MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

FECKNER AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2025 9:02 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Kevin Palkki, Chair
Mullissa Willette, Vice Chair
Lisa Middleton
David Miller
Jose Luis Pacheco
Ramón Rubalcava

BOARD MEMBERS:

Theresa Taylor, President

STAFF:

Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer
Kim Malm, Deputy Executive Officer
Michele Nix, Chief Financial Officer
Scott Terando, Chief Actuary
Fritzie Archuleta, Deputy Chief Actuary
Robert Carlin, Senior Attorney
Dave Clement, Supervising Actuary
Jennifer Hafner, Initiative Director
Robert Paterson, Investment Director
Janie Rajasuncy, Controller

APPEARANCES CONTINUED
STAFF:
Will Schaafsma, Chief, Financial Planning, Policy, and Budgeting Division
Emily Zhong, Supervising Health Actuary

	INDEX	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Executive Report - Michele Nix	1
3.	Action Consent Items - Michele Nix a. Approval of the November 18, 2025, Finance and Administration Committee Timed Agenda b. Approval of the September 16, 2025, Finance and Administration Committee Meeting Minutes c. Semi-Annual Contracting Prospective Report d. Contracts Administration: Affirmation of Investment Contracts Without Defined Duration	3
4.	 Information Consent Items - Michele Nix a. Annual Calendar Review b. Draft Agenda for the February 2026 Finance and Administration Committee Meeting c. Pension Contract Management Program Report d. Annual Contract and Procurement Activity Report 	3
5.	Action Agenda Items a. 2025-26 Mid-Year Budget Revisions - Michele Nix, Will Schaafsma b. 2024-25 Basic Financial Statements - Michele Nix, Janie Rajasuncy c. Asset Liability Management: Second Reading PERF Actuarial Assumptions - Scott Terando, Fritzie Archuleta, David Clement d. Annual Discharge of Accounts Receivable - Michele Nix, Kim Malm	4 10 19 34
6.	 Information Agenda Items a. Semi-Annual Health Plan Financial Report - Emily Zhong b. Modernizing Investment Data and Technology Update - Robert Paterson, Jennifer Hafner c. Summary of Committee Direction - Michele Nix d. Public Comment 	3 9 4 5 5 8 5 8
7.	Adjournment of Meeting	59
Reporter's Certificate		

PROCEEDINGS CHAIR PALKKI: I'd like to call the Finance and 2 Administration Committee to order. Can we please have the 3 roll call. 4 BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Kevin Palkki. 5 CHAIR PALKKI: Good morning. 6 BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Mullissa Willette. 7 8 VICE CHAIR WILLETTE: Here. 9 BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Frank Ruffino for Fiona 10 Ma. Lisa Middleton. 11 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Present. 12 BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: David Miller. 1.3 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Here. 14

1

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Ramón Rubalcava. 17

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Here.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you. And we can move into the executive report. Ms. Nix.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Present.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Jose Luis Pacheco.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Committee members. Michele Nix, CalPERS team member.

First, I'd like to highlight the interactive summary of valuation results tool on the CalPERS website that has been updated with the 2024 valuation results and has been enhanced to include summary dashboards. The new dashboards are designed to help employers locate, navigate, and better understand their plan data and actuarial results using a clear and interactive format.

2.2

Next, the recent federal government shutdown has had a direct impact on the processing of our Section 218 agreements with the Social Security Administration. At this time, we have 16 218 agreements still waiting federal review. We will continue to monitor this situation closely now that the operations have been restored.

The agenda before you today consists of semi-annual contracting prospective report, contracts administration, affirmation of the investment contracts without defined duration, annual contract and procurement activity report, and Pension Contracts Management Program annual report.

Additionally, the agenda has four action items seeking your direction. The 2025-26 mid-year budget revisions, the 2024-25 basic financial statements, asset liability management second reading for the PERF actuarial assumptions, and the annual discharge of accounts receivable.

We also have two information items. Emily Zhong will be presenting the semiannual health plan financial

report, and next, Robert Patterson along with Jennifer Hafner will be presenting an update on modernizing the investment, data, and technology systems.

The next Finance and Administration Committee is scheduled for February 17th, 2026. And it will include the treasury analysis and liquidity status report, Pension Contracts Management Program report, and the 2026 CalPERS Board of Administration State school and special retired member notice of election.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. This concludes my report. I'd be happy to take questions at this time.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you for that report. I do not see any questions. I do want to note for the record that Frank Ruffino for Fiona Ma is excused.

Which brings us to Item 3, action consent items.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Move approval.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Second.

CHAIR PALKKI: I have a motion to approve a second by Mr. Pacheco, A motion by Mr. Miller.

All those in favor say aye.

21 (Ayes.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

CHAIR PALKKI: Any opposed?

Any abstentions?

That passes. We can go over to Item 4,

25 | information consent items. I have not received any

requests to pull anything, which that will bring us to our action agenda item, number 5a. Ms. Nix.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you. I'll call Will Schaafsma up to present the budget report.

(Slide presentation).

1.3

2.2

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: Thank you, Michele. Good
morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. Will
Schaafsma, Calpers team member.

This morning I will be walking you through the 2025-26 proposed mid-year budget agenda item. This is an action item which will need your approval.

Next slide, please

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: The total proposed increase to
the 2025-26 budget is 237.6 million, or 8.7 percent. This
increase is driven by updated estimates for investment
external management fees as well as a smaller increase in
operating costs and third-party administrator fees.

Next slide.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: The mid-year proposes a \$16.8
million increase in operating costs, which is a 1.9

percent increase over the annual budget. This adjustment reflects employee compensation changes and refined cost estimates for the installation of LED lighting in Lincoln Plaza North.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: Most of the increase in
operating costs is due to an \$11.1 million increase to
administrative costs. This includes eight and a half
million to fund updated contribution rates, retirement and
health, and changes to salaries and benefits resulting
from recent collective bargaining negotiations. 2.6
million is proposed to fund Board-approved salary and
incentive adjustments, as well as key position
reclassifications. Additionally, 5.7 million is requested
to accommodate additional costs for the LED lighting
project at Lincoln -- at Lincoln Plaza North due to
unanticipated scope change for labor and materials.

Next slide.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: The mid-year budget projects
fees for investment managers and third-party
administrators will increase by 220.8 million or 11.8

percent. Fees are estimated prior to the April budget and then updated at mid-year as new information becomes available where changes to contract terms are made.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: External management fees are
estimated to increase by 217.5 million in comparison to
the April budget. Base fees are estimated to increase by
91.2 million, primarily due to expected new investments
and market gains across multiple asset classes.
Additionally, performance fees are estimated to increase
by 126.3 million due to projected global equity manager
performance and improved market conditions for real estate
and infrastructure. Third-party administrator fees are
estimated to increase by 3.2 million. This adjustment
reflects an increase of four million for pharmacy benefit
manager administrative fees, and a \$700,000 decrease in
health program fees due to updated enrollment estimates.

I'll stop here for any questions on the mid-year budget, before moving on to our report on prior year expenditures.

CHAIR PALKKI: I have one person. Mr. Pacheco.

Oops. Sorry. Hold on. Mr. Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you. Thank you,

sir. I'd like to -- first of all, good morning, and thank you very much for your report right. With respect to the fee adjustments regarding the external management fees, you said the performance fees in the global equities and the real assets. Within that 126.3 million increase, within the real assets, is that -- is that combined with real estate and infrastructure or is it proportional?

2.2

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: Yes. That's real estate as
well as infrastructure, forestland. So our change in real
estate is 30.2 and our change in infrastructure is 29.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay. I see. And then with respect to the 91.2 million increase in the base fee, that's the -- that's basically the asset under management fees, correct?

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: Correct. Those are management fees.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And that's across all the asset classes, not just private markets.

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: Right. That's the aggregate of all asset classes for which we pay base fees.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Is this normally

the -- we would see this at this time of the year?

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING

DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: Correct. We do -- every year,
we do an updated estimate or projection to management
fees. So we do one in April for the annual budget. And
then as new information comes and we can track trends and
we see what capital we're deploying, how our assets are
performing, we'll update those management estimates again
in November for the mid-year budget.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Very good then.

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: And then report on them as well at the end of the year.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And these are just basically contractual changes that have happened with --

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: It's a variety. It's
contractual changes. It's capital deployed. It's asset
growth, so it's a variety of factors that contribute to
the increase.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Very good then.

That's all my questions. Thank you so much.

CHAIR PALKKI: I don't see any other requests to speak -- or questions, so please continue.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: CalPERS ended fiscal year 2024-25 with expenditures and encumbrances totaling 2.3 billion, or 90.1 percent expended, leaving a balance of 250.5 million. Administrative operating costs closed with a balance of 58.2 million due primarily to enterprise vacancies and unpaid incentives. In investment operating costs a balance of 36 million is due to savings and consultant expenses, external legal engagements, appraisal fees, and technology expenses. Headquarters building costs left a \$2.2 million surplus attributable to lower than anticipated costs for utilities, security, general maintenance, and supplies. Investment external management fees came in 151 million under budget due to lower than anticipated increases in active managers and real asset market fluctuations. And finally, third-party administrator fees closed with a surplus of three million resulting from lower than anticipated PBM claims and lower enrollment for health plans than initially projected.

Next slide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[SLIDE CHANGE]

FINANCIAL PLANNING, POLICY, AND BUDGETING
DIVISION CHIEF SCHAAFSMA: This concludes my presentation.

I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

Thank you. 1 CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much for that 2 3 presentation. I do have one. Ms. Willette. VICE CHAIR WILLETTE: Thank you so much. I don't 4 have any questions, but I do move to approve the mid-year 5 budget revision as presented. 6 7 CHAIR PALKKI: I have a motion to approve as 8 presented. 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Second. CHAIR PALKKI: There's a second from Ms. 10 Middleton. 11 Any other discussion? 12 Seeing none. All those in favor say aye? 1.3 (Ayes.) 14 All those opposed? 15 CHAIR PALKKI: 16 Any abstentions? There you go. Thank you so much. 17 That brings us to 5b, Ms. Nix. 18 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you, Chair 19 20 Palkki. I'm going to turn it over to Janie Rajasuncy to present the 2024-25 basic financial statements. 21 (Slide presentation). 2.2 23 CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Thank you, Michele. Good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Committee. Janie 24 Rajasuncy, CalPERS team member. Today, I'd like to share 25

with you key highlights from our basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30th, 2025.

Upon your approval, these statements will be incorporated into our annual comprehensive financial report.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: The PERF's total net position was \$563 billion as of June 30th, 2025. The annual money-weighted rate of return was 12.1 percent, led by strong returns across all asset classes. Public equity, which comprises about 40 percent of the portfolio, was the largest contributor to overall returns with a 16.8 percent one-year return followed by private equity at 14.3 percent, private debt at 12.8 percent, fixed income at 6.5 percent, and real assets at 2.8 percent.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: In September our CIO, Stephen Gilmore, had shared with the Board that our time-weighted rate of return was 11.6 percent as of June 30th, 2025. The 12.1 percent that I'm sharing with you today is our money-weighted rate of return for the same period.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: So the time-weighted rate of return is the industry standard for evaluating investment performance. It measures the portfolio's

compounded growth rate while removing the impact of cash flows in and out of the portfolio. For real assets and private assets, the returns reported in June reflect performance from April 1st of the prior year through March 31st of the current year. This reporting delay commonly known as a quarter lag. For the money-weighted rate of return, this reflects the portfolio's actual performance, including all cash flows net of investment expenses. This measure shows investment returns through September, meaning it captures market movements for real assets and private assets up to the end of the fiscal year without any reporting lag. The money-weighted rate of return is required for financial reporting under GASB and this is what we use for CalPERS for compliance purposes.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: In aggregate, the PERF's total net position increased by \$56.4 billion, or 11.1 percent, from 506.6 billion as of June 30th, 2024 to 563 billion as of June 30th, 2025. Over the 10-year period, from June 30th, 2016 to June 30th, 2025, the net position grew by \$264.3 billion, representing 88.5 percent increase.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: In fiscal year '24-'25, the PERF received \$30.2 billion in employer and member

contributions, a decrease of 3.3 percent from fiscal year '23-'24 and disbursed \$34.6 billion in benefit payments, an increase of 5.4 percent from the prior fiscal year.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

net position more closely, employer contributions decreased by 5.8 percent from 24.9 billion in fiscal year '23-'24 to 23.4 billion in fiscal year '24-'25. This was primarily due to reduced additional contributions from the State of California. Member contributions increased 6.5 percent from 6.4 billion in fiscal year '23-'24 to 6.8 billion in fiscal year '24-'25. Investments and net securities lending income totaled 63.4 billion in fiscal year '24-'25 compared to 46 billion in fiscal year '23-'24. As mentioned earlier, investment performance was driven by strong gains in public equity, private equity, and private debt.

Looking at the deductions from the PERF for the fiscal year, payments for retirement, death, and survivor benefits increased by \$1.8 billion, or 5.4 percent, primarily due to cost of living adjustments and a growth in the number of retirees and beneficiaries. Refunds of contributions increased by 26.7 million or 7.3 percent year over year, while administrative expenses decreased by 15.2 million or four percent.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

1.3

2.2

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Looking specifically at the investment portfolio. Net investment income totaled 61.4 billion in fiscal year '24-'25. Notably public equity generated significant gains of \$34.2 billion during the fiscal year followed by private equity at 15.5 billion.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

reference, this chart shows the unfunded actuarial liability and the market value of assets as of June 30th, 2024, which was the last actuarial valuation. As of that date, the UAL, which is the amount of the total actuarial accrued liability exceeds the market value of assets, was 178.6 billion. The increase in the UAL over the past decade is mainly due to changes in actuarial assumptions, such as updated mortality rates, and a lower discount rate.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Mr. Chair, if I may, I just want to recognize all of the hard work and dedication from our Financial Office team and our colleagues across CalPERS. This is a huge effort every year to put together the basic financial statements and our ACFR. So really appreciate everybody's time, dedication in contributing,

editing, reviewing, you know, all of the hard work that goes into producing the ACFR every year. So that concludes my presentation. I'm happy to take any questions that you may have.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much. And again,
Chair, my thanks as well to all the staff for putting this
together. I'm so appreciative of everything that you guys
do for our members, so thank you.

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Thank you.

CHAIR PALKKI: Mr. Pacheco.

1.3

2.2

much. And, first of all, good morning and thank you so much for the information. I really appreciated the analysis of the returns on the investments, in terms of the asset class, and also with respect to the -- let me see what else is there? Let me -- one more thing. Give me one minute here.

I really appreciated the breakdown of the money of the weighted -- the weighted process between other -- the two, because it shows how much we've done in terms of our returns in terms of the GASB. Am I correct, the GASB requirements?

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Right, yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And then are we -- we are required to do that every year, is that correct?

CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Correct.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay. Very good then. But besides that, I think it was very well stated. So I would like to move to approve this item.

CHAIR PALKKI: Before we take any motions, I want to get through everybody's questions.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Sure.

CHAIR PALKKI: So we have some more questions before we do a motion. Do you have any more questions?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: No, I don't have any more questions. Thank you.

CHAIR PALKKI: Ms. Middleton.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Mr.

Chair, thank you. And Michele, Janie, thank you. This is a very encouraging report. And whenever you have a year that is about as much good news as this one has, it's tempting to sit back a bit. But we know that things do run in cycles. So given the position that we're in today, which is a very positive one, what kind of steps do you think we need -- should be taking over the course of the next year to take advantage of the positive position that we have today and to be prepared for what we know is the inevitable downturn at some point?

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you for that question, Ms. Middleton. I would have to say that the

majority of our increase or decrease is primarily due to our investment program. And so that will lie on their expertise and the markets, of course. And, you know, they have processes and strong processes in place. And when they follow those, we -- that will the best we can do.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. And we know the market is volatile.

addition, as you saw on our budget presentation, we're very cognizant of our administrative expenses. We try to control those. We do everything we can to make sure that we keep control over those and that we spend wisely. That's something that's always in the back of our mind as we budget, and as we go throughout our year in the normal course of business. So we're very cognizant of that, so that also will help mitigate.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. This may be a question more for later when Scott and his team are coming up, but we are seeing benefit increase -- benefit total dollars beginning to increase. And trying to anticipate what the increase is going to continue to be over the next few years is going to be incredibly important to maintaining the liquidity that we need to be strong when the investment market turns down.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Absolutely. Our

```
team in the Finance Office works very closely with the
1
    Investment team on liquidity. Our team, the Finance team,
2
    does the operational liquidity, so we make sure there is
 3
    enough money to meet our obligations on a daily, weekly,
    monthly annual basis. And we communicate closely with
5
    investments. Anything extra goes straight into an
6
7
    investment in some format. And, you know, we just -- we
8
    try to keep track of that as closely as possible.
                                                        So our
    liquidity I feel like has gotten -- our liquidity programs
9
10
    have gotten stronger over the past few years. And I
    anticipate that we have a really good handle on that right
11
    now.
12
             COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Well, I don't have
13
    any more questions. My compliments and my appreciation to
14
15
    all of -- you, everyone on your team.
16
             CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX:
                                           Thank you.
             CONTROLLER RAJASUNCY: Thank you.
17
             CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you.
18
19
             If there's no other questions what is the
   pleasure of the Committee?
20
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I'll move to approve.
21
```

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Second.

Is there a --

to approve the '24-'25 basic financial statement as

2.2

23

24

25

presented.

CHAIR PALKKI: So I have a motion by Mr. Pacheco

CHAIR PALKKI: Second by Mr. Miller. 1 Any other discussion? 2 Seeing none. All those in favor say aye? 3 (Ayes.) CHAIR PALKKI: Any opposed? 5 Any abstentions? 6 Thank you so much. 7 8 And again, please share my thanks with the staff and everybody that put this together. 9 That brings us to Item 5c, asset liability 10 management, second reading, PERF actuarial assumptions. 11 Ms. Nix. 12 (Slide presentation). 1.3 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you, Chair 14 I'm going to turn it over to our amazing 15 Palkki. 16 actuarial team to present this item. It is an action item, so I'll let them take it away. 17 Scott. CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: All right. 18 As -- good morning. I'm Scott Terando, CalPERS 19 Michel. 20 team member. As Michele mentioned, this is an action item and it's seeking the adoption of a new set of actuarial 21 assumptions. Every four years, the Actuarial Office 2.2 23 performs this analysis and it's part of the asset liability management process. 24

What we're going to present here is we're going

25

to have key findings and go over the potential impacts for both employers and possibly PEPRA member employees in terms of contributions. At this point, I'll pass it to both Fritzie and David to go over our results of the study.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Good morning,
Mr. Chair, members of the Committee. Fritzie Archuleta,
Calpers team member. Today's discussion, like Scott said,
will largely be a review of what we did in September.

Oh, I'm sorry. Next slide.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Thank you. So topics of discussion for today are the review of the experience study and why we do it, what our recommendations are, the overall cost impacts, PEPRA impacts, and next steps. For your convenience many of the graphics, not included in today's presentation, but included in the September presentation, have been repeated in the appendix.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Okay. So why do we do an experience study? In order to assign costs to this system, actuaries must make assumptions about what's going to happen in the future. So using these assumptions, we calculate our best estimate of what the

expected costs will be. It is important to note that these assumptions only determine the expected costs for the system. And as time goes on, to the extent that our estimates are different from the actual experience, adjustments will need to be made to our contribution rates.

1.3

2.2

These assumptions play an important role in shaping the funded status, required employer contributions, and also PEPRA member contributions. The assumptions are also used to determine actuarial equivalent factors when members retire or purchase service credit. In order to keep pension contribution rates as stable as possible, we make every effort not to overstate or understate any assumption, as it is better to collect contributions attributable to each generation. To keep up with the times, we review our assumptions every four years. And the last study was completed in 2021.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So what sorts of things do we look at? There are two types of assumptions. There are economic assumptions and non-economic assumptions. On the economic side, we have the long-term investment return, which in turn turns into the discount rate, and then we have inflation. On the non-economic side, and this is not an all-inclusive list, but this is

probably the big hitters, we look at pay increases, longevity, which is mortality, retirement rates, termination rates, disabilities. So all these assumptions are very important for the system, but because the discount rate is so impactful on its own and the costs, we had its own study, and you guys heard about that yesterday and voted on it in the Investment Committee meeting.

2.2

So I want to make a note that all the numbers that Mr. Clement will go over shortly do not account for any changes to the discount rate.

Okay. And with that, I will pass it over to him.
[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. Dave Clement, team actuary.

Now, let's look -- now, let's look at the recommendations.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: This slide shows the summary of the recommended demographic assumptions for retirement and mortality. Most assumpt -- most assumptions have minimal changes. However, we are recommending lower disability rates -- industrial disability rates for sheriffs.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: This slide shows a summary of recommend demographic assumptions for terminations, salary scale, and marriage. We are recommending minimal changes to most assumptions. The most impactful demographic assumption change was the salary scale. They were higher in moderate increases above our previous assumptions for all categories, except State, industrial, and schools. And there was no changes to the marriage assumptions.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: This slide shows a summary of the recommended economic assumptions, as was discussed yesterday, the discount rate was unchanged at 6.8 percent. We recommend increasing the inflation rate from the 2.3percent to two and a half percent, and the wage inflation from 2.8 percent to 3 percent. However, we are keeping the payroll growth assumption at 2.8 percent.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: While performing this experience study, we hired Gallagher and Company under a Letter of Engagement to perform a peer review of our -- of our assumptions. They reviewed our proposed economic and demographic assumptions, and concluded that they were reasonable and were developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles.

Next slide. It's not going.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: Oh, there we go. Now, let's take a look at the cost effects.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: For public agencies, here is a range of employer contribution rate impacts based on the benefit formula the employer offers. For example, if your agency offers a 2 percent at 60 benefit to its members, you would likely see an increase of anywhere between 0.1 and 0.9 percent to your rate for the next 20 years. The median change is also displayed for your reference.

The median refers to the point where half the numbers are above and half are below. So again, for two percent at 60 plans, half of them will see an increase above 0.3 percent, while the other staff will see a rate increase below 0.3 percent. You see the bottom rows — two rows correspond to the PEPRA formulas. Any time we make changes to the assumptions, the changes could trigger a change to the PEPRA member rates. The next section will display those results.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: This chart shows the estimated impact to the State due to the proposed

assumptions. As an example, the total change to the rate for miscellaneous Tier 1 will be 1.6 percent. There will be a permanent increase of 0.9 percent, for example, the normal cost, while the UAL change refers to the UAL change that is amortized over 20 years.

1.3

2.2

the PEPRA impacts.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: Before we move on to the PEPRA impacts, here is a chart disclosing the impacts to the funded ratio of the system. As a reminder, the funded ratio is simply the ratio of plan assets to plan liabilities. The proposed assumption changes cause the liabilities for the system as a whole to go up slightly. We estimate the impact of the assumption changes is a reduction of about 0.3 percent as of June 30th, 2024. The 79 percent funded ratio reported in June 30, 2025 return would be slightly reduced to 78.6 percent. Now, I'll hand it back over to Fritzie to discuss

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Thank you, Dave.
[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Okay. So PEPRA impacts.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So should you adopt the recommended assumption changes, we anticipate

that some PEPRA member rates will be impacted. This slide shows how many plans we estimate will see a PEPRA member rate change and the corresponding number of active members that will be impacted. These estimates are all based on June 30th, 2024 data. So you can see from the chart, I'm looking at now the middle row. Those are all the plans that are going to see -- or estimate to see an increase in the member contribution rate. You can see from the chart that only nine miscellaneous plans will likely see an increase in the PEPRA member rate, whereas on the safety side, you have two-thirds of plans that will see an increase to their member rates.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Okay. So next steps.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So some next steps. We are recommending that you vote to approve the assumption changes prescribed by our Actuarial Office. If you approve the assumption changes, they will go into effect beginning the June 30th, 2025 valuations. The 2025 valuation sets the '26-'27 contribution rate for the State and school plans, and the '27-'28 contribution rates for public agencies. In addition, all retirements and service credit purchases after 11-20-2025 will be using the new

assumptions.

2.2

So this concludes the prepared remarks of the presentation. We'd be happy to answer any other questions. But if I can also take a moment like Janie to say thank you to the team. This started in the Actuarial Office, and it really is our entire office working on this above and beyond our normal workload. But on top of that behind the scenes, we are working with IT, disability, retirements, and service credit purchases teams to verify and make sure that we're all ready to flip the switch should the vote go the way that we are recommending.

And so I just wanted to say thank you. And with that, I will open it up to questions.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much.

There we go. Let me start with Mr. Rubalcava.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Very good presentation and very good report. I know we discussed a lot of the demographic and economic changes in the last meeting, so I won't repeat all the questions that now are more clear to me. But I do want to comment, this -- your staff and this Board made a great decision to change the -- go to a generational mortality a couple years ago and then the benefit -- weighted benefit I think reflects more accurately the -- our experience with our members. So I thank you for that.

And I'm glad we're moving up to updating the mortality table. And on the assumptions proposed, I commend you for making the changes necessary to be realistic going forward, but keeping the discount rate the same amount, so there's minimal impact -- I'll say minimum, but it mitigates any impact on the employers and members as much as possible.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Although, we -- as you mentioned, there will be some impact. So my question is how is the -- once the -- how is the change in the contribution rates communicated both to the members and to the employers?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Sure. So we're actually working with Public Affairs to get the word out. We have a couple of articles drafted, if this change does And it talks about what changes to expect in go through. contribution rates. We also have something on our website for PEPRA members and employers, even letters that they can draft to help notify their members, if their member rates are changing. And then, of course, on the employer side, we always have Pension Outlook. Starting probably December 1st, we believe that that will be ready to incorporate any changes to employer contribution rates. It will just be like a radial button that they can click to say see what the impact is, like it was last time. so, we're getting ready. We just have to flip the switch.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Flip the switch.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Yeah.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: And then my other question is I'm -- I was pleased that Gallagher agreed that it was very reasonable, very well done experience report and I commend you for that. But just so they don't be nitpicky at us on this, code of professional conduct, please make sure that the actuary signs the report next time. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Thank you.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: It's something to be proud of. Thank you.

If there's no more questions, I'd like to move to adopt the -- okay. Sorry.

CHAIR PALKKI: I've got one more. Mr. Pacheco.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you. First of all, thank you so much for your presentation and your analysis. I want to go back to page 12 of 42 on the impact on the proposed assumptions for the contribution rates for the State. And with respect to the peace officers and firefighter plans and the CHP, I noticed those are really high. I just want to understand the genesis of how that came about relative to the other ones that -- of the other changes -- total changes.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So I can answer

the question about CHP. I know that we've been monitoring their salary a lot over the last couple of experience studies. And we do notice that them, in particular, across the state are higher. And so we have a little bit of a higher increase embedded into that salary scale, you know, the assumptions at which we assume their salaries are going to go up, because we have been noticing over the last eight years that they're somewhat higher.

2.2

As far as the peace officers, I'm not sure if I have. We could -- we could go back and take that as an action item on the peace officers. We don't have that information right now.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: No worries at all.

And the second question is on the -- on page 15 of 42 on the affected PEPRA member contribution rates.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Um-hmm.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: As you mentioned, for the miscellaneous plans, it's only about nine plans, but for the safety plans, it's almost like you mentioned two-thirds, 551 plans. How many -- I mean, I noted over time, PEPRA has been increasing and we have more members in the system, and we're getting them more and more as every year as it -- how is that -- how do you see this happening? Do you feel this is going to change more?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So this data is

as of 2024. I do think that probably about two-thirds of how many ever PEPRA members we have will see an increase. It all just depends on, you know, what their employer offers as far as benefits. We know, in particular, because we're increasing that inflation assumption, that any plan that offers anything higher than a two percent COLA, which is the base, and there's only about five percent of plans that do that, but any of those plans will definitely see an increase, and then they're on the larger side.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay

2.2

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: But no, as far as like these numbers, I mean, I think that the ratio is probably about right, about two-thirds of plans will see it, and 60 percent of actual members. Right. Right. And Dave just pointed out that safety plans do have a higher normal cost in general.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Right.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So when you get that kind of compounding going on, it's easier for them to have that trigger of that one percent.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And that's inherited in their -- in their -- in their demographics because of that.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: It's not just --

it's their benefits as well. They're just a lot more expensive than the miscellaneous ones in a lot of cases, especially the 3 at 50, which is what most of our safety classics are at.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I see. I see. Well, that's the classic, but for the PEPRA, it's -- they're -- DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Oh, right. It's the same thing though, the expense is a lot more than what the miscellaneous is.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, I see. I understand. Okay. Very good then.

And then the other last -- let me just -- let me just get one more thing. And just one more thing. I know there's an observation. I know that we just -- we were just dealing with the State and with the safety. What about the school experience? When is that -- when do we -- when do we evaluate that?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So that is also evaluated in this study. Let me go back and see. I think -- yeah, so at the very bottom there, do you see the school plan?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I see.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: That was also evaluated in this.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: So it is -- so of

the -- of the -- so it's actually the smallest increase. 1 DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: 2 Correct. COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And that's because of 3 its -- the way it's structured, because it's pooled? 4 mean, you know, they -- we have it -- we have a pooled --5 DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: I think that, 6 you know, it's partly due to demographics, but also due to 7 8 the benefits that they offer. I think it's 2 at 55. And I'm pretty sure they have a two percent COLA, correct? 9 Dave, did you want to add anything on the school stuff? 10 SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: On the State plans 11 here, the State industrial and schools had salary 12 increases less than what we expected. 1.3 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's right. 14 SUPERVISING ACTUARY CLEMENT: So that was -- that 15 16 was the big difference here. DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: 17 So on the demographic side, salary is probably, you know, one of the 18 19 biggest contributing factors, right? 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I get it now. That's excellent then. That's all my questions. Thank you very 21 much. Appreciate it very much what you've done. 2.2 23 DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Of course.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much.

Mr. Rubalcava.

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Mr. 1 Chair. I would like to move to adopt the new actuarial 2 assumptions as reported in the experience study by --3 produced by this excellent CalPERS actuarial staff and 4 office -- Financial Office. 5 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I'll second. 6 7 CHAIR PALKKI: I have a motion by Mr. Rubalcava 8 and a second by Mr. Pacheco. Is there any other 9 discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye? 10 11 (Ayes.) CHAIR PALKKI: Any opposed? 12 Any abstentions? 1.3 There you go. It passes. 14 DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you, Ms. Archuleta, Mr. Clement, and Mr. Terando. Great report. And again, share 17 my thanks with the staff. I cannot say enough about our 18 staff. 19 20 So that brings us to 5d, Ms. Nix. CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you, Chair 21 The annual discharge of accounts receivable. 2.2 Palkki. 23 This is an annual report that we provide to you every year. And we've in -- I've invited Kim Malm up, because 24

her team works closely with ours. They do a majority of

25

the investigative work before the write-offs happen. So I will turn it over to Kim to talk about the receivables.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MALM: Great. Thank you, Michele. Kim Malm, CalPERS team member.

2.2

Last November, I reported to the Finance

Committee that we discharged \$6.3 million dollars as part

of a cleanup project of almost 3,600 cases that were past

the statute of limitations. As you know, overpayments can

occur in several areas, including refund overpayments,

retirement benefit overpayments, but our largest

overpayments are when death occurs and is not reported

timely.

The statute of limitations for refund and retirement benefit overpayments are three years. It's 10 years for the death overpayments. I've shared the next -- the new steps we're implementing to collect on those overpayments more timely. Today, I thought I'd give you an update on our progress.

First, we automated manual processes to ensure overpayments are addressed and to support our team's collection activities. Our system, myCalPERS, sends out letters, called Dunning letters, 30-, 60-, 90-day intervals. Additionally, we now notify our Financial Office Collections Unit under Michele at day 120, and they make contact with the estate. We implemented automation

utilizing the system to transfer money from benefits payable in their account to offset the overpayments, which minimizes the amount of owed, and in some cases, eliminated the amount owed in full.

2.2

To further enhance our collection efforts, we implemented the Franchise Tax Board Intercept Program. This program allows to us collect outstanding payments by intercepting taxes for up to three years on CalPERS benefits -- CalPERS behalf. So far this effort has collected over \$87,000, and we are now using the FTB program for all of our overpayment collection efforts.

We also partner with an external legal and collections firm to assist with nonresponsive liable parties, including those outside of California. Through this partnership, we've recovered almost \$115,000.

In addition to these recovery efforts, we've also focused on two other key initiatives that I've presented to you guys throughout the year, first being the benefit verification or alive and well program and Socure death verification. We transitioned from an every other year benefit verification cycle to an annual cycle. This process supplements our death matches by Socure.

This year alone, the benefit verification project identified 366 deaths for a total of 3.7 million in overpayments and we've collected \$2 million, so far, which

is about 77 percent.

1.3

2.2

Additionally, Socure's death verification, since implementation in June of 2024, has identified 626 deaths for a total of \$6.4 million of overpayments, and we have collected 5.2 million so far, so over 81 percent.

For retirement overpayments, we also developed a reporting tool to identify data ab -- anomalies and potential errors providing early notification for additional reviews to ensure retirement benefit calculations are accurate and prevent future overpayments. To ensure we stay on top of our progress, we've introduced new tracking tools for overpayment processes. These tools now allow us to monitor the total amount of overpayments and track how our collection efforts are going. I'm pleased to report that today, with the efforts we've put in place that last two years, we've collected over \$172 million in overpayments. This continues to validate that by collecting these overpayments immediately, we have a much better chance of getting money back into the fund.

It's a testament to the hard work and dedication of our team, as well as the effectiveness of the processes we put in place. In closing, we remain committed to minimizing overpayments and improving our collection efforts.

That concludes my comments, Mr. Chair. I'm happy

to answer any questions.

1.3

2.2

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much for that report. I am not seeing any questions. I do want to say that even though this is sort of a sombering topic, I honestly and truly thank you for all the work that you do. I've gone to multiple conferences where a lot of this work is done out of house. And I'm glad that we're able to contain costs in-house. But every dollar that we're able to bring back into the fund, that's a benefit to our members and our employers. So I truly thank you for the work that you're doing.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MALM: Thank you.

Thanks to the team.

CHAIR PALKKI: Oh, I do have a question.

Ms. Taylor.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Actually, I do not have a question, but I'd like to thank Ms. Malm for calling out my agency for helping collect. There's a reason my agency is known as the collection agency for the State of California, but thank you.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MALM: Yeah. We appreciate that \$87,000. Thank you.

(Laughter).

CHAIR PALKKI: And with that, this is a action

25 item.

```
COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: I'll move.
1
             CHAIR PALKKI: So have a motion from Mr. Pacheco.
2
             COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON:
                                           Second.
 3
             CHAIR PALKKI: And a second by Ms. Middleton.
             Any other discussion?
 5
             Seeing none, all those in favor say aye?
 6
7
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIR PALKKI: All those opposed?
8
             Any abstentions?
9
             The ayes have it. Thank you so much.
10
             That brings us to our Item 6, information agenda
11
    items. Ms. Nix.
12
             (Slide presentation).
1.3
             CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you, Kevin
14
             I'm going to turn it over to Emily to present the
15
    Palkki.
16
    semi-annual plan financial report for the health plan.
             SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: All right.
17
                                                              Ιf
   my Chair works. All right.
18
19
             (Laughter).
20
             SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG:
                                                 Sorry.
   Apologize for the technical thing.
21
2.2
             Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the
23
    Committee. Emily Zhong, CalPERS team member. This is
    Agenda Item 6a, semi-annual health plan financial report.
24
    This report is provided to the Committee twice a year, as
25
```

part of the monitoring and reporting process for Health Care Fund, which include health plan account balances, actuarial reserve amount, and surpluses or deficits for the health plan subaccounts. This semi-annual report provide the financial performance for the PPO and flex-funded HMO as of June 2025 at this time.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: Before going through the Health Care Fund status update, I want to share the recent improvement we've seen on the PPO program. This graph shows the history for PPO fund balance to actuarial ratio for the past five years. As a reminder, our Health Care Fund Reserve Policy provide a framework for maintaining appropriate reserve for the PPO plans. When the fund balance is less than 90 percent of actuarial reserve, a premium surcharge may be considered to help replenish the fund. If the fund balance is at or above 90 percent of actuarial reserve, no action is needed.

So in this graph, the dashed line indicate a 90 percent benchmark of actuarial reserve needed for 2025. As shown on the graph, there has been a research funding shortfall for our PPO plans in 2021. That year the fund balance fell below 90 percent of actuarial reserves and

continue to decrease through 2023. This was mainly due to a combination of premium buydown for plan year 2020 to 2022, and the unexpected high health care costs for a couple of years post-COVID. After a few years of premium surcharge, the ratio has increased to 66 percent as of June 2025. We expect the PPO funded status will continue to improve through the end of 2025, and optimistically speaking, likely to reach the 90 percent reserve level in 2026.

So depending on the year in 2025 status, we may be able to remove, or at least reduce, the, PPO premium surcharge starting from 2027 premium.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: Here we have the recent Health Care Fund performance for the HMO basic and PPO Medicare and Basic plans. Starting with HMO Basic plan, the estimated fund balance as of June 2025 was 278 million. The next column shows the HMO Basic estimated claim liability of 111 million, with the last column showing the surplus of 167 million. This is the 39 million improvement from the end of 2024.

On the PPO side, starting with Basic plan, the estimated fund balance was -- as of June 2025, was 437 million with estimated liability and required actuarial

reserve level of 589 million for a fund status of 152 million below the fully reserve level, or 93 million below 90 percent of reserve.

2.2

For the PPO Medicare plans, the estimated fund balance as of June 2025 was 84 million after required actuarial reserve of 196 million. The fund status for PPO Medicare plans was 112 million below the fully reserve level, or 92 million below 90 percent of reserve. The overall PPO status improved by about 147 million from the end of 2024. As mentioned in the previous slide, the fund balance to actuarial reserve ratio improved from 38 percent at the end of 2024 to 66 percent in June 2025. I'll go through some detail for the Basic and Medicare -- for the Basic and PP plan performance in the next couple of slides.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: For the Basic plan in April, we reported that the Basic PPO was 355 million below the fully reserved level as of the end of 2024. As more claim has been processed, the updated status improved by 100 million, which is 259 million below the fully reserved level. In the first half of 2025, there was about 42 million increase in the fund balance related to medical experience. The PPO Basic member satisfied their annual deductible earlier in the year, so

medical costs are lower in the first half of the year and are anticipated to increase in the second half of the year.

1.3

2.2

There was a small gain of 10 million due to pharmacy experience as well. The PPO Basic premium includes surcharge of four percent in Platinum and five percent in Gold to replenish the PPO reserve. We have collected about 55 million in the first six months of 2025.

The overall Basic PPO funded status improved by 107 million from the end of 2024, bringing the total fund status to 152 million below the fully reserved level, or 93 million below 90 percent of the reserve as of June 2025.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: Moving on to Medicare. In April, we reported that the PPO Medicare was 152 million below fully reserved status as of the end of 2024. There is no change on the updated fund status. So in 2025, there was about two million decrease in fund balance due to seasonality. The PPO Medicare claim pattern is normally higher in the beginning of the year and decrease later in the year. This is because the plan covered the Medicare deductible for our members and as the deductible is satisfied, the medical costs decrease.

There was also 42 million increase in fund balance for pharmacy related experience mainly due to lower than expected pharmacy claim and a little bit higher than expected rebate that we received. The overall PPO Medicare fund status improved by about 40 million in the end of 2024. In bringing the fund balance 112 million below the fully reserved level, or 92 million below 90 percent of reserve.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: So looking forward, with the premium surcharge approved by the Board for the PPO premium for both plan year 2025 and '26, we expect the PPO fund status will continue to improve. And additionally, we have implemented other way to improve the PPO program. On the medical side, there's a new five-year contract from 2025 to 2029. This new contract has significant performance guarantee to ensure Blue Shield of California and Included Health are financially responsible for keeping costs at or below the agreed upon threshold.

On the pharmacy side, we have a new contract with CVS, which also has strong performance guarantees to CVS to control costs. That contract will begin in January 2026.

That conclude my presentation. I'm happy to take

your questions.

2.2

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much.

I am not seeing any questions. So again, thank you for the report. Appreciate it.

SUPERVISING HEALTH ACTUARY ZHONG: Thank you.

CHAIR PALKKI: That brings us to our last information item, Modernizing Investment Data and Technology Update. Ms. Nix.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thank you, Chair Palkki. I'm going to invite the investment team up here to present on the modernization project.

(Slide presentation).

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: Perfect. Hi,
Chair. Hi, Board Members. My name is Rob Patterson,
Calpers team member. I lead the Investment Technology and
Performance Analytics Group. And I'm also joined today
with Jen Hafner who is leading the initiative that we're
here to provide an update on today.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: So as we move on to kind of the topic of conversation today, this is an update. We're not asking any action today. What I'm really pleased to share is that all of the activities that we set in motion that we committed to do when we were here in April are on track. So we're on track as it pertains

to budget, as it pertains to scope, and also timeline, and also given that those things are on track, we're not seeking a mid-year update, which is why that wasn't included in the materials that Michele and team shared earlier today.

As we move on to the next slide -[SLIDE CHANGE]

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: -- before I get into a review of what we were looking to accomplish this year, I wanted to take a moment just to reorient us in terms of what we're looking to do. From an overall objective standpoint, the initiative is seeking to reduce operational complexity within the Investment Office, thereby kind of reducing operational risk. We also want to better leverage technology to increase operational efficiency, also giving time back to our investment team to better focus on investment outcomes through investment innovation. Really all of that in support also of the TPA conversations that we heard quite a bit about yesterday.

In terms of the projects, you'll see some of them listed here. There's four that we're focused on. Project one is focused on total fund and capital markets. That is very much seeking to consolidate public equity and fixed income as well as total fund management capabilities onto a single platform, very much enabling things like exposure

monitoring and liquidity monitoring. Again, those activities in support of total fund, TPA, not only for the PERF, but also for management to the affiliate investment program of those funds.

2.2

The second project focused on private markets is very much looking at making sure we have the tools that allow our investors in public -- I'm sorry, in private equity, private credit, real estate, and infrastructure to be able to manage those investments. And it becomes increasingly important as we're increasing the allocation or having a larger allocation to private markets into the future.

The third project is the data platform. This is one that will improve efficiencies again. It will also allow us or create a single source of information for reporting and analysis. And it will also create the integration capabilities between the platforms delivered in the first two projects.

The fourth project that you don't see listed here today, because it's not yet in progress, is that of internal application development. We've pushed that further down the timeline, that timeline being in the appendix, because we want to first make sure that what we deliver is focused on leveraging commercial applications first, that we're delivering by way of the first two

projects, and we'll focus on filling any gaps of those systems, when it becomes clear and when it's approved to do so.

1.3

2.2

So with that background, let's take a moment to revisit what we wanted to achieve this year. As we're looking at mobilizing all of these activities, it's increasingly important that we move out of the posture of really building the case for the change and beyond the communication activities, and much more focused on -- focusing on stakeholder engagement as we move through defining new processes, and getting adoption of the system into the future.

The second activity that we wanted to focus on was bringing on an independent firm to focus on observing us through the process, in terms of the independent verification and validation activities. Did we deliver what we said we would and did we do it well? Within total fund and capital markets, we committed to completing the implementation — the planning phase. That allowed us to define — or would allow us to define what the overall implementation would be. It also then moved into defining what data we would need to be able to hydrate or to be able to kind of fill the system, generally that being market data.

We then needed to work with our enterprise IT

folks to build out the infrastructure, so that we can access those core platforms, and then lastly that we would begin implementation of that platform. Within the private markets effort, we needed to make sure that we completed an RFP, so that we could ultimately select a vendor and then we could begin or initiate the implementation planning phase, in terms of what it would look like to implement that solution or solutions. And then lastly, we committed to standing up the data project. And that means defining a charter, defining a scope of what we wanted to do, and then mobilizing that team.

1.3

2.2

So where are we today? As we move to the next slide --

[SLIDE CHANGE]

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: -- I'm really pleased with the work that the team has done. And when I say team, it is, of course, the Investment Office, but a lot of the work that we've done has required support from our enterprise peers. You'll see lot of these updates relate to things like recruitment, which required support of our HR counterparts. When we think of, you know, some of the budget related activities, that required support of the Financial Office, and also the Financial Office assisting in some of the reviews of the platforms as it pertains to their responsibilities. We look at ITSB and

making sure that they're partnering with us and we're partnering with them to clarify our responsibilities and also to build out the IT infrastructure.

2.2

And so very much a collaboration in terms of the things that we're doing. And lastly, but not least, working with OSSD and the Legal Office to make sure we have the necessary procurement and contracting in place.

So where we are from an overall initiative standpoint, we've onboarded a number of project resources making sure that we had the right folks to be able to progress each one of the projects that I discussed on the prior slide. And that now leads us to the point that we're onboarding the IV&V vendor, again to make sure we stay on track and that we deliver a quality solution.

As we look to total fund and capital markets, we're wrapping up a phase, which is called clarification and design, or pre-implementation planning. And that really allows us to understand what specifically the system is going to do, where the gaps are, so we can begin implementation in the second half of this fiscal year, which we're on track to do. Private markets, as I mentioned, we completed an RFP. We're in the evaluation phase of that at this point, so things are progressing well there.

And then lastly, on the data platform, we drafted

the project charter. We formalized the project team, the project structure. We've had the initial steering committee kick-off. We're bringing together the project team in the upcoming weeks, and then really focusing on building out that project team. So as we move to the next slide --

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: -- I wanted to give an update, since this is Finance and Admin Committee, on where we are with the budget. Just to orient yourself to what we're looking at here, the blue lines that we're looking at, the \$10 million last year and the \$38 million this year were the approved funds for this initiative and all the projects that I've mentioned.

As we look to the right years '26-'27 beyond, those are the estimates that we have at this point. Those are informed by work that we a did a couple of years ago with McKinsey. They've also been informed by some recent RFI work that we've done. Those remain reasonable. They're informed. And as we move from the implementation planning phase into the implementation phase, for each one of the projects, we will improve those estimates and we'll come back with any kind of revisions that we have in this forum.

Where are we? In terms of last year's spend, we

spent \$5.4 million of the \$10 million that was approved. The reason we underspent was really because we started a couple of months late in terms of the body work. As we're looking at the spend this year, we've very much on track. We look to spend right about what we've estimated, which means -- or is consistent with us being on track from a scope and timeline perspective. Again, we'll come back in April with additional estimates for the next fiscal year.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: So as we look at returning, we've been providing, we'll continue to provide updates in IC as informational items. We'll return here in April and also November next year with any budget requests. And then as things arise, we'll make sure that you're aware of any concerns or challenges that we're experiencing that require your attention.

So with that, I'll pause and we'll open it up for any questions that you guys have.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much for that report. And I appreciate you staying on track and staying within budget. I know that inflation is not easy when it comes to procuring items, so I appreciate staying within that budget.

Mr. Pacheco.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

Paterson for your -- for your work and for the update. I really do appreciate this. And to me this is kind of a -- hits home to me, because this is -- this is my job. This is what I do as a -- as a IT professional.

2.2

So I'd like to ask you about the -- on page four, the data platform. You mentioned you completed the project charter and established the governance process.

Is that the governance -- the response -- the roles and responsibilities, is that the -- that area?

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: It is. I'll start and if Jen has anything she wants to add, we can do that.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That would be awesome.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: So in terms of roles and responsibilities, that would first be as it pertains to who has decision authority. We set it up, so that there are sponsors of that project that are able to provide recommendations that ultimately go to our executive team for ratification or for approval. The sponsors at the executive level remain Marcie and Stephen Gilmore. And then from a composition of the team, building out between the Investment Office, between ITSB, as an example, who's responsible for what? We want to make sure that it's very clear that folks are set up to succeed. And we believe that to do so, they need to have clear sets of responsibilities and accountability. And so

that's been defined, as well as the scope of what we're looking to achieve, in terms of the core data platform and the governance around it, as it pertains to kind of future support.

Jen, anything you'd want to add?

2.2

INITIATIVE DIRECTOR HAFNER: Yeah, the one thing that I would add at the initiative level, we have an initiative charter that governs all of the projects that are underneath it. So this new charter that was approved for the data project, project 3, flows up also into --

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Excellent.

INITIATIVE DIRECTOR HAFNER: -- all of that oversight that's been discussed on the initiative level.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's excellent. And that's -- that falls under the umbrella of the steering committee, right?

INITIATIVE DIRECTOR HAFNER: Correct.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: So -- and that's steering committee is already underway and doing what it's supposed to be doing. That's wonderful.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: Yeah, we were just talking meeting weekly. So since we met with you last --

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Yes.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: -- in April, we've had more than 20 meetings on this topic just to remain

organized and focused.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's excellent. That is actually excellent. My next question is on the private markets. That same -- that same page. You mentioned that you are -- you had evaluated the RFP responses, but also drafting the case studies -- the business case studies. How is that going? What's the status of those base -- those business cases?

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: So I would describe it as very much focused on the use cases that need to be addressed. And so, those use cases were described actually last fiscal year. And we defined those, so that we could subsequently evaluate the vendors during the RFP. And now, we're -- as we're narrowing that selection process or narrowing to the selection of a specific vendor --

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Um-hmm.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: -- we're able to confirm that they can or cannot deliver on our core requirements.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, excellent then.

And that's -- that is very -- that's very good.

And then you mentioned -- in your remarks, you mentioned the -- you had -- you had not introduced the internal applications process. You are still -- if I

remember -- if I recall our discussion about this, you know, create in-house applications have some -- have inherited issues. And so trying to find a vendor that could do the out-of-the-box solutions, is that still a priority and is it -- is that incorporated in the governance documentation?

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: It is. And the hierarchy that we have defined is, first, large-scale core commercial applications, second would be integrated commercial applications that we can license, and third would be, only when required, and to meet CalPERS specific investment needs would we do any development of applications. That -- and again, that would have to go through its own governance process --

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Right.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR PATERSON: -- to make sure
it's approved, and also overseen along the way.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: No, that's excellent, because trying to move away from internal in-house applications, there's -- there are really a lot -- you know, first of all, how would you take care of it? I mean, it's -- or even the institutional knowledge around that, having out-of-the box solutions is definitely -- and I'm glad that you've incorporated that governance and that particular thing within the selection process of the -- of

the vendor.

2.2

Other than that, I do -- I do want to mention I do appreciate your -- the budget. You're under budget right now and you are -- you are anticipating that you will be able to spend the 38 -- the 38 million next fiscal year on plan. So thank you so much for that.

Those are my questions.

CHAIR PALKKI: All right, Ms. Middleton.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Mr. Chair, thank you. And to both of you and all of your team members, thank you for this effort.

Having, in a prior career, been involved in some massive IT reformation projects, I've got a very small sense of the undertaking that you have before you. My question would be the feasibility of bringing members to the Committee or members of the Board into the Investment Office and giving us an opportunity, at some point in time, to see first-hand what it is that you're undertaking and to spend time with the actual users, and be able to get a presentation on what the prior systems look like and what it is that you're actually taking and providing to them, so that not just simply that we've got a better understanding, but at -- over the next 24 months, we're going to spend an enormous amount of money on this project. And if there are things that we need to be

intervening at the Board level on, I think we need a better sense, I know I do, of when is the right times to be intervening in those questions and decisions. So thank you.

CHAIR PALKKI: Thank you so much.

2.2

I don't have any other requests for questions, so again thank you so much for your presentation.

That brings us to Summary of Committee direction.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Yes. If it pleases the Chair to use this as Committee direction, I noted that we want to identify the reason for the peace officer's rate increase from the Actuarial Office.

CHAIR PALKKI: I would -- I would think that would be appropriate. So thank you.

And I do not have any requests for public comment.

So while I get the microphone, I'm going to take a minute here for personal privilege to thank my Committee for the work that we did and the team that put everything together. The ALM process is not an easy process, so I truly want to thank everybody involved. And I do want to thank Mr. Pacheco for his contribution to the Committee as well and wish you the best in your future endeavors.

An with that, that brings us to a close. And 10 minutes? Yeah, so 10 minutes and we'll rejoin for Pension

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,
Board of Administration, Finance & Administration

Committee meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James
F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 25th day of November, 2025.

1.3

fames & Potter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063