, high quality access to health care. We are a small rural community and CalPERS does not offer a provision of HMO coverage. We only have access to two plans, the Gold or Platinum PPO. I want to highlight the affordability and accessibility issues with the plans available to us.

The average Salary in Amador County is 62,000 a year. Many of us are on an 11-month pay plan. This equates to approximately 5,600 per month pre-tax. For a family choosing coverage for three or more family members, as stated above, we have only access to two costly PPO options. The premium, very costly Platinum, option is the only plan, as referred to before, that provides access to our local hospital. For our Platinum family plan, the premium is an astronomical \$4,342 a month.

This is approximately 78 percent of our take-home pay. Additionally, for the Gold plan, the monthly premium

is \$2,913. This is approximately 52 percent of our take-home pay. With these premiums, how can we afford health care and the expenses that having a home and raising a family incur.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We implore you to work towards providing a more accessible health care options. Amador County educators have faced and continue to face life-altering choices to afford astronomical premiums as Superintendent Critchfield mentioned, 40 percent of our employee have had to opt out of coverage or opt in for only single coverage, because the options are so costly. One of my colleagues had to make the painful decision to sell her home to cover the astronomical cost of her health care premiums. children have medical health -- medical conditions. Others in our district are and will be resigning from their jobs to pursue other avenues of employment. cannot remain in the education sector. Others are finding second jobs. Many of my district receive assistance from our local food bank despite working full-time jobs. For Amador County, these rates are not representative of affordable, equitable, high-quality access to health care.

Residents of rural areas must have affordable health coverage and access to the local physicians and hospitals. Please prioritize and include Sutter Health in your health care options. Thank you.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

2.2

Next, we'll have Mathew Montgomery, please, and C.T. Weber.

MATHEW MONTGOMERY: Good morning, members of the Board. My name is Matt Montgomery. I'm an SEIU Local 1000 member. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today about the cost-related impacts of the PERS Gold and Platinum PPO plans, as well as the access challenges created by the EPO plan.

While these plans provide important coverage, their current structure creates significant financial and access barriers for many employees and their families, which do meet the expectations of the members. These challenges are even more severe for members who live several states away, where PERS Platinum is the only available insurance option, creating a clear inequity for those who have no meaningful choice in their coverage.

The maximum out of pocket costs in both PPO plans place a heavy burden on the members. With the Gold plan, members can pay up to \$6,000 out of pocket per family for in-network care. In the Platinum plan, the limit is -- the limits are 4,000 per family. This still doesn't take into account the completely separate pharmacy out-of-pocket maximum. To reach these high limits, members face a 20 percent coinsurance in the Gold plan and

a 10 percent in the Platinum for many major services. When this applies to imaging, outpatient procedures, specialist visits, and -- or hospital care, even those percentages translate into hundreds or thousands of dollars. Case in point, my wife had a CT guided pain management procedure that was \$17,700. Under the Platinum and Gold plans, this costs out of pocket \$1,770 or 3,540 dollars.

2.2

But the most concerning risk is that neither the Gold or Platinum plans has an out-of-pocket maximum for non-preferred providers. When members must seek out-of-network care, because specialists are unavailable locally, appointments are months out or hospital-based providers are out of network, despite the facility being in network, they are exposed to unlimited medical bills. This is one of the most dangerous gaps in the current plan design.

Shifting to the EPO plan, members in Northern
California face an additional layer of barriers. The EPO
covers only in-state, in-network providers, and Northern
California already has limited provider availability. As
a result, many members must travel long distances,
sometimes ours to reach an in-network facility. They
cannot seek care in Oregon or Nevada, even when those
providers are significantly closer. This leads to reduced

access, higher travel, lodging, food, and child care costs, along with delayed care, placing a disproportionate burden on rural members.

2.2

In summary, the combination of high out-of-pocket limits, unlimited out-of-network exposure, and severe access barriers under the plans creates an unsustainable situation for many employees. I respectfully urge the Board to consider adjustments to improve affordability, strengthen protections, and ensure equitable access across the state.

Thank you for your time and for your continued commitment to supporting CalPERS members.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

C.T. WEBER: C.T. Weber. I'm speaking as a 40 plus year member of CalPERS, not representing anybody.

Just addressing some concerns I have.

One, you've heard all of the concerns about the high cost and inaccessibility of health care. I'd just like to say that's probably one of the reasons I think that we seriously need for a deteriorating health care system a single payer system in California to help us.

The other thing -- concern I wanted to raise was my concern on the pension itself. Like I said, I've been a member for 40 years and I've seen what I think is an erosion in the stability. I think there's a lack of

fiduciary responsibility in some ways. And I think that the private equity is really sort of damaging and taking over some things, so I'm very concerned.

These are just concerns I'm expressing. I hope that you look at them a little more carefully and find a way to address them in the future. Thank you very much.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you. We have two callers.

Please proceed.

1.3

2.2

CALPERS STAFF: Yes, Chair Rubalcava. We have Greg Wall with Amador County Teachers Association commenting on Item 6b. Greg, you are now live and can proceed with your comments.

and I am the Amador County Teachers' Association Vice
President. I'm calling in to lend my voice to a
collective effort to seek the Board's consideration of an
action that would not only benefit our small county, but
would also further CalPERS' efforts to align their
strategic goals of quality, access, affordability, and
equity. I understand you have a large agenda, so I will
try to be as brief as possible.

A number of our members face a torturous decision that may be related to the ancient legend of King Gordias. Yes, if you can't tell, I am a history teacher. He

supposedly tied the knot in such a complex way that it could not be undone. In our county, families must either choose the most expensive plan that it takes an average of 50 percent of their take-home pay to cover a monthly, in order to have our local hospitals, doctors, and services in-network, or chose the other only plan, where in-network services lie in Sacramento or Roseville, each approximately an hour away.

1.3

2.2

So to push the historical analogy further, it was Alexander the Great that solved the Gordian knot by slicing through it with his mighty sword. A swift and definitive solution is also what is being asked of this Board to consider. Please consider allowing our local hospital, Sutter Amador, to be covered as in-network for the Gold plan, in addition to the Platinum plan.

This would allow high quality care to be accessible, more affordable, and provide more equitable coverage. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

And we have one more call.

CALPERS STAFF: Next, we have Bobby Roy to comment on 6b. Bobby, you are now live and proceed with your comments.

BOBBY ROY: Here at CalPERS. Oh, good afternoon.

My name is Bobby Roy. I'm a 19-year CalPERS enrollee. As

a State worker at the California Department of Education, and I am ensured United Health Care, which may be relevant, in my testimony. My spouse, who says flu before you Boo, and I went in for flu and covid vaccinations last month. I, having an underlying health condition, was easily able to get my COVID vaccine. My spouse, however, was told by their provider, that they were too healthy to get it.

1.3

2.2

This concerns me, because Dr. Logan and others in previous meetings talked about AB 144 and the baseline vaccine recommendations from the Department of Public Health and other expert groups and how they differ from the current FDA recommendations.

I worry that this is a more systemic issue than a one-off experience that we experienced, and that insurers, providers, and/or organizations they work for are not fulfilling the intent of AB 144. What makes this more concerning is that my spouse is a pediatrician that sees newborns through adults, some who are caught up on their vaccine schedules, some who are not, some who neither seek to be.

My request is that CalPERS work with partners to ensure that health plan participants can get the recommended vaccines in accordance with AB 144 and the recommendations from the Department of Public Health and

the other experts. 1 Thank you for your time and attention to this. 2 CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you. 3 That concludes our public comments. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Chair Rubalcava, 5 we will follow up with this caller --6 CHAIR RUBALCAVA: 7 Thank you. 8 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: -- and get more 9 details on their experience. CHAIR RUBALCAVA: Thank you very much. 10 So this concludes our public comment period. 11 now we adjourn the meeting into lunch. 12 Audit would be after lunch, but what time? 1.3 1:15. 14 One o'clock or 1:15, which one? 15 16 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: It's your last committee of the day. 17 CHAIR RUBALCAVA: So okay, 1 o'clock. So we're 18 adjourned. 19 20 Thank you. (Thereupon California Public Employees' 21 Retirement System, Pension and Health Benefits 2.2 23 Committee open session meeting adjourned at 12:17 p.m.) 24 25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration, Pension and Health Benefits Committee open session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 25th day of November, 2025.

James &

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR Certified Shorthand Reporter License No. 10063