

MEETING
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION
INVESTMENT COMMITTEE
OPEN SESSION

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
FECKNER AUDITORIUM
LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH
400 P STREET
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2023
9:00 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

David Miller, Chairperson

Theresa Taylor, Vice Chairperson

Malia Cohen, represented by Regina Evans

Fiona Ma, represented by Frank Ruffino

Lisa Middleton

Eraina Ortega

Jose Luis Pacheco

Kevin Palkki

Ramón Rubalcava

Yvonne Walker

Mullissa Willette

Gail Willis, PhD(Remote)

STAFF:

Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer

Dan Bienvenue, Interim Chief Investment Officer

Michael Cohen, Interim Chief Operating Investment Officer

Matt Jacobs, General Counsel

Michele Nix, Acting Chief Financial Officer

Scott Terando, Chief Actuary

Daniel Booth, Deputy Chief Investment Officer

Peter Cashion, Managing Investment Director

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

STAFF:

Sarah Corr, Managing Investment Director

Amy Deming, Investment Director

Sterling Gunn, Managing Investment Director

Jean Hsu, Managing Investment Director

Simiso Nzima, Managing Investment Director

Anton Orlich, Managing Investment Director

Arnie Phillips, Managing Investment Director

Tamara Sells, Associate Investment Manager

Mike Silva, Investment Officer

ALSO PRESENT:

Brad Anderson

Sebastian Atencion, Unite Here Local II

Sakeena Baxamusa

Simone Bowers

Claire Brown, University of California, Berkeley

Margarita Cazares, Unite Here Local II

Mary Cerulli, Climate Finance Action

William Michael Cunningham

Valentina Davos, Private Equity Stakeholder Group

Jason Disterhost, Majority Action

Sandy Emerson, Fossil Free California

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

ALSO PRESENT:

Jordan Fein, United Here Local II

Barbara Fletcher

Steve Foresti, Wilshire Advisors

Lucy Fried

Lauren Gellhaus, Wilshire Advisors

Mirian Gide, FFCA

Iraides Gonzalez, ACCE

Ruth Holton Hodson, Third Act

Kim Ikner, Service Employees International Union

Elvis Sengabi Lubega, Service Employees International Union

Michael Mark, SMART, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104

Patricia Mendoza, ACCE

Beverly Ortiz, Climate Finance Action

Barbara Pinto, ACCE

Melissa Reyes, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers

Michael Ring, Service Employees International Union

Jeremy Smith, State Building and Construction Trades Council

Julia Stein

Ernest Tanga, Service Employees International Union

Sarah Theiss, Fossil Free California

Sheila Thorne, Fossil Free California

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

ALSO PRESENT:

Tom Toth, Wilshire Advisors

Norelis Vargas, United Here Local II

INDEX

	<u>PAGE</u>
1. Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2. Executive Report - Interim Chief Investment Officer Briefing - Dan Bienvenue	10
3. Action Consent Items - Dan Bienvenue	15
a. Approval of the November 13, 2023, Investment Committee Timed Agenda	
b. Approval of the September 18, 2023, Investment Committee Open Session Meeting Minutes	
4. Information Consent Items - Dan Bienvenue	16
a. Annual Calendar Review	
b. Draft Agenda for the March 11, 2024, Investment Committee Meeting	
c. Quarterly Update - Affiliates Performance and Risk	
d. Quarterly Update - Investment Controls	
e. Disclosure of Placement Agent Fees and Material Violations	
f. Report on Alternative Investments (AB 2833) Fee Disclosure (Government Code Section 7514.7)	
g. Report to the California Legislature: Iran	
h. Report to the California Legislature: Northern Ireland	
i. CalPERS for California Report	
5. Action Agenda Items	
a. Revisions to the CalPERS Investment Policy for Insider Trading - Second Reading - Amy Deming	21
b. Revisions to the Total Fund Policy: Governance and Sustainability Principles - Second Reading - Peter Cashion, Tamara Sells	26
c. Emerging and Diverse Manager Report to the Legislature (AB 890) - Peter Cashion	48
6. Information Agenda Items	
a. Quarterly Chief Investment Officer Report - Dan Bienvenue	61
b. Mid-Cycle Public Employees' Retirement Fund Asset Liability Management Review - Scott Terando, Michele Nix, Sterling Gunn	71

INDEX CONTINUED

	<u>PAGE</u>
c. Total Fund Portfolio Management Annual Program Review – Sterling Gunn	130
d. CalPERS Sustainable Investments 2030 Strategy – Peter Cashion	152
e. Responsible Contractor Policy Annual Review – Tamara Sells	237
f. Consultant Review of CalPERS Divestments – Lauren Gellhaus, Wilshire Advisors	250
g. Summary of Committee Direction – Dan Bienvenue	255
h. Public Comment	255
7. Adjournment of the Meeting	256
Reporter's Certificate	257

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Good morning. It's 9 a.m.
3 and I want to call the Investment Committee meeting to
4 order. And we've got quite an agenda today, so it's going
5 to be quite a long meeting. And I welcome everyone and,
6 well, thank you for your patience.

7 I'm going to start off with the usual things.
8 But after roll call, I'm going to probably take things in
9 a little different order than usual, and so I'll explain
10 that then.

11 So we'll start off with our roll call.

12 BOARD CLERK TRAN: David Miller?

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Here.

14 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Theresa Taylor?

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Here.

16 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Controller Cohen?

17 Frank Ruffino for Fiona Ma?

18 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Present.

19 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Lisa Middleton?

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Present.

21 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Eraina Ortega?

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER ORTEGA: Here.

23 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Jose Luis Pacheco?

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Present.

25 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Kevin Palkki?

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER PALKKI: Good morning.

2 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Ramón Rubalcava?

3 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Present.

4 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Yvonne Walker?

5 COMMITTEE MEMBER WALKER: Here.

6 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Mullissa Willette?

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Here.

8 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Dr. Gail Willis?

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

10 I know we've got a long agenda today. And I want
11 to jump to a portion of the public comment. I believe we
12 have here UNITE HERE! Local 11.

13 Looks like I have a large group of folks here to
14 speak with us. And so I'd like to give them the
15 opportunity to do their public commenting first. And then
16 if they want to remain for the additional five hours or so
17 of our exciting agenda, they're more than welcome. We'd
18 love to have them.

19 And I'm going ask, because we have so many
20 people, I want -- I don't know how many actually want to
21 come up and make public comment. So I'll just explain a
22 little how that works.

23 If you want to speak, you'll have 3 minutes on
24 the clock. You'll see the clock will start when you start
25 to speak and introduce yourself. And if you need a

1 translator, we'll have an additional 3 minutes for
2 translation.

3 I would ask that if you're making comments that
4 are more or less the similar or the same as the person who
5 has preceded you or the persons who've preceded you, try
6 to keep it brief. It's perfectly fine to just refer to
7 the points they've made and your support for them.

8 And with that, we'll start having you come up.
9 You'll be seated in these last two seats to my left down
10 here in front of the dais.

11 We also will have one of our staffers available
12 taking some photos. I understand you wanted some to -- we
13 really can't accommodate a different seating arrangement
14 to allow for photography, but our staff will be taking
15 some photos. So we'll make those available to you as
16 well.

17 So I will leave it to you to sort out the order
18 you want to come up. And then we will have the remainder
19 of public commenting at the normal time at the end. And
20 so after UNITE HERE! is finished, we'll then go into our
21 regular agenda from there.

22 So welcome.

23 JORDAN FEIN: Good morning. My name's Jordan
24 Fein. I'm a lead research analyst with UNITE HERE! Local
25 11. We applaud CalPERS' trustees and staff for your work

1 instituting labor principles and a dedicated section of
2 the CalPERS governance is sustainable -- sustainability
3 principles document.

4 Four months after hotel workers began rolling
5 waves of strikes against Southern California properties,
6 hoteliers have failed to settle fair contracts, and their
7 desperation is exposing CalPERS to escalating the ESG
8 risks.

9 During the last negotiation session, Aimbridge
10 Hospitality and other hoteliers enraged hotel workers,
11 offering no new money for wages, pensions or health
12 insurance.

13 The hotel industry, as you'll hear today, has
14 left no stone unturned in its desperate search for strike
15 breakers. Last month the Los Angeles Times reported that
16 migrant workers bussed from Texas in a political stunt by
17 Republican Governor Greg Abbott were found working at Los
18 Angeles County hotels during a recent strike. Many of the
19 workers were unhoused living at a homeless shelter in skid
20 row in Los Angeles.

21 The LA Times article reported that Los Angeles
22 District Attorney George Gascón was launching an
23 investigation in response to concerns about potential wage
24 theft and violations of child labor law. Two of these
25 hotels where unhoused refugees were found working, the

1 Holiday Inn LAX and the Pasadena Hilton, are operated by
2 Aimbridge Hospitality, which is owned by Advent
3 International through a fund that received investment from
4 CalPERS.

5 As reported by the LA Times, the 17-year-old
6 student at Belmont High School who requested anonymity
7 said he skipped two days of school to clean rooms at the
8 Holiday Inn LAX. He and his mother, who also secured work
9 as a housekeeper at the hotel, received payment via
10 banking upsell from a staffing agency called the RES
11 Staffing Services, Inc. Our union and your fund's
12 interests are aligned.

13 Strikes and alleged child labor and wage theft
14 threaten returns in the short term and long term.
15 Bloomberg reported earlier this month that
16 Blackstone-backed PSSI saw its earnings halved after its
17 recent child labor scandal.

18 CalPERS trustees and staff, please urge Advent to
19 thoroughly investigate allegations of child labor and wage
20 theft and resolve the labor disputes at Southern
21 California hotels.

22 Further, Blackstone has seen workers strike two
23 Southern California hotels and one Arizona hotel owned by
24 funds unaffiliated with CalPERS and also faces unfair
25 labor practice charges for firing a union supporter. We

1 urge you to resolve the firm -- to urge the firm to
2 resolve these issues and work to guarantee labor peace
3 across its portfolio.

4 Now I'd like Sebastian.

5 And Ronald's going to translate.

6 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

7 SEBASTIAN ATENCION: Sir, hello. My name is
8 Sebastian. I come from Venezuela. I come to this country
9 with my family to seek a better quality of life. I'm
10 looking for a better scenario in a country where human
11 rights are respected.

12 We arrived at the shelter on skid row, and within
13 a week we received a text notice that the agency was
14 looking for hotel workers. I applied and was hired within
15 a few days. I started working at the Meridian Delfina.

16 I entered a situation where I didn't even know
17 which agency was hiring me, how much I was going to earn, how
18 many hours I was going to work, much less my rights as a
19 worker.

20 Here I worked without a break, without taking
21 anything -- a lunch break to eat. And I did the job of
22 three or four people.

23 I then went to work at another hotel where they
24 hired me to wash dishes. But they also made me clean the
25 bathrooms during the same shift, something I felt violated

1 the hygiene protocol.

2 At the end of my job I received a check without
3 any information on how much I was making per hour.

4 I hope to find a stable job that can give me
5 peace of mind as a person and as a father.

6 Thank you to Local 11 for supporting me, and I
7 hope you could tell the hotels to treat us with respect.

8 Thank you.

9 NORELIS VARGAS: Good morning. My name is
10 Norelis Vargas. I am from Venezuela, and earlier this
11 year I traveled through the jungles of Panama, Costa Rica,
12 Nicaragua, and Honduras, to cross the border at Piedras
13 Negras in Texas.

14 This was a very difficult journey with my kids,
15 who are 15 years old, 10-year old and 2-year old, a trip
16 that took a month and a half. We had to cross the Rio
17 Bravo, and I almost lost my son to the current of the
18 river. This was a very difficult trip and many people
19 didn't survive the trip.

20 I came to Los Angeles on June 17th, 2023, because
21 I have a relative in Long Beach. Since then I have been
22 staying in the shelter in skid row. And someone there
23 gave me the number of the hotel agency.

24 I have worked at Los Angeles Hotel for the agency
25 for about two weeks. These include the Pasadena Hilton

1 operated by Aimbridge and Four Points by LAX.

2 One day at the Pasadena Hilton I worked for
3 12-and-a-half hours with only one break. There were 14 or
4 15 other temporary workers with me. When I got to the
5 hotel there was no meeting or trainers. We just got
6 there, took a radio, key, and went to clean. I was
7 then -- I was paid with a handwritten check, but I did not
8 receive any information on how much I was going to earn
9 per hour.

10 It was hard work at the hotel, but I had to do it
11 to provide my for kids. I also did not like that there
12 were workers picketing outside the hotel when I worked
13 there.

14 I started meeting with Local 11, and I learned
15 that the workers at these hotels are fighting for better
16 pay, benefits and respect. I don't want to hurt those
17 workers or their fight or stop them from getting what they
18 deserve.

19 I am also learning about my rights as a worker in
20 the United States, and that the companies have to follow
21 the law, pay us fairly and treat us with dignity.

22 I am asking for what is right. The hotel
23 shouldn't use us to break the strike. They should give
24 Local 11 members a fair contract and hire myself and other
25 temporary workers as permanent employees.

1 Thank you for listening to my story. Please tell
2 these companies to do the right thing and support us.

3 Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And thank you.

5 MARGARITA CAZARES: Good morning. My name is
6 Margarita Cazares. I am working in the pressing
7 department at the Pasadena Hilton.

8 I am proud to be a member of UNITE HERE! Local
9 11.

10 I migrated to the United States 32 years ago. I
11 remember what it was like to come to this country alone to
12 have to adapt to a new country and to not know the
13 language.

14 I was in a vulnerable position, but I was
15 fortunate to have made friends and built some support
16 network I could rely on.

17 I worked hard and sure that I could realize my
18 dream of supporting my mother back in Mexico.

19 I am a fighter. I left the Pasadena Hilton 15
20 years ago and I decided to come back because with a strong
21 union we have a right to fight for what we deserve. That
22 is why I've been on strike with my co-workers over the
23 summer.

24 However, we fight for all workers. And that
25 means the vulnerable workers that the companies take

1 advantage of, like Noreli and Sebastian, who have been
2 exploited and used by the company to break our strike.

3 I'm here with them and my union today to urge you
4 to please do whatever you can to push Advent and
5 Blackstone to resolve the labor dispute and guarantee
6 labor peace.

7 Thank you.

8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

9 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: That's all of
10 our speakers. Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. And I
12 just want to say thank you for coming to speak to us.
13 Thank you for, you know, your courage and your willingness
14 to get up here. I know it's always a little nerve
15 wracking even for me being up here in front of cameras and
16 speaking. But I appreciate it and respect the solidarity
17 and the determination you've shown. Thank you.

18 Okay. So we're now going to go into our regular
19 agenda. We'll also be taking other public comments as we
20 go through the agenda. We made an exception because we
21 had this very large group today. And we wanted to give
22 them an opportunity because they've traveled a long way.

23 So we will go ahead. And our next order of
24 business is the executive report.

25 So I'll call on Mr. Bienvenue.

1 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Good
2 morning, Mr. Chair and members of the committee. It's a
3 pleasure to be with you here this morning and again be
4 serving as the interim Chief Investment Officer.

5 I also want to take a second to assure you that
6 the Investment Office is still firing on all cylinders in
7 executing on our strategy.

8 I'll share more on that in a second. But before
9 I do I also want to take a second to thank Amy Deming at
10 my right for joining me up here today.

11 Amy leads the investment compliance and
12 operational risk function at CalPERS, really giving her a
13 broad and overarching purview of the Investment Office.
14 So this, coupled with her being responsible for Total Fund
15 Policy, and also many of the reports that come to the
16 board on a quarterly basis, really make her the right
17 person to be up here with me both to answer questions but
18 also to take any chair-directed items. So I just want to
19 thank Amy for stepping up and helping.

20 Now, I mentioned a second ago that we were open
21 for business and executing on our strategy. And I just
22 really want to be very clear, not only to you, the
23 Committee, but also to our stakeholders in the industry
24 broadly, that we are full speed ahead as a team.

25 Immediately after being asked to take on the

1 interim CIO role, the questions began to come in of
2 whether we're going to back away from some of our areas of
3 focus. And I want to be really clear that the answer to
4 that question is no. And that's a no from the whole
5 leadership team.

6 The strategy that we're executing on was
7 developed by the entire Investment Office leadership team,
8 the talented folks sitting behind me in this auditorium
9 and others. The team developed and owns the strategy
10 together, so the strategy hasn't change, and we really are
11 leaning in.

12 So if the question is has our focus on private
13 assets, including private equity, private debt, real
14 assets and infrastructure, changed, the answer is no. Has
15 our focus on diversifying our private assets to broaden
16 out to include private debt, to include growth and venture
17 on the private equity side, again if the question is has
18 that changed, the answer is no.

19 Have we changed our focus on co-investments? No.

20 Have we taken our foot off the accelerator on our
21 five business objectives for this fiscal year, those being
22 pension fund resiliency, our sustainable investment
23 strategy, stakeholder communications, our people strategy,
24 and operation of excellence; and again the answer is no,
25 no, no, no, no and no.

1 So I really want to thank the Investment Office
2 team for continuing to lead into the strategy and to
3 execute on it to the fullest of our ability. I also want
4 to thank Marcie and the executive team. And I want to
5 thank you, the Board, for your continued support, both of
6 myself and of the Investment Office team, as we execute on
7 this strategy, as we really do believe this strategy will
8 drive future investment success.

9 And I think our leaning into the strategy will be
10 evident as you look at the agenda today, which takes me to
11 the very fulsome agenda that you alluded to, Mr. Chair.

12 We lead off with three action items:

13 The first is a second reading of proposed
14 revisions to our Insider Trading Policy that originally
15 came before this committee in June.

16 The second is a second reading of our revisions
17 to our Total Fund Investment Policy, specifically within
18 the Governance and Sustainability Principles,
19 incorporating and consolidating labor principles that were
20 discussed in September.

21 And third is our Emerging and Diverse Manager
22 Report to the Legislature, being responsive to AB 890.

23 From there we move on to our Information items:

24 Item 6a is our quarterly Chief Investment
25 Officer's Report, providing brief notes on the economy and

1 market conditions over the last quarter, and the
2 associated performance both for the quarter but, more
3 importantly, over the longer term.

4 And that feeds nicely into Item 6b, which is the
5 Mid-Cycle Review of our Asset Liability Management Work,
6 and specifically the setting of the Strategic Asset
7 Allocation.

8 And of course we know from our investment beliefs
9 that Strategic Asset Allocation is a dominant driver of
10 portfolio risk and return. And the current Strategy Asset
11 Allocation was set in November of 2021. So now it's time
12 for our mid-cycle review. And this review really has been
13 a team effort between the Financial Office, the Investment
14 Office and the Actuarial Office. And I'm greatly pleased
15 and appreciative not only of the analysis, but also the
16 collaboration and teamwork on this body of work. So this
17 will be a joint presentation by Michele Nix, Sterling
18 Gunn, and Scott Terando.

19 From there Item 6c the year.

20 And after this we move on to another exciting
21 item, that being our Sustainable Investments 2030
22 strategy, which will be presented by Peter Cashion and
23 team. And today we'll talk a bit more into the key
24 elements of the strategy, including our commitment to
25 investing over a hundred billion dollars towards climate

1 solutions by 2030, with a focus on generating
2 outperformance. We think this is an ambitious strategy.
3 But we think it's achievable, and it's one we're very
4 excited about.

5 After that discussion Tamara Sells will provide
6 our annual review of the Responsible Contractor policy,
7 followed by Lauren Gellhaus from Wilshire Advisors
8 providing their annual analysis on the economic impact of
9 CalPERS' divestments.

10 And that concludes my opening remarks, Mr. Chair.
11 So with that I'll turn it back to you to take any
12 questions or to take us through the agenda.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

14 I don't see any questions on the item, so we'll
15 move to our action consent items.

16 The pleasure of the Committee?

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Move approval.

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Move.

19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Moved by Theresa Taylor,
20 seconded by Mr. Pacheco.

21 All in favor, aye.

22 (Ayes.)

23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any nays?

24 No abstentions?

25 Motion carries.

1 Move on to our information consent items.

2 I have a request to pull Item 4I. Otherwise
3 we'll be passing by those other ones.

4 So let's move to item 4i, the CalPERS for
5 California Report.

6 Mr. Pacheco.

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes, thank you. Thank
8 you very much.

9 So I've got a couple questions. First of all,
10 thank you very much for this California -- CalPERS for the
11 California report. I found it really illuminating about
12 all the various things that we've been doing with respect
13 to our fund and how our fund impacts in a positive manner
14 on our economy.

15 There was one area that I was really fascinated
16 by, which was on page 11 of 23 of the report. And let me
17 read you what I thought. You know, from your
18 perspective -- I wanted to know with respect to the real
19 estate assets investments in California, it created about
20 134,583 jobs as of March 31st, 2022. From you're
21 experience, what sort of trend do you see here in job
22 growth from the real estate asset class investment
23 California, specifically in the apartment and industrial
24 area? And if you can answer that first question there.

25 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

1 We've asked Tamara Sells to join us, who was
2 responsible for coordinating the preparation of this
3 policy. So, Tamara, I'll leave that one to you, please.

4 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Thank you.
5 Good morning. Tamara Sells, Associate Investment Manager,
6 Sustainable Investments.

7 Chair and members, I appreciate you having me
8 here today.

9 So to answer your question in respect to the real
10 estate investments, what we've seen so far in terms of
11 trends have only been for the last two years of data since
12 we've started with the new vendor. So I just wanted to be
13 clear there. We've started contracting with Tideline for
14 the 2021 report. And so they've just done the 2022
15 report. So we have two years of clean data with the new
16 vendor. So right now, it would probably be a bit
17 premature to really speak to trends for just two years'
18 data. But, however, going forward, we are excited to see
19 exactly how those trends are changing, particularly as
20 we're coming out of the pandemic recovery.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Fantastic then.

22 So I have another question.

23 So on the conclusion of the page of the -- so
24 about two years ago CalPERS approved the increasing of our
25 strategic asset allocation to about 15 percent in the real

1 estate, from 13 percent. And in private equity from 8
2 percent to 5 percent. And in private debt we went from --
3 you know, from 0 to 5 percent.

4 Sorry. For the real estate it was 13 to 15;
5 that's right. So I'm -- excuse me for my speaking.

6 So in your opinion, although the multiplier
7 effect exhibit here is generating an estimated additional
8 41.6 billion in economic activity in 2022 in California,
9 theoretically if additional asset allocation is made to
10 the private market -- let's see, let me just keep my
11 thoughts here. In the Private market investments would
12 we -- we would perhaps see additional economic activity in
13 California providing not only significant accelerate
14 benefits in terms of job creation but also attractive
15 rates return in the long run. If you can elaborate on
16 that. Because I know it's just a snapshot in the 2022,
17 and that's where we started our allocation. And I know
18 it's very -- very, very, very, very now, but we did
19 increase to 13 percent in private equity and we did
20 increase the allocation to 15 percent in real estate. So
21 if you all can elaborate on that a little bit, that would
22 be great.

23 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Mr.
24 Pacheco, I'll take a first crack at that if that's all
25 right. And then I'll let Taylor add anything that she

1 would like to.

2 Yes, we think that as we lean into private
3 markets that will actually add more activity in
4 California. And that's for two main reasons. Number one,
5 private markets tend to be smaller companies, and smaller
6 companies tend to be the largest employers of companies in
7 this country. So as we add private assets, we think that
8 we're actually going to add sort of overall employment
9 improvement. And that will be our impact. And of course
10 ours is a small impact. It's a big industry. But as we
11 add private assets, we think that we're generally adding
12 to employment.

13 Secondly, much of the private assets,
14 specifically private equity, especially in the growth and
15 venture areas and as we diversify that part of the
16 portfolio, much of that is in California, so again, that
17 too would sort of underscore our impact in California. If
18 that helps.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Fantastic then.

20 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Just one
21 other point on that too. For private debt you'll notice
22 that they're data is not specifically called out in the
23 private markets data, and that's because they're inception
24 date as an asset class was after the effective date of
25 this report, which is June 30th. So going forward, we do

1 anticipate adding private debt and their ancillary
2 benefits as well.

3 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Will we also be able
4 to track the multiplier effect, which is again the \$41.6
5 billion? You know, again it's just a snapshot. But
6 perhaps in future reports if it's possible, perhaps it's
7 showing a trend, you know, that would be interesting to
8 notice, because it would show that as we are, you know,
9 what we see now with the allocation increased, we should
10 also see hopefully an increase in the multiplier effect in
11 our State of California.

12 So I don't know if that's possible.

13 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Noted.
14 Thank you.

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you.

16 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

17 Agreed. And I would agree with you both in terms
18 of our leaning private. But then also as the portfolio
19 gets bigger, you should see both of those cause and
20 accelerant in that impact and the multiplier effect.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Very good. Thank you
22 very much for your comments. I appreciate that very, very
23 much. Again, the report was very, very informative, and I
24 look forward to seeing more of it next year. Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

1 I see no more questions on those information
2 items. That concludes Item 4.

3 Now we'll move to our action agenda items. We
4 have three action agenda items. These are items that
5 we'll be taking a vote on. For each of these we'll have
6 the presentation and discussion. I've also got multiple
7 requests for public comment relating to these items.
8 We'll take those public comments for each item before we
9 vote on those items. And so I just want to let everybody
10 know if you're waiting to speak on these specific items,
11 I've got a number of you, and we won't miss your
12 opportunity.

13 So we'll start with 5a, the revisions to the
14 CalPERS Investment Policy for Insider Trading.

15 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Yes.
16 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Item 5a is a second reading of the
17 Insider Trading Policy that originally came in June. And
18 so Amy Deming will be leading us through that item.

19 So Amy.

20 INVESTMENT DIRECTOR DEMING: Thank you. Amy
21 Deming, CalPERS team member.

22 We're seeking approval from the Investment
23 Committee today regarding the changes to the Insider
24 Trading Policy. This is the second read of the changes
25 that we've presented in June, as Dan mentioned.

1 In the materials you'll see a red-line version
2 and a clean version of the policy. We received board
3 direction in June to reach out to our unions and
4 understand if they have any concerns. I'm happy to report
5 back that we did so and that there were no concerns
6 raised.

7 In summary, the most material change to the
8 Insider Trading Policy is to allow the Investment Office
9 to implement information barriers. Because we have a
10 large allocation to private investments and therefore do
11 many private deals, we obtain material non-public
12 information on a day-to-day basis.

13 While it's important to evaluate that information
14 for our private deals, we need to make sure that
15 information is not in the hands of a team member who
16 transacts in the public stocks or bonds of the same
17 company.

18 The current approach is to restrict public teams
19 from transacting when a private team has material
20 non-public information. And this puts us at a
21 disadvantage.

22 The preferred approach is to create information
23 barriers that we do not need to restrict the public teams.
24 This is common practice for asset managers and asset
25 owners just like ourselves.

1 To summarize, our proposal will satisfy two
2 objectives: Number one, it will ensure that our public
3 market teams are able to trade on the public information
4 to achieve the plan's goals; and, two, it will reduce risk
5 because an information barrier framework ensures its
6 sensitive information is tightly safeguarded, and
7 therefore it reduces the risk for the entire organization.

8 And with that, I'm happy to answer any questions
9 that you may have.

10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Pacheco.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes.

12 Thank you again for that report for the -- for
13 this Insider Trading Policy.

14 I wanted to ask if -- what is the timeline these
15 physical and technological walls will be in place to
16 mitigate any insider trading related compliance risks
17 and/or conflict of interest after we pass the policy?

18 INVESTMENT DIRECTOR DEMING: So we spent the last
19 couple of months anticipating this change. Some folks
20 have moved their desks so that we had physical segregation
21 between public and private. We've put into place
22 technological barriers as well, such that, you know,
23 shared drives are separated by teams, so that the public
24 equity team, for example, doesn't have access to the
25 private equity team's drives. And so this is just good

1 hygiene anyways, but this has been put into place in the
2 last several months and without many hic -- without any
3 hiccups, I would say.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Wonderful.

5 INVESTMENT DIRECTOR DEMING: And so maybe to more
6 directly answer your question, the timeline is we're ready
7 to go ahead with the Board's approval today.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Excellent. That's all
9 I wanted to know.

10 Thank you very much.

11 INVESTMENT DIRECTOR DEMING: Great.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

13 Seeing no more requests from the Board to speak.
14 So at this point I'll call with comment from Mr. J.J.
15 Jelincic. If you would come up. And as you know, the
16 clock will start when you introduce yours and begin to
17 speak.

18 J.J. JELINCIC: Is it on?

19 Yeah.

20 J.J. Jelincic, beneficiary.

21 This is the kind of bad staff work that you
22 consistently accept from your agents and even reward with
23 bonuses and promotions. As you know, material non-public
24 information does not have an infinite shelf life. Over
25 time it either becomes immaterial or public. The proposed

1 policy provides that the Legal Office can add companies to
2 the list. However, it's strips the Legal Office of its
3 authority and responsibility to remove companies from the
4 list. In fact, no one has the authority or obligation to
5 remove companies from the list. It's like the Hotel
6 California; you can check in but you never check out.

7 The policy forbids restricted persons from buying
8 or selling a company on the restricted list either
9 personally or on behalf of the system. I assume you know
10 that restricted person includes everyone in the Investment
11 Office. No one would be able to trade a restricted
12 company on behalf of the system.

13 As a result, the revision doesn't meet its stated
14 goals, and I have to wonder what the real reason is. Your
15 agents acting on your direction remove the current grounds
16 for exceptions. They replace them with, quote, Exceptions
17 will be consistent with the affirmative defenses provided
18 for under Rule 10B5-1C, unquote.

19 Those exceptions all require a prefiled trading
20 plan. As you know, neither you, the system, nor your
21 staff, i.e., your agents, file a 10B5 plan.

22 The restricted list is secret. So there's no way
23 the Board, any beneficiary, or even most insiders could
24 monitor compliance with the policy. It's yet another
25 example of CalPERS' famous so-called transparency.

1 You know that if an employee pushes for unwanted
2 enforcement, they're subject to discipline. I ask you to
3 send the proposal back again and get it right.

4 Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

6 I don't have any other public comments on this
7 item. So at this point, seeing no further questions from
8 the Board, any further discussion?

9 Okay. I'll call for the question.

10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Motion.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Second.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. We've got a motion by
13 Director Pacheco, seconded by President Taylor.

14 So all in favor, aye.

15 (Ayes.)

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any noes?

17 Any abstentions?

18 Okay. The ayes have it. The motion passes.

19 So now we'll move on to 5b, Revisions to the
20 Total Fund Policy, Governance and Sustainability
21 Principles.

22 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

23 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

24 This item is also a second reading. This one was
25 originally brought before the Board in September. And

1 this entails a consolidation and incorporation of the
2 labor principles into CalPERS Governance and
3 Sustainability Principles and that portion of the Total
4 Fund Policy.

5 So for this one we have Peter Cashion and Tamara
6 Sells joining us again. And I'll turn it over to Peter to
7 take us through the item.

8 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Thank you,
9 Dan. And pleasure to be with you, Board. Peter Cashion,
10 Managing Investment Director, Sustainable Investments.

11 In September we presented to you the labor
12 principles. Now we're here for a second reading to the
13 revision and -- revision to the governance and
14 sustainability principles. This is an action item.

15 In your packet you've received three attachments.
16 The first two are the clean and black-line version of the
17 document. The third is a memo from Wilshire in which they
18 agreed that the changes are additive and incorp -- and
19 properly incorporated into the governance and
20 sustainability principles.

21 We're happy to answer any questions that you may
22 have.

23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I'm seeing questions
24 from Director Willette.

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you so much. I

1 was just to say thank you to staff again for this update.
2 I think this revision is really important to ensure our
3 investments are sound to meet the needs of our
4 beneficiaries.

5 I'm looking forward to implementation, including
6 receiving updates in closed session, any issues that come
7 up, so we can assess the risk within our fiduciary
8 obligation. I think that'd be great to get staff's report
9 in open session in June as to how it's working, what's
10 been going on.

11 And through the Chair, if possible, I'd like to
12 ask staff to follow up on the issues raised earlier in
13 public session of the airport -- or to the hotel workers
14 so we can make sure we continue to protect the interests
15 of the funds and our beneficiaries.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, I consider those both
17 action items.

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Next I have Director
20 Middleton.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank
22 you.

23 Thank you for the broad policy, and I think it is
24 something that is working in the right direction. But to
25 be very specific, this morning we received testimony

1 regarding one of our investment organizations that if the
2 testimony is true, and I have absolutely no reason to
3 doubt it, it would indicate some extremely terrible labor
4 practices, taking advantage of individuals that are among
5 the most vulnerable that we have. So can you outline for
6 us what steps staff believes is appropriate in terms of
7 follow-up to what we heard today and what consequences may
8 this have?

9 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Thank you.
10 As you know in September and in July we presented our
11 engagement five-step plan when there is a possible breach
12 of any of our principles or practice -- principles.

13 So we follow that for all of the concerns that
14 are raised.

15 In the specific case of the hotels, this has been
16 in our process for quite some time and led internally by
17 Tamara. And we -- so this is already very much on our
18 radar, we receiving new information even today. We've
19 been in dialogue with the -- with the private equity firm,
20 and so we're following it very closely. There was
21 limitations on what the firm could share with us at this
22 point, but we're sure to raise this topic with them based
23 on the information we've received.

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: So I appreciate
25 that. And I think we need to be very clear about the

1 depth of the abuse that appears to have taken place here.
2 We have immigrants, asylum seekers that are being used, to
3 strike breakers. We have allegations of child labor. And
4 in previous conversations that I've had, we have
5 allegations of playing one ethnic group off another in a
6 strike situation.

7 These are not the kind of practices that CalPERS
8 can support and endorse.

9 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Definitely
10 agree.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I have Director
13 Rubalcava.

14 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

15 I too want to commend the other -- the staff for
16 this work having labor principles as a go-to section is
17 very welcome. And I think it sets CalPERS aside to show
18 that we're -- want to be up front, that we do care about
19 the capital, the labor capital. And I joined with my
20 colleagues in saying we want to see this labor principle,
21 say, in practice and the engagement is very important to
22 us. So thank you very much. I look forward to the
23 reports and implementation updates. Thank you very much.

24 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

25 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All right.

1 Director Pacheco.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you.

3 I also want to join in with my colleagues as well
4 with respect to these labor principles. You know, I feel
5 that they are -- they are incredible in terms of their
6 focus, where they're placed, and making sure that they
7 bring out the sustainable principles, the information --
8 the references with respect to the International Labor
9 organization, a UN agency, and also referencing the
10 American Investment Council's principles of sustainable
11 investing references as well. So that they know that
12 we're utilizing their own principles for them to -- that
13 they signed on to that they have to abide for with respect
14 to freedom of association and elimination of all forced
15 compensatory labor and effective abolishment of child
16 labor, period.

17 I do want to just come back to the hotel. I know
18 that you guys were stating that it is -- that we're having
19 dialogue with the private equity and we're having dialogue
20 with the unions. And I know the process as we mentioned I
21 think last time was that the engagement process is --
22 there's -- it's a loop. And I'm just wondering, do you
23 see any chance of it closing soon or is it going to take a
24 lot longer in your opinion? I mean -- because we never
25 really finished that conversation on how that loop was to

1 resolve itself.

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, let's not get too far
3 astray. So I have a brief response on that one.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Sure, sure.

5 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: I'll just
6 open up to say that we are -- in the five-step process,
7 we're at step number 4.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay.

9 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: And
10 it's -- it's hard to -- in many cases it will come to a
11 clear conclusion. In others it takes more time. I think
12 this one falls into the latter camp, in part because the
13 labor dispute is ongoing. Which is -- also makes
14 information sharing a bit -- is quite restrictive.
15 Parties can't share if there's kind of active negotiations
16 ongoing.

17 But clearly on this topic, we will, you know,
18 have it top of our agenda and will -- as soon as we have
19 information that can be shared, we will.

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's -- thank you
21 very much for that information. Appreciate it.

22 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. And now I have Frank
23 Ruffino for Fiona Ma.

24 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Thank you, Mr.
25 Chair. I just wanted to add to the comments. The support

1 of the treasurer, Treasurer Ma, is inspired by the effort
2 that has gone into these labor principles to date. And
3 she's optimistic that they can begin to change the way in
4 which businesses engage with labor in our economy. As the
5 treasurer of California, the fair treatment of labor has
6 been and will be an important topic for her. And as a
7 trustee for the two largest pension funds in U.S., she's
8 definitely encouraged that these principles are being
9 spotlighted. She's a supporter of these principles as a
10 tool to make progress in this area, as she appreciates all
11 the work that has gone into them so far.

12 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

14 At this time President Taylor.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

16 I also want to thank you very much, right. We
17 kind of worked hard on this for a while to get this
18 moving, and really appreciate the staff implementing this
19 as a Total Fund policy, which is awesome.

20 One thing I wanted to -- we're talking about
21 coming back -- I think Director Willette asked that we
22 come back in June with kind of a status report. And with
23 that, since we didn't set that up, I was wondering if we
24 could have some sort of plan for how we're going to start
25 measuring a kind of application of this: How this is

1 being measured; how the, you know, the proxy voting's
2 going; or how it's being implemented now, because we
3 didn't have it before, with our private equity firms and
4 our private debt firms?

5 And then in addition, you know, how -- how do we
6 measure success? Is that less people coming to us with,
7 you know, issues like the Blackstone issues or the UFCW
8 issues, with Apollo? Just -- I just kind of wanted to see
9 if we can implement a strategy to make sure that we're
10 getting good data on it. So...

11 But otherwise, thank you guys very much. This is
12 great.

13 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Thank you.
14 Noted in your comments.

15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, I have no requests
16 to -- no more requests from the Board to speak.

17 At this point, I've got quite a few public
18 commenters. And so I'm going to -- I've got a couple
19 different lists that I'm going to have to -- I'll be very
20 careful to make sure we get to everyone.

21 And so first I'll call on Michael Ring from SEIU
22 on item 5b. And then followed by -- oh, okay. We've also
23 got -- I've got several. Let's see. There are a number
24 of people with you, Michael, that are going to speak?

25 MICHAEL RING: Two others.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Two others. Okay.

2 I've got the ones here.

3 Okay. Oh, okay.

4 Okay. If Michael, Elvi, and Kim could come down.

5 And then I'll have you -- I'll let you sort out amongst
6 yourselves who wants to speak and who wants to introduce
7 whom, and whether any translators are needed.

8 MICHAEL RING: Thank you, Dave.

9 KIM IKNER: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
10 Please lend me your ear.

11 My name is Kim Ikner, and I work for Swissport
12 Cargo at Newark Liberty International Airport as a
13 trucking office agent. I've worked there for 7 years.

14 I've seen it all at my work location. I've even
15 lost two co-workers in the last -- past 6 months, of --
16 who actually died at the cargo building for various
17 reasons. It's been tough for me personally because I also
18 sustained a work-related injury.

19 Our cargo area is infested with mice and roaches
20 in the area of which I work. We've had so many health and
21 safety issues other than that as well. It's freezing in
22 the wintertime, and it's really hot in the summer. It's
23 tough work and I don't feel respected at all since this
24 company can't even keep us in a safe environment.

25 Despite -- excuse me.

1 Despite the importance of airport service workers
2 like myself to the aviation industry, we face unsafe
3 working conditions; don't have the pay and benefits we
4 need; we don't have a voice on the job, totally
5 disrespected. That's why I started organizing for a union
6 at my airport.

7 The poor labor practices by Swissport entities
8 not only hurt workers but it posed a reputational and
9 financial risk to all investors.

10 CNN and the Wall Street Journal have featured
11 Swissport entities and stories about the rise in workplace
12 injuries suffered by our workers. The CEO even said on
13 record that Swissport wasn't prepared for travel coming
14 out of the pandemic. And I've worked through the
15 pandemic.

16 According to our database, CalPERS invests in two
17 companies that co-own Swissport international AG. CalPERS
18 is also a shareholder of some airlines that Swissport
19 entities provide services to.

20 For the aviation industry to run smoothly,
21 airport workers must have safe working conditions, living
22 wages and benefits, and the freedom to organize.

23 This will help reduce massive turnover, improve
24 staffing, and create a better passenger experience, which
25 in turn adds value for investors and lowers risk. Workers

1 and the fund share the same interests here.

2 We ask sincerely that you ask your investment
3 managers who own Swissport to protect the workers' and
4 CalPERS' interests and tell them that the workers need a
5 safe and healthy workplace where we are allowed to
6 organize for our union. We know we can do our jobs better
7 when we have a fair contract and that it is in the best
8 interests of both workers and investors.

9 I thank you very much for listening and will now
10 pass it over to my co-worker to tell you more about what
11 it's like to work at Swissport.

12 Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

14 ELVIS SENGABI LUBEGA: Good morning, everyone.
15 Thank you, guys, so much for having me. My name is Elvis
16 and I'm also an employee of Swissport. I'm from Boston
17 Logan International Airport and I'm --

18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And if you could get a
19 little closer to the mic, sir.

20 ELVIS SENGABI LUBEGA: Sorry. Again, I'm -- I
21 just want to thank you guys for giving me the opportunity
22 to actually like come here. And this does mean a lot for
23 me to actually like be able to speak up on the -- from my
24 working -- from my job.

25 I love my job and I love what I do. I do love

1 the airport -- I do like the airport and to take care of
2 it. And I take a little pride in the job. I love working
3 around the aircraft and helping passengers get to their
4 destinations.

5 I've been working for Swissport for a year and
6 I've just -- and I've just seen a lot of issues with the
7 company. Management treats us any way they feel like --
8 they feel like. We are often moved around and hours
9 are -- hours get switched or cut short for that -- for
10 that reason.

11 It's a very high turnover rate at Swissport, and
12 that means our training isn't great and we are very
13 understaffed. This isn't good for safety and our
14 equipment isn't good either. Recently, co-workers
15 actually failed -- filed and complaint and Swissport was
16 fined more than \$34,000. The e is still open as we speak
17 right now.

18 My job is hard and very dangerous and I shouldn't
19 have -- and I shouldn't have to make the tough decisions
20 working for Swissport. I can barely afford my rent and I
21 can and even -- and I even live far away from the airport
22 to find a cheaper place to live. I have to send money
23 back home to my mother in my home country in Uganda. So I
24 can barely -- I can barely survive on my wages I'm earning
25 right now.

1 I know my co-workers have similar struggles. We
2 need to work -- we need to work at a place that respects
3 us and pays us what we are worth. We need a union.

4 Thank you guys so much for hearing me now and I
5 really do appreciate the time for -- I do appreciate
6 everything.

7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

8 MICHAEL RING: Good morning, Investment
9 Committee, Chair Miller. Michael Ring with the Service
10 Employees International Union.

11 I want to thank the Board and the staff for your
12 fiduciary work to ensure CalPERS' investments are grounded
13 in sustainable, repeatable investment practices and
14 policies.

15 As the testimony from Kim and Elvis indicates,
16 the workers can't do their jobs safely without fear of
17 intimidation, harassment, or discrimination. They cannot
18 do their best work. Workplaces where workers' rights are
19 not respected leads to risk for investors counting on
20 companies to run their businesses in ways that will
21 deliver sustainable returns for the long term. This is
22 particularly true given the comments we've heard in the
23 work of these workers in the airline industry, which
24 obviously safety is critical to all of our interests.

25 In that context we want to offer enthusiastic

1 support for the adoption of labor principles that you're
2 considering on this item. We encourage you to communicate
3 the importance of the rigorous application of these
4 principles to your investment partners including those
5 investing on your behalf and the companies the workers
6 have referenced today.

7 We sincerely appreciate the work of the
8 Sustainable Investment Office and the entire Investment
9 Office in putting these principles together and vigorously
10 working to put together an engagement process that was
11 referenced earlier.

12 The rights across workers across the economy to a
13 healthy, productive workplace aligns with CalPERS'
14 long-term interest in a sound, healthy economy, in which
15 you can invest 450-, 500-, soon to be 600-, hopefully \$700
16 billion. We appreciate the staff and the Board's
17 recognition of this alignment and encourage CalPERS to
18 continue to show its fiduciary leadership and work with
19 companies, regulators, its investment partners and all
20 stakeholders across financial markets, to contribute to
21 economy-wide labor market standards that protect the
22 interests of workers, investors and plan participants.

23 Thank you very much.

24 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much.

25 Appreciate you coming in, sharing your thoughts with us.

1 Okay. Next I have looks like three commenters
2 from ACCE. Again I'll welcome you to come down.

3 So Barbara Pinto, Iraides Gonzalez, and Patricia
4 Mendoza.

5 And again I'll -- whatever order you'd like to
6 present, I'll leave that the three of you to sort that
7 out.

8 And the time clock will begin when you introduce
9 yourself and begin to speak.

10 BARBARA PINTO: Good morning. My name is Barbara
11 Pinto. I am a resident of San Diego and a member of ACCE,
12 which stands for the Alignment of Californians for
13 Community Empowerment. I am a senior citizen, age 77, and
14 I pay almost 80 percent of my fixed income for rent.

15 I'm retired after 35 years of service to the San
16 Diego Unified School District. And at one time I did
17 reside in a Blackstone properties. Within one year that I
18 lived there the rent went up \$200. On a fixed income, I
19 had no choice but to move.

20 Please realize - this was from a reliable
21 source - that by the year 2030, 25 percent of our
22 population will be senior citizens. Many of our members
23 have testified about living in Blackstone properties and
24 have complained about the conditions of mold, roaches,
25 plumbing problems, obviously putting profit before people.

1 I am appalled that this organization would invest
2 in this kind of a company.

3 Please, consider people before profit, please
4 think about things that are -- be more of a service to our
5 communities, including affordable housing.

6 We have things that happen in life. My husband
7 just dropped dead in front of me with a massive heart
8 attack in 2018. I don't have a second income. Unlike
9 many people that are senior citizens, they don't have
10 retirements. I'm lucky to have a modest retirement and my
11 Social Security.

12 Please keep all those things in mind for us for
13 housing. San Diego, as you know, is the highest paying
14 area in the United States for rent at this time.

15 Thank you so much for listening.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

17 INTERPRETER: I'll be translating for her.

18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

19 INTERPRETER: Can you hear me?

20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.

21 IRAIDES GONZALEZ (through interpreter): Hello.

22 My name is Iraides Gonzalez. I'm a single mother of
23 three. I live in San Diego area. I'm a member of ACCE.

24 I live in a Blackstone apartment complex. Since
25 Blackstone bought the apartment complex they've increased

1 my rent by \$300. I pay 75 percent of my income to rent.

2 The reason I'm here today is to tell you to
3 please stop investing any more money with Blackstone.
4 While they become millionaires, people like me with low
5 income, you know - and low income situations are about to
6 become homeless with our children - that should not be
7 happening. Seven years ago I became homeless for the same
8 reason, because I couldn't afford my rent. I no longer
9 want my children to suffer. I'm an active parent in my
10 schools -- in my children's school, and I know lunch
11 ladies and other employees that work at the school that
12 are also struggling to make ends meet to pay their rent,
13 and this is not fair.

14 It is not fair to work during week -- weekends,
15 holidays - holidays where everyone's off and I have to
16 work to pay -- to make ends meet to pay the rent - while
17 Blackstone continues to receive money.

18 Sometimes we even have to limit ourselves with
19 food. We already -- we are already tired of that. We
20 also have the right to be dedicated more -- to dedicate
21 more time to our children. Please, no more investment in
22 Blackstone.

23 Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

25 PATRICIA MENDOZA: And I believe I'm the last

1 one. So thank you.

2 Hi. My name is Patricia Mendoza. I am the
3 statewide organizer with ACCE. ACCE is the Alliance of
4 Californians for Community Empowerment.

5 We are here today to demand you to please stop
6 investing in Blackstone. As a statewide organizer, I get
7 calls every day from tenants who are struggling to keep up
8 with the rising cost of rent. Most people I speak with
9 are paying over 75 -- or are paying over half of their
10 income on rent and struggling to make ends meet. The
11 housing crisis is getting worse every day, and Blackstone
12 is playing a huge role in making things worse.

13 In the past few years, Blackstone has spent
14 millions of dollars to stop rent control and tenant
15 protections for all of us tenants at the local and State
16 level. In 2020 Blackstone was the number one donor
17 against Prop 15, which is Schools and Communities first
18 initiative.

19 Not only are they making the housing crisis
20 worse. They are also using their money to prevent our
21 schools and communities from having the resources they
22 need. Housing is a human right. But for Blackstone it's
23 just a way to make more money. While people in our
24 communities are struggling over -- are struggling to
25 recover from this pandemic, Blackstone continues to make a

1 fortune off the back of us renters. That is why we are
2 here to ask you to please stop any future investments in
3 Blackstone.

4 Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

6 Next I believe we have a caller on the phone for
7 5b.

8 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Yes, Mr. Chair,
9 we have Melissa Reyes from IBEW at this time.

10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Go ahead. We can
11 hear you, caller.

12 MELISSA REYES: All right. Honorable Board
13 members, my name is Melissa Reyes. I'm a lead organizer
14 for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
15 based in North and South Carolina tasked with helping
16 workers form a union and go where it's placed, if so they
17 choose to. Before taking this role I worked as an
18 electrician and as a member of IBEW for 10 years.

19 Today, I'm calling to ask you to consider an
20 important matter, taking a stance on private equity firms
21 that use money to union bust against the kind of support
22 which were organized.

23 CalPERS recently invested 750 million in
24 Brookfield's Infrastructure Fund 5. Westinghouse is a
25 Brookfield manufacturing company that is engaged in an

1 active anti-union campaign with workers at the
2 Westinghouse Columbia Steel Fabrication Facility in
3 Hopkins, South Carolina. But IBEW does not attempt to
4 organize where there's not a genuine interest in
5 organizing the workers. The Columbia Steel Fabrication
6 Facility in Hopkins has been in operation since 1969.
7 While workers recall an interest in organizing that dates
8 back to the eighties, it was not until the company
9 unilaterally and dramatically changed their conditions,
10 wages, and benefits for a large portion of the plant to
11 understand the value of the union contract.

12 Now, we are working with them to file an NLRB
13 election for their workplace. The Westinghouse Specialty
14 Metals Plant in Blairsville, Pennsylvania, manufactures
15 the rods used for the nuclear fuel assembly, and are
16 shipped to Hopkins for final assembly. They have enjoyed
17 a contract with IBEW for almost seven decades. Over the
18 years, workers in Westinghouse at Hopkins have heard about
19 the plant up north having a union contract. But in the
20 state with the lowest union density in the nation, workers
21 are not willing to risk their jobs for a concept not many
22 are educated about.

23 Westinghouse's anti-union campaign has resulted
24 in an unfair labor practice charge consisting of nine
25 pending violations, along with an additional charge I

1 recently filed. These allegations include threats to
2 workers for discussing union matters.

3 We applaud CalPERS for including freedom of
4 association in the effective recognition of the right to
5 collective bargaining in the labor principles that it's
6 considering today.

7 We believe Brookfield and Westinghouse are
8 violating these principles and we ask that you confront
9 with Brookfield and ask that they cease the anti-meeting
10 campaign in Hopkins, South Carolina, commit to neutrality
11 and their recognition of the Westinghouse's employees'
12 right to collectively bargain.

13 Thank you.

14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

15 I believe that concludes our public comments on
16 item 5b. And so, any further questions from the Board,
17 comments?

18 Okay. Well, I will call for the question.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: So moved.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Second.

21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Moved by Pacheco, seconded
22 by President Taylor.

23 Okay. So we'll call for the vote.

24 All in favor.

25 (Ayes.)

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any abstentions?

2 Any nay votes?

3 Okay. Another unanimous vote. And so the motion
4 passes.

5 And so we'll move on to 5c, Emerging and Diverse
6 Manager Report to the Legislature.

7 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Yes,
8 thank you, Mr. Chair.

9 Seeing as this next item is seeking approval of
10 our report on the diversity of the management investments
11 for submission to the State Legislature.

12 Once again we have Peter Cashion, who's this time
13 joined by Mike Silva, presenting this item.

14 So I'll turn it over to them.

15 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Mike Silva, investment
16 officer, Sustainable Investments.

17 Good morning, Chair, members. It's a pleasure to
18 be with you.

19 (Thereupon a slide presentation).

20 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: AB 890 requires
21 CalPERS staff to submit an annual report on the status of
22 achieving appropriate objectives and initiatives regarding
23 the participation of emerging and diverse managers within
24 the CalPERS Investment portfolio.

25 Slide 3, please.

1 --o0o--

2 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Specifically, the
3 report must include any new allocations to either emerging
4 or diverse managers over the next four fiscal years. AB
5 890 is intended to ensure transparency and promote the
6 inclusion of women- and minority-owned managers in the
7 asset management industry. This presentation identifies
8 the notable items included in the report that will be
9 delivered to the Legislature as well as the accompanying
10 report as an attachment.

11 Next slide, please.

12 --o0o--

13 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: The second year report
14 is based on contracts entered into on and after July 1,
15 2022, and up through June 30, 2023, and must include
16 manager name, type, amount committed, as well as relevant
17 asset class exposures.

18 Next slide, please.

19 --o0o--

20 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: It is CalPERS' belief
21 that organizational cultures promoting diversity are vital
22 to improving the long-term performance of our organization
23 as well as the businesses and markets in which we invest
24 in; and it is one of our core Investment Beliefs.

25 Next slide.

1 --o0o--

2 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Our Emerging Manager
3 Program objective was approved by the Board in September
4 of 2022 and is to generate appropriate risk-adjusted
5 investment returns by identifying early stage funds with
6 strong potential for success, access unique investment
7 opportunities that may otherwise be overlooked, and
8 cultivating the next generation of external portfolio
9 management.

10 Next slide.

11 --o0o--

12 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Our emerging manager
13 definition was also approved by the Board in 2022. The
14 emerging manager definition is based on the overall firm's
15 assets under management, length of track record, and the
16 specific fund size when applicable. The minimum
17 qualification thresholds vary across asset classes for
18 reasons related to the nature of respective asset classes
19 and the public and private realm.

20 Next slide.

21 --o0o--

22 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Our diverse manager
23 definition, also approved in 2022; the diverse manager
24 definition is based on the total percentage of firm
25 ownership and/or fund economics, and must meet one of the

1 following tiered thresholds.

2 Next slide, please.

3 --o0o--

4 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: As outlined in the
5 Year 1 annual report, Year 2 annual report, CalPERS
6 allocated to seven managers directly for a total
7 allocation of approximately 1.2 billion between July 1,
8 2022, through June 30, 2023. During that same period,
9 CalPERS allocated to nine managers that met the definition
10 of "diverse" for an allocation of approximately 1.6
11 billion.

12 Next slide.

13 --o0o--

14 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: This slide outlines
15 our allocations to emerging managers, diverse managers,
16 and all external managers, as well as our total AUM across
17 asset classes with external investments during this
18 period. It should be noted that investments in emerging
19 and diverse managers surged in private equity in December
20 of 2022, with the merging of the private equity and growth
21 and innovation asset classes. Emerging and diverse
22 managers were a focus in the growth and innovation
23 pipeline and have become a focus in private equity since
24 the consolidation.

25 Next slide.

1 --o0o--

2 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: There are still a few
3 outstanding responses from managers who may meet our
4 emerging and diverse manager definitions. We are waiting
5 on those responses and may include any additional
6 commitments in the report.

7 Our next steps are to work with the Office of
8 Public Affairs to ensure the report is configured and
9 presented in a manner that meets CalPERS' external
10 reporting standards. The report is due to the Legislature
11 by March 1, 2024. And we'll work with the Legislative
12 Affairs Division to ensure it is delivered properly on
13 time.

14 Next slide.

15 --o0o--

16 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Any questions?

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, we do have some
18 questions, comments.

19 So start with Director Pacheco.

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you. Thank you,
21 Chairman Miller.

22 Thank you, Mr. Silva, for this excellent report
23 of the Emerging and Diverse Manager Report to the
24 Legislature. AB 890, I believe this is the second year
25 that we've done this.

1 And my question is -- first of all I want to
2 compliment you with all the information you've compiled.
3 You compiled; it's a lot and its really helpful.

4 And I want to know, now, when we do present it to
5 the legislative chambers on March -- I believe it's March
6 1st of 2024, you know, what will happen next? I mean will
7 there be a committee assigned to it, will go to some
8 committee for review, or is it -- if not, is it just going
9 to go -- I mean I'm just curious what's the next step on
10 their side if we do -- if we do have any information on
11 that?

12 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Well, Legislative
13 Affairs Division should probably --

14 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

15 Yeah, I see Danny Brown in the audience too.
16 We'll ask him to come and help us with that question.
17 He's the expert on legislative affairs.

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, fantastic.

19 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Good
20 morning, Board members. Danny Brown, team member.

21 The report does not -- it just gets submitted to
22 all of the members of the Legislature. We submit it to
23 the Assembly desk and the Senate's office. And then they
24 distribute it to the members.

25 So there's no like formal hearing on it. But we

1 do typically meet with the PERS Committee members and make
2 sure the staff are aware of it, and especially the author
3 who authored the bill.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And that author's
5 still there?

6 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Yes.

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: That's all my
8 questions. Thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I just want to
10 welcome Dr. Willis, who's signed on and has joined us.

11 So she's on remotely.

12 And bring us to President Taylor.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Chair
14 Miller.

15 Thank you, everyone, for this wonderful report.
16 And really appreciate the work you guys did to put it
17 together. I know, you know, having to do required reports
18 makes it a little tough; but it is important, because
19 obviously the risks of not doing this kind of stuff is
20 pretty clear. Part of that -- just off the top of my
21 head, a lot of the institutions have already done work on
22 showing how much trillions of dollars that we lose if we
23 don't incorporate diversity into the financial industry.

24 So I want to make sure we're on the right track.
25 How does this compare -- and maybe if you don't have that

1 right now, that's fine. If we could get a report on how
2 we're doing, like making sure that we're moving in the
3 right direction type of thing from last year to this year.

4 I know you stated that -- at the end of your
5 report that you were looking for responses from a few more
6 people too. So maybe bring that back I think our next
7 meeting is in March for investments.

8 And then I wanted to know -- we don't really have
9 a measurement or a way to know what we're doing with
10 mosaic. So I was wondering how'd that fit into this for
11 us.

12 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Some of the mosaic
13 commitments have not been included yet. We are waiting
14 until those commitments go out to managers.

15 So, for example, there was a recent commitment.
16 That will be in the Year 3 report.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So this is to --
18 so next year --

19 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Correct.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- around this time?

21 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Yes.

22 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Cool.

23 And then I guess -- I think I had one more thing,
24 which was I just wanted to make sure that as we move
25 forward and we're doing this kind of measurement for the

1 state of California, that we're also making sure that our
2 contractors, everything else, but also that we're doing it
3 internally, right, that we do our DE&I internally. And I
4 know that's not specifically your job, Michael, but I
5 appreciate it if we could make sure that the DE&I is being
6 integrated internally as well as externally. And whatever
7 is wrong with your voice, take care of yourself, drink
8 some tea.

9 (Laughter).

10 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: I have a little
11 chronic laryngitis.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

13 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: I apologize.

14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. And now we'll go to
15 Director Willette.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you so much.
17 Thank you for the report. I really -- I really appreciate
18 it. I really enjoyed reading it.

19 I think that we all know that diversity is a
20 major contributor to our success and our ability meet our
21 goals. And I am a huge fan of tracking and measuring
22 things and reporting them back. So I think that that's
23 really positive.

24 I did see that the bill sunsets January 2028.
25 What do we have internally to get -- or is there thoughts

1 to internally continue it? I think it takes us a while to
2 get things like policies on the books, and I'd like to see
3 that we don't lose this because a legislator loses
4 attention span of, you know, a goldfish and then we make
5 sure that we going forward are still tracking our emerging
6 manager program across all assets.

7 Is there a plan to get that kind of into the
8 CalPERS policy?

9 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Personally
10 we haven't thought of that I think yet.

11 But I think we can -- we're just basing it on the
12 current time frame. But I think it could in some fashion,
13 and certainly the reporting aspect of it, be continued in
14 my view.

15 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

16 Yeah, I would agree with that. And I think our
17 sense is also that the bill will be renewed. It has been
18 renewed before and it will be renewed in 2028. But
19 certainly if we get there and it's not, we can certainly
20 consider putting it in policy, because we think
21 transparency around this body of work is really important
22 too.

23 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you so much. I
24 do look forward to continuing to see how we're turning our
25 policies into action plans that, you know, create

1 sustainable returns for our beneficiaries.

2 So thank you so much.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I see no more
4 requests from the Board to speak.

5 I believe we have a public commenter on the phone
6 for Item 5c.

7 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Yes, Chairman
8 Miller, we have William Michael Cunningham on the line to
9 comment on Agenda Item 5c.

10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Then go ahead.

11 WILLIAM MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: My name is William
12 Michael Cunningham. I'm an economist based in
13 Washington DC, and am in the pool of non-fiduciary
14 advisors, not under assignment currently. I hold a
15 masters in economics and an MBA in finance, both from the
16 University of Chicago.

17 Our interaction concerning this subject with
18 CalPERS dates back to 1995 and former staff member Elaine
19 Tackett[PHONETIC], with whom we communicated concerning
20 our database of minority-owned brokers, banks, and money
21 managers.

22 With respect to your Assembly Bill 890 report,
23 our opinion is that your approach is fully consistent with
24 California State Law. We know, however, your lack of
25 minority firm participation in certain asset classes.

1 Understandable due to your structure. These include
2 global equities, global fixed income, real assets, and
3 private debt.

4 We further note that assessing unique investment
5 opportunities is an objective for your merging manager
6 program and refer you to opportunities to create via
7 minority firms high social impact fiduciarly compliant
8 investments to address environmental opportunities, to
9 address homelessness, and finally to certain investments,
10 products which we put together that lower and seem to
11 address black maternal mortality.

12 Further, we believe such a creative investment
13 approach would isolate your program from legal and
14 reputational risk and other benefits of this style of
15 approach.

16 Thank you.

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

18 Okay. I don't believe there are any more public
19 comments on 5c. And I see no more questions from the
20 Board.

21 So what's -- I'll entertain a motion.

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: (Hand raised).

23 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: (Hand raised).

24 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Moved by Pacheco, seconded
25 by President Taylor.

1 I'll call for the question.

2 All in favor please say aye.

3 (Ayes.)

4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, this is a roll call?

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh, Gail's here.

6 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, Gail's here now. Okay.

7 Roll call.

8 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Theresa Taylor?

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Aye.

10 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Frank Ruffino?

11 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.

12 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Lisa Middleton?

13 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.

14 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Eraina Ortega?

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.

16 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Jose Luis Pacheco?

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Aye.

18 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Kevin Palkki?

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PALKKI: Aye.

20 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Ramón Rubalcava?

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Yes. Aye.

22 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Yvonne Walker?

23 COMMITTEE MEMBER WALKER: Aye.

24 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Mullissa Willette?

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Aye.

1 BOARD CLERK TRAN: Dr. Gail Willis?

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLIS: Aye.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All right. Seems the ayes
4 have it once again.

5 And before we move to our information items,
6 we've been at it here for about two hours. We started a
7 little early today. So I think we'll take a short break.
8 Let's -- what, 10 minutes?

9 Yeah, we'll take a 10-minute break, and we'll
10 reconvene at 10:35.

11 (Off record: 10:25 a.m.)

12 (Thereupon a recess was taken.)

13 (On record: 10:42 a.m.)

14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. We've completed our
15 action items, Item 5. So we'll get back to business.

16 We'll roll into Item 6, our information agenda
17 items.

18 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

19 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can we please get Item 6a
20 up on the screen, please.

21 (Thereupon a slide presentation).

22 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: All
23 right. So this reporter's -- was originally introduced to
24 take this quarterly format in June of this year. This is
25 our quarterly Chief Investment Officer's report. It

1 provides a quarterly update on what's going on in the
2 portfolio and in markets more broadly. And bear in mind
3 that this is the more abridged version of the report that
4 happens in June and November. But the more comprehensive
5 semiannual trust level program review done in March and
6 September.

7 And although these reports provide an update on
8 quarter-end performance, that should of course be taken in
9 context. There's always -- there's obviously a much
10 longer time horizon.

11 That said, they are intended to be helpful on the
12 reformative updates on the portfolio and how that's
13 navigated through markets.

14 Next slide, please.

15 --o0o--

16 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: So
17 I'll start with a quick summary on the economy on our
18 Global markets. From there we'll move on to a performance
19 summary of the PERF for the period ended September 30th.
20 And then we'll end the presentation with a few highlights
21 of what's going on sort of inside of the portfolio.

22 Next slide, please.

23 --o0o--

24 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: So
25 let's start with economics in markets. Economically the

1 U.S. has been surprisingly resilient, maintaining strength
2 despite expectations by some for a recession due to
3 increased interest rates.

4 Outside of the U.S. the world has been a little
5 bit more challenged with growth slowing notably,
6 especially in Europe and in China.

7 Going forward, we expect the U.S. to slow
8 gradually, though avoiding a recession. And we expect
9 global growth to be similar, maintaining overall growth,
10 though with some areas with significant weakness.

11 The good news of this slowing growth is that we
12 do expect inflation, again in the U.S. and elsewhere, to
13 moderate.

14 In markets, both equities and bonds, sold off in
15 the quarter, as this higher-for-longer narrative around
16 interest rates really took hold around the world. In the
17 last month though markets have been more resilient, with
18 bonds attracting significant demand as the U.S. 10-year
19 yield approached 5 percent; and then as earnings have come
20 in in this most recent earning season that we're still in
21 today, providing really some tailwind for equity markets.

22 Going forward. These things of inflation,
23 economic growth, interest rates, and earnings will
24 continue to be critical really globally.

25 Can we get the next slide, please.

1 --o0o--

2 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: For
3 the PERF, the net asset value of the trust finished the
4 end of September at \$452 billion. And the allocations
5 within the portfolio remain in line with policy bands.
6 Though as Sterling will talk to in the next item, we are
7 starting to push up against the upper end of the band for
8 private equity, and against lower end of the band for
9 public equity due to its proxy nature.

10 And this increase in private assets - again,
11 that's private equity, private debt, real estate and
12 infrastructure - has increased to nearly 32 percent of the
13 allocation, up significantly from just 21 percent a couple
14 of years back.

15 In terms of performance, the PERF lost
16 2-and-a-half percent during the quarter, not the fiscal --
17 during the first quarter of the fiscal year, with over
18 long-term time horizons performance being stronger, which
19 of course is part of why we take a long horizon approach.
20 The 20-year return number is 6.7 percent; 10-year, 6.3
21 percent; 5-year, 5.1 percent; and then a 1-year at 8.7
22 percent.

23 Next slide, please.

24 --o0o--

25 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

1 Within the portfolio - and as mentioned earlier -
2 both stock and bond portions of the portfolio started off
3 with stocks down 3 percent for the quarter, and bonds down
4 4-and-a-half percent.

5 In terms of relative returns, the equity
6 portfolio beat the benchmark for the quarter, and fixed
7 income was right in line with benchmark returns, though
8 having significant outperformance in fixed income over
9 longer time horizons.

10 Private assets provided some balance to the
11 quarter with both private equity and private debt
12 exhibiting positive performance for the quarter; and all
13 private assets, including real estate and infrastructure,
14 having positive performance at the longer time horizons.

15 So I'll close with three main takeaways:

16 First, markets remain volatile. And while we're
17 reporting on quarterly performance, we do remain focused
18 on a long term, of course because that's the nature of our
19 liabilities.

20 Second, ours is an equity-dominated portfolio,
21 both in terms of assets and risk. So diversification
22 remains a key area of research and innovation by the team.

23 And third, we remain focused on our deployment in
24 private assets across private equity, private debt, and
25 real assets. Both realized and expected returns and

1 return per unit of risk in private markets really is more
2 attractive relative to the public market's counterparts.
3 And along with the diversification that comes from private
4 markets and lower realized volatility, really make the
5 private assets a very attractive portion of the portfolio.

6 So with that I'll pause. And I'm happy to take
7 any questions.

8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. First question's from
9 President Taylor.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Just push the button.

11 Thank you very much, Director Miller.

12 Thank you very much, Dan, for the report. I
13 always enjoy our economic outlook and how we're doing as a
14 country. I guess -- so I'm happy that it looks like we're
15 going to avoid a recession. What's the thoughts behind
16 why that's going to happen? Why is -- any thoughts? I
17 mean what's going on in the economy that stopped that from
18 happening? Because I know like four months ago that was
19 the big talk.

20 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

21 Yeah. In a word, the U.S. consumer. The
22 consumers have -- do you know, that drives the economy.
23 So two-thirds of the U.S. economy. And consumption has
24 just stayed resilient despite an increase in interest
25 rates. People think that there's a number of, you know,

1 mortgages that are locked in, and that has caused their
2 not to be the challenge around consumption, around the
3 higher interest rates.

4 But I will say that each thing, whether it was a
5 potential shutdown, a resumption of student loans - topic
6 after topic - the consumer has kept consuming and that has
7 kept the recession at bay.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. That's -- that
9 is true.

10 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: And
11 we actually get -- for what it's worth, we actually get
12 retailers' earnings this week. You know, we got a lot of
13 the earning season behind us. Retailers go this week.
14 We -- you know, the analysts would tell you that earnings
15 are still remaining buoyant, just again due to the
16 consumption by the American consumer.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I think wages have gone
18 up too. There's -- specifically.

19 So the markets remain volatile and the 1-year,
20 10-year, 20-year outlook does -- has gone down. So
21 that's -- that's a bummer.

22 But how do we -- as the markets continue to do
23 this, how do we maintain our return goals, right, our
24 hurdles that we've set? Is that mainly, like you were
25 suggesting, the private equity -- the private assets being

1 our best ability and deploying in private assets more?

2 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: I
3 think you'll see us continue to lean into private assets,
4 of course subject to liquidity constraints. So as we move
5 on to Item 6b and go through our ALM mid-cycle review, I
6 do think you'll hear Sterling specifically talk a fair
7 amount about that, about the accretiveness of those assets
8 in the portfolio.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. And I will save
10 that for later because I have more questions on that.

11 So Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Pacheco.

13 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you, Chairman
14 Miller.

15 Thank you, Dan, again. Great to hear about the
16 economic outlook.

17 So I want to ask you, given the fact that the
18 financial markets have rerated the yield curve for a
19 higher and for a longer scenario, resulting in a
20 reevaluation of some asset classes, how may, do you think
21 in your opinion, may reevaluation process play out across
22 all our asset classes in the shorter long run? If you can
23 elaborate on that.

24 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

25 Yeah, happy too. I mean I would say one of the

1 big things is that fixed income is attractive again. And
2 even cash is potentially attractive again. I mean cash of
3 course doesn't get us to our return targets. But as you
4 get out the risk spectrum in fixed income, they can
5 continue to diversify; and where in the past they were
6 kind of just a diversifier, now they're actually a cash
7 flower and actually a return generator. So I would say
8 that's the big thing is the -- the attractiveness of fixed
9 income assets.

10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Excellent. And in
11 terms of other issues, for instance, geopolitical issues,
12 do you think geopolitical issues may hamper this process?

13 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

14 Geopolitics definitely remains on our radar, you
15 know. I mean obviously they're all the humanitarian
16 things that are, you know, horrible to witness. But all
17 of the geopolitics remain on our radar.

18 I will say historically geopolitics have been
19 almost counterintuitive. A lot of times they've created a
20 buying opportunity, especially in the equity markets. So
21 certainly we're keeping our eyes on geopolitics. It is
22 definitely a risk factor that we're spending a lot of time
23 on. How it plays out is something that we're going to
24 really continue to analyze. Because a lot of times they
25 can't be counterintuitive impacts.

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you.

2 Thank you very much, Dan.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Middleton.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Well, I think

5 Director Pacheco just stole my questions.

6 But the Middle East rate now is in some
7 absolutely horrific humanitarian situations. But
8 specifically to that region, how -- how do you assess that
9 having impact in terms of global markets?

10 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: It's
11 a terrific question. We do have some exposure in parts of
12 that region, specifically Israel.

13 One of the other main impacts, I would say, tends
14 to be on oil when you see things go on there. That's
15 surprisingly what you've seen is you've seen -- while
16 those have created some potential for supply constraints,
17 also given the slowing of the economy, you've seen some
18 demand constraints. So that's kind of caused oil to
19 moderate about where it is.

20 But all of the goings on in all of the hot spots
21 around the world are things that we're keeping an eye on.
22 And especially one of the critical things is the sort of
23 second and third and fourth order effects, and that is
24 something that we're watching closely for that reason.

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I'm not seeing any
2 other questions. So okay. Well, thank you. It was very,
3 very illuminating, and actually pretty encouraging. So
4 I'm glad to hear it.

5 So that brings us to our next, 6b, Mid-Cycle
6 Public Employees' Retirement Fund Asset Liability
7 Management Review.

8 (Thereupon a slide presentation).

9 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

10 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

11 Yes, this is our Mid-Cycle ALM Review.

12 So the Board set the strategic asset allocation
13 in November of 2021. And since that time, we've seen
14 significant changes, both of markets and in our portfolio,
15 including faster deployment of private assets. Is it
16 faster than expected? A drawdown resulting in a
17 denominator effect since the weight of privates has gone
18 up. And then of course significantly higher interest
19 rates, as we alluded to in the last item.

20 This item is an information item, jointly
21 presented by the Financial Office, the Investment Office,
22 and the Actuarial Office, working together to go through
23 the mid-cycle review. So rather than steal anybody's
24 thunder, I'll kick it right over to Michelle to start us
25 off.

1 ACTING CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: Thanks, Dan.
2 Good morning, members of the Investment
3 Committee.

4 My colleagues and I are here to discuss the asset
5 liability management process, which we refer to as the
6 ALM. The ALM process is our opportunity as a team to come
7 together and do a check to consider what assumptions
8 continue to hold true for maximizing returns, with
9 consideration to an acceptable amount of risk.

10 We are halfway through this current cycle, and
11 this presentation represents the mid-cycle review of the
12 ALM process. This is a collaborative effort between the
13 Finance Office, Investment Office; and the Actuarial
14 Office.

15 Next slide.

16 --o0o--

17 ACTING CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: The timeline
18 for the current ALM cycle began in 2021 when the Board
19 heard recommendations from staff and set the current
20 investment strategic asset allocation, which took effect
21 in July of 2022. We are now in November of 2023 and we
22 are here to present the result of the mid-year recycle
23 review.

24 This is an information item only. But in March
25 2024 we plan to come forward with any recommendations that

1 result from today's discussions.

2 This is cyclical and a continuous process, and we
3 will begin a new ALM cycle in February 2025.

4 Next slide, please.

5 --o0o--

6 ACTING CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER NIX: The ALM
7 process considers capital market assumptions, actuarial
8 assumptions, the discount rate, and the strategic asset
9 allocation. As you will hear later in the presentation,
10 we are recommending no changes to the actuarial
11 assumptions and the discount rate during this review.

12 Today's presentation we'll spend time on the capital
13 market assumptions and the risk associated with the
14 strategic asset allocation.

15 So with that I will turn it over to Sterling Gunn
16 from the Investment Office to begin that discussion.

17 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Thank you,
18 Michele.

19 Sterling Gunn, CalPERS team member. Good
20 morning. As Michele mentioned, our objectives today are
21 just to review the ALM process and how we go about
22 designing a portfolio.

23 --o0o--

24 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: We did share
25 with you a fairly hefty document. And that's really for

1 reference materials in the future. We thought it was a
2 good way of sort of summarizing a lot of the key features
3 that persist from one process -- from one year to the
4 next.

5 And I would like to Thank everyone that
6 contributed to that document. It was a team effort. I
7 would also say it's a lot like an iceberg. The material
8 that you see is a small part of it, all the work that the
9 team does to try to make sure we understand what's going
10 on.

11 So let me start with the PERF objectives, which
12 are laid out in the California Constitution. And I know
13 you're all familiar with them, but I'd like -- it's always
14 good to sort of start with the place that motivates us.

15 So first, the strategic asset allocation has to
16 have a reasonable chance of harvesting risk premia over
17 the long run in a way that will actually meet our discount
18 rate.

19 So that's the first objective. Maximize returns.

20 The second objective is to minimize the amount of
21 risk needed to achieve that first objective. So those are
22 the two key things that really influence how we go about
23 building the strategic asset allocation.

24 Now, those objectives are also aligned with the
25 other objectives that are listed in the Constitution,

1 including being able to meet benefits and so on. This
2 plays into portfolio liquidity. And we do talk about that
3 later on.

4 I'd also like to mention that we believe that
5 these objectives are not incompatible with sustainable
6 investing. Peter's going to be talking about those
7 programs, and we're well aligned around that.

8 So with that in mind, I'd just also like to walk
9 through very quickly some of the assumptions that do
10 affect the SI. And there's a list of them there. I
11 don't really want to read the entire list. But we do talk
12 about some of the key features here including whatever
13 capital market assumptions.

14 What is risk? And although the constitution's
15 sort of silent on exactly how to measure it, so we had to
16 make a choice. We talked to the Board about that choice
17 two years ago. It was adopted at that time. We continue
18 to use that definition of drawdown risk.

19 So with that, let's move on just to the next
20 page, please.

21 --o0o--

22 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Just want to
23 make a really important point here, and Dan's already
24 mentioned it once. I'm going to repeat it. And that was
25 that in 2021 we designed the portfolio at that time. It

1 was heavily constrained -- influenced by constraints. By
2 our thoughts, we thought we were actually capable of
3 originating in the case of private assets. At that time,
4 13 percent seemed like a stretch. And so we laid out a
5 plan how to get to that 13 percent. That was an important
6 constraint. There were constraints on the other private
7 assets as well.

8 So one thing that's happened that's influencing
9 us is over the last two years we have developed
10 capabilities and we've reviewed these things, so that
11 plays into the way we would approach the SAA this year.

12 Dan also mentioned this I'll get this CMA at well
13 just the change in market conditions, particularly the
14 fixed income, it's make fixed income more attractive as
15 well. We talked about that two years ago how in the near
16 term, we didn't think fixed income was particularly
17 attractive at that time. Since then the markets have --
18 well, maybe not normalized. But certainly we have made
19 fixed income more attractive. So I'll also talk about
20 that.

21 So let's just quickly talk about risk, which is
22 on the next page.

23 --o0o--

24 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: And here
25 we've -- we've adopted a risk measure called conditional

1 drawdown. And try to explain here exactly what that
2 means. So they started about drawdown first.

3 So drawdown we measure over three years. And in
4 particular it's about the largest loss that could occur
5 during the three years. And that's a little bit different
6 than the loss that could occur at the end of a three-year
7 period. The reason it's a bit different is you could have
8 a recovery at the end of that three-year period. So you
9 could have a loss somewhere at the beginning and have a
10 recovery. So if you measured three-year risk, that could
11 be mitigated by any recovery. So we look at the absolute
12 drawdown, the absolute loss that occurs during the
13 three-year period. So that's what the drawdown part is.

14 The conditional part is a statistical thing. So
15 we use 90,000 simulations about three-year returns. And
16 we build the distribution. And then we look at the worst
17 10 percent of the drawdowns that occur from all those
18 simulations, and we take the average of the worst 10
19 percent.

20 So that's sort of the conditional part. The
21 conditional is we're only going to use the worst when we
22 try to estimate the degree to which we have a drawdown
23 risk.

24 So that's the nature of drawdown risk and
25 conditional drawdown risk.

1 So let's just move to our capital market
2 assumptions.

3 --o0o--

4 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Now, we base
5 our capital market assumption on a survey, currently of 15
6 external managers. And we do that because we don't
7 believe that any one particular expert - and that includes
8 ourselves - know exactly what will happen in the future.
9 So we use this survey, and then we use the median values
10 from that survey as our sort of best estimates for our
11 capital market projected returns.

12 Now, this chart summarizes the data that we've
13 collected from that survey. So we've plotted returns on
14 the left-hand axis there. So you can see the range from 0
15 to 12 percent. And we've listed the asset classes across
16 the horizontal axis.

17 Now, for each asset class there's a couple of
18 features. One is a blue box. That's the range of
19 outcomes for the survey. So in the case of private
20 equity, you can see the range of outcomes whether it's
21 from just over 4 percent to just under 10 percent.

22 The second piece of data that you see there is
23 the blue dot. That's the median of the current survey.

24 And the third piece of information you see is the
25 orange dot. And that's the median that we used two years

1 ago during the 2021 ALM analysis.

2 So that's how we've tried to present the
3 information.

4 So what do we see? Well, we see that private
5 assets continue, particularly the private equity and
6 private debt, are the highest returning asset classes. So
7 they remain to be attracted. And we'll talk more about
8 that in a second.

9 But the other thing is this -- something that Dan
10 mentioned and I've mentioned, which is the improvement in
11 fixed income returns. So you'll notice for almost all the
12 fixed income classes, the blue dot is well above the
13 orange dot, as the markets are sort of corrected and made
14 those assets more attractive.

15 So then the question is, what do we do this with
16 this information? And to bridge to that I'd like to talk
17 a little bit about diversification. So I'll move on to
18 the next page.

19 --o0o--

20 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: I'd like to
21 start with a bit of hopefully not a rambling preamble but
22 a preamble here. Every asset class has its own pattern of
23 returns. And although we expect all those asset classes
24 has positive returns in the long run, we do know that they
25 will have their ups and downs along the way. And the

1 timing and magnitude of those ups and downs are not easily
2 predicted. However, we do believe that the pattern of
3 returns can and will vary across those asset classes. And
4 one of best ways then to manage that is by holding
5 multiple classes. So that an up -- a movement up in one
6 might offset the movement down in the other. That's how
7 we try to build a diversified portfolio.

8 Now in this chart we've tried to illustrate the
9 benefits of diversification and the sources of
10 diversification. So if you look again at the left-hand
11 axis there, the vertical axis, we're measuring that risk
12 from 0 to minus 40 percent here, that's the potential
13 drawdown that one might have with the portfolio. And then
14 we have four example portfolios. And at the leftmost
15 portfolio, it's labeled Global Equity and Treasury, is a
16 very, very simple portfolio. It is just global equities
17 and treasuries. Not very well diversified. And you'll
18 see it has a drawdown of minus 35 percent -- to over 35
19 percent actually.

20 So the next step is, well, if we could add some
21 leverage. Because two years ago for the first time we
22 included leverage in the portfolio. And our explanation
23 was that it provides diversification. So by the use of
24 leverage we can see that the risk does come down modestly
25 here. The reason for that, when you use leverage, it

1 allows you to trade off by -- you can buy more less-risky
2 assets. And because you can do that, you can sell down
3 some of the risky assets, it builds out, strengthens the
4 diversification in the portfolio.

5 And this portfolio's somewhat modest, because we
6 can only have the two asset classes, the public equities,
7 the treasuries, and that use of leverage.

8 So to move to the third portfolio, we then add
9 investment grade credits. And when we do that, you see
10 now that risk further reduces now to just over 25 percent.

11 And then the very last step we then add in
12 private assets. And you see the risk is reduced to a
13 minus 15 percent.

14 So these are illustrative. There are no
15 constraints. We're just looking at -- these are generic
16 portfolios but to illustrate the benefits of
17 diversification.

18 Okay. If we go to the next page, just talk
19 briefly about the asset classes that we included in the
20 portfolio.

21 --o0o--

22 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: I won't read
23 all the details here. I'll just make a few -- you know,
24 summarize some of the key features.

25 So the first is that we look to equity in its --

1 all its forms to harvest the equity risk premium. That's
2 an important driver of our portfolio. We are very focused
3 on that kind of an exposure; in order to generate the
4 projected returns, we need to meet our discount rate.

5 And second, we look to fixed income products to
6 provide varying degrees of diversification relative to
7 equities, and to provide income.

8 We also look to treasuries as a store of value.
9 They're a subset of fixed income. And despite the recent
10 ratings changes, we do believe that treasuries are a place
11 where you can park some money in the short-term and
12 preserve capital.

13 Now we expect all the asset classes will be
14 sufficiently scalable. That's another criteria for being
15 included in the portfolio.

16 And finally, most importantly and Dan mentioned
17 earlier, we look to the private asset classes to
18 outperform their public equivalents. They also provide
19 some diversification.

20 So having chosen our -- the asset class, we like
21 to include with these some quantitative and qualitative
22 analyses to decide on an asset mix.

23 And then once we have our candidate portfolios,
24 then we perform additional analyses, stress tests,
25 scenario analysis, and so on - liquidity test - to make

1 sure that we find the good characteristics of the
2 portfolio acceptable.

3 Go to the next page, please.

4 --o0o--

5 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Let us talk a
6 little bit about the analyses it will perform.

7 We provide a wide -- a broad range of scenario
8 analyses and stress tests. And we've included some
9 representative results in the document. I didn't
10 reproduce them here. There's a lot of numbers there.

11 All of that work is to try to give us confidence
12 that we understand how this portfolio will perform in
13 different circumstances. We don't know what the future
14 will bring, so we try to stress test different
15 circumstances to see what the range of outcomes looks
16 like.

17 We also assess the portfolio liquidity under
18 stress conditions to convince ourselves that we do have
19 adequate liquidity both now and in the future.

20 And I mentioned that point about not being
21 exposed to growth.

22 We also conduct sensitivity analyses. We have to
23 make a lot of assumptions. As an example, the projected
24 returns from the survey; those are assumptions. So we
25 test what if those assumptions aren't correct, what if the

1 world actually unfolds in some different way that we did
2 not assume. So we looked at the sensitivity of the
3 outcomes to those assumptions.

4 And we also consider a range of speculative
5 scenarios. So we include some climate change scenarios as
6 well as some alternative economic scenarios. Because the
7 long-term returns, projected returns, can be sensitive to
8 those assumptions as well.

9 So we move on to the next page where we have some
10 example portfolios.

11 So I'll just explain the exhibit first and then
12 I'll go from there. So we have the list of asset classes
13 on the left. And then we have six portfolio examples
14 here.

15 The first portfolio is the current portfolio.
16 It's the policy portfolio we hold today.

17 Then we have a 70-30 portfolio, which is a very
18 simple portfolio, 70 percent equities, 30 percent
19 treasuries.

20 We then have a policy target return, very, very
21 simple. But where we designed a portfolio to meet the
22 current discount rate, but using only treasuries and bonds
23 and some leverage.

24 And then we have three candidate portfolios. So
25 actually just before we get to those. The 70-30 in that

1 simple portfolio are not candidate portfolios. We are not
2 proposing those portfolios. They are just there as a
3 reference point. So when we talk about risk and returns,
4 we have some idea of the benefits of complexity but also
5 the trade-offs.

6 So on the right-hand side we then have the three
7 candidate portfolios, A, B and C; and they're slightly
8 different.

9 So the first portfolio, A, targets the same level
10 of risk as the current policy portfolio. Second one
11 targets a return of 6.9 percent. And the third one
12 targets a return of 6.8 percent.

13 These illustrate sort of risk return trade-offs;
14 and I'll come back to those in a second.

15 So that's the top half of the table.

16 The bottom half of the table are some statistical
17 summaries of the characteristics of those portfolios. So
18 we see the geometric return, which we often talk about and
19 focus on. But also the survey of outcomes. So maybe we
20 surveyed 15 managers. So if for each portfolio we've made
21 15 different calculations, one for each manager, we now
22 have a range of outcomes for that particular portfolio.

23 We'll come back to that in a second.

24 We have the drawdown risk - we've talked about
25 earlier - and volatility. Volatility's not our risk

1 measure formally. So that's the one we designed the
2 portfolio for. But it is a number people like to know.
3 It's a very familiar number. It's used often in the
4 industry.

5 So lets go through some of the results. On the
6 geometric returns we have our current policy portfolio,
7 currently projected to return 6.9 percent. That very
8 simple portfolio, 70-30 portfolio, has a return of 6.3
9 percent. That's the best it can do.

10 The policy -- the simple policy portfolio, which
11 is just public equities, treasuries and some leverage,
12 does give us a return of 6.9 percent. But it also has
13 risk of 34.6 percent. That's a very high level of risk
14 delivered by a very simple portfolio.

15 Now if we look at the candidate portfolios,
16 policy -- the first one, candidate A, was designed to have
17 the same level of risk as the current policy portfolio.
18 It's project return, circled, is 7 percent, slightly
19 higher than the current policy portfolio.

20 But we also see the survey range. If you ask
21 different managers, they would tell you that portfolio
22 would have a projected return in the long run of
23 between -- on a 5.7 percent up to 7.7 percent.

24 And that just speaks to the amount of uncertainly
25 that exists in our industry. That's the way the world

1 works. People have different opinions. And again, we've
2 chosen not to hang our hat on a single opinion but use a
3 median value for this very, very reason.

4 If I move to policy target B, it's designed to
5 have the same projected return as the current portfolio.
6 So 6.9 percent. It is slightly lower risk because of
7 that. Risk was down around 20.1 percent where it was at
8 21.4 percent.

9 But you also see again a wide range of outcomes.

10 And then the last portfolio is the least risky
11 portfolio. It has target -- a projected return of 6.8 to
12 match our discount rate. And there you do see again lower
13 risk.

14 So what we have is an environment where projected
15 returns particularly to the fixed income are a little bit
16 rosier than they used to be. And because of that, the
17 risk return trade-off is a little bit more favorable than
18 two years ago. But, frankly, it's almost in the element
19 of statistical noise.

20 So if you look at these differences in these
21 projected returns, we're talking about basis points.
22 Whereas when you look at the range of uncertainty from the
23 different managers, that more than swamps that -- that --
24 those small differences.

25 So these are examples of candidate portfolios. I

1 will say -- we have mentioned this in the document -- one
2 thing we did, the Board approved the portfolio two years
3 ago. We took that as a statement of risk appetite. So
4 when we look at that portfolio today, we said, "Okay, it
5 has a risk of 21.5 percent," that is sort of our anchoring
6 point. Our guidance is maintain the level of risk and
7 harvest the returns that come with that risk.

8 So going forward, when we come back in March that
9 will be an important part of our discussion. But, again,
10 we're also looking to you for guidance that that's a
11 reasonable assumption to proceed.

12 So with that, I'm going to step aside and let
13 Scott speak to the actuarial assumptions.

14 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: All right. Thank you,
15 Sterling.

16 Next slide.

17 --o0o--

18 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: Scott Terando with the
19 Actuarial Office.

20 So the last part of the ALM process is the
21 liability side. And what we're talking about here is, you
22 know, when we look at the actuarial assumptions, there's a
23 key component hopefully gets decided during this process.
24 As a reminder, the Board approves all assumptions during
25 the ALM process. And, you know, some of the key

1 assumptions are listed above there, generally broken into,
2 economic assumptions where discount rate and the inflation
3 are the biggest ones that come to mind. But, you know,
4 non-economic assumptions also have, you know, a fairly
5 large impact, not as much as inflation or discount rate,
6 but such items as mortality and the retirement rates do
7 factor into, you know, not only the cost the employers
8 pays, but it also factors into, you know, the normal costs
9 that work their way through the PEPRA member rate.

10 Next slide.

11 --o0o--

12 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: Keep in mind when we set
13 these assumptions, we want to take a long-term view of
14 these expectations. We want to set rates that don't vary
15 from year to year. And because of that, you know, we try
16 not to change rates on the -- fairly often. That just
17 creates highly volatile expectations in terms of
18 contributions. And it doesn't give us a chance to take a
19 long-term view of the results.

20 Some of the trends we are seeing though based on
21 the two years since our last experience study, inflation
22 is elevated. I think that won't come as a surprise to
23 most people sitting here. Inflation's higher than our
24 current expectation. But it has been coming down. And
25 so, you know, we're going to be looking at seeing how it

1 trends down over the next several years in terms of where
2 our expectations are.

3 Along with that, salary increases for many of the
4 public agencies have been higher. I think that's exact
5 correlation with the higher inflation, the hire
6 inflation's driving the higher salaries. We're going to
7 be watching these over the next couple years and seeing
8 how things go. But from our perspective, you know,
9 there's really no compelling reason to change right now.
10 You know, there's always some variation from year to year.
11 And what we want to do is we want to make sure when we set
12 our assumptions, we'll -- we kind of smooth out these
13 year-to-year fluctuations and take a long-term
14 perspective.

15 Next slide.

16 --o0o--

17 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: So, you know, pushing --
18 so that's on the demographic side. But in terms of
19 looking at the portfolios that Sterling has mentioned,
20 what we did is we kind of want to take a look at what
21 changes the current portfolio is versus some of the
22 project -- recommended ones. We pick portfolio A. That's
23 the one that the portfolio remained consistent with the
24 risk profile that the Board had adopted. If we get
25 different direction, we'll make our adjustments from

1 there. But from here, what we did is we want to take a
2 look at what's the chance of getting our discount rate.
3 We generated several thousand simulations. We looked over
4 the next 20-plus years. And we looked at, you know,
5 what's the chance of getting, you know, various different
6 discount rates. And, you know, you can see right here, we
7 have the current portfolio, long-term expected return was
8 around 6.9. And then we have 6.8, 6.9 and 7 percent
9 discount rate. And as you can see here, currently, you
10 know, under all those scenarios, we have less than a 50
11 percent chance of getting a discount rate.

12 With portfolio A, the chance of getting a
13 discount rate jumped up to 50 percent -- over 50 percent.
14 That seems -- well, that's an important number for us.
15 When you were trying to fund the plan, you know, you want
16 to make -- you want to have comfort level of making sure
17 that you have at least a 50 percent chance of reaching
18 your expected return.

19 You know, it was close when we set the discount
20 rate last time. But, you know, as you can see, portfolio
21 A, you know, gives us a little bit more room in terms of
22 meeting our expectations for the 6.8.

23 Next slide.

24 --o0o--

25 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: What we also did is we

1 wanted to look at some other risk factors and risk
2 measures of the two portfolios. Now one of those measures
3 that we look at is a chance falling below 50 percent.

4 So what we have here is we have two graphs. On
5 the left-hand side is blue colored, is the
6 non-miscellaneous pool plan -- or the non-pool
7 miscellaneous plan. It's on the right-hand side. Our
8 safety plan's in green.

9 And what we did is we did a comparison of the
10 chance of falling below 50 percent both for the current
11 policy and the proposed policy A -- Portfolio A. Excuse
12 me.

13 As you can see, on the distribution for the
14 miscellaneous on the left-hand side, the two are fairly
15 close. So pretty much identical.

16 There's a -- for some -- I would say there's, you
17 know, while the median's slightly higher, I would say the
18 median's pretty much -- it's noise difference between the
19 two.

20 On the safety side, they're pretty much
21 identical. The same thing. There's not much difference
22 between the two in terms of impact on the risk for funding
23 level for the plans.

24 Next slide.

25 --o0o--

1 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: Another aspect we looked
2 at is contribution rates. Same type of setup here.
3 Miscellaneous plans on the left-hand side. Safety plans
4 on the right-hand side.

5 And what we saw is we saw -- when you look at
6 Portfolio A over the next 20 to 30 years, we see rates
7 somewhat lower in terms of contributions. I mean, nothing
8 significant. We're talking tenths of a percent here, both
9 on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side. But I
10 think if you go back a couple slides and you think about
11 how port -- Portfolio A increased the chance so we could
12 have discount rate, and it went from below 50 percent to
13 above 50 percent. That small difference would translate
14 into contributions being somewhat lower over the long
15 term, over the next several years. If that makes sense.

16 When you think about our probability of getting
17 6.8 is greater than it was under the current portfolio.
18 Those higher expectations in terms of contri -- returns
19 would work its way into lower contributions.

20 Next slide.

21 --o0o--

22 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: And that wraps up our
23 comments on the presentation. There are additional slides
24 in the appendix.

25 And at this point I think we'll open it up for

1 comments or questions from the Board.

2 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Hey,
3 Scott, I'm sorry to jump in.

4 I would like to -- I think it would probably make
5 sense to hear what Wilshire has to say.

6 So Scott says it's very likely that we'll be back
7 with Portfolio A subject to hearing our assumptions either
8 validated or in terms of the risk at tolerance and the
9 like.

10 But I thought it made sense maybe to hear from
11 Wilshire, and then we can take questions, if that works.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah.

13 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

14 Yeah, so maybe Tom Toth and Steve Foresti can
15 join us at the dais real quick.

16 MR. FORESTI: Good morning. Thanks.

17 I guess we'll -- I'll spend a couple of minutes
18 just talking about process. And then maybe just summarize
19 what I think are some of the key points that you just
20 heard.

21 So first on process, we've been engaged with the
22 team throughout this process, in fact having weekly
23 catch-up calls where we've been providing our feedback and
24 just being integrated to the process.

25 So I'll just concur, we're one of the 15 -- I

1 believe we're one of the 15. We participated in the
2 survey, in that asset class assumption survey - so part of
3 that - and just specifically looking at our views on
4 capital markets going forward. Entirely consistent with
5 what you saw, which is to say, as yields have come up in
6 the past couple of years, these relationships between
7 asset classes have changed in a, you know, pretty dramatic
8 and fundamental way and have shifted the competitiveness
9 of different asset classes into some of the comments
10 you've heard today. Fixed income - where two years ago
11 and we were looking at fixed income, it was a very
12 challenging asset class. Indeed it felt like it lost --
13 that asset class had lost a lot of its utility to
14 institutional portfolios - has in large part been
15 resurrected by the improvement in yields, which passed
16 through to investor in terms of income and expected
17 return.

18 So our view of the world is very similar to what
19 those survey results show.

20 Now I want to shake out a couple of I think what
21 are the really critical comments that Sterling and others
22 shared this this morning, and that is around process. So
23 two main points.

24 If you think about that shift in the world and
25 you're at a mid-cycle asset allocation review, I think

1 your default prior coming into it would be, okay, well, if
2 fixed income has become more competitive, you know, all
3 else equal, I would expect to see, you know, a shift
4 potentially, you know, towards fixed income and away
5 potentially from some of these other assets.

6 Sterling made the point about constraints.
7 There's a lot of inputs that change through a process -
8 capital market assumptions, the actuarial side in terms of
9 what the objectives are. But the constraints in the
10 process are also an important part of that process. And
11 for years, CalPERS has had a desire for more private
12 market exposure than collectively staff and others have
13 felt was possible just from a capacity and a processing
14 and putting the commitments into deploying that capital.

15 You've heard today a bit of a change in
16 perspective on that view, and there's a variety of reasons
17 for that. But the point is, in addition to the capital
18 market assumptions, you think about this process you're
19 going through now as having slightly different
20 constraints, being a bit less constrained on these private
21 market assets. And Dan early this morning in his comments
22 talked about this overarching commitment to private
23 markets. So I think consistent with those constraint
24 changes and that overarching desire in understanding the
25 value of private market assets, that's one thing that may

1 differ a bit from what that prior would be coming in: Oh,
2 I'm going to see -- you know, going to see this big shift
3 towards fixed income.

4 Point number 2 that Sterling made that I'd like
5 to just kind of underscore because I think it's an
6 important one, is as you look at optimal portfolios and
7 you try to identify different candidate portfolios, you
8 have to anchor on something. And so what Sterling
9 suggested on anchoring on was the expressed risk from the
10 full cycle back in 2021, which makes perfect sense. I
11 think it makes perfect sense as you come through a
12 mid-cycle review. I would say as we come around in a
13 couple of years to the full-cycle review, that would be
14 something that I think makes sense to explore further.
15 Because, you know, anchoring on a decision made two years
16 ago and then thinking about that in perpetuity going
17 forward as being always the right risk level to anchor to
18 probably doesn't make sense over the very, very long run;
19 but I think in a mid-cycle review it makes sense.

20 So I'll pause there. Happy to take any other
21 questions. And if my colleague Tom wants to add anything,
22 I'd welcome that as well.

23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I've got questions
24 from -- we'll start with Director Pacheco.

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes, Thank you. Thank

1 you, Chairman Miller.

2 Again, thank you very much for this presentation
3 on the ALM process, and, Wilshire, for your comments.

4 I'd like to actually go back on that capacity
5 question and -- so staff mentioned back in 2021 that
6 private assets was constrained because of our limited
7 capacity to originate private debt.

8 Now from what I've read, it seems like our
9 capacity has increased to originate private assets.

10 You know, what has changed specifically in that
11 two-year horizon. And you guys can elaborate on that.

12 Thank you.

13 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

14 Maybe I'll start and then I'll let others jump
15 in. I guess a couple of things. One thing - and we're
16 going to talk about some of this more in closed session -
17 but the way that we are deploying in private assets as
18 specifically through the lens of co-investment has really
19 changed with Anton Orlich and the team in the way that
20 they're approaching that body of work. And so we'll talk
21 about that in closed session because we do think there's
22 some IP there.

23 IP meaning intellectual property.

24 The other thing is I do think that we have
25 actually seen some traction. We've seen assets flow sort

1 of in the global economy from the public markets to the
2 private markets, and we've seen specifically traction in
3 both private equity and private debt. So that's, I would
4 say, would be another one.

5 The last one I would share - and this of course
6 is an unfortunate one - but as the denominator of the
7 portfolio came down a little bit with the drawdown that we
8 experienced in the public markets, you also do see the
9 weights go up. So I would say that's sort of the third
10 factor.

11 But, again, we can dig more into all of those.
12 But those are kind of the main -- I would say the main
13 reasons for what you're seeing is is a -- and, you know,
14 as I say, we will likely be back with Portfolio A. What
15 you'll see in Portfolio A is: Number 1, an increase in
16 private equity at the expense of public equity; number 2,
17 an increase in private debt at the expense of public debt;
18 and then, number 3, of a slight lead into private -- I'm
19 sorry -- into fixed income, because, as I said, what
20 you're seeing is, for some reason, more creative assets in
21 the fixed income space, and then an increased
22 liquification of the public markets. So that as you add
23 private assets, and as we add leverage, we need the public
24 assets to be more liquid because they become a very
25 critical liquidity provider.

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you for that
2 question.

3 Just one more question on the candidate
4 portfolio. Now, you mentioned that you had selected 15
5 managers, I guess, with -- to assess the candidate
6 portfolios on page 12 of 19.

7 Was that selected by randomization or was
8 there -- what was the methodology around that, to mitigate
9 any inherent bias?

10 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So it's just
11 been a growing survey over time. So we've just been
12 adding more and more manage -- so it's not entirely
13 random. There's an element of familiarity, who can
14 recall, who can we talk to, who will commit to regularly
15 giving us this kind of information. But it is our intent
16 to grow this survey and to be more prob --

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: So we move -- so the
18 increase -- the N would increase then over time.

19 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Yes.

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: All right. Very good
21 then.

22 Thank you very much.

23 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: And
24 it is worth mentioning that, yes, Wilshire is one of those
25 survey respondents for us.

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you.
2 Thank you very much.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. President Taylor.

4 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Yes. Thank you.

5 So I guess my question is why A? Because it has
6 the highest risk. Right? It has -- it raises the rate of
7 Return to 7. And then it lowers our fixed income of which
8 we're doing very well. So that's why I was --

9 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: What
10 we did -- can we get slide 12 back up, please, which is
11 page 304 out of 420 in the packet.

12 Thank you.

13 Sorry, Sterling. Go ahead.

14 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So that's
15 the -- the risk is actually the same as the current
16 portfolio. So if you were to look at --

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: True.

18 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: -- current
19 policy, 21.5 percent drawdown. Now Policy A was designed
20 to have the same level of risk. It says 21.4. That's
21 just a statistical thing because of the way we actually --
22 the tools that we use. So they have the same level of
23 risk. So then the -- what comes with that, it just
24 happens a modest increase in the projected return. But
25 it's designed fundamentally to have the same risk that was

1 approved two years ago.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So it's the
3 return -- the modest increase in the return that we're
4 looking at?

5 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Uh-huh.

6 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Because why -- and why
7 I ask that is, so we're increasing our deployment of
8 private equity but we're decreasing fixed -- yeah, fixed
9 income?

10 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Uh-huh.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: But I thought we were
12 doing really well on fixed income and it was -- I
13 understand it with the lagging returns private equity
14 right now isn't doing all that well.

15 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Right. So
16 this is a long-term portfolio --

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Right

18 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: -- first of
19 all.

20 Dan also mentioned a few features though. All
21 else being the same, we would have had more fixed income.
22 But we do think we're a growth oriented portfolio. We do
23 want more equity in the portfolio. We think private
24 equity is the more attractive part of the equity space.
25 So we're increasing that allocation.

1 In addition, we're increasing the private debt.
2 Dan mentioned that does sort of then chip away at the
3 public fixed income.

4 So there's a slight change in the fixed income,
5 not because its circumstances aren't better now than they
6 we're two years ago but because of all the other moving
7 parts in the portfolio.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So it's just --

9 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: And
10 I think the -- well, here's what I would add -- quote, I
11 would add to that, is that total equity will go down a
12 little bit. So if you look at current policy, it's 42
13 percent public equity and 13 percent private equity. And
14 we view there is some sort of public/private market
15 equivalent there. So that's a total of -- what is that?
16 55 percent, right?

17 You move on to Portfolio A, 37 and 17. So that's
18 54 percent, right. So it comes down by a percent.

19 Then the opposite you're seeing happen in fixed
20 income, right, that fixed income and private debt, again
21 they're trying to public and private corollaries to one
22 another. The total is 35. When you go to 28 and 8, the
23 total is 36. So you'll see fixed in -- the overall public
24 and private combination goes slightly down in public
25 equity, slightly up in fixed income, though very modest;

1 and this goes back to again what Steve from Wilshire was
2 saying, we think modest changes are appropriate in
3 mid-cycle review, with potentially larger changes in the
4 full-blown every-four-year review.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So and this is -- I
6 will say this is the first time we've ever done this.
7 So -- since I've been here, I should say.

8 So I question why we need to do this. But before
9 that question gets answered, the other question I had is,
10 what's the long term? So fixed income has had bad
11 returns, right, for a long time, it seems. And then we've
12 had the last couple years of really good returns. What is
13 different on the driving of that? And then, what's the
14 long-term view of those returns still giving us a good
15 profile of returns?

16 Anybody.

17 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So in the
18 CMAs that we showed earlier, those are the long-term
19 projected returns.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: On fixed income?

21 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: On fixed
22 income. For all of the asset classes. That's on page 8
23 of these materials.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Page 8, yeah.

25 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So you can

1 see this is significant improvement in fixed income
2 returns compared to what people thought two years ago.

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Right.

4 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Now you could
5 ask about why they thought that two years ago, but the
6 circumstances were pretty rough, right? We were still
7 coming into the GFC. Fed policy was keeping rates
8 incredibly low. And that's changed. In the last two
9 years we've had a number of events that have led to
10 inflation, that have led to the fed increase in short-term
11 rates. And the whole curve was adopted to that -- that
12 world view. And that's closer to what we would expect in
13 the long run.

14 So those rates are I think long-run rates.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Okay. And
16 then -- now I already forgot my second question.

17 Why now? I think was my second question. Why
18 are we doing this now? It seems like -- again it seems a
19 little new for us to do this. So why now?

20 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

21 Maybe I'll start it now, Sterling. Then others
22 jump in.

23 I think the main reason is because what I
24 mentioned in my opening comments that we're starting to
25 bump up against the bands and the private markets, right.

1 So that, you know, when we adopted the candidate -- the
2 portfolio, we also had an implementation plan. And that
3 implementation plan was to graduate peace into private
4 assets again. That's what we thought we could achieve in
5 the deployment. We have achieved that faster, which means
6 that right now we are -- we can be ± 5 percent let's say in
7 private equity. We're overweight about 4 percent. And so
8 if we got another drawdown, we would actually breach the
9 band.

10 What we really want to do is just stay consistent
11 and we -- you know, we've talked about this several times
12 and I know Ms. Middleton asked this question several
13 times. I would say the biggest mistake that we have --
14 that arguably we've made in my entire tenure here but
15 certainly we've made coming out of the financial crisis,
16 was that we stopped committing to private equity and those
17 vintages after 2008, '9, '10, '11, with conservative
18 assumptions we believe that cost us something like \$11
19 billion. We don't want to recommit that mistake. What we
20 really want to do is just stay consistent in our
21 deployment. So while this feels like a change, and Anton
22 can talk to this again when we get into closed session,
23 our allocation budget is not changing. Really what we're
24 trying to do in private equity is continue to commit the
25 same amount of money year after year so that we can try to

1 not make that same mistake.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. And so here's
3 another question I have then. Sorry about this, guys.

4 So if we are bumping up against the band, right,
5 are we measuring this -- using the right measurement to
6 measure this, number one? Should we use a percentage,
7 should we use a dollar amount? But additionally, since
8 most of this deployment is coming within the last couple
9 of years, how do we stop bumping up against the limit
10 because we're still need the deploy but we don't have
11 any -- that much old stuff so that we could -- you know,
12 that gets liquidate and then we can do -- and keep at a
13 certain either dollar figure or percentage, right. So it
14 seems to me that we're -- in my head, the way my math
15 works - and I'm not math person - but that seems to me
16 that that would end up with us continuing to deploy and
17 then raising the cap because we don't have enough to sell
18 to keep us at a -- either -- a solid percentage that we
19 agree on, the 17 percent, or a dollar figure.

20 So there's two questions in that. Number one:
21 Why did we use percentage? Because maybe that could be
22 the problem. And could we use a dollar figure or
23 something else to measure? And then how do we do this
24 when this is, you know, 10-year investments, and almost
25 everything we have right now is new?

1 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So this is
2 the first question. We started our analysis with dollars
3 so we could work very closely with the asset class. And
4 it is all about dollars. They're deploying dollars.
5 That's what managers get. They get dollars; they don't
6 get percentages.

7 It falls on total portfolio. Then to try to
8 interpret that and understand the range of outcomes in
9 terms of that percentage. And that's something I'll also
10 talk about a little bit later on.

11 The second part is capital goes out but it also
12 comes back.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Right.

14 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: And all of
15 that work is focused on what the commitments are, and then
16 what kind of behaviors do we get? And if you make a
17 commitment today when it's the capital call, then once the
18 capital is called, when does it come back? So a lot of
19 work goes into try to understand that. And again, no
20 certainty in that work, but it's a lot of statistical
21 work.

22 So we will see an increase in the dollars in the
23 portfolio. And a lot of our conversation then, what's the
24 right pacing? On average, what's the right pacing that
25 the team should commit to in terms of dollars? And then

1 we interpret that and say, "That gets to a reasonable
2 outcome as a percentage."

3 So both those pieces.

4 Sorry, Dan.

5 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: No,
6 I agree with everything you've said.

7 I would say, Ms. Taylor, you're picking at
8 something that our senior investment team has spent a lot
9 of time picking at, because -- and Sterling alluded to
10 what we have -- our pacing models. And we have pacing
11 models across private assets, and what they do is they
12 look at what the portfolio and what the ratio of sort of
13 fund investments and co-investments, it looks like. And
14 then what we expect from that as we continue to commit
15 both in terms of what's going into the ground and what's
16 coming out. And like I said, there are a lot of
17 assumptions to those models. They're models. They're
18 very informative, and that's what a lot of the debate has
19 been. We do think that where we land with those pacing
20 models, that that sort of Portfolio A, lifting private
21 equity from 13 percent to 17 and lifting private debt from
22 5 to 8, is the right way to do our pacing. We can still
23 handle the liquidity and we'll lean into the more
24 attractive asset classes.

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Doesn't answer though

1 the question I was asking, which is how do we avoid having
2 to come back in two years and increase this cap again?
3 That's what I'm thinking that we're going go, because I --
4 I see -- you guys gave us a long-term look at the economy,
5 which is looking like it's slowing, right. We're not
6 going to have, it sounds like -- I mean we could -- but
7 we're not going to have these great returns that we had
8 before the Great Financial Crisis. I think we had one
9 year or two years of really, really good returns from 2008
10 to '17 or something like that, or '20 or whatever it was.

11 So how do we -- how do we stop that where we're
12 going to end up having -- are we going to keep allocating
13 until we're at 30 percent of the fund its private markets.

14 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: I
15 would say it's probably now -- I would say right now we're
16 at 32 percent private markets in the Total Fund. Right
17 now that's private equity, private debt --

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So let me say
19 private equity then.

20 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

21 Okay. Private equity.

22 A 30 percent allocation to private equity would
23 be a very large allocation to private equity. I can't say
24 that that's not something that -- I would not rule that
25 out, because I do think again if you look at the capital

1 market assumptions, private equity is our highest
2 returning asset class and it's got all those really --
3 those really attractive characteristics.

4 On our current pace we think it'll get us to
5 about that 17 percent. And because we think it'll get us
6 to about that 17 percent, that's why that's what we're
7 recommending this time. But it is very possible that in
8 two years' time we would look to raise that again as we
9 continue to allocate them that way.

10 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: If I could
11 just add to that.

12 All these pacing conversations lead to very
13 healthy conversations. Dan mentioned earlier, I mentioned
14 earlier, the private assets, it's about doing better than
15 the public equivalents. So as an ongoing conversation,
16 even though these are long-term projections, that is this
17 asset class attractive relative to the public equity
18 market, for example? If it is, then the allocation will
19 likely increase.

20 However, at the same time we have to manage
21 liquidity, and that's where there's the pushback. So
22 striking the right balance -- and we do an awful lot of
23 work interpreting the pacing models and asking again the
24 stress tests on the liquidity side, do we have a balance
25 that we know we have lots of liquidity both now and in the

1 future based on the models that we're using to better
2 understand the private assets?

3 Fundamentally though it's trying to strike a
4 balance of maximizing the value.

5 So might we get to a 30 percent? If the relative
6 merits were there and if we had the liquidity to sustain
7 that over 20 or 30 years, that's something that we would
8 contemplate. Obviously we'd have to come here as part of
9 the SAA to make that proposal. We're not there today.
10 Might we get there in the future? It's possible again,
11 but based on the relative merits and our liquidity
12 situation.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. I'm going to end
14 with, I'm going to ask you guys to -- and I appreciate
15 that.

16 First of all, private equity's hard to benchmark,
17 right? So it's hard to say that we're going to do much
18 better with it or more of it. But also, for the last few
19 years it has not been all that great. So -- but I also
20 don't want -- I don't want us to stop. I understand that
21 was our big mistake. But I don't want us to go the other
22 way either. I don't want to overinvest in an asset class
23 that is very illiquid but at the same time it is showing
24 signs of weakness over the last five years or so,
25 comparatively speaking, to what it was. I mean the

1 returns used to be in the teens, right -- 20s and teens.
2 We're down in the single digit returns now most of the
3 time. Sometimes up in the 11s, 12s, 13s. But I just -- I
4 caution you guys -- are we going some place where I'm not
5 supposed to go on this conversation?

6 Okay. I caution you guys that we don't overreact
7 and do too much.

8 Thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Next we have Director
10 Rubalcava.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chair.

13 I appreciate the presentation. One thing I
14 appreciate about CalPERS, it has a long-term horizon. And
15 one thing that also drives that because there's a
16 long-term horizon, we always look at actuarial
17 assumptions. And as Scott pointed out, there's no
18 recommendation to make any change in the long-term assu --
19 in the assumptions. And those are always driven by
20 experience studies. And there's always been like a
21 concept we don't tweak them; you look -- we wait for the
22 experience study.

23 So before I get to the experience-study question,
24 I want to talk about the capital market assumptions, as
25 people have been focused on this page 8 of 19, fixed

1 income returns have increased more than were expected.
2 And at the same time, even though private equity still
3 continues to be very attractive, I mean they still have
4 higher returns, it's a lot lower than previously expected
5 two years ago. So it seems counterintuitive, if it's
6 lower expectation, why would we say we should increase our
7 allocation as private equity? It seems counterintuitive
8 to me. If somebody could speak to that.

9 And then I'll go to the actuarial question.

10 Thank you.

11 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So we'd be
12 happy to speak to that.

13 That was the conversation about constraints. So
14 two years ago we just didn't think we were capable of
15 doing more. Frankly, if we had the capabilities, then we
16 probably would have actually asked for a higher allocation
17 two years ago than we did this year. If there were no
18 constraints, then it's possible, you know, the allocation
19 would have maybe stayed the same. But what we were saying
20 this year is still -- the highest returning asset class is
21 still attractive, and we would like to get closer to the
22 levels that we would have liked to have done in the first
23 place.

24 So basically we lacked seeing the constraints.
25 That's the result of the increase in the allocation.

1 Still justified by the returns.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Okay.

3 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: I'm
4 not sure if that makes sense, Mr. Rubalcava. But let me
5 try saying it another way, because it is counter -- no, I
6 agree, it's counterintuitive.

7 If we didn't have a constraint on private equity
8 in 2021, the optimizer would have allocated something like
9 25 or 30 percent to private equity. Because we didn't
10 think we could deploy that fast, we capped it at 13
11 percent, and the optimizer went right there.

12 Now we just raised -- and I could show you --
13 because we found that we can deploy faster. Again, if you
14 run it in an unconstrained way, it will still allocate
15 into the 20s. But when you remove that constraint or you
16 move it up to 17 percent, it goes there.

17 So it is unequivocally counterintuitive. And I
18 think we all looked at that question, "Really, that's
19 counterintuitive. The returns have come down and yet our
20 allocation is going up." But it really is as Sterling
21 said, it's a function of the fact that we have found that
22 we can go faster, so we've raised the constraint and have
23 optimized, it allocates right to the constraint.

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: So I guess it drives
25 another question before I get to the actuarial.

1 So do we need to adjust anything in the
2 investment policy that we can make a change in the
3 mid-cycle instead of waiting for the regular process?

4 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

5 That's what'll change will be in the investment.
6 Right now, the Total Fund Policy states that private
7 equity is allocated at 13 percent. But remember that we
8 talked in closed session with this Board on the
9 implementation plan. So the policy level is actually a
10 little bit lower than that. This update, if we do this in
11 March - and again, remember, this is an information item
12 and we really -- we want to hear all of this feedback and
13 these questions and all of these -- you know, all of this
14 discussion. But then we would be back -- if there is
15 appetite to do what we would recommend given what we know
16 of the risk appetite, our collective risk appetite, we
17 would be back in March with an item that increases private
18 equity and private debt, reduces public equity and public
19 credit, and then slightly leans into fixed income. And
20 then that would drive an update to the Total Fund Policy
21 for those allocations.

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Okay. Let me go
23 back to my actuarial, I like the term you use, "anchor."
24 That's what I understand coming to this Board, the
25 actuarial stuff more than the investment side. And

1 there's like a long-range patience kind of thing. And I'm
2 sensitive to what was said, the term I like was the lost
3 decade, you know. But I think that reflected I think a
4 reactive responding to the immediate economic conditions
5 as opposed to a steady roadmap, so to speak. And so I
6 have a question on the actuarial assumptions.

7 The timeline, page 3, November -- November 2020
8 we have the experience study. In June of 2025, there will
9 be a look at the economic assumptions.

10 When do we -- and I know in November 2025
11 we'll -- hope -- we'll look at the experience study. But
12 when -- when are the demographic assumptions presented to
13 the Board? We have to wait till November 2025?

14 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: Yeah, that's -- we
15 normally come to the Board in November and present the
16 demographic assumptions. What we do is during 2025 while
17 the, you know, various portfolios and new CMAs are being
18 factored in, we are reviewing the experience we've had
19 over the last four years, comparing it to what we had over
20 the last 20 years, and developing new assumptions on the
21 demographic side. And we kind of bring those forward and
22 present those to the Board in November.

23 We do have -- in September, I think what we do is
24 we bring a draft of the results of the experience study in
25 September so the Board has a chance to see our findings,

1 and then we come back in November for final approval.
2 It's similar to the portfolios presented, where the Board
3 sees everything in September and then they have several
4 months to look over the material before making any
5 decisions on it.

6 So it's like -- like a first -- you can think
7 about it as first reading in September, and then a
8 final -- or possibly approval in November.

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Yeah, I'm very
10 cognizant that actuarial assumptions impact contribution
11 rates. So the experience study it would also talk about
12 the economic assumptions. So I feel more comfortable
13 seeing both together.

14 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: Yeah, and they do come
15 together. In June I think what happens is we come back --
16 we were talking about capital market assumptions, which is
17 similar to the survey results that Sterling presented
18 earlier this morning, where you saw on the survey results
19 and the various ranges. That's -- that will be the CMA's
20 discussions. And then in terms of economic discussions,
21 that's mostly on inflation.

22 And when you talk about -- we don't really get
23 into any demographic stuff. You know, if we have
24 preliminary demographic materials we can possibly bring
25 some of that -- or, yeah, preliminary findings in June as

1 well so the Board can at least get a flavor for what's
2 going on and what we're seeing. I think we could do that.

3 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Yeah, because I
4 think I saw something or read something where -- something
5 about the retirement trends are changing, shifting. And I
6 know that how much income comes into the system, it's also
7 employer and employee contribution, so that would impact
8 something I think of how much money we have to invest.

9 CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: I think -- you know, we
10 saw a spike in retirement rates with COVID but now they've
11 dropped down. And so when we -- when we -- in two years
12 we'll have a, you know, four-year period to kind of review
13 the retirements and see, you know, both what we saw during
14 COVID and what we saw post-COVID and see where we are. So
15 are we kind of like -- when you factor all four of those
16 years, are we back to kind of like back to normal in terms
17 of what we're seeing; and when you look over that
18 four-year period you just have a spike and then the drop
19 and then we're just back to where we were before. And so,
20 you know, that will be a lot of what we'll be looking at
21 when we do an analysis in a couple years.

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Yeah. You know, one
23 thing, when I first came on the Board I think one of the
24 first votes I took was to adopt the final leg of the risk
25 mitigation strategy or program. I can't remember the

1 right term. So I would -- and I'm very cognizant, very
2 mindful that we -- you know, we have an obligation to meet
3 the return bogey, you know, make sure that we can provide
4 the secure retirement benefit. But at the same time I
5 want to make sure we're driven by experience and not
6 short-term immediate fluctuations in the market, you know.

7 So just looking forward to more reports and --
8 before we make any changes.

9 Thank you very much. Appreciate the response
10 back on the questions. Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Middleton.

12 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank
13 you.

14 And thank you for what's very interesting and
15 very strong reports.

16 So a couple of general questions. Then I want to
17 dig into a couple of specific items.

18 But if I understand right, with scenario A you're
19 telling us that there is an opportunity to potentially get
20 to 7 percent return; is that correct?

21 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Under the
22 current survey, there's always that potential. So if you
23 think about the way these work. Yeah.

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: So is that largely
25 driven by an increase in our risk appetite or is the

1 market itself changing?

2 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: I think
3 that's just more a reflection of the survey results
4 through the market changing. We haven't changed our risk
5 appetite for that portfolio.

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. As we
7 look at these kind of changes - and this is really
8 directed more to Steve and Tom - what are the areas that
9 you see are the risk to us with these changes?

10 MR. FORESTI: I think -- and Ms. Taylor touched
11 on one of them, which is that the -- you know, the
12 premiums that are assumed to be there in the private
13 markets don't materialize over time as they have in the
14 past. So that's -- that's one of them.

15 Dan, you know, mentioned earlier the importance
16 of managing liquidity as a private part of the portfolio
17 increases. That's a risk. It's a manageable risk, but
18 it's a risk.

19 So I -- I'd touch on those two in particular.
20 But in general these are capital market assumptions and,
21 you know, I think we have a lot of confidence
22 directionally and we've had such a profound change from
23 two years ago that, while there's uncertainty in capital
24 market assumptions, I think to ignore that change would be
25 to just throw our hands up and say we don't really know

1 anything about the future. And I don't think that's true.

2 So while we have uncertainty, we do -- you know,
3 we have strong modeling, strong techniques. Yes, there's
4 uncertainty around that. But there's always that general
5 risk. You could see in the survey results Sterling showed
6 how wide a variance. I mean I found that to be remarkable
7 just how different the expected return on that same
8 portfolio is from 15 different providers.

9 I will say, that doesn't mean that their asset
10 allocation results would necessarily be dramatically
11 different from what the suggested portfolio is, if you
12 worked off of those. Because it's really the relative
13 relationship between asset class returns. It's just as
14 that for a static portfolio, that's a range. But I think
15 that's speaks volumes to the level of uncertainty. But
16 hopefully that helps at laying out what I think some of
17 the risks might be.

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank
19 you.

20 One of the things I noticed with all of the
21 scenarios is the percentage of real assets stays stable at
22 15 percent. Yet over the last few years, particularly
23 since COVID, we've seen a lot of turn in commercial real
24 estate market. Do you see there being a different mix of
25 real estate assets that we will be holding and do you

1 continue to believe that real estate -- or that real
2 assets will carry out its traditional responsibility as a
3 stable investment?

4 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So in terms
5 of the mix, that's probably a question best addressed to
6 Sarah. I think the mix probably does change given
7 everything that we've been seeing going on in the market.

8 In terms of the allocation itself, we've sort of
9 held the line at 15 percent. If you've been really,
10 really restrict in the sensitivity analysis, you might
11 have seen that allocation actually reduced. And if we
12 were actually to have abided by that, we would have been
13 selling in a market where we probably didn't want to be
14 selling. So the sensitivity analysis that we've already
15 looked at, if we hold the line as opposed to maybe coming
16 to the market, it ends up it makes very little difference
17 at the total portfolio level.

18 So, you know, we talk about, you know, analytics
19 and so on. We also try to impose some common sense and
20 try to avoid doing things that we might regret in the
21 future.

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you.

23 Doesn't really hit on the issue of the ALM, but I
24 was a bit surprised when the slide was up on fixed income
25 that it showed 78 percent of it was internally managed

1 compared to a lot of others that were in the 90s. It
2 would -- as someone who's not an investment professional,
3 it would seem that fixed income would be an area that
4 would be more amenable to internal management.

5 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

6 Yeah, but it is substantially internally managed,
7 right. But it is down from mid-90s. And I would say that
8 has to do with two things. Number 1, the addition of
9 emerging market sovereigns as a sleeve. Remember, we did
10 that in 2021. We think there's opportunity for active
11 management there. And a result we've moved some
12 externally.

13 And then number 2, we had been managing high
14 yield primarily internally. We actually think that
15 there's some real opportunity for active management and
16 excess returns and high yield. But that's -- it's very
17 hard to attract a high yield team; and as a result, we
18 would -- we have moved a substantial portion of that
19 external also.

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank
21 you.

22 Moving towards the end of my questions. But I
23 really do appreciate the commitment to stability and
24 private equity investing and trying to assess the pacing
25 models that you're speaking to.

1 The media has had paid a lot of attention to
2 another announcement that we've made going into this
3 meeting, and that's the recommendation to come close to
4 doubling the amount of investment that we have in - for
5 want of a better word - climate-related green energy.

6 Does a greater commitment in private equity make it
7 easier for us to move in that field, or is it even
8 relevant to that discussion?

9 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: It
10 may be that we should get Anton and Peter up here for
11 that. Or we can take that up under Item 4 -- or 6, I'm
12 sorry, D if you'd like.

13 Yeah, you know, I would say that in general, yes,
14 it would -- actually does create opportunities for us.
15 But to get any more detail than that, I would want those
16 two to answer that question.

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, let's hold it till D.

18 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

19 Okay.

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: We'll defer that
21 question till later.

22 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: And
23 I'll let them know that they are going to have to answer
24 that question in 6d.

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: So really the last

1 question.

2 And we started the day with some pretty harrowing
3 commentary coming in from individuals in the workforce.
4 And that's all private-equity-driven investment. So -- I
5 appreciate where we're trying to go. But I'm also
6 chastened by the knowledge that the greater investment
7 that we put in private equity, the increased
8 responsibility that we have to ensure that we are working
9 with partners that actually do share our values and our
10 long-term values.

11 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

12 Agreed.

13 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay.

14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

15 We're on to Director Pacheco.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you.

17 I just want to compliment my board members,
18 President Taylor, Mr. Rubalcava and Ms. Middleton, about
19 this private equity, and the allocation proposed here with
20 number A from 13 to 17 percent as well as the private debt
21 from 5 to 8 percent. And, you know, from my
22 understanding, you know, these assets are illiquid in
23 nature. They are about a 10-year life cycle horizon.

24 But I want to know if in part -- is part of this
25 analysis that -- are we going to incorporate the private

1 equity data conversion project, which is -- brings out
2 some more information about what's going on? You know,
3 understanding some analytics about private equity, I know
4 inherently it's kind of difficult to find out what's going
5 on under the hood. But is that something that we are, you
6 know, considering working with in words or milestone in
7 that? Because I don't think we've talked about that a
8 lot.

9 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

10 Yeah. So the private equity data convergence
11 project continues our pace. And we've been very pleased
12 with the uptake. We're continuing to focus on it. So
13 you'll see more on that. And, again, Anton would be the
14 expert, and I'm happy to have Anton come up. But it is
15 definitely the case that we are continuing on the private
16 equity data convergence project.

17 To your comment on liquidity, yes, private equity
18 and private debt are illiquid assets. I would say the
19 actual -- the fund life cycles are about 10 years in
20 private equity and about 6 to 7 years in private debt.
21 And I would say that the asset holds are -- again are
22 about half that, maybe 5 to 6 years in private equity and
23 again maybe 3 years in private debt.

24 But there is a liquidity component on all of that
25 is what weighs into those pacing models. And, again, that

1 was the area that President Taylor was picking at earlier.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: All right. Thank you
3 very much.

4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

5 I don't see any requests to speak further on this
6 item from the Board.

7 And I believe we have one public commenter on the
8 phone for item 6b.

9 Do we have Ernest Tanga on the phone?

10 Oh, in the room.

11 Okay. Welcome. And when you introduce yourself
12 and start to speak, your time will appear; and it will
13 start when you begin.

14 ERNEST TANGA: Good morning, Investment Board.
15 My name is Ernest Tanga. I'm a ramp agent. I work at
16 Dulles International Airport outside Washington D.C. I
17 work for Swissport U.S.A.

18 I want to tell you what it's like to work for
19 Swissport U.S.A. In March this year, that's -- excuse
20 me -- in February 13 this year, I was actually injured on
21 the job because the type of bag loader I had to use on the
22 flight was loading bags onto -- it wasn't safe and had
23 caught between it and plane. I lift heavy bags and my
24 legs slipped in between the plane and the bag loader. I
25 was totally trapped and in pain. Still I have -- still I

1 have pain in my leg. That's my knee precisely. And some
2 days I -- it makes it hard to work. I heard the work
3 even -- a worker even died because of a similar situation
4 at another airport. I'm also not the only Swissport
5 worker that has been hurt at work before.

6 Honestly, because we don't have enough workers, I
7 feel like working at Swissport is getting more and more
8 dangerous for workers like me. Swissport was recently
9 named one of -- was included as one of the dirty dozen by
10 the National Council of Occupational Safety and Health,
11 which is at least of employee -- employers whose work are
12 more from to hazard that the company can prevent.

13 Just recently my co-workers and I also realized
14 we were missing wages for hours that we worked.
15 Personally, I was missing over \$500 in just an 8-week
16 period. I knew many Swissport workers across the country
17 are facing similar issues with the errant paid correctly
18 for the hours they worked.

19 I'm sure you can see how this isn't good for
20 investors like you. I don't -- I don't always feel safe
21 at work and I am overworked and not paid correctly. I ask
22 you to listen to our stories and do what you can to help
23 us.

24 Thank you very much.

25 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

1 Okay. I don't see any other requests to speak on
2 this item. So that will bring us to Item 6c, Total Fund
3 Portfolio Management, the Annual Program Review.

4 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Yes.
5 Thank you, Mr. Chair. And it's fortunate that we have
6 Sterling up here with us because he will take us through
7 the Total Fund Portfolio Management Annual Program Review.

8 Sterling, over to you.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Very good.

10 (Thereupon a slide presentation).

11 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Thank you,
12 Dan. And I was kind of pleased earlier when Dan described
13 the SI review as another exciting review. Which kind of
14 implied that maybe this one would also be exciting.

15 I'm not so sure.

16 Anyway, I'll carry on.

17 So I just want to go over TFPM and make sure that
18 it's a -- a few things. One, give an overview of what our
19 objectives are and how we're organized.

20 --o0o--

21 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: We'll speak
22 to a few market-related themes and, you know, some
23 concerns we see on the horizon. We've already talked to a
24 number of those already but I'll just touch base with
25 them.

1 A review of few performance indicators for our
2 team.

3 And finally a talk about some the initiatives in
4 the coming year.

5 And I would like to say, you know, TFPM, as we
6 call ourselves, if we do our jobs well, no one notices.
7 That's sort of the kind of work that we do for the most
8 part on a day-by-day basis. You know, the asset classes
9 have a -- get a lot of attention, and deservedly so.
10 They're generating, you know, value-add relative to
11 benchmarks. And our job, as we'll see, is more to make
12 sure that we stick to the broad mix as expressed by our
13 benchmarks.

14 So let's go to the next page, please, page 3 of
15 the presentation.

16 Thank you.

17 --o0o--

18 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So we're very
19 much focused on maintaining the PERF's total portfolio
20 risk at target level. So, you know, we talked earlier
21 about risk in the context of the ALM. Most of that's set,
22 so then it's our job to kind of maintain that level. And
23 we do that by looking at the asset class mixes and
24 maintaining that mix.

25 And some activities related to that:

1 One is portfolio completion. And that's just
2 sort of a day-to-day management of -- managing cash flows
3 into and out of the PERF, including when we have capital
4 calls on private assets and so on.

5 And then another more visible activity is more
6 quarterly, and that's the rebalancing of the portfolio.

7 Over time, because of the return that will occur
8 in the quarter, the asset mixes will differ from where
9 we'd like them to be. And so it's our role to rebalance
10 that portfolio. So we work with the asset classes to do
11 that work.

12 Now, support of those efforts and others, such as
13 strategic asset allocation, we do have research that
14 supports the deployment of risk.

15 And actually I've mentioned the SAA, but also the
16 risk budgeting, which we'll talk about in a minute.

17 And we also work to monetize the balance sheet.
18 So basically there are a lot of assets that we have
19 invested passively. So we do our best to put them to work
20 on a short-term basis to try and improve our returns.

21 And we have few metrics, which I think are on the
22 next page.

23 --o0o--

24 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Or perhaps
25 not.

1 So come back -- this -- we contribute very little
2 to tracking error, so come back to that.

3 We also have about \$34 billion outstanding right
4 now of financing activities. That supports the leverage
5 in the portfolio, both the strategic leverage as well as
6 active activities.

7 And we've helped set a current risk budget for
8 the year where we have projected returns, a 5-year average
9 of about \$800 million.

10 And in the strategic plan we've been working on
11 for the last year with the Board we've been talking to,
12 the idea is to try to increase that outcome to perhaps as
13 much as \$3 billion.

14 We'll go to the next page to just talk briefly
15 about the functionality. I won't go through this line by
16 line.

17 --oOo--

18 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: But we have a
19 role in developing asset class strategies. We have a role
20 in the SAA, as I mentioned. We also have a role in
21 meeting the needs of internal stakeholders, whether it's
22 the economic reporting, having views on what's going on in
23 the markets, and so on. We also have a role in providing
24 ongoing risk assessment of what's actually in the
25 portfolio and our portfolio position. And that includes

1 regularly reports to the Board here.

2 And, finally, we also work for the asset classes
3 to assist in the ongoing cash and liquidity needs. So we
4 talked earlier, for example, about the pacing models that
5 we're developing with the asset classes to make sure that
6 we're very comfortable with our liquidity situation. And
7 again both now, which is maybe the easy part; but also we
8 were talking about, you know, if these asset classes grow
9 and become a more important feature of the portfolio, will
10 we have sufficient liquidity in the future? And I'm very
11 comfortable in saying, yes, we will with our current
12 assumptions and our current attitude towards those asset
13 classes.

14 So there are key accomplishments on the next
15 page.

16 --o0o--

17 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So we
18 undertook a number of issues this past year in support of
19 the strategies overall. One was top of the list,
20 improving our liquidity and financing processes. These
21 are actually coupled together. How we finance ourselves
22 actually is part of the balance sheet, and then the
23 balance sheet provides our liquidity. So we focus on
24 that.

25 We've coordinated the transition of the SAA. And

1 we'll talk a bit about that and then perhaps enclose those
2 details. But how do we go from what was approved two
3 years ago, that starting point, to how do we get to it was
4 approved? That's the transition. We helped manage that.

5 We led the active risk innovation initiative,
6 which set a framework in governance for incorporating new
7 ideas into the portfolio. I think a few months ago we
8 spoke about our desire to increase our active portfolio
9 with a desire to creating more dollar value-add. To do
10 that, part of that is to have new ideas, at least new to
11 us, and incorporate it into the active portfolio. How do
12 we do that?

13 Mentioned that we socialize the new risk budget
14 and incomes for that.

15 And -- I guess we can go to the next page around
16 strategy and portfolio positioning.

17 --o0o--

18 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: And I've
19 mentioned it a few times, but it's well worth mentioning
20 again here. And we'll see the quantif -- we'll quantify
21 this in a minute. But maintain total portfolio risk and
22 how we do that through portfolio completion, through
23 rebalancing. And also how do we maintain our financing.

24 In terms of our strategy, having recommended
25 strategic asset allocation to harvest our long-term risk

1 premium, that's the primary reason for trying to maintain
2 the risk at the levels that were approved, as well as
3 designing and facilitating that risk budgeting process,
4 which leads to higher DVA in the long run.

5 Next slide, please.

6 --o0o--

7 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Well, we've
8 talked through some of the questions from the Board and
9 we've talked about some of these. But I'm going to touch
10 on them again.

11 So current concerns. Although they are current,
12 they are not new. In fact, I would say most of them are
13 actually par for the course, and it's just a matter of
14 degree now they vary over time.

15 So we talked a bit about the private assets. And
16 Steve mentioned earlier about one of the concerns is can
17 we maintain the relative merits of privates relative to
18 publics? Do they maintain that relative advantage? It's
19 always a concern. So that's why we have a regular review,
20 not just as to pacing but also there are assumptions that
21 drop that pacing.

22 Geopolitics. We touched on that very briefly
23 earlier. I would say they become less predictable, they
24 got more volatile. The world's quickly changing. But I
25 do believe global markets still have a role in our

1 portfolio. One of the key tools we had to manage
2 geopolitical risk is diversification. Rather than making
3 a single bet on just one or two countries, having a
4 diversified portfolio, but then over time also mentoring
5 that; just as we do with our assumptions about the private
6 assets, we can monitor our assumptions about countries
7 over time. And if we feel adjustments are made, we can
8 try to do that.

9 And also another thing that we touched in our
10 concern we've discussed earlier is about the future just
11 in general around growth and inflation. On that point, I
12 do kind of feel that we're going to be in a world of
13 long-run trends, slightly higher inflation, perhaps maybe
14 a little bit lower growth. It's a more challenging world.
15 It means we'll have to work harder. And SO two years from
16 now we'll go through the ALM and we'll have a conversation
17 around those details again. But I do think that's
18 probably a part of the world in the future. So we're
19 involved in all those -- all three of those themes or
20 those concerns, we also like to talk about here.

21 On the investment themes we talked about
22 harvesting risk premium. We talked about that also a few
23 months ago. Provide some exhibits about what actually
24 looks like and how that's a long-term strategy. I didn't
25 include the exhibits here, but it was in the public

1 document that we shared, about equity risk premium, for
2 example. Even on a 10-year rolling basis you can still
3 have negative returns. And that happens about 10 percent
4 in the history that we've presented there.

5 So it can be a bumpy road, but it's an important
6 road to take because we need to find those returns to meet
7 our discount rate.

8 And then the last theme we have here is simply
9 working with Peter and the asset classes to make sure we
10 do incorporate sustainable investing practices into our
11 portfolio.

12 And as I opened with on the ALM, that's -- I
13 don't think that's incongruous with actually trying to
14 maximize returns. I think it's consistent.

15 Go to the next page, please

16 --o0o--

17 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Already speak
18 to this -- fairly briefly, but we highlighted the red
19 part. As I mentioned, we rebalanced the portfolio to
20 maintain risk, to maintain the mix that we want in the
21 portfolio. So the column we've highlighted, Total Policy
22 Benchmark. The policy portfolio is a benchmark for -- to
23 the Investment Office. And we try to maintain the mix, to
24 maintain that benchmark.

25 So this is just a summary of the 10-year

1 performance, which is a reasonable horizon over which to
2 measure. And you see it's a 7 percent return. It
3 generated about \$234 billion in total over that period of
4 time. And that's not the work done solely by my team at
5 all. Far from it. But rather collectively, that's we've
6 done together. And my team's contribution is to maintain
7 the overall mix at the target levels.

8 And also mentioned \$2.8 billion of
9 dollar-value-add there over the 10 years. And, again,
10 that's an area of interest for us, where we believe we can
11 create a larger active portfolio that can generate
12 additional dollar-value-add over the coming years. That's
13 part of our strategy that we're developing.

14 Next page.

15 --o0o--

16 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Just very
17 briefly. A short discussion on tracking error. We throw
18 the term around a lot. Really it only applies to the
19 public asset classes. That's the only place where it's
20 really actually meaningful.

21 We do measure it for the private asset classes.
22 When we -- there was a comment earlier that, you know,
23 benchmarks -- you can't really have a good benchmark from
24 the private asset class. It's very hard. So we do
25 measure it. We can remonitor it. It's not a policy

1 element. The policy element applies to how the -- how
2 different are the public asset classes from their
3 benchmarks. That's measurable, and that is meaningful.
4 So we can measure that.

5 What you can see here in this table highlighted
6 in the red box there, historically reviewed is very, very
7 little. What's available to us in terms of tracking
8 error? The policy says we can use up to 100 basis points.
9 We're currently using about 15. And again it's our intent
10 as we build a stronger active portfolio that you should
11 see that increase over time.

12 We can go to the next slide, please.

13 --o0o--

14 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So this sort
15 of a measure of our day-to-day activities and so on. We
16 set a benchmark for ourselves, you know, buying and
17 selling in the markets. There are some frictions in the
18 markets. There are some costs associated with that. And
19 so we allowed ourselves 2 basis points as an expected cost
20 over the year.

21 What this chart shows us is a few pieces of
22 information. That red line is the expected outline --
23 outcome. It's a cost, so slow decline.

24 The cones, the dashed lines, and the dotted lines
25 are the sort of error bars, as it were, the tolerance for

1 being different from the expected outcome.

2 And then the blue line -- the variable blue line
3 is what we've actually done over time. And you can see
4 very early, on around June 20, there's a bit of a glitch
5 there. That's COVID. It's hard to manage through those
6 kind of exciting times, but we did a pretty good job since
7 then. We've actually done better than expectation. We're
8 pretty much flat, almost no cost. And again this goes
9 back to, as a team, if no -- if you're not hearing about
10 us, it's probably because we're doing a good job as
11 opposed to the other way around.

12 So go to the next slide.

13 --o0o--

14 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: The other
15 activity that we're involved in is raising finances, for
16 the leverage, for the active portfolio. And we are
17 benchmark on those costs. What does it cost for us to
18 raise funds? And here our benchmark they mention, it's
19 3-month T-bills plus 50 basis points.

20 So it's measuring again how well are we doing
21 relative to that benchmark. It's a little bit noisy here
22 and there, but we're well within the bands that we
23 expected and set for ourselves. So again, if we do a good
24 job no one really notices. No surprises.

25 Next page, please.

1 --o0o--

2 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: We have
3 completed several initiatives this year going forward. We
4 do see a number of areas for refinement. And really it's
5 just ongoing improvements of stuff that we've already
6 started.

7 In terms of operational capabilities that would
8 include some new hires and some investments in our
9 technology.

10 Second, working on enhancing our total portfolio
11 diversification. I mentioned earlier research. So we are
12 looking at, are there additional strategies we can be
13 pursuing. And they would probably start in the active
14 portfolio to improve diversification.

15 And that plays into, you know, we are trying to
16 increase the size of the active portfolio by working with
17 the asset classes.

18 And one last item, I've talked about financing.
19 There are many, many different ways in the world one can
20 raise financing. We are trying to improve our
21 capabilities and broaden the number of channels that we
22 can access.

23 So some of that financing might be related to,
24 for example, you know, treasuries as collateral. If we
25 can expand the list of securities we can use as

1 collateral, then that strengthens our ability to borrow
2 when we post collateral.

3 So those are the activities we've been engaged
4 in, we expect to engage in in the coming year.

5 And that concludes my discussion of how the
6 team's done over the last year and where we hope to be
7 going in the coming year.

8 And probably disappointed Dan. Not as exciting
9 as the SI review will be.

10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, I think it was plenty
11 exciting.

12 (Laughter).

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I appreciate the report and
14 all the good information.

15 And I think we've got some questions and
16 comments. And I'll start with Director Pacheco.

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you. Thank you,
18 Chairman Miller, again.

19 Thank you, Mr. Gunn, with respect to your report.

20 I'd like to go back to the question, I think it
21 was on the last slide you just mentioned, on the area for
22 refinement and you mentioned improved operational
23 capacity. Now when I was reading Wilshire's letter, there
24 were some concerns regarding vacancies in your department.
25 And I wanted to know how -- what steps are being taken to

1 address that. Because if we're going to move forward with
2 this, we should also be addressing that as well.

3 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: No, I agree,
4 and we are working to fill those positions that we have
5 available to us.

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Okay.

7 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: And,
8 Mr. Pacheco, to your question, I think it's probably worth
9 asking Wilshire since they provided an opinion to comment
10 on the overall --

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes, that would be
12 good.

13 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Ali,
14 over to you.

15 MR. KAZEMI: Great. Good morning, everybody.
16 Ali Kazemi from Wilshire Advisors here to present some
17 brief remarks in regards to the letter that Director
18 Pacheco just referenced, which should serve as a
19 complement to kind of a more detailed review that Sterling
20 gave on the overall TFPM program.

21 You know, we've been covering the TFPM program
22 for several years now that the name of the team, the
23 design of the team has changed somewhat over those years.
24 But the -- you know, the core nature of it in terms of
25 serving as a central hub for all things related to

1 portfolio construction and implementation continue to be
2 at the forefront of what that group does.

3 In terms of a higher level view of the core
4 functions, we focus on four things: one is research,
5 trading, portfolio strategy, and then overall portfolio
6 design. And I'll briefly walk through those four pieces
7 in terms of kind of our evaluation of them.

8 The trading team oversees the performance funding
9 desk, manages the majority of performance trading, which
10 includes overall balance sheet management which Sterling
11 addressed. Over the last year some of the specific asset
12 class trading has shifted from the TFPM team into the
13 specific asset classes, so the scope and the size of the
14 resources have changed somewhat. But overall in terms of
15 our scoring for that group, it's steady in terms of on how
16 we evaluate that from a qualitative standpoint.

17 In terms of the portfolio design team, that was
18 introduced as a carve-out of TFPM last year, with the
19 intention of kind of complementing the overall portfolio
20 construction process by simply helping to guide how the
21 approved asset segments come together overall in the
22 portfolio.

23 We've seen an expansion of that team over the
24 last year and our scoring continues to be strong, which is
25 truly a reflection of what we think is, you know, high

1 caliber contributions from that segment of the TFPM
2 structure.

3 The portfolio strategy team manages the strategic
4 asset allocation implementation. We saw a little bit of
5 the fruits of those labors say in the mid-cycle review,
6 and also what we can talk about later in closed session.
7 But in addition to implementation of the strategic asset
8 allocation, strategy development and complementary asset
9 class research is also a component of what the portfolio
10 strategy team does. And, again, that team has been highly
11 stable in terms of its construction and in terms of
12 personnel. And similar to the portfolio design team, we
13 observe, you know, above average contributions from that
14 team, and it's reflected in our overall forecasting score
15 for TFPM.

16 The last component which Director Pacheco I think
17 addresses the point that you raise from our letter is in
18 regards to the research team. That group supports PERF
19 centrally providing research across the board and
20 assisting support on various projects for other programs,
21 again within the broader investment team. That team has
22 been impacted by turnover. And it's low in terms of its
23 resources relative to past years.

24 There are some open positions but currently
25 they're around four individuals kind of managing the

1 overall research with three open positions. So you're
2 running at about 60 percent of kind of the target range of
3 what the team has kind of laid out. So that certainly has
4 an impact in terms of the bandwidth of that team. And
5 from our standpoint that was the one area where we did
6 decrease overall scoring in terms of depth and breadth of
7 resources.

8 So that was the one area to speak to in terms of
9 the overall resources of the broader TFPM team.

10 When we factor in everything overall, our scoring
11 for TFPM as a whole remains in the same decile in terms of
12 it being above average. There are components of the
13 scoring that have decreased that numerically -- to a
14 slight degree. But that has been really offset by again
15 outstanding contributions from the existing team across
16 those four functions. And you've seen again some of that
17 in the work that's been presented today.

18 So I'll pause there. Hopefully that addresses
19 what was mentioned in our letter. But happy to address
20 any other questions.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, it does address
22 most of it. I just -- I'm just curious. I mean, I know
23 that earlier in the year we -- earlier this year we were
24 pushing -- it was -- I think there was an advertisement
25 called "Invest In Us" or "Invest From Us," that we were

1 trying to recruit more folks in the Investment Office.
2 And I -- so I brief we also had the rapid recruitment
3 process, we were trying to recruit people that way.

4 I'm just wondering if there are other tools that
5 we could utilize that would also help in that respect, but
6 I'm just -- if you can elaborate on that, that would be
7 great.

8 MR. KAZEMI: So I'll -- you know, in terms of the
9 mechanics, the specifics of recruiting, an organization
10 like CalPERS I could probably defer to staff to walk
11 through those details. You know, our view as the Board's
12 consultant is just to provide context to what we observe,
13 and our dialogue with the team, and then the potential
14 impact that it may have going back historically but also
15 in the future. And then that's kind of I think where we
16 would go back to staff on that.

17 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

18 Yeah. And I would say it continues to be a tight
19 labor market. It continues to be a -- you know, the
20 challenges that have been ours, you know, continue. But I
21 will also say that a partnership with Michele Tucker and
22 team has been really helpful. That rapid recruitment I
23 think was actually quite successful. We landed several
24 IOs. You have seen our, you know, size of the staff grow.
25 There are still vacancies. We are still making progress

1 on those vacancies. We have started using search firms
2 more. So I think you're seeing progress and you're seeing
3 a very supportive organization, like I commented on in my
4 opening comments. But it's a challenged job market and
5 we'll continue to work through it.

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Very good then. Thank
7 you very much.

8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Next questioner is
9 President Taylor.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, Chair
11 Miller.

12 Don't leave, because I do have a question for
13 you. So, the fourth decile you said was above average,
14 but it still doesn't sound too good. So -- and then you
15 said we were down -- you scored us a little lower because
16 of the vacancies and such. So what is -- why would we be
17 kind of just above average normally? And are we trending
18 up?

19 MR. KAZEMI: So fourth decile is still kind of
20 above average in terms of the way the Wilshire model
21 works. Again, we base that scoring on trying to compare
22 CalPERS organization like we would any external asset
23 management firm. And so, certainly there are going to be
24 some limitations and differences in terms of how we can
25 evaluate an external asset management firm versus an

1 organization like CalPERS. Part of the weighting of that
2 scoring is also impacted by the overall firm and team
3 score. So some of that is related to stuff that's not
4 specific to the TFPM team. So I think that should be
5 noted. And so some of that was discussed in September
6 when we talked about some of the issues relating to the
7 departure of Nicole at the CIO level.

8 In terms of the other point you asked about in
9 terms of scoring, there are areas such as forecasting,
10 where we've increased the scoring; we've seen the benefits
11 of that. That was somewhat offset by the depth and
12 breadth of resources within the research group that we did
13 decrease the score. So there are components within the
14 overall scoring that are kind of moving in different
15 directions. But overall we add everything up together; it
16 kind of leaves you at that same level, the fourth decile,
17 which is again above average in our model.

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Then that's
19 above average. Okay. Thank you.

20 My next question is on page 5, you talked about
21 the Executed Active Risk Innovation Business Initiative,
22 and then "Developed and socialized new risk budgeting
23 framework."

24 What is the former, Executed Active Risk
25 Innovation Business Initiative? What is that?

1 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: So the Active
2 Risk Initiative was the idea of research, to find new
3 strategies that we can include in the portfolio. And our
4 focus is on cross-asset-class strategies. Whereas each
5 asset class obviously has its own activities. Where there
6 are opportunities across asset class, we're focusing on
7 those. And the idea's to find strategies that will
8 improve the active portfolio in the near term and perhaps
9 even become part of the strategic asset allocation in a
10 longer term.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So it has
12 nothing to do with like our risk policy or anything?

13 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: No, no.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's you guys using new
15 research to find new things to invest in --

16 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Correct.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- that could increase
18 our risk?

19 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR GUNN: Correct.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Gotcha.

21 Okay. That was my last question.

22 Thank you very much for the report.

23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you for the
24 report.

25 I'm not seeing any more requests to speak from

1 the Board.

2 And I don't see any public requests to speak on
3 this item.

4 So thank you to you and the whole team. And it's
5 all very encouraging. Looking forward to more to come as
6 the time goes on.

7 So at this point I think it's a good time for us
8 to break for lunch. It's been almost two hours again. So
9 we'll come back at 1:45 and jump right back in.

10 (Off record: 12:35 a.m.)

11 (Thereupon a lunch break was taken.)

12 (On record: 1:46 p.m.)

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I have 1:46 up there.
14 So we'll jump back in and get rolling.

15 We finished Item 6c, and so now we're on to Item
16 6d, which is CalPERS Sustainable Investments 2030
17 Strategy.

18 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Yes,
19 Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I see Peter Cashion joining me
20 here. And then we'll ask the rest of his team to come up
21 too. And that's why I bounced down one was to create
22 plenty of room for everybody.

23 As you say, this is our review of the Sustainable
24 Investments 2030 Strategy providing some objectives, some
25 KPIs and the like to really dig in. This is a strategy

1 we're very excited about. So with no further ado, I'll
2 turn it over to Peter to take us through the item.

3 (Thereupon a slide presentation).

4 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Great.
5 Thank you, Dan. Pleasure to be back. We'll try to make
6 this as exciting as the earlier presentations from
7 Sterling on portfolio management. I don't know if we'll
8 achieve that but we'll certainly do our best.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Tough act to follow.

10 (Laughter).

11 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Mr.
12 Chairman, members of the Committee. It's our pleasure.
13 We're very excited to present to you today the CalPERS
14 Sustainable Investments 2030 Strategy. We have the team
15 assembled here to do that. This strategy really builds
16 off the strong foundation and historical global leadership
17 of CalPERS in the area of advocacy, DEI, and stewardship.

18 We will now expand this global leadership into
19 the area of sustainable investments.

20 In July we brought to you our thinking about what
21 lies ahead for CalPERS when it comes to sustainable
22 investments. At that time, we were evaluating different
23 approaches, and your input at the July Board off-site
24 provided valuable guidance.

25 Today we're sharing with you what is really the

1 roadmap for the next seven years on this topic.

2 We'll cover a lot of material today. It's really
3 quite dense. However, I think we can distill the strategy
4 down to three simple key words. And I'd like to share
5 that with you.

6 The first is "100 billion."

7 The second word is "resilience."

8 And the third word is "equity."

9 Let me elaborate a bit on each one.

10 With respect to a hundred billion. So we will
11 increase our sustainable investments in climate solutions
12 up to that number to achieve two objectives:

13 The first is outperformance. We believe by
14 investing in that strategy, we can achieve outperformance.

15 The second objective relates to the portfolio
16 Carbonization Lev -- or Level of Carbon in our portfolio.

17 We believe by 2030 we will be able to reduce the
18 carbon intensity of the portfolio by 50 percent. And a
19 significant contributor to that will be the hundred
20 billion.

21 The second item, resilience. So we believe that
22 the portfolio resilience will increase by enhancing our
23 integration of ESG into our diligence process; and by
24 further incorporating climate risk assessment both in our
25 individual investment assessments but also from a top down

1 portfolio review.

2 The third item is equity. So promoting equity
3 diversity inclusion in our investment program and through
4 our engagement activities, and also promoting an efficient
5 and equitable financial markets through advocacy work.

6 --o0o--

7 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Apologies
8 for the slide.

9 One more.

10 There.

11 --o0o--

12 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Okay. So
13 the SI strategy's really centered around five objectives.
14 And the team will walk you through each one of these five
15 parameters. And as you can see, those three key words I
16 mentioned really resonate in these -- through these items.

17 I also wanted to say that in anticipation of
18 questions or comments about: "This may be good but how do
19 you achieve it?" Because I think that was always a --
20 that was a question from this morning: How are we going
21 to measure? How are we going to achieve? And this was
22 very much in our thoughts when we constructed this
23 strategy.

24 And later in the presentation, specifically on
25 side 20, we'll walk you through for each of these five

1 items: How we going to have key performance indicators
2 and targets?

3 And as you can see, the first one relates to
4 outperformance. And this includes the hundred billion,
5 increasing portfolio resilience. Travis will walk us
6 through that.

7 We're also excited to share more information on
8 the Net Zero Plan and how again this hundred billion ties
9 into that number. As you know, we've had an independent
10 review by Mercer, the consulting firm, on that.

11 And then Mike and Tamara will walk us through how
12 we're using this to promote greater inclusion and
13 representation in the financial industry and build
14 efficient and equitable financial markets.

15 --o0o--

16 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: So let me
17 jump into the first item related to the five, which is
18 Generate Outperformance. And we'll do this by investing
19 in the transition to a low carbon economy, including
20 climate solutions, and by investing in opportunities
21 through emerging and diverse manager program. And also
22 including targets for both those items.

23 If we look at the four bullet points, we'll have
24 climate related investment targets for 2030. We're
25 currently coming up with investment plans with each of the

1 asset classes to determine what is the best approach for
2 increasing the exposure to these asset classes. And the
3 allocation -- so this incremental 55 billion in
4 investments over the coming seven years. And we'll work
5 jointly with the asset classes to deploy those funds and
6 it will be essentially a joint allocation between
7 sustainable investments and the asset class.

8 And then further we'll build on our commitment to
9 emerging and diverse manager program, which has been very
10 successful, and Mike Silva's played a lead role in that.

11 --o0o--

12 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: In terms
13 of generating outperformance, why do we think that's
14 possible? So first maybe let me define what climate
15 solutions is.

16 Across the top, we have the different categories:
17 Mitigation, Adaptation, and Transition. So any
18 investment that results in a mitigation, reduction in GHG
19 emissions; adaptation, which is an investment that reduces
20 the harm or the impacts from climate change; and, third,
21 transition. So if we have an investment in a
22 hard-to-abate sector, a high emitting sector, if we can
23 make investments that will move that from a brown to
24 green, that would qualify as a climate solution.

25 So an investment that meets one of those

1 categories, one of those criteria.

2 Under mitigation, so why is this a good time to
3 be investing?

4 Well, we're seeing it -- through this transition
5 to a low carbon economy, there's significant opportunities
6 that are evolving for new companies or companies taking on
7 tapping into that growth through either new technologies
8 or modifying existing technologies.

9 And this is a real -- the opportunity is
10 significant now. So why now? In part because of
11 legislation such as the IRA, and just the increase in
12 interest and demand from consumers.

13 And in terms of transition, what we're seeing
14 there in terms of why this is a good opportunity, well,
15 companies that are in these hard-to-abate sectors,
16 particularly in the energy sector, have valuations that
17 are quite low. And there's's an opportunity to come in at
18 an attractive price, work with them to increase the amount
19 of clean energy, and generate significant returns.

20 --o0o--

21 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: I won't go
22 into detail on this slide, but we thought it was important
23 as well to give some concrete examples as to what
24 represents climate solution, giving some tangible
25 examples. So Mitigation, renewable energy; Adaptation,

1 water management or agricultural adaptation; and
2 Transition, fossil-fuel base, power generation, going
3 from brown to green.

4 --o0o--

5 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: I'll pass
6 to Travis for the next section.

7 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: Great. Thanks,
8 Peter.

9 Yeah, Travis Antoniono, Investment Director of
10 Sustainable Investments. Great to be here with everyone
11 today.

12 So as you can see here, in number 2, increase
13 portfolio resilience. When you think about portfolio
14 resilience, it's how we navigate towards whatever
15 destination that we're doing, understanding now there's
16 going to be barriers, there's going to be some type of
17 risk management programs that are going to be deployed by
18 staff as well as our investment partners as well; and to
19 make sure that we're actually doing everything possible to
20 be able to understand what those risks might be and how we
21 actually overcome them or get through them.

22 So one focus area that I would like to be able to
23 highlight today on this increase portfolio resilience
24 would be the incorporation of ESG at each phase of
25 investment management process.

1 Later on in an appendix, in a slide that you've
2 seen before, highlights different tools and levers that we
3 do deploy at different phases of an investment management
4 process.

5 But we did conduct more of a benchmark and
6 assessment to a best practices across many different
7 firms, both in North America, Canada and other places as
8 well. What we found is that we can actually be doing a
9 bit more during some of the due diligence and ongoing
10 investment management of the assets that we own.

11 And unfortunately these can be quite labor
12 intensive and very time intensive as well. So one thing
13 going forward that we identified is that it's best to be
14 able to increase the amount of resources, specifically
15 staffing, involved in the ESG integration to be
16 exclusively dedicated to be able to undertake these
17 endeavors. So, in doing so, as you can see on one of the
18 bullets, we will be establishing an ESG integration team
19 within the sustainable investments program. This team
20 will be working directly with each of the different asset
21 classes to help facilitate the due diligence as well as
22 being about to engage with those GPs and external managers
23 even post-investment as well.

24 So I think this is going to be definitely
25 something that's going to be taking us from not only

1 having good practices but really having best-in-class
2 practices.

3 So you can transition to the pathway to Net Zero,
4 Peter already alluded to some of these aspects. But
5 really what lays before you are five main components of
6 CalPERS Net Zero Plan. What is shown is ultimately a very
7 significant evolution of the previous net zero activities
8 that the fund previously pursued. And I can't say or
9 stress really enough just how important some of these
10 aspects are, and particularly the hundred-billion-dollar
11 target that is laid out in Point 1 of the Net Zero Plan.
12 Obviously these will help -- these investments should help
13 the fund meet its return objectives, but also help
14 decarbonize the fund and ultimately decarbonize the world
15 as well.

16 Now, outside of that aspect on number 1 we'll
17 continue to engage portfolio companies and advocate for
18 policy and regulation. We're going to be able to develop
19 a process that is referred to as an enhanced engagement,
20 which we'll dive into in another slide. We'll be able to
21 advance the way we integrate climate within investment
22 decisions. And that was already alluded to in a previous
23 slide. And we'll be able to continue to measure and
24 report on progress, ultimately coming back to the Board,
25 presenting on the progress on an annual basis, most likely

1 in November of each year.

2 --o0o--

3 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: So what you see
4 before you is moving beyond engagement. This is becoming
5 more and more referred to as enhance engagement. And as
6 you can see, it's developing a process subject to
7 fiduciary duty investment analysis to exit or decrease the
8 exposure to certain securities. And I think the one thing
9 that I want to really stress here is just this aspect of
10 incurring towards this investment analysis. It's going to
11 be a vital component that -- for us to be able to focus on
12 and make sure that we're adhering to our fiduciary duty
13 during every single step of this development of this type
14 of framework.

15 But a couple of other points that I do want to
16 highlight, as I know there's probably some interest in
17 what exactly do we mean by this. Well, first of all I'd
18 like to just highlight the work that the stewardship team,
19 corporate governance team has done in the past. And you
20 know, we have had -- it's very successful. A number of
21 engagements and engagement process in place, and we'll
22 continue to be able to have that in place for years to
23 come.

24 But we also realize that, you know, there are a
25 number of different tools that are in our box, and so it

1 makes most sense for us to be reflective of everything
2 that we have and deploy, utilize everything that's
3 available to us.

4 So, as the world transitions to a low carbon
5 economy, the lack of a transition by specific companies
6 can ultimately translate to potential financial loss for
7 the fund. And it maybe makes sense in certain cases for
8 us to be able to decrease our exposure to some of these
9 companies.

10 So, ultimately -- you know, we will be coming
11 back in summer of 2024. We'll be developing a thoughtful
12 process in the meantime, to be able to utilize essentially
13 two different components, both climate analysis and then
14 also investment analysis. And then in that summer 2024
15 when we come back, we'll be able to provide much more
16 details into not only the framework itself, but also a
17 governance model and then many of the different variables
18 and metrics of consideration too.

19 --oOo--

20 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: Peter earlier on
21 mentioned that Mercer conducted an evaluation of our
22 pathway to Net Zero. And we did so for a few different
23 things. One, when we thought it was going to be important
24 for us to be able to get and obtain an independent
25 evaluation; and then second asked is to make sure that we

1 are actually aligned as planned -- that we're presenting
2 towards you all today is aligned with best practices.

3 Upon reflection, Mercer ultimately provided a
4 thorough evaluation and found that not only are we
5 importing -- or incorporating in best practices, but we're
6 also pursuing a plan that is well aligned to achieve
7 CalPERS' Net Zero objectives.

8 And more can be seen within the attachment as
9 well to this Board agenda item.

10 --o0o--

11 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: To sum up the Net
12 Zero Plan, I think it's good to be able to just reiterate
13 the fact that it will be continuing to use engagement
14 advocacy across the board. We'll be utilize engagement
15 with corporates, we'll be utilizing engagement to - I
16 don't know - amongst our general partners and our
17 investment managers. And we'll be utilizing advocacy
18 efforts across policymakers and regulators, and doing so
19 in a much more proactive way particularly in
20 climate-oriented issues.

21 On the second aspect we'll be able to develop an
22 enhanced engagement strategy which was highlighted already
23 and which will be elaborated on more thoroughly come this
24 summer.

25 And then, finally, we'll make investments that

1 meet our investment return -- risk and return objectives
2 and then ultimately help finance the low carbon
3 transition.

4 I'm going to pass it over to Mike.

5 --o0o--

6 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Michael Silva,
7 Investment Officer, Sustainable Investments. It's nice to
8 be with you again.

9 It is CalPERS' belief that we can achieve
10 stronger investment returns when companies we invest in
11 value diversity. CalPERS seeks diversity of internal
12 staff, corporate boards, and external managers in an
13 effort to secure high functioning teams that incorporate
14 different ways of seeing and solving problems.

15 We will continue to promote DEI through a variety
16 of measures, including engagement and advocacy, reporting
17 out on our emerging and diverse managers, hosting the Cal
18 list forum either events, capturing more and better data
19 from our external managers.

20 --o0o--

21 INVESTMENT OFFICER SILVA: Lenox Park is the
22 consultant that administered our DEI survey of our
23 external managers. We recently completed a comprehensive
24 opinion DEI survey, which included questions on
25 harassment, pay equity, disability, recruitment and

1 retention, and diversity across all levels. The survey is
2 to help us better understand how our external managers
3 approach human capital management. And it also messages
4 to our managers and the marketplace that we are tracking
5 this data.

6 I'm going to pass this on.

7 --o0o--

8 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Tamara
9 Sells, Associate Investment Manager, sustainable
10 Investments. My pleasure to be before you again today.

11 I'm going to touch just a little bit on our fifth
12 objective where our focus will be to promote efficient and
13 equitable financial markets through our regulatory and
14 policy advocacy work. In furthering this objective the SI
15 team will engage with our financial regulators,
16 communicating CalPERS' strategy; our federal and
17 legislative priorities; and our thought leadership to
18 these regulatory bodies, the legislature and
19 standard-setting organizations to address material
20 long-term sustainability risk and public policy that's
21 driving long-term value creation.

22 We will increase our advocacy efforts around
23 climate risk -- climate risk and disclosure and also drill
24 down further on evolving human capital trends, including
25 the intersection of climate and human capital and

1 responsible sustainable business practices.

2 --o0o--

3 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: With the
4 focus on the quality and quantity of corporate reporting
5 we will advocate for disclosures of climate and human
6 capital management metrics and broader reporting
7 requirements that will strengthen our understanding and
8 ability to achieve our targeted investment returns through
9 managing risks and opportunities. We will engage the SEC,
10 and focus on accounting modernization with accounting
11 standards boards, global sustainability disclosure
12 standards, as well as pursuing a more proactive approach
13 with targeted engagement with new regulatory bodies that
14 we have not engaged with before, including the California
15 Air Resources Board and the California Public Utilities
16 Commission.

17 So we'll be moving from a more
18 comment-letter-driven approach to a more proactive
19 engagement with these regulators.

20 --o0o--

21 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Our human
22 capital focus areas will include the development and
23 adoption of U.S. Disclosure standards, exploring
24 opportunities for integration of human capital data, and
25 mitigation of material risks to the portfolio guided by

1 our CalPERS labor principles.

2 --o0o--

3 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: On the
4 stewardship front, we will continue to use proxy voting
5 company engagement and shareholder campaigns to hold
6 companies accountable and drive positive changes, mitigate
7 risks to the portfolio. And climate diversity and
8 governance will be the stewardship-focus areas, and you'll
9 get further updates from our corporate governance team on
10 the progress on that, which is typically done in March.

11 --o0o--

12 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Lastly, this
13 is just a reminder of all of the sustainability reporting
14 and assessments that are completed by this team, we work
15 in collaboration with the asset classes to ensure that
16 these investment-related reports and assessments are
17 completed in a timely fashion. We also have committee and
18 strategic -- committees that we sit on as well as
19 strategic partnerships that we'll continue to use as
20 levers to move the SI strategy forward.

21 --o0o--

22 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: As I
23 mentioned earlier, we've developed targets and KPIs for
24 each one of the five objectives. So then the left side
25 you have the five items that we've walked you through.

1 Now, on an annual basis at least we will report
2 back typically in November on these items.

3 We did color code them in two different -- green
4 and yellow. So the green is the new KPIs. So these are
5 ones that we've newly developed.

6 And let's just say that a lot of these were
7 already existing; but we've in some cases updated the KPI.
8 So I think this will be really important for us to
9 measure, monitor, and report back. And as I said, we'll
10 do this on an annual basis.

11 --o0o--

12 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: In terms
13 of next steps, so we're presenting -- we'll begin
14 implementation in November of the 2030 strategy. We will
15 return in March and present the review from the
16 Responsible Contractor program, which is well underway at
17 this point.

18 And then beginning November 2024, and annually
19 thereafter, we'll provide updates on the strategy itself.

20 So that concludes our presentation. I think we
21 were even more efficient than I thought. So -- because
22 there was a lot of material.

23 But just as we close, I'll just leave those three
24 words with you: Hundred billion, resilience, equity. I
25 think it's a good way to kind of cap it off and keep it

1 handy.

2 Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All right. Thank you.

4 Thank you to the whole team.

5 And we have some eager board members here with
6 questions.

7 So we'll start with Director Pacheco.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you. Thank you,
9 Chairman Miller. And thank you, Peter and team, for your
10 awesome presentation here.

11 I'd like to go right into it. So on page 8 of
12 28, to increase the portfolio resilience. I'm really
13 impressed by this.

14 How do you see the recently enacted legislation
15 of SB 253 and SB 261 play out with our increased portfolio
16 resilience objectives in our sustainable investment 2030
17 strategy? Do you see an alignment here? Your thoughts
18 would be greatly appreciated.

19 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Thank you,
20 Mr. Pacheco. Yes, very much in alignment. In fact, it's
21 critically important that we have disclosure of emissions
22 by companies. And so this is a really positive step
23 forward in that regard.

24 And it will make the job of identifying where
25 risks may exist much easier.

1 I'll see if Travis has anything to add on that.

2 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: Yeah, as we seek
3 different investors across the world, it demonstrates once
4 again just the leadership that CalPERS and particularly
5 California actually has within the role it plays within
6 the greater financial markets and also outside of the
7 markets as well.

8 You know, this is one prime example of that,
9 because time and time again I've been hearing and being
10 reached out to by a number of institutional peers, asking
11 how this is going to be effective, what type of changes
12 might come about from future legislation as well.

13 And I will say that most are seeing this as
14 California being a champion, taking -- going above and
15 beyond then perhaps what even other regulators are
16 considering at this point in time.

17 I think the scale of it is quite significant,
18 meaning up to 5,000 different companies are going to be
19 getting affected by this, both on the public side as well
20 as on the private side as far as the number of companies
21 that are above a billion dollars that deal business with
22 California. So I think that speaks very loud -- very much
23 towards just how pervasive this is going to be towards
24 affecting the greater financial markets and making
25 something that is -- something that we all strive to do

1 something that is just best common practice.

2 Which ultimately is going to be very much a good
3 thing to make sure that we have much more accurate data;
4 and then that will also lend into having an increase in
5 the data that feeds into other participation and
6 partnerships such as EDCI, which you mentioned earlier
7 today.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Very good. Thank you.
9 Thank you very much for that, Travis.

10 My next question is on page 13 of 28, Diversity,
11 Equity & Inclusion. And with respect to utilizing
12 advocacy to promote the regulatory requirements for more
13 DEI disclosure such as at the federal level, for instance,
14 the S-2007, improving corporate governance through the
15 Diversity Act of 2023. I think it's authored by Mr. --
16 Senator Robert Menendez. It is necessary to promote
17 inclusion and representation in this space.

18 How do you see our DEI leadership in this aspect
19 in terms of additional legislation around this? If you
20 guys can elaborate on that.

21 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Thank you
22 for the question.

23 So you're absolutely right. We will continue to
24 work in collaboration with our Legislative Affairs
25 Division to be able to assess as these bills and the

1 legislations are rolling out with respect to expanding DEI
2 disclosures and improving corporate governance. We will
3 be focused not just at the board level on those DEI
4 disclosures but also workforce disclosures as well.

5 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Wonderful.

6 And my last question is on page 15 of 28,
7 improving the financial markets. With respect to building
8 and promoting efficient and equitable financial markets
9 through advocacy and regulatory action, including the
10 promotion of responsible business practices for workers,
11 what other trends may you foresee from our present focus
12 on the evolving human capital trends such as labor
13 constraints and demographics may spur in this
14 conversation? Perhaps a thought on additional metrics
15 associated with the accounting -- the accounting issues
16 around labor. You know, they have those -- currently we
17 only include the -- in the United States the general
18 selling and administrative. Perhaps that one might be
19 expanded later and to include more robust statistics on
20 labor. Your thoughts on that. And how do you think our
21 strategy can play into that?

22 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: You're
23 absolutely correct. So our strategy around these
24 disclosures is to start advocating for a baseline of
25 disclosures. Right now we don't have any universal

1 disclosures, which makes comparability extremely
2 difficult. So starting with the baseline metrics, we've
3 been promoting four labor-related metrics through our
4 partnership -- strategic partnership with the Human
5 Capital Management Coalition. Getting a start will help
6 us then drive more disclosures and more progress later on.
7 But this is just to get our baseline. So that would
8 include turnover, for example, workforce demographics,
9 total workforce cost. And so that drills down on the
10 accounting piece that you were asking about. And then
11 also those DEI disclosures.

12 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: And as a follow-up, we
13 will be coordinating ourselves with the -- with the
14 accounting bo -- the accounting board -- sorry -- the
15 accounting regulatory boards that are in charge of this,
16 right. Because I believe in the past they've had some --
17 they've been very neutral on this subject matter. And I'm
18 hoping that our leadership and our strategy here can move
19 things forward in that respect.

20 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: That is
21 correct. So with both FASB as well as the IASB; we will
22 be working with both accounting standards that are -- to
23 try to expand the accounting piece specifically around
24 those total workforce costs and just aggravation of those
25 costs so we can actually attribute them to, you know: Is

1 it development, is it turnover? So, yes, you will see
2 some drill down there with both of those accounting
3 standards boards.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you so much.

5 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Thank you.

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Appreciate it.

7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Willette.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you so much.

9 So I just wanted to thank you, Peter and team and
10 everyone, for this report. I really like seeing the
11 incorporation of the feedback that was discussed in July.
12 That is really, really positive, and I appreciate that.

13 I was really excited to read this report. And
14 according to my CalPERS news alerts, a lot of people were
15 excited to read this report. So I'm glad we're having the
16 discussion today. I'm looking forward to seeing the
17 implementation and staying updated, you said every
18 November at least. And then we have different other kind
19 of timelines in there.

20 With, you know, revisions, new initiatives, I
21 want to make sure, as you said, we stay best in class; and
22 that doesn't become a stale kind of document that we're
23 looking back towards and not forward thinking.

24 There's a lot of good stuff in here. And I have
25 a couple of questions and some pieces that I want to

1 elevate.

2 The first is just as a standout. I would like to
3 see us setting expectations for emissions reductions by
4 2030 as well as other medium- and long-term benchmarks.
5 That would help track progress and help us know which
6 asset classes specifically, if we can delineate by asset
7 class, are on track to meet the 1.5 degrees and which
8 classes need to do more, right.

9 So I think those benchmarks would help us going
10 forward.

11 Looking at pillar 1. So of course allocating
12 capital towards climate solutions makes sense. I
13 appreciate the vision here.

14 Couple of questions. On slide 5, there's a
15 bullet that "We will develop asset class Sustainable
16 Investment plans that will identify thematic and
17 managers." So one set of data points. What I would
18 appreciate, and I think would help us identify themes, is
19 if we could again identify the top like 10 or 15 biggest
20 polluters in each asset class, and then what is the plan
21 to get those biggest polluters to reduce their emissions
22 frankly. That would be helpful.

23 On slide 6 - yeah - for the mitigation strategy,
24 the -- or the mitigation substrategy of the strategy, to
25 reduce or enable the reduction of GHG emissions at scale.

1 So my question is, how will those emissions
2 reductions happen across all the different asset classes?
3 And maybe we need to come back with that information, or
4 if you have a short response.

5 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Okay.
6 Thank you very much.

7 So let me take those in order. Regarding your
8 first comment/question regarding the 2030 reduction in
9 carbon intensity of the portfolio. So we will -- we do
10 have a 2030 target, which is to reduce by 50 percent the
11 carbon intensity of the portfolio. We don't have a 2040;
12 we do have a 2050, which was announced in December 20 --
13 2019 of Net Zero.

14 We have asset-class-level investment plans. But
15 at this point we don't really -- we haven't -- we don't
16 have formal targets for decarbonization by asset class.
17 That will be something we could look into. But we --
18 yeah, we really are looking more at a portfolio basis at
19 the moment, because that is where you're really summing
20 everything up.

21 Regarding your comment on slide 5, regarding the
22 largest emitters, so there we already are very active in
23 engaging with those largest emitters, both through our
24 Corporate Governance unit but also Climate Action 100. So
25 that's a well established level of engagement.

1 The interesting element of the 2030 strategy is
2 that in addition to just engaging, we will also look more
3 deeply into the individual companies to see where are the
4 investment opportunities to promote those companies that
5 have chosen to put themselves on a lower carbon
6 trajectory; and that can translate into outperformance.
7 To do that, though, they'll need capital. So this is --
8 introduces a good opportunity both -- for CalPERS both in
9 the private markets and the public markets to finance that
10 transition from brown to green; because it's one thing to
11 engage and advocate, but it's something more powerful if
12 we can actually finance that work.

13 And then slide 6 on the mitigation, so -- sorry,
14 can you repeat that question.

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Yes. How can we
16 reduce the emissions or target the emission reductions
17 across all the different asset classes? Like how are we
18 doing it to making sure that each asset class is doing
19 that?

20 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Right. So
21 part of the hundred billion investment will be -- make an
22 important contribution to that, because 55 billion will be
23 incremental investments. So -- then that will be divided
24 across all asset classes. And not surprisingly, those
25 investments have a lower carbon intensity than our overall

1 portfolio. So an important piece or benefit of having
2 this plan is that by investing in that manner, we will
3 reduce the overall intensity -- carbon intensity of the
4 portfolio.

5 Team, anything to add or complement.

6 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: I think on the
7 last point, I think the integration component and then the
8 engagement with actual investment manager, the general
9 partner is also vital. And the reason behind that is that
10 fortunately I guess what tell when that's going to be on
11 the aspect of decarbonization is just the fact that energy
12 is becoming more and more expensive. And so there's been
13 much more of a financial point now without even a price on
14 carbon to be able to take steps to be able to provide that
15 capital expenditure to be able to lower your energy cost.
16 And those steps are very much in alignment with the
17 hundred billion dollar and many other types of investments
18 that we'll be able to pursue.

19 I'm still with it or not?

20 It's us doing it directly or one of our
21 underlying portfolio companies that already exist across
22 public equities or private markets. They're going to be
23 making investments themselves as well to be able to
24 further provide better value proposition for themselves.
25 But then the byproduct of doing so is actually

1 decarbonizing their own company. So that's one tailwind
2 we do have, you know, with us.

3 The other aspect that I would highlight is that
4 though you can do asset-class-based decarbonization or
5 asset-class-based accounting and that is done and we have,
6 you know, done that largely, as far as setting targets and
7 goals, it's typically best practice just to be looking at
8 the industries or the sectors instead, understanding that
9 some sectors will cut -- you know, actually most sectors
10 will cut across many different asset classes, whether it
11 be private debt, whether it be public or fixed income, or
12 whether it be private equity as well. And there might be
13 more constraints based upon the industry or the sector
14 than there would be based upon one asset class versus
15 another asset class.

16 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: I
17 would just jump in with one quick distinction that I want
18 to clar -- because I -- one of the things that I don't
19 think this team wants to pat themselves on the back, but I
20 think that what this team has come up with is something
21 that's really thoughtful.

22 If you think about decarbonizing the portfolio,
23 there are two reservations that I think I have with just
24 decarbonizing the portfolio. Number one, it's just your
25 portfolio and it's not the economy. And what we care

1 about, right, is the decarbonization. And through the
2 lens of decarbonization, we really care about
3 decarbonizing the economy.

4 Climate solutions leans towards decarbonizing the
5 economy.

6 The second thing is that if you just decarbonize
7 the portfolio, I think you probably miss some
8 opportunities that are in the place where the
9 decarbonization of the economy and our investments sort of
10 align. And so what we're looking at much more is not just
11 decarbonizing the portfolio but actually leaning into
12 opportunities that we think would generate outperformance.
13 And the way that they calculated it, then my question to
14 the team was, "Okay, what does this mean to the ones of
15 decarbonizing of the portfolio? And it was a really
16 positive byproduct, that we do get to more than 50 percent
17 by 2030. But again the emphasis is on leaning into
18 opportunities and the decarbonization of the portfolio
19 happens to go with it. But I actually think it's a
20 really -- it's a nuance but it's a really thoughtful
21 nuance and I think this team has done a really nice job
22 of. So I did just want to kind of underscore that.

23 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you. I
24 appreciate that. I really love leaning into
25 opportunities. We have in decarbonizing the economy where

1 it aligns with our portfolio; and in financing the
2 brown-to-green transition. So...

3 But just bear with me as a follow-up. How are we
4 going -- and maybe this is also -- I'm cross-pillaring
5 here. So how are we going to deal with portfolio
6 companies or asset managers who are not making meaningful
7 progress to meet our goals, whether that is, you know, to
8 decarbonate the portfolio or the econ -- you know, the
9 economy, which go to hand in hand? So there's that
10 question. And if you want to respond or...

11 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Well,
12 certainly on the public-company side this is already been
13 actively done. So we're engaging with those. I think as
14 we go forward, and we look at our enhanced engagement, we
15 will evaluate if there's a financial risk to staying
16 invested in that company at the same level. And
17 fundamentally they'll be driven by valuation. And does
18 that company present a higher financial risk because it
19 hasn't gotten on board with this transition. And then we
20 can look at that at a company level.

21 We would expect that our general partners in the
22 private equity space to similarly undertake such analysis.
23 And this is really going to be facilitated by, first,
24 greater disclosure in the public markets, to Mr. Pacheco's
25 earlier comment. But also through our work with the EDCI,

1 the data convergence project. Now more data's available
2 in the public mark -- sorry -- private markets to allow us
3 and the GPs to make that determination.

4 So I think it's really just good business. So we
5 want to align with companies and GPs that are following
6 that practice.

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Okay. Thank you so
8 much.

9 Yeah, I'm a believer that policymakers follow
10 trends. And I think the SB 253 and 261 are definitely
11 symbolic of the fact that CalPERS and others were leading
12 on this work, and now we might as well put it into a
13 policy for those disclosures. So I'm excited about that.

14 A comment in regard to pillar 3 is -- I just want
15 to make a comment. The team has our full -- my full
16 support with accountability efforts to place demands on
17 emitters. I think we're doing that already well and the
18 engagement well.

19 A comment on pillar 4. I think I'm getting my
20 pillars right.

21 Okay. For diversity and inclusivity, it's really
22 important I think to achieving our goals. I want to Thank
23 you for recognizing that diversity has to be part of the
24 solution with our portfolio companies, their boards and
25 also internally. I'll leave that.

1 But I stated earlier also that I think metrics
2 are critical to doing the work. And I want to make sure
3 that tracking though isn't the end. Right? That tracking
4 is a means to achieving benchmarks and objectives, and
5 that we do have those benchmarks and objectives with
6 regards to diversity and inclusivity.

7 I'll get to a question here. So I'm pleased to
8 see the engagement of -- the use of engagement and
9 shareowner rights to implement corporations to take
10 action. I think there's one on our strategy under
11 "Diversity."

12 And I do wonder if it's time to update our proxy
13 voting policy. Do we have on the calendar coming up a
14 review and update of our proxy voting policy?

15 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: We
16 have a review of the Total Fund Policy that will be in
17 March and then June. And we can certainly include the
18 governance sustainability principles in that. I mean
19 we -- you know, today's work was to incorporate the labor
20 principles into those principles.

21 But certainly we can calendar that as
22 appropriate.

23 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: So through the Chair
24 I'd like to request that, if possible.

25 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: So

1 we'll take that as Chair direction.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you.

3 On the workforce capital pillar, pillar 5, so I
4 think I think it was page 15. Oftentimes during reviews
5 and strategy discussions like this, we naturally highlight
6 and think about the deltas and we overlook the things that
7 we're already doing really, really well. And so I just
8 wanted to make sure that we have highlighted and we
9 broadcast that clearly, that -- what we're doing well.
10 We're already engaging, right. So I see it's not on here
11 and that maybe we need to be more explicit like we were
12 with pillar 4, that we're engaging with companies.

13 I think it's important that we broadcast because
14 we -- as we heard testimony today, we heard it last
15 September, and I actually think every meeting I've been to
16 since I was elected to this board I have heard testimony
17 from workers stating that there are huge workforce risks
18 at these companies that we are invested in that we have to
19 mitigate in order to be able to pay benefits now and into
20 the future.

21 So, again to be really explicit, just like we
22 stated on pillar 4, the use of engagement and shareowner
23 rights to influence corporations take action, I know we're
24 already doing it under pillar 5. But I think we should be
25 explicit in doing so. I think it's also listed in page 16

1 in the chart. But it's not listed as a strategy.

2 And then I would also like to see us work with
3 our stakeholders. Again, I believe it's listed in the
4 chart on page 16, but it's not listed as a strategy. And
5 I know we're already doing it. So it's just doubling down
6 on the good work that we're already doing. We want to
7 find ways to establish industry norms where workers can
8 verify also the data reported to us.

9 I like adding the new KPIs on page 20. I
10 appreciate the color coding for those of us who like color
11 coding. But I also think we need to add an additional KPI
12 related now to our labor principles, which we just adopted
13 this morning. So no one's behind or anything, but
14 recognizing that we're moving in our organic environment
15 here.

16 And I want to just uplift, as someone who's been
17 a member of CalPERS, contributing for over 10 years, which
18 is like not a lot for some of you all. But I'm just
19 really proud the role, that leadership role that CalPERS
20 has taken in this space. I think if it wasn't for CalPERS
21 we would not see SB 253, we wouldn't see 261, we wouldn't
22 see others -- others doing what they're doing. And so I'm
23 really proud of that work, and thank you to the team.
24 Before us, the team broadly is out there in the world.
25 Appreciate that. Thank you.

1 That's all I have.

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

3 Next we have President Taylor.

4 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh. Thank you very
5 much.

6 So great report, you guys. I've read it a while
7 ago and I was just very impressed. I'm extremely happy
8 we're moving in this direction. And I just want to say
9 that great ideas. I think this is all really exciting to
10 watch us implement and watch us become successful with
11 this.

12 I had a couple of questions, and I think it was
13 Mr. Pacheco that brought it up. He was talking about the
14 accounting. So having -- I'm on the board of another --
15 of ICGN, which international, right. So they're already
16 working on accounting standards for all of this stuff,
17 right. I think they're required to by their governments,
18 which we are not.

19 Is there -- I heard you say that we're working
20 with GASB and -- FASB, yeah. That -- wasn't there another
21 organization that was specifically for climate? Was it
22 SASB?

23 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: Yes. And SASB has
24 been rolled over. So ISSB.

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh.

1 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: So there's been
2 some roll-ups. But ISSB has issued guidance both on
3 sustainability factors and disclosure, as well as another
4 set of guidance specifically on climate.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: That's what I thought.
6 Okay.

7 So that's something you guys are using as well.

8 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: And it's largely
9 adopted and being followed, and many other organizations
10 are embracing the ISSB guidance. And so the vast majority
11 of the world as far as from a reporting standpoint will be
12 utilizing that as a standard.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So we have a standard,
14 Yay. That's something we --

15 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: I won't go as far
16 as to say a universal standard. But it's one of a few
17 different standards now. Consolidation perhaps is a good
18 thing, yeah.

19 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Getting closer.
20 Getting closer. That's a good thing.

21 So I wanted to ask if we could do a couple of
22 things. One was on pillar 2, I don't know if Mullissa
23 already talked about this. "Call out the interplay
24 between workforce DE&I and Net Zero. And how the three
25 forms of capital working together, aligns together, leads

1 to more resilience."

2 I think fully supporting that alignment would
3 help us move forward.

4 And then, again I just want to say that I think
5 we did -- you guys did a really, really good job with
6 this. Can't wait to see as we move forward what those
7 measurements are. I had mentioned earlier today that I
8 wanted to see - and I think Ms. Willette had also talked
9 about it just now - I wanted to see some measurements in
10 the work -- the labor issues and the implementation and
11 how we're implementing it, what's the success of that
12 mean, because I don't think we even thought of that. So I
13 just want to reiterate that.

14 So Thank you very much.

15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Next, Director
16 Middleton.

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you. Thank
18 you all. This was a truly outstanding report to receive
19 and some incredibly strong messages.

20 So I want to turn things just a bit. Our
21 critics - and unfortunately we have quite a few of them -
22 are going to argue that this is not an investment strategy
23 but a strategy that's motivated by goals that do not
24 necessarily have to do with the rate of return that we're
25 going to receive.

1 So you can take as short or as long an answer as
2 you want, but to those who would argue that this is not
3 the best use of our funds and our investment strategy,
4 what would be the answer.

5 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

6 Peter, maybe I'll take a first crack at it, and
7 then I'll turn it to you.

8 As someone who spent his career here as an
9 investor beginning in the equity markets, that's why I
10 drew the nuance to the previous question, is that there
11 are investment strategies that are grounded in having a
12 social impact. I actually view this one - and one of the
13 things that I'm so proud of our work on this - is that
14 this is about leaning into places where we think there are
15 opportunities.

16 We can go more into closed session, we can talk
17 more in closed session. We plan to.

18 But I will tell you, many markets, equity markets
19 for sure, they do -- public equity markets do a pretty
20 good job of pricing risks, in my opinion, in the three
21 months, maybe six months, even out to 12 months. But they
22 do a pretty poor job of pricing long-dated risks. The
23 things that we're talking about leaning into are places
24 where we have underpriced risks, basically underpriced
25 optionality that we can lean into, and over time lean into

1 the winners and lean away from the losers. And that's in
2 the public equity part of the portfolio, that's in the
3 private assets part of the portfolio. Over time that'll
4 be in the fixed income part of the portfolio. But this is
5 grounded in -- as Peter said, this is about generating
6 returns and going in a direction that -- we've talked
7 about the regulatory environment -- going in a direction
8 that the environment is going in and trying to go there --
9 go to where the puck is going to be, so to speak.

10 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Critics
11 will say that investors should only focus on economically
12 relevant factors. And to that I actually fully agree.
13 And in fact, climate and transition to low carbon economy
14 is incredibly economically irrelevant. In fact, we are
15 going through a real economic fundamental shift in the
16 economy as we transition to lower carbon. So as an
17 investor, both from an opportunity set but also a risk
18 mitigation set, it's so critical that you're aware of
19 these not only in a broad-base portfolio, but individual
20 asset classes and individual investments. So to do so
21 would be really missing, on one hand, opportunities and,
22 the second, assuming risks that you are not aware that you
23 have.

24 So really there's going to be winners and losers
25 that come out of this transition. And frankly, all

1 investors have some of those in their portfolio today.
2 The critical piece is that we identify as early as
3 possible who are going to be the winners - and it can be
4 industries or sectors as well, not just individual
5 companies. And who are those that are more at risk?
6 Particularly sectors.

7 So for us, you know, to do otherwise would really
8 not be playing our fundamental roles, an investor, and
9 fulfilling our fiduciary duty. So that's why for us this
10 is so exciting. Because we believe we're still in the
11 very early stages of this game and that by having this
12 strategy, by having each of the asset classes focused on
13 it, and tapping into that opportunity, we will have a much
14 broader-based set of opportunities and result in this
15 outperformance.

16 Team, I don't know if you want to add.

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank
18 you.

19 Personally I could not agree more. I think
20 history is incredibly important, and always we need to use
21 data to drive the decisions that we are making.

22 But our responsibility is to make calculated
23 responsible decisions as to where the market is going.
24 And there is every reason to believe that the energy
25 sources that drove the 20th Century are not going to be

1 the energy sources that are going to be the primary
2 drivers of the middle and latter half of the 21st Century.
3 And so I'm very proud that we're making these kinds of
4 calculated efforts to invest where there are going to be
5 winners.

6 Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Next we have Frank
8 Ruffino for Fiona Ma.

9 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Thank you, Mr.
10 Chair. And Thank you to the team for your hard work and
11 for this great report, as has been said over and over.
12 And it is.

13 So I want to begin to say that Treasurer Ma has
14 long been an advocate, as you all know, for more
15 aggressive climate action, not only here at CalPERS but at
16 CalSTRS as well. This Sustainable Investment 230 strategy
17 that was presented at the off-site in July has a lot of
18 strong principles and good guidance. And today is you
19 coming back to the Board with further details and updates.
20 We hope that this strategy crystallize CalPERS' plan to
21 reach Net Zero by 2050 through a structured analytical
22 framework to account for high-emitting companies in the
23 portfolio that showed little interest in working towards
24 the world's shared climate goals.

25 CalPERS has been a leader in responding to the

1 material risks related to climate change. And for that,
2 CalPERS should be commended. But strong climate risk
3 management requires - which is very important for the
4 treasurer - transparency and accountability. Concrete
5 planning and robust corporate governance. This strategy
6 is a significant bold step in the right direction.

7 The strategy must include clear, transparent
8 guidelines, defining which investments are considered as
9 climate solutions, and ensure that climate risk disclosure
10 and management is included in the process for selecting
11 and evaluating external asset managers and private equity
12 firms, which includes and establishing a robust climate
13 risk guidance for hiring, engaging and evaluating all
14 external asset managers.

15 So 2030, it's just seven years away. It seems to
16 be that far away. And I know we have committed today the
17 big word that you started with, a hundred billion.
18 Although we already committed 47 billion. So 50 plus for
19 the next seven years, by 2030. Which means approximately
20 7-and-a-half billion a year.

21 Now, I've attended conferences and educational
22 opportunities which they've said that this space, the
23 opportunities in this space are in the trillions.

24 So three quick questions:

25 Number one, how did you come up with this very

1 round number of a hundred billion?

2 Number two, is this ambitious enough? Not sure.

3 And number 3, how is CalPERS ensuring that its
4 portfolio companies align with that target?

5 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Thank you
6 for the comments, Mr. Ruffino, and the questions.

7 First, before I jump into the three questions,
8 let me just confirm that in terms of defining climate
9 solutions we fully agree. So there it's the adaptation,
10 mitigation, and transition, as we showed. And we also
11 agree that in terms of engagement with companies through
12 public markets but also GPs, that climate risk
13 requirements assessments are very important.

14 Now, and to your three questions.

15 So a hundred billion. Yes, it's a big number
16 and, yes, we're already at 47 and a half. So we actually
17 had significant debate internally on this. Because we
18 wanted something that's really ambitious and achievable.
19 But more importantly, we wanted it to meet with the real
20 objectives of the fund, which is, one, to generate
21 outperformance and, second, to improve resilience. And
22 given the opportunities we really believe that that
23 hundred billion will translate into outperformance by
24 tapping into these new opportunities.

25 We could -- we did debate smaller and larger

1 numbers. I believe that we will exceed that target by
2 2030. But we also -- we don't want to constrain people's
3 investment decisions. We don't want a target that would
4 restrict. Originally, we looked at having investing only
5 in low -- an index that was essentially low carbon. So
6 all it did was tilt away from high emitters. But what we
7 realize is that it avoids -- or that presents two
8 problems. One, we don't finance the transition for the
9 broader economy. We just cause medically improve our own
10 portfolio. And we didn't feel that that was right. So we
11 wanted to have more investment including transition.

12 And so that's how we essentially landed on a
13 hundred billion number. And I think in a debate when some
14 people say it's too high, some people say it's too low,
15 then probably that upper middle point is a good one.

16 Is it enough? So, indeed we do see that -- and
17 those who presented in July, there was a 3 trillion
18 estimated need to finance transition annually over the
19 next years. So, not that all that of course is bankable
20 but there is a certainly enormous opportunity.

21 And we -- and I think this is where it also comes
22 into play bringing other investors, other asset owners
23 with us, in terms of making them aware of these
24 opportunities. And also even making other market
25 participants aware. So that it's not just our dollars at

1 work but hopefully others. And we take a very active role
2 in terms of presenting at conferences and advocating,
3 engaging with regulators to go beyond just the dollars
4 that we can bring, given how much need there is and that
5 it's well beyond the ability of an asset owner, our own
6 balance sheet.

7 And in terms of ensuring alignment, so that
8 was --

9 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: How's CalPERS
10 ensuring that its portfolio companies align with that
11 target?

12 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Right.

13 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: And by the way,
14 a hundred billion, I don't have no clue. I've never seen
15 it. So I get it, that's a big --

16 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Right.
17 So -- yeah, I think, you know, it will really be up to us
18 to make the investment allocation decisions to invest it
19 in a way that prudently takes us toward that number.

20 Now, in terms of alignment, usually there we're
21 thinking on alignment with a Net Zero pathway. And not
22 only will the companies in this hundred billion but more
23 broadly we will be encouraging them to be on a pathway
24 towards Net Zero. That's particularly relevant for public
25 companies where we engage with them.

1 And to some earlier comments on engagement, last
2 year over 300 -- the corporate governance team engaged
3 with over 350 companies in our public equity portfolio,
4 representing more than 50 percent of the assets. So
5 that's something that will be further continued, and
6 climate and emissions is always a topic in those
7 engagements.

8 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Well, thank you
9 for that, and thank you for qualifying that perhaps, you
10 know, we may go -- we may exceed by 2030 it'll be a
11 hundred million. We don't -- we're not going to be
12 holding ourselves --

13 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: We won't
14 constrain ourselves to go below if we're at 99. But, you
15 know, we only do some -- as I say regularly to the
16 management team, we should only invest if we think this is
17 a good investment and it's at least as good or better than
18 any comparable - that's what we lead with, because we
19 really take this outperformance aspect seriously - and
20 that we're not investing to achieve some ulterior -- other
21 motive even if it's something great like reducing
22 emissions. But fundamentally we're there to invest to
23 make the highest risk adjusted return.

24 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: I
25 think I would just add a couple of quick things. One is

1 that the way that outperforming it, like beating the
2 target would look like, is probably it would look like
3 getting at a hundred billion sooner than 2030, getting
4 there by 2028, 2029. And if that were the case, then we
5 would look to basically increase what the number is that
6 we're shooting for. And as I say -- as Peter said, there
7 was a lot of debate about this number, because we do think
8 that it's ambitious but it's achievable.

9 The other thing is that -- to your question on
10 the monitoring the footprint, I mean you will see that
11 under the second one under pathway or Net Zero on slide 20
12 is an annual report on the carbon footprint.

13 INVESTMENT MANAGER ANTONIONO: And the only other
14 I think that I've mentioned is the fact that there aren't
15 many peers that are even having targets such as this; and
16 essentially, you know, in the United States none at all to
17 this type of scale. Even outside of the United States,
18 there's only a couple out there that are even coming close
19 and, frankly, the size or projected size of those firms
20 are going to be larger than our own -- than even us.

21 Additionally, what they count as climate
22 solutions is a bit more loose than what we have from our
23 methodology. So we've purposely, you know, constricted it
24 and made sure that it is much more of a pure type of a
25 definition as well.

1 The other aspect that I would say is that over
2 the next 10 -- you know, five years, seven years that we
3 do expect a number of other firms to be able to come out
4 and publicly state goals of investing into the transition.
5 In fact I think that largely most financial mar -- market
6 participants will transition away from just exclusively
7 focusing in on the greenhouse gas emissions of the
8 portfolio and instead understanding what are they actually
9 doing or what is their portfolio doing to be able to help
10 finance the decarbonization effort of a real world -- the
11 real economy.

12 So perhaps we're early on, but hopefully this
13 will inspire others as well to be able to also make public
14 announcements.

15 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Thank you to
16 the team and thank you, Mr. Chair.

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

18 Next we have Director Ortega.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER ORTEGA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20 Just a couple of quick questions. And, again, I also want
21 to thank you for the great report. I really appreciate
22 how straightforward it is. It's very clear, easy to
23 understand and grasp kind of the key points.

24 I do want to second Ms. Willette's request for
25 asset-class-based information. I think that will be

1 helpful. I'm just thinking about our conversation this
2 morning about the ALM in two years. I think that is
3 information we would want to be thinking about in that
4 context and knowing what kind of decisions we're making in
5 two years and how that affects the targets and the overall
6 goals of this proposal.

7 The other thing that I would hope to be able to
8 see more detail on, the KPI page. That slide's very
9 helpful on a sort of overall what we're going to be
10 measuring, and I think it's a good starting point. But I
11 don't know what the plan is for bringing more detail back
12 to the Board, but I would like to understand more what the
13 baselines are, what the targets are and sort of what's the
14 cadence of getting information about where we are on those
15 items going forward. So that would be information I'd
16 like to see come back as well.

17 Thank you.

18 MANAGING INVESTMENT DIRECTOR CASHION: Okay.
19 Thank you.

20 Regarding the KPIs, we will be reporting back
21 annually I believe for most of them, if not all.

22 But, yes, we're happy to look into more
23 granularity and specifics. Frankly, we had quite a few
24 here. So -- yeah, we didn't want to run out of space or
25 provide kind of an -- too much information.

1 And then we will also be -- in terms of the asset
2 class level information, in the following session today
3 we'll be getting into more detail on how this breaks down
4 amongst the asset classes.

5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Well, Thank you very
6 much. Superlative job with the presentation and dialogue.
7 Really appreciate it. And again very encouraging. We
8 look forward to all the progress going forward.

9 At this point I have quite a few people who want
10 to provide public comment; and so I'll be making sure we
11 get everyone.

12 So I will start -- let's see, first I've got J.J.
13 Jelincic for Item 6d.

14 J.J. JELINCIC: Dave, you may want to call the
15 next few so they can start lining up.

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: We can't hear you.

17 J.J. JELINCIC: You may want to call the next few
18 so they can start lining up.

19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah.

20 J.J. JELINCIC: J.J. Jelincic, Beneficiary.

21 Climate change, reproductive rights, winning the war
22 in Ukraine, peace in the Middle East, stopping gun
23 violence, feeding the hungry, housing the homeless - These
24 are all important goals. However, they are not your job.
25 You're not investing your money. You are investing

1 beneficiaries' money to pay benefits, not to save the
2 world.

3 If you believe something is not a good
4 investment, you should not invest in it, and vice versa.
5 You are the prudent experts. If you have decided that you
6 know better than the market, you cannot claim to be an
7 index. You cannot say, "I own this because it is part of
8 the index." You're an active manager. And that should
9 make Fossil Fuels Calif -- or Fossil Free California
10 happy.

11 It also means that you have a reason for holding
12 an investment beyond reflecting the investable universe.
13 I and the taxpayers should expect higher returns for the
14 greater risk being taken on. It also means you are
15 subject to greater scrutiny. I know you want it both
16 ways, but life is not like that. At some point you will
17 be held to account. It may take a pissed-off ex-spouse or
18 a television at an NBA playoff game. But the chickens
19 will come home to roost at some point. Are you ready to
20 account for your actions?

21 And I see I've got some time. I'd like go back
22 briefly to 5b. This Board says at least the 1990s has
23 heard reports of labor abuse, abuse of renters. And the
24 Board always says, "Staff, go talk to them." And they go
25 talk to them, and they say, "Well, okay, we understand you

1 don't like it. We will be better." And then we here
2 about it the next month and the next quarter and the next
3 quarter and the next quarter. Until you actually say,
4 "That's it. We are cutting off the money," it's just
5 words. So I urge you to think about cutting off the money
6 even if it's just putting people in the penalty box for a
7 year, the world will get out -- the word will get out that
8 you are serious.

9 It's about the money, not the words.

10 Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

12 Next I have Alyssa Giachino.

13 ALYSSA GIACHINO: Good afternoon, committee and
14 staff. My name is Alyssa Giachino with the Private Equity
15 Stakeholder Project.

16 As the human and economic costs of climate change
17 accelerates, it's crucial that companies' end investors
18 re-examine and renew their investment approach to ensure
19 we stay within a 1.5 degree trajectory. CalPERS
20 Sustainable Investments 2030 strategy represents an
21 important step forward, and we commend the leadership of
22 the Board and your staff for your work to enact policies
23 to meet your commitment to the Paris Agreement. CalPERS'
24 commitment to double investments and climate solutions and
25 consider exits from companies for failing to credibly

1 transition are key components of positioning your
2 portfolio for a low-carbon economy and mitigating climate
3 risk.

4 As a watchdog organization that focuses on
5 private market asset managers, we're particularly
6 interested in how these objectives will ensure laggard
7 private equity and infrastructure managers rapidly cut
8 emissions and transition away from the risks of fossil
9 fuels. We support the plan's commitment to set
10 sustainable investment guidelines by asset classes. We
11 recommend CalPERS set clear expectations that private
12 market managers align their full portfolios with a 1.5
13 degree pathway with a priority focus on carbon intensive
14 industries.

15 The private equity industries have made
16 insufficient progress in the energy transition including
17 major CalPERS partners like Blackstone, Carlyle, KKR, and
18 Energy Capital Partners. Private equity and
19 infrastructure firms hold billions in polluting fossil
20 fuel assets, including coal and gas power plants,
21 pipelines, fracking and drilling operators. They are
22 investing to expand fossil fuel infrastructure including
23 new drilling permits, pipelines and LNG terminals, even as
24 the IEA has called for no expansion of supply and predicts
25 the demand for oil, gas and oil -- excuse me -- oil, gas

1 and coal will peak before 2030. Private markets are the
2 least visible part of your portfolio and the opacity leads
3 to unmeasured and unmitigated climate risks.

4 You are even considering additional allocations
5 under the ALM discussion from earlier today. Private
6 markets require greater vigilance. Before making
7 additional private equity and infrastructure commitments
8 CalPERS should ensure private market managers are
9 committed to invest in alignment with the IEA pathway and
10 1.5 degrees. They should disclose groups 1, 2 and 3
11 emissions, cut absolute emissions in half by 2030, with
12 additional benchmarks through 2050. And, most
13 importantly, ensure a just transition for workers and
14 communities.

15 Thank you.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

17 Next we'll have Sheila Thorne and Mirian Gide
18 come up.

19 SHEILA THORNE: Hello. I'm Sheila Thorne. I'm a
20 CalPERS beneficiary and a member of -- retired member of
21 CFA. And I'm glad that CalPERS is now open to exiting
22 assets that fail to present a credible Net Zero Plan, I
23 guess a real step forward. However, how long do you plan
24 to engage with these companies before making that
25 determination?

1 Exxon just made its biggest acquisition in 25
2 years, spending \$60 billion to buy Pioneer and its dirty
3 shale oil fields in the Permian Basin. As the New York
4 Times put it, instead -- quote, Instead of investing in
5 clean energy, it is choosing to produce more oil and gas.
6 The ruthless logic of the marketplace is pushing Exxon and
7 other big oil companies to double down on fossil fuels
8 instead of investing in green technology, end quote.

9 And Shell has abandoned offshore wind, hydrogen
10 and biofuel projects and has scrapped its climate pledges
11 in order to maintain 2022 levels of production until the
12 end of this decade.

13 Carbon Tracker reports that in 2021 and the first
14 quarter of 2022, Chevron, NE, Shell, TotalEnergies and
15 other companies approved approximately \$58 billion of
16 investments that will only be needed if oil and gas demand
17 grows to the point where it pushed global temperatures
18 beyond 2.5 degrees. And therefore, asset owners seeking
19 1.5 degrees aligned portfolios cannot credibly own
20 financial interest in companies that continue to invest in
21 new conventional oil and gas projects. Which all the oil
22 majors are doing.

23 According to the latest UN-backed 2023 report, if
24 current projections hold the U.S. will drill far more oil
25 and gas in 2023 than at any point in history, as will

1 Russia and Saudi Arabia. All the oil majors have already
2 failed in credible Net Zero plans, and it's time to exit
3 from these companies now before it's too late.

4 Waiting any longer will send California into a
5 permanent spiral of drought, wildfires, floods and loss of
6 shoreline. California workers' pensions should be funding
7 a just transition now and should not be funding the
8 inevitable destruction of their quality of life and
9 perhaps even their homes.

10 Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

12 MIRIAM EIDE: Hello. My name is Miriam Eide.
13 I'm the executive director at Fossil Free California.

14 I'm going to say this is an unusual day that I'm
15 going to start off with just sincerely thanking you all
16 for your work on sustainable investments. Some big
17 progress. So congratulations.

18 And, yes, this 2030 strategy is a big step
19 forward. And there's a few things that I was particularly
20 excited about. First off, I think this is the biggest
21 recognition I've seen from your staff and your board about
22 financial risk in relationship to the climate crisis. And
23 that is crucial to making strategy.

24 The next part is the discussion of an
25 engagement-plus plan. Which, let's be frank, that's in

1 many ways exactly what we've been talking about with
2 divestment. If it's easier for you to term it that way,
3 I'm happy to call it exiting or and engagement-plus plan.

4 And the addition of consequence for noncompliance
5 with a Net Zero Plan is crucial, because otherwise you
6 will continue to fund the climate crisis rather than
7 funding a solution to it, which I need in order to
8 survive. I'm much younger than most of you all here.

9 But I did notice one pretty big hole in your
10 overall plan. Actually I would say it might be big enough
11 to drive an industry through. It seems that there's an
12 exception for the fossil fuel industry, and that you're
13 willing to continue investing in this industry while
14 holding other companies that have a smaller contribution
15 to the crisis to account for their Net Zero plans. I
16 really hope that your next iteration of this plan closes
17 that loophole and says that fossil fuel companies as well
18 will be divesting if they don't have a credible Net Zero
19 Plan.

20 And I would also point to bills such as SB 252 as
21 having a strong understanding of which companies are not
22 going to meet the standards by any means, those top 200
23 emitters.

24 One final thought to leave you all with is, back
25 in September the Governor and Attorney General announced a

1 lawsuit against the biggest five fossil fuel companies for
2 their willingness to destruct and intentionally deceive the
3 world -- or deceive the world about the impact of their
4 industries on our globe.

5 And we have the State of California making this
6 lawsuit. This is a big step - and they're not alone.
7 There's so many other states and entities suing fossil
8 fuel companies. Do you really want to still be investing
9 in these companies when they're held to account
10 financially for their destruction of our planet? Or do
11 you want to be one of the ones that's already out and
12 protect our state workers from those impacts as fossil
13 fuel companies are held accountable for their destruction?

14 Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

16 Next I have Ruth Holton Hodson.

17 RUTH HOLTON HODSON: Thank you, and good
18 afternoon. Ruth Holton Hodson. I am a CalPERS
19 beneficiary, also a member of Third Act, a new national
20 organization that's engaging seniors in the fight for
21 climate and democracy.

22 Like others have said, I really appreciate the
23 work that staff has done. And it's exciting, and it's a
24 very exciting first step; and many of the things that
25 you've laid out we strongly support.

1 What is giving us real problems is your timeline
2 of a Net Zero -- Net Zero timeline by 2050.

3 Science is telling us there is really no time to
4 waste for exiting high polluting companies. The climate
5 crisis if not addressed immediately may well make the
6 planet unlivable by 2050.

7 CalPERS is in an extraordinary position to
8 really -- as one of the few institutions in the world, to
9 make a huge difference in how fast we transition into a
10 carbon-free economy. I would urge you to really step up
11 and become the international leader that you can be in
12 addressing the climate crisis, just as you have led on
13 governance issues over the years. If CalPERS commits to
14 bold action now, other institutional investors will
15 follow, leading to even faster development of new
16 technologies and industries that support a healthy planet
17 and healthy investment returns.

18 Your decision on how fast to proceed will not
19 only affect investments but also the health side of the
20 house. Climate change, especially rising temperatures, is
21 already having a serious impact on marginalized
22 communities and older populations. The longer it takes to
23 make a transition to sustainable energy, the higher the
24 risk to our members' health and the greater the cost to
25 CalPERS.

1 As you have undoubtedly heard, this year was the
2 hottest year in a hundred and twenty-five thousand years.
3 CalPERS, I would urge you, be bold, commit yourselves to
4 investments and a Net Zero timeline that keeps the planet
5 livable for all of us, ensuring CalPERS' beneficiaries
6 will still be here to be able to benefit from their
7 pensions.

8 Thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

10 I think that's all the public comment in the
11 room.

12 I have a series of folks on the phone. And so I
13 will call on staff to queue them up and bring them on
14 board.

15 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Yes, Chairman
16 Miller. We have Julia Stein on the line to comment on
17 Agenda Item 6d.

18 Go ahead, Julia.

19 JULIA STEIN: Thank you.

20 Good afternoon. And thank you for CalPERS'
21 continued leadership in responding to the considerable
22 financial risk posed by the changing climate, and for the
23 opportunity to comment today.

24 I'm Julia Stein, the Deputy Director of the
25 Emmett Institute on Climate Change and the Environment at

1 UCLA School of Law.

2 Before saying more I do want to note that I'm
3 speaking in my individual capacity and my comments do not
4 reflect the institutional positions either of the Emmett
5 Institute or UCLA law.

6 Together with the Emmett Institute, Board member
7 Phillip Angelides and other colleagues, I've spent the
8 last several months researching and assessing the
9 approaches our state pension funds are taking to address
10 climate risk.

11 CalPERS has been a true leader in this space and
12 we were heartened to see the updated Sustainable
13 Investments 2030 strategies commitment to 100 billion of
14 investment and climate solutions continued engagement with
15 portfolio companies to ensure the credibility of Net Zero
16 plans, and enhanced measurement and reporting of CalPERS
17 portfolio emissions.

18 Effective climate risk management requires
19 accountability, transparency, clear and tangible quick
20 plans, and strong corporate governance. This strategy is
21 a very meaningful step in the right direction and an
22 important signal to other institutional investors across
23 the globe.

24 But to further strengthen this plan, we recommend
25 that the Board also consider a set of enhancements to the

1 strategy.

2 First, because as the strategy recognizes, net
3 zero portfolio goals must be backed by credible plans to
4 achieve them, we recommend that quantitative interim goals
5 be set at no greater than 10-year increments. This
6 strategy laudably already includes an emissions reduction
7 goal for 2030, CalPERS should commit to establishing an
8 emissions reduction goal for 2040 as well.

9 Second, because Net Zero portfolio goals must be
10 supported with regular standardized public reporting about
11 efforts to reach those goals, CalPERS should annually
12 release its portfolio emissions tracking methodology and
13 results. Critically, it should also publicly release its
14 internal analysis that shows the strategies put on track
15 to meet its 2030 goal of reducing portfolio emissions by
16 50 percent.

17 Third, CalPERS should clearly define the key
18 elements of climate solutions investment to help ensure
19 that such investments result in real and permanent
20 emissions reduction; and to disclose the specifics of its
21 existing \$47 billion in climate solutions investments, to
22 allow the public to better understand and assess the
23 nature of those investments.

24 Finally, to further advance the strategy's goals,
25 CalPERS should work with the State Treasurer's Office and

1 the State Controller's Office to adopt minimum standards
2 requiring disclosure of contractor financial institution's
3 emissions, climate risk, and risk mitigation strategies,
4 which those institutions must meet to do business with
5 CalPERS.

6 These standards should also include consideration
7 of whether contractor financial institutions are opposing
8 climate disclosure efforts or other forms of progress in
9 other fora.

10 Thanks again for the opportunity to comment
11 today. And I look forward to a continued engagement with
12 CalPERS on these issues.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

14 Next caller.

15 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Chairman
16 Miller, we have Jason Disterhost from Majority Action on
17 the line to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

18 JASON DISTERHOST: I'm in.

19 Hi. And thank you. My name is Jason Disterhost
20 with Majority Action, an advocacy group focusing on
21 systemic risk to shareholder value, and proxy voting tools
22 to mitigate those risks.

23 Thank you to the staff and trustees for the hard
24 work and productive dialogue on the Sustainable Investment
25 2030 strategy - to me, it's groundbreaking - including for

1 the thorough updates today.

2 As CalPERS begins to implement that strategy, I
3 wanted to note a few elements we would urge the fund to
4 prioritize.

5 First, climate change as a systemic risk. As we
6 all know, the worst risk that climate change poses to
7 shareholder value is the threatening portfolios overall,
8 from real estate to agriculture and to financial but
9 beyond, the tipping point that require a prudential
10 approach. And the strategy is being implemented in that
11 context. But this means, for example, that the value of
12 the objectives of outperformance and portfolio resilience
13 would be sharply curtailed if we see a broad
14 climate-induced depression sparked by physical and/or
15 transition risks in the coming decade.

16 So we urge CalPERS to continue its work in
17 championing the idea that climate change is a systemic
18 risk that investors should mitigate consistent with
19 fiduciary duties.

20 Second, stewardship, especially proxy voting.
21 We're glad to see stewardship emphasized in objectives 3
22 and 5. And thank you to the trustees and staff for the
23 exchange on this earlier. Your job was to build on this
24 leadership here and cushion portfolio companies to phase
25 out their contributions to climate risk. The fund can do

1 even more to set clear public expectations for portfolio
2 companies and apply director accountability to those
3 expectations.

4 For example, in earlier presentations we were
5 glad to see the IEA Net Zero roadmap and urged CalPERS to
6 include those milestones. Like you asked another wealthy
7 country utility's phasing out coal by 2030. As have been
8 noted, we're closing in on the 2030 deadlines to cut
9 global emissions in half on an absolute basis. CalPERS
10 could make clear that it expects companies to set targets
11 consistent with this and demonstrate real emissions
12 reductions aligned with those targets. CalPERS reinforce
13 those expectations by making clear that it will vote
14 against directors at companies that do not meet them.
15 Director accountability is a powerful tool on which
16 CalPERS already has a strong track record and is a key
17 part of our comprehensive stewardship approach like the
18 ones staff has detailed today.

19 Furthermore, CalPERS could continue to amplify
20 key proxy votes leveraging its leadership role in the
21 investor ecosystem, building on its record and declaring
22 two votes have advanced, flagging those votes and
23 publishing rationale.

24 Third, and last, we urge CalPERS to fully utilize
25 its influence of the client. And thanks again to trustees

1 for an earlier exchange on this topic.

2 The Fund has best-in-class influence of the
3 client as asset manager, proxy advisor and other service
4 providers, and should ensure its service provider is
5 aligned with climate expectations.

6 We applaud CalPERS' new plan first and foremost
7 for the protection it promises to provide the
8 beneficiaries' returns in the coming decade. We look
9 forward to the strongest possible implementation in the
10 next month and years.

11 Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

13 Next caller.

14 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Chairman
15 Miller, next we have Brad Anderson on the line to comment
16 on Agenda Item 6d.

17 BRAD ANDERSON: Hello?

18 Yes. Hi. My name's Brad Anderson.

19 Hi. My name is Brad Anderson. I live in the
20 city of Rancho Mirage out in Riverside County. And I
21 wanted to speak on Item 6d. And this is -- well, anyway,
22 you already know what it's about.

23 But I'm just kind of shocked listening to the
24 Committee's statements. So I would -- I would I guess
25 consider that being already approved by what I've heard.

1 But I'm in strong opposition to this -- this aspect,
2 because it's really just a policy of discrimination
3 against certain entities.

4 And the DEI narrative and the F -- ESG scheme,
5 that's all part of it.

6 But bottom line, this is out of your wheelhouse.
7 This is not anything that you should be involved with.
8 And by going ahead with this -- well, anyway, I just
9 wanted to voice my opinion. And I will definitely be more
10 involved in these committee meetings in the future.

11 Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

13 Next caller.

14 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Chairman
15 Miller, next we have Mary Cerulli from Climate Finance
16 Action, to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

17 MARY CERULLI: And -- hello?

18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Go ahead.

19 MARY CERULLI: I'm sorry.

20 My name is Mary Cerulli, and I'm the founder and
21 executive director at Climate Finance Action. We work to
22 advance policies and strategies for impactful, durable
23 climate finance solutions within public pension funds and
24 in other public investments. And we recognize the
25 importance or aptitude of CalPERS of trustees to build the

1 people power capacity needed to address climate risk, and
2 recognize the opportunities of a green economy.

3 We also recognize CalPERS leadership and
4 stewardship in sustainable investing so far. We expect
5 CalPERS to continue to lead with bolder ambitions to
6 mitigate the climate crisis and other social issues that
7 are material to the portfolio companies, especially in the
8 fixed income asset class and the larger economic system.

9 So in order to improve CalPERS portfolio
10 resistance, and both to ensure that climate risk is
11 appropriately test, managed, priced, and mitigated -- and
12 I'm glad that I heard this rather long board meeting. But
13 I am specifically interested in CalPERS' existing
14 benchmarks, in public equity but also fixed income. And
15 whether those indices provided -- provide room to address
16 and mitigate climate risk. Other alternative options that
17 have the potential to deliver similar or even better risk
18 return profiles, and what indices are other asset owners
19 using? We'd like to know.

20 And what ESG risk, including climate and
21 physical, are the portfolio managers being forced into by
22 virtue of the current benchmarks? We all know that
23 pension funds are not just assets. They represent the
24 compensation of workers, many of whom are represented by
25 unions. And those public employees and their unions are

1 key partners for this work.

2 Workers not only have a stake in CalPERS. They
3 also have a stake in the future. Their children,
4 grandchildren and the communities and State of California
5 where they live.

6 Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

8 Next caller.

9 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Next, we have
10 Sandy Emerson on the line to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

11 Go ahead, Sandy.

12 SANDY EMERSON: Thank you. I'm Sandy Emerson.
13 I'm a research volunteer with Fossil Free California.

14 The Sustainable Investment 2030 Plan is a very
15 welcome initiative, especially for its dramatic increase
16 in green investments.

17 The plan also opens the door to a protective
18 action that CalPERS can take to safeguard its portfolio by
19 exiting from fossil fuel companies. It's my opinion that
20 fossil fuel companies will never have credible Net Zero
21 Plans, so they already meet the exit criteria.

22 CalPERS has objected to the divestments called
23 for by SB 252 on the grounds of fiduciary duties. But one
24 of the duties of loyalty to beneficiaries is impartiality,
25 which is the duty to consider the overall best interests

1 of all the members and beneficiaries. Pension board
2 should not privilege the needs of current beneficiaries
3 over those of future beneficiaries. This has particular
4 relevance in the context of climate change. Addressing
5 climate-related financial risks protects the interests of
6 future beneficiaries.

7 Oil producers currently plan to produce more than
8 twice the amount of oil that they should in order to
9 prevent climate chaos.

10 They have already identified more than three
11 times as much in proven reserves as it is safe to
12 monetize. Their reckless expansion will perpetuate and
13 accelerate physical risks such as wildfires, floods, heat
14 waves, and other signs of climate chaos. Not only do they
15 not have credible transition plans; they are also walking
16 back previous commitments and building in increased
17 production.

18 In response to the SB 252 divestment legislation,
19 CalPERS has identified 101 of the highest emitting fossil
20 fuel producers in its portfolio. Almost half the total
21 9.4-billion-dollar market value of these investments is
22 represented by just five companies - Exxon, Chevron,
23 Shell, BP, and ConocoPhillips. These are the same
24 companies that the State of California is suing for lying
25 about the risks of climate change and delaying action for

1 years.

2 Why should our public pension dollars stay
3 invested in these companies and why should CalPERS stay
4 invested in fossil fuels?

5 I urge you to protect the future and head for the
6 exit.

7 Thank you.

8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

9 Next caller, please.

10 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Next, we have
11 Sarah Theiss from Fossil Free California on the line to
12 comment Agenda Item 6d.

13 Go ahead, Ms. Theiss.

14 SARAH THEISS: Hi. I'm Sarah Theiss, CalPERS
15 retiree and part of Fossil Free California. Due to time
16 limits I'm going to agree with and will not repeat all the
17 positive accomplishments the plan includes, which are
18 many. I do have three questions as to other aspects of
19 the plan. And as usual, I'll -- I'll supply citations for
20 all the facts I rely on in my comments and questions.

21 First, I don't see a mention of limiting
22 temperature increase to 1.5 degrees centigrade above
23 pre-industrial levels, which is in the focus of CalPERS'
24 prior plan. I do appreciate Ms. Willette's comments in
25 this regard.

1 So my question is really what happened to 1.5? I
2 hope that you would, the Board, will query staff on this
3 and let us know.

4 My second question is -- and I've had this for a
5 long time -- I just don't understand why CalPERS continues
6 to try to make saints out of sinners. And I have two
7 point on this.

8 One is, how solid are the fundamentals of the oil
9 majors? As of this August, 3 of the 5 biggest companies,
10 ExxonMobil, Chevron, and Total had to dip into their cash
11 reserves in order to maintain dividends and share
12 buybacks. And this is really the pattern from 2005
13 through 2020 where they collectively paid about 325
14 billion more to investors than they generated in free cash
15 flow.

16 They generated cash surplus enough to replenish
17 cash reserves and pay down debt in only three of those
18 years. And as a layperson this seems risky to me. And
19 we're already of course -- as you very well recognize, and
20 this is a very risky area in terms of, you know, the
21 markets as to fossil fuels in general, geopolitical
22 issues, and the unfolding climate disaster.

23 And my second -- the other point about this is
24 that financial -- fossil fuels have poor financial
25 outcomes. As the New York Controller recently stated,

1 basically whenever there's a market performance, there's a
2 support the system's decision to stop investing in fossil
3 fuels over a five-year period before they decided emerging
4 stocks lost more than 35 percent of their value while the
5 broader stock market increased in value by more than 50
6 percent. And through our alert early August 2023, energy
7 stocks have lost 1.3, while the broader market has gained
8 7.2 percent.

9 Now, obviously myself and others have been
10 raising this question for years now and I -- I just really
11 don't understand that.

12 My third question is really questioning reliance
13 on Mercer's approval of this plan given the plan's
14 undetermined timeline to exit the fossil fuel industry.
15 And this of course is incompatible -- incompatible with
16 science. For example, the fact that according to the
17 IPCC, existing and currently planned fossil fuel projects
18 are already more than the climate can handle.

19 When I and my siblings were teenagers growing up
20 in Queens, New York, I wanted to do something that our
21 parents didn't approve of, we argued that everyone else
22 was allowed to do X. And my father always responded,
23 "Just because everyone else jumps off the Brooklyn Bridge
24 doesn't mean you have to."

25 But here we're not jumping into the East River,

1 but really on to a journey to Climate Hell.

2 Thank you very much. And I'd love to hear
3 answers to my questions.

4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

5 Next caller, please.

6 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Next, we have
7 Beverly Ortiz from Climate Finance Action to comment on
8 Agenda Item 6d.

9 BEVERLY ORTIZ: Good afternoon.

10 Can you hear me?

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes. Please go ahead,
12 caller.

13 BEVERLY ORTIZ: Oh. Thank you.

14 My name is Beverly Ortiz. I'm the organizing
15 director for Climate Finance Action. We're a nonprofit
16 organization directly engaging key stakeholders, unions,
17 and public leaders in creating a pathway for change to
18 ensure that public funds not only hold companies
19 accountable for a just transition, but support a dramatic
20 shift towards an economy that operates in favor of people
21 and the planet.

22 We recognize CalPERS' leadership and stewardship
23 and sustainable investing. It is encouraging to see that
24 CalPERS will use a science-based target initiative
25 framework Net Zero setting for companies.

1 In order to achieve 2025 and 2030 carbon
2 intensity targets, CalPERS must engage companies through
3 shareowner actions, use engagement with portfolio
4 companies to drive change by setting and maintaining
5 standards of transparency for portfolio investments,
6 including public equities, corporate bonds and other
7 assets.

8 Also CalPERS must ensure accountability for
9 companies that -- in energy, transport and industrial and
10 material factors -- sectors, you know, these companies
11 that aren't doing what they need to do. And these
12 companies that refuse to make meaningful change, there
13 needs to be a public plan created for accountability, to
14 hold them accountable.

15 Lastly, on the energy transition, we need a just
16 transition, which must include workers with high quality
17 jobs, with neighbor principles, a just transition that
18 addresses racial and economic equality, and creates a more
19 equi -- or creates more equitable communities.

20 Thank you very much.

21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

22 Next caller, please.

23 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Chairman
24 Miller, next we have Simone Bowers on the line to comment
25 on our Agenda Item 6d.

1 Go ahead, call.

2 SIMONE BOWERS: Hello?

3 Yes, hi. I'm Simone Bowers. And I'm a grateful
4 California beneficiary, living on my late husband's
5 CalPERS pension and Social Security.

6 Last year, which was the third year I was living
7 near a dry creek bed, it suddenly became a raging river
8 during the rainy season, and nearly washed away my
9 residence. I was less than 30 feet away from going to the
10 public shelter.

11 Most of us did not expect to see climate
12 degradation get to its current level so fast. While I
13 very much applaud CalPERS for developing a sustainable
14 investment plan, its timelines does not fully take into
15 account the fact that the earth has basically run out of
16 time. Continuing to invest in the fossil fuel industry
17 will hasten the destruction of our planet.

18 I call on CalPERS to devise wiser, more rapid
19 strategies that will result in a fossil-free California.

20 Let's protect our pensions, workers and
21 communities by protecting the environment.

22 Thanks. Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

24 Next caller, please.

25 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Next, we have

1 Claire Brown to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

2 Go ahead, Ms. Brown.

3 CLAIRE BROWN: Hello. Good afternoon. I'm
4 Claire Brown, Professor of Economics at UC Berkeley.

5 The CalPERS' expanded sustainability strategy is
6 a step forward. However, I'm here because of my concern
7 about CalPERS not being transparent about much of their
8 data related to sustainability policies and strategies,
9 which were often made without any data or evidence
10 provided, and so it is hard to evaluate.

11 An example includes the cost and performance data
12 related to the sustainability policies divestment.

13 For example, one is the stakeholders forum in
14 January 2023, CIO Musicco's statement:

15 Quote: If CalPERS sold off all of its
16 energy-related assets it would amount to
17 160-billion-dollar divestment.

18 2: Analysis of SB 252 in the spring 2023
19 estimated at 75 to 125 million in transactions costs would
20 result from divesting 9.4 billion of fossil fuel
21 investment.

22 3: The administrative cost to complying with SB
23 252 SB 252, quote: Would be in the hundreds of thousands
24 of dollars annually.

25 4: In June 2023 report to the board, Marcie

1 Frost stated: "Our team has indicated that the Bill
2 results in a 4.4 basis points per year loss over 10 years
3 and 3.6 basis point loss over a 20-year time period, with
4 a net cost for public service employers of more than
5 6-and-a-half billion dollars by mid century."

6 Also CalPERS justifies not providing evidence for
7 data related to their sustainability policies and
8 strategies because the records are exact from legal
9 disclosure, indicating that public interest in disclosure
10 have clearly outweighed by the public interest in
11 non-disclosure.

12 The research done by UC Berkeley research team
13 finds different outcomes in the CalPERS data. We find
14 that transactions' costs for divestment tend to be minimal
15 and that large portfolios have financially benefited from
16 fossil fuel divestment.

17 As an economics professor, I'm concerned about
18 data presented without evidence. And lawmakers are
19 expected to take the data as stated even when research
20 indicates that the data may not be correct or is outdated
21 or not applicable.

22 The public as taxpayers pay for public pensions
23 and the CalPERS members depend upon their retirement
24 pensions. And they both -- well, both groups need to know
25 how CalPERS makes calculations that would affect the

1 pension risk and portfolio performance, especially for a
2 policy such as divestments, which is necessary for CalPERS
3 investment strategy to be aligned with California
4 climate-related laws and climate goals.

5 I sent a CPRA request to CalPERS to the four
6 examples given, and was told the information was exempt
7 from disclosure. I requested the Investment Committee
8 board members ask the CalPERS staff to provide the
9 evidence for the sustainability presented including the
10 cost related to SB 252.

11 Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

13 Next caller, please.

14 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Next, we have
15 Sakeena Baxamusa to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

16 Go ahead, caller.

17 SAKEENA BAXAMUSA: Thank you.

18 Hello. I'm Sakeena Baxamusa, a student at UC
19 Berkeley working with the with Institute for Research on
20 Labor and Employment. We study the economic impacts of
21 investment in fossil fuels on the CalPERS Pension Fund.
22 Our team of researchers has spent the past month
23 understanding the benefits of risks associated with the
24 significant investments that CalPERS has in fossil fuel
25 companies.

1 CalPERS currently has \$9.4 billion invested in
2 fossil fuel companies. If we look at previous examples of
3 nonrenewable energy investments such as coal, which is the
4 forerunner of all fossil fuels, we can see that the market
5 value decreases significantly as the U.S. moved away from
6 coal-powered energy sources.

7 While CalPERS has significant investments in
8 coal, the top 13 national coal companies lost 92 percent
9 of their aggregate value between 2010 and 2016, showing
10 the volatility and financial risk associated with
11 antiquated fossil fuel investments.

12 CalPERS has a fiduciary duty to anticipate the
13 climb of fossil fuel investment value and divest before
14 losing large assets.

15 Over the past 12 years, the MSCI All-Country
16 World Index without fossil fuel has outperformed the MSCI
17 ACWI with fossil fuel even when accounting for
18 fluctuations like the Ukraine invasion. Over this 12-year
19 period, which is a time frame that's broad enough to speak
20 to the actuarial considerations of long-term investors,
21 Exxon market capitalization was so volatile that it
22 dragged down overall returns.

23 CalPERS currently owns 0.2 percent of Exxon, 0.2
24 percent of Chevron, 0.2 percent of Occidental Petroleum
25 and portions of other fossil Fuel companies as well.

1 Aside from being financially irresponsible
2 investments, this contradicts the CalPERS plan to reach
3 Net Zero emissions by 2050. (Inaudible) of this CalPERS
4 sustainable investment by 2030 strategy is a huge success
5 for this effort, it's still a bit too indeterminate. As
6 it is, the CalPERS sustainable investment by 2030 strategy
7 is far too vague and lacks the direct option of
8 enforceability that is benefit to stakeholders financially
9 and by meeting State climate goals. By investing in this
10 plan is on a to-be-determined basis that needs to be
11 outlined more clearly.

12 Additionally, there's no enforceability on the
13 time allotted on engagement responses with companies that
14 currently have no credible Net Zero plans. The energy
15 transition is unstoppable, and CalPERS by staying invested
16 in fossil fuel companies increases its exposure to the
17 funds to destabilization of (inaudible).

18 Finally, investing in climate solutions like
19 carbon capture and storage which are being purchased by
20 the fossil fuel industry have environmental justice
21 implications that CalPERS needs to consider before
22 investing in. I urge CalPERS to create a concrete plan
23 with a specified timeline to divest in fossil fuel
24 companies.

25 Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

2 Next caller, please

3 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Next, we have
4 Barbara Fletcher on the line to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

5 Go ahead, caller.

6 BARBARA FLETCHER: I'm Barbara Fletcher, a
7 CalPERS beneficiary. (Inaudible) a group of seniors
8 trying to help our planet. Thank you for your work on the
9 new sustainable investment policy you're presenting today.
10 Actually, I feel, however, that your timeline is far too
11 slow. Your report proposes that CalPERS investments in
12 fossil fuels will go to Net Zero by 2050. Yet that's
13 wrong after experts predict we will no longer be able to
14 limit global heating. Because I'm concerned about the
15 quality of life that my grandchildren will experience on
16 our planet, I've divested my own investment portfolio from
17 fossil fuels and invested in funds that are working with
18 sustainable energy.

19 I believe your timeline should be dramatically
20 shortened. Also your policy should focus on divestment as
21 a strategy and not count on influencing fossil fuel
22 companies from the inside because that's a strategy that
23 has failed so often.

24 CalPERS should protect workers, retirees, and the
25 planet by shortening the time frame of its goals to reduce

1 its investment in fossil fuel.

2 Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

4 I believe that's the last of our phone-in
5 participants.

6 Is there anyone else on the phone?

7 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Yep. Chairman
8 Miller, we have one more caller.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

10 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Lucy Fried
11 would like to comment on Agenda Item 6d.

12 All right, caller.

13 LUCY FRIED: I thank you.

14 My name is Lucy Fried and I'm a student at UC
15 Berkeley, also working with the Institute for Research on
16 Labor and Development to understand the economic impacts
17 of fossil fuel investment on the millions of current and
18 future CalPERS beneficiaries. While the sustainable
19 investment strategy puts CalPERS in the right direction by
20 focusing on climate solutions through increased
21 investments, CalPERS should move to that faster. I have
22 written fossil fuel companies for environmental and
23 financial reasons.

24 CalPERS has \$9.4 billion in fossil fuel
25 investments as of 2022 across all of their asset classes,

1 of which 49 percent are in the large -- the five largest
2 fossil fuel emitters. Despite this, the world's demand
3 for oil and gas we're set to have in the coming years
4 according to the International Energy Agency. However,
5 investments in new projects are expected to surge by 11
6 percent in 2023, which according to the IEA report is not
7 enough to achieve Net Zero emissions by 2050.

8 CalPERS continued investments in these fossil
9 fuel companies is thus contradictory to its plan of being
10 on a pathway to Net Zero by 2050, especially if your
11 companies do not have credible Net Zero Plan and they're
12 all scaling back on their renewable energy product.

13 Through fiduciary duty's perspective, all of CalPERS
14 investments in the Big 5 fossil fuel companies have
15 declined in market value between 2018 and 2022, with a
16 divestment of these three declining 46.34 percent, Exxon
17 at minus 49 percent, and the rest around 20 percent each.

18 California's current lawsuit against these five
19 companies as well as the projected decline in oil
20 consumption, the conservative projection is a continuing
21 decline in market value.

22 CalPERS sustainability plans uses language that
23 mentions divestment, which ultimately leads to divestment,
24 but it doesn't provide the best science or the (inaudible)
25 or enough urgency to be effective enough. Instead CalPERS

1 continues to rely on engagements, which has resulted in
2 some companies Net Zero by 2050 pledges, but usually
3 without the company's specific and tangible plans.

4 As discussed earlier, working towards
5 decarbonizing the economy is crucial, but it does not need
6 to be mutually exclusive with decarbonizing the portfolio.
7 In order to reach its goals and reduce emissions, CalPERS
8 should adopt more specific protocols and should divest in
9 fossil fuel investment before the decline of oil and gas
10 and in order to uphold the financial and environmental
11 fiduciary duties to members and stakeholders.

12 Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, caller.

14 Okay. Was that the last of our callers on the
15 phone?

16 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: No more callers
17 on the phone.

18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I think that pretty
19 much wraps it up for Item 6d.

20 And so we will move now to 6e, Responsible
21 Contractor Policy Annual Review.

22 (Thereupon a slide presentation).

23 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE:

24 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

25 This is our annual update on compliance with

1 CalPERS Responsible Contractor Program Policy. I do bear
2 in mind that we'll be back in March to work through
3 potential updates to the policy. But this is the update
4 on the current policy.

5 And Tamara Sells will be leading us through this
6 item. So I'll turn it over to Tamara.

7 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: All right.
8 Thank you so much. Tamara Sells, Associate Investment
9 Manager, Sustainable Investments.

10 It is my pleasure to present you with our
11 Responsible Contractor Program Policy Annual Report for
12 2022, 2023 fiscal year.

13 Today I will provide a brief overview of our
14 Responsible Contractor Policy and its history, some of
15 which may be familiar to you; but it's important to orient
16 where we've gone to know where we're headed.

17 Also, I'll provide a summary of the compliance
18 results, I'll touch a bit on the communication and
19 engagement flow, I'll provide a snapshot of the total
20 compliance and contracting over the past eight years. And
21 then as Dan alluded to, I'll touch a bit more on our RCP,
22 the policy refresh activities and the timeline.

23 --o0o--

24 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: Okay. The
25 Responsible Contractor Policy exists to ensure prudent and

1 careful action while managing the Responsible Contractor
2 Program, demonstrating our fiduciary principles to support
3 and encourage fair wages and benefits for workers employed
4 by our contractors and subcontractors, and further
5 contributing to our competitive returns in our real estate
6 and infrastructure investments.

7 The Responsible Contractor Policy applies to
8 domestic real estate and infrastructure assets where
9 CalPERS holds a greater than 50 percent interest and on
10 contracts equal to or greater than 100,000.

11 --o0o--

12 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: So as
13 familiar to you, this policy has existed for more than two
14 and a half decades, and the key takeaway here is that we
15 are currently undergoing our third policy review. And
16 that engagement process is underway. And I will touch a
17 bit more on the detailed activities later in the
18 presentation.

19 --o0o--

20 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: So as
21 mentioned, our Responsible Contractor Policy seeks to
22 secure the condition of workers without adverse effect on
23 our investment returns, access to investment opportunities
24 or significant cost. And with that I'm happy to report
25 100 percent compliance by all CalPERS responsible

1 contractor managers for the fiscal year 2022 to 2023.

2 All managers have certified that they, their
3 contractors and subcontractors have complied with the
4 policy. Certified responsible contractors received over
5 \$816 million and over 7 billion over the last eight fiscal
6 years under the policy.

7 --o0o--

8 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: The policy
9 continues to provide an avenue for communication and
10 engagement between our external managers, contractors,
11 responsible contractors, union and labor stakeholders, and
12 CalPERS staff in order to assess stakeholder inquiries on
13 which projects are applicable to RCP, mitigating human
14 capital management and reputational risks, as well as to
15 facilitate that contractor notification process between
16 the union and labor stakeholders and the managers.

17 CalPERS Investment staff communicate regularly
18 with our stakeholders as well as our real asset in
19 infrastructure managers regarding implementation of the
20 policy. And we work to address labor issues in a timely
21 manner and in accordance with the Responsible Contractor
22 Policy and our fiduciary duty.

23 --o0o--

24 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: But policy
25 establishes communication channels and responsibilities

1 between managers, unions, contractor -- and contractors
2 with respect to bidding and notification. The RCP Policy
3 is a part of each applicable contract, requiring
4 information on wages and benefits from our contractors
5 during the bidding process as well as the annual
6 certification. Our union and labor organizations can be
7 asked and are encouraged to put their names on the manager
8 distribution lists for information -- updated information
9 on ongoing RCP projects and contracts.

10 In addition, unions may provide managers with
11 lists of signatory contractors. Some managers will employ
12 an automatic notification. Some use websites, some use
13 portals. But in any event, the policy requires that
14 managers and their delegates provide both a process and a
15 contact for these to -- the unions -- excuse me -- to
16 receive RCP bidding opportunities.

17 --o0o--

18 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: For the
19 fiscal year ending June 30th, 2023, managers reported 100
20 percent compliance with the policy. And as previously
21 mentioned, certified responsible contractors received over
22 7 billion over the last eight fiscal years under the
23 policy.

24 Capital projects were the largest driver of the
25 fluctuation and the total amount paid to certified

1 responsible contractors relative to last fiscal year. And
2 although some managers reported delays in obtaining
3 necessary permits, there were others that moved forward
4 with a purchase of new assets which required capital work.

5 --o0o--

6 ASSOCIATE INVESTMENT MANAGER SELLS: So as I
7 mentioned, staff are currently undergoing a policy
8 refresh. The recommended policy revisions will reflect
9 the collective input of staff, legal, office, our board's
10 fiduciary counsel, external managers, stakeholders and
11 consultants. This refresh activity began in August with a
12 series of meetings followed by an open invitation for our
13 stakeholders to both comment and also request meetings and
14 engagements with staff where they can delve into -- and
15 share their perspective on the recommendations that they
16 would like to see for RCP.

17 Currently underway, we are compiling those
18 comments. That comment period closed on October 31st.
19 However, to date we're still receiving comments. So we
20 are compiling those comments and scheduling the
21 stakeholder engagements. Staff are planning to return to
22 the Board March 2024 with a first read of the proposed
23 policy changes.

24 Overall, application of the responsible
25 contractor policy has resulted in positive outcomes for

1 CalPERS, our stakeholders, as well as investments, and
2 continues to serve us well.

3 I'm happy to address any questions that you have.

4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you for that
5 presentation. I appreciate it.

6 Doesn't look like we have any requests from the
7 Board to speak.

8 I have a few members of the public that want to
9 speak. So I'll ask Jeremy Smith and Michael Mark to come
10 down to the microphone. And as always, whenever you begin
11 to speak the time will start. And you can track it up
12 here in front of me.

13 JEREMY SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of
14 the committee. Jeremy Smith, Chair, on behalf of the
15 State Building and Construction Trades Council of
16 California. We are a council of construction trade unions
17 that represent over 450,000 union workers in craft
18 construction unions up and down the states.

19 Thank you for the opportunity to address you
20 today on your Responsible Contractor Policy revision.
21 We're pleased to be part of the stakeholder process, and
22 look forward to engaging staff on our recommendations.

23 And want to remind you all that in addition to
24 your primary fiduciary responsibilities it is important to
25 remember that the sheer size of CalPERS means that you all

1 and the fund can really be a force for progressive
2 pro-worker change.

3 The recommendations we outlined in our letter of
4 October 31st will ensure that the RCP can act as that
5 change, and mirror what was intended by the RCP according
6 to CalPERS' own statement of investment policy for
7 Responsible -- for the Responsible Contractor Program.
8 That is, to hire contractors that, quote, support many of
9 the ideals espoused by labor unions and encourage
10 participation by labor unions and their signatory
11 contractors in the development and management of CalPERS
12 real estate and infrastructure investments.

13 CalPERS believes that an adequately compensated
14 and trained worker delivers a higher quality product and
15 service. We could not agree more with that -- with your
16 own policy statement.

17 But recognize that it is difficult for CalPERS to
18 monitor contractor behavior on construction projects. And
19 once poor behavior is discovered, it is often too late to
20 take corrective action. The issue such as wage theft,
21 health and safety violations, and poor quality
22 construction are not readily apparent during a
23 construction project, and largely come to light only after
24 construction is complete, but happen primarily on projects
25 carried out by so-called low-road contractors.

1 However, violations such as those I just
2 described and of the RCP create investment risks for
3 CalPERS as an asset owner.

4 Again, wage theft, health and safety viola --
5 issues, project delays and construction defects, often not
6 reported by workers for fear of reprisal, may lead to
7 fines and penalties by public agencies in litigation by
8 end users. These negative outcomes are financial risks
9 that can eat away at a construction project's investment
10 returns and can be difficult to mitigate once a problem
11 has been allowed to develop.

12 When construction project managers do not
13 consider the qualifications of potential contractors, low
14 quality irresponsible contractors are chosen simply
15 because they submit the lowest cost construction bids.

16 For these reasons construction project managers
17 are best served by engaging in a, quote, best value
18 contracting model, rather than a lowest-cost auction
19 winner selection process.

20 Best value contracting is a procurement process
21 that considers other factors in addition to the price and
22 the award and execution of construction contracts

23 Furthermore, the most practical approaches to
24 mitigate risks in construction contracting is to avoid
25 irresponsible contractors by pre-qualifying firms with

1 proven track records, requiring the use of project labor
2 agreements, and/or requiring the use of a skilled and
3 trained workforce to carry out the projects as defined at
4 Public Contract Code Section 2600.

5 Any of these construction delivery techniques
6 incentivizes suppliers to maintain or improve their
7 conduct.

8 In closing, we believe that fostering a
9 competitive market with the active participation of
10 responsible contractors increases investment returns,
11 expands employment opportunities for California workers
12 and improves social welfare in the long run.

13 Thank you.

14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you.

15 Next we have Michael Mark.

16 MICHAEL MARK: Board members, thank you, thank
17 you for letting me speak to you today.

18 Again, my name is Michael Mark. I'm here with
19 Sheet Metal Workers Local 104. We are an affiliate of the
20 State Building Trades Council.

21 I think one thing that -- there was a commonality
22 today was let's make some change for California, let's do
23 the right thing, and one thing within is the RCP. I think
24 Director Pacheco asked earlier of the California
25 investments. There was that great report that was done.

1 And I think one of the numbers that I saw was \$18 billion
2 of real assets within California. And like how do we make
3 the change of making sure that the RCP applies to all of
4 those projects? Because these are your brothers and
5 sisters in California. And how do we uplift the
6 community?

7 So when we're talking about \$18 billion,
8 sometimes those numbers are so astronomical, you know,
9 with this entire pension plan of -- of almost 500 billion.
10 These numbers are so, so -- on paper, it's like but each
11 time when we're talking about how this RCP applies, I
12 think I heard earlier \$816 million of a construction work
13 was applied within this RCP. Think about this: That
14 dollar represents one hour for a construction person --
15 worker to work on the said project. So every time you
16 have an hour, that's another paycheck for that particular
17 family. There is additional food and additional health
18 care for those individuals. So that's how like the world
19 here together, we're all connected somehow.

20 So when we're talking about change within
21 California and CalPERS' responsibility within, there is so
22 much information that is done. And we're talking about
23 ESG and a 100 billion dollars of capital being possibly
24 invested in, there's also the making sure that those jobs
25 that are created with that investment are actually going

1 to be benefiting, let's say, union construction workers.
2 Let's make sure the investment is correct.

3 So I spoke about some of the items. So I'll give
4 you one instance where the RCP could be very helpful. The
5 CIM Group, which was not in -- they listed that they
6 didn't have any projects within their RCP. But they're
7 listed. There is an upcoming project in Alameda County
8 that's -- it's going to be a big tower. And right now
9 they're not actually doing outreach with the local labor
10 leaders. And the goal is to make conversation to see how
11 can we best partner to make sure that CIM Group on this
12 project in Oakland, in Alameda County, that they're going
13 to use a union workforce with sheet metal workers in the
14 building trades.

15 But partly the confusion is there are so many
16 like different commingled funds that that fund CIM is
17 working --

18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Your going to wrap it up
19 here. You're out of time there. So if you could wrap it
20 up quickly.

21 MICHAEL MARK: Wow, that was fast.

22 So, in the end -- again, Michael Mark with Sheet
23 Metal Workers Local 104. How can we make sure this RCP
24 applies? And I did submit comments. And I appreciate
25 hearing back from staff.

1 Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you very much.
3 Okay. I don't believe we have any other public
4 comments requested for this item.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: We have, the phone.

6 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, we got one on the phone.
7 Okay.

8 Now let's bring our phone caller on.

9 STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Yes, Chairman
10 Miller, we have Valentina Davos from Private Equity
11 Stakeholder Project, to comment on Agenda Item 6e.

12 Go ahead, caller.

13 VALENTINA DAVOS: Good afternoon, Board. My name
14 is Valentina Davos with the Private Equity Stakeholder
15 Project.

16 CalPERS Responsible Contractors Policy has been a
17 valuable tool for ensuring contractors provide quality
18 jobs and stable workforces in the system's real estate and
19 infrastructure investments. We applaud CalPERS for
20 revisiting its policy to ensure it stays ahead of changing
21 needs in the asset classes since its 2015 update.

22 Requiring responsible contractors within the real
23 estate and infrastructure portfolio is conducive to the
24 value proposition of those investments that provide high
25 quality services and products and workforce ability

1 consistent with fiduciary duties.

2 CalPERS could consider a few updates for the
3 policy aligned with current best practices. We suggest
4 adopting language to encourage labor, peace and strengthen
5 neutrality, help protect CalPERS' investments from the
6 impact of labor disputes.

7 We also suggest adopting language similar to the
8 policy of the pure funds like the New York State Common
9 Retirement Fund or New York City Pension Fund, including
10 encouraging employer-paid safety training by contractors,
11 avoiding partners that pose a regulatory or reputational
12 risk, enhancing the language to apply to commingled funds,
13 co-investments, separate accounts or other ownership
14 exposure under a 50 percent stake.

15 Thank you so much for your attention to these
16 critical matters and for your transparency. And we -- and
17 for your request for feedback in the process. We look
18 forward to further dialogue with you and other
19 stakeholders.

20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for your comments.

21 Okay. I think that wraps up public comment on
22 Item 6e. So we'll move to Item 6f, Consultant Review of
23 CalPERS Divestments.

24 INTERIM CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Yes,
25 thank you, Mr. Chair. I think Lauren Gellhaus from

1 Wilshire Advisors will be joining us in a while. She's on
2 her way up here. I'll just share a couple of things.

3 First, you'll recall that the CalPERS Total Fund
4 Policy requires two things through the lens of divestment
5 activities. The first is an annual review of the economic
6 impact on divestments -- or from divestments.

7 And the second is an every-five-year thorough review
8 of all of our divestments; and then either reaffirming
9 those divestments or removal of some of them. This is the
10 annual economic review.

11 Lauren is here. So I'll let her take us through
12 the item.

13 LAUREN GELLHAUS: Thank you.

14 Thank you, and good afternoon, Board members. I
15 am Lauren Gellhaus of Wilshire, and my comments today will
16 be brief. But I did want to walk you through the context
17 and the background of the analysis concluded for the
18 divestment report.

19 I'm going to walk you through why we complete the
20 analysis, which Dan has just touched on; how we complete
21 the analysis; and then finally wrap up with a short
22 overview of the results of the analysis.

23 So the Why. Dan noted, within policy there are
24 two divestment items that are addressed. First is the
25 fact that active divestments will come back to the Board

1 for that reaffirmation process at least every five years.
2 The last reaffirmation was completed in March of 2021.

3 The second part of it is that in between those
4 reaffirmations there's an annual review of an update
5 provided to the Board, that being this today.

6 So within the update that is completed there's a
7 forensic analysis that is done to show the financial
8 impact of CalPERS' active divestments of which there are
9 currently four. Those are tobacco, Iran, firearms, and
10 thermal coal.

11 So that the letter summarizes that analysis for
12 the annual review of the active divestments.

13 So then the How. So to complete this analysis we
14 received data from your vendor providers. For each active
15 divestment we had the index without the securities
16 divested, and then another with the divested securities
17 removed.

18 Said another way, there's data on the portfolio
19 pre-divestment and post-divestment. We then do
20 comparisons of simulated portfolios.

21 So next we run through that process to estimate
22 the financial impact of the difference in the two return
23 streams. And this shows us how the securities would have
24 done had the portfolio -- how the portfolios would have
25 done had the securities not been removed, and showing the

1 difference either positively or negatively.

2 We then accumulate that impact through time, and
3 that's what is summarized in the report.

4 So then for the results, within the letter we do
5 show that two out of the four divestments had a positive
6 impact on the market value of the portfolio and yet that
7 you had a negative impact on the portfolio.

8 Further details around the analysis can be found
9 in the investment report itself. Given that the last
10 affirmation was in March of 2021, this analysis includes
11 nine quarters of data; that being the second quarter of
12 2021. And then the quarters within the last two fiscal
13 years.

14 That concludes my prepared remarks. But I'm
15 happy to address any questions that the Board may have.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I have a question
17 from Director Pacheco.

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you.
19 Thank you, Chairman Miller. And thank you, Lauren, for
20 your report.

21 I just have a question regarding the tobacco.
22 You know, what -- may be contributing to the negative
23 present value observed in the tobacco category? I -- from
24 previous, from 2021 to now I just want to note your
25 analysis of what's the contributing factor? Is it in the

1 economy or -- if you can just elaborate on that.

2 LAUREN GELLHAUS: Yes. For this analysis we look
3 at it from two different lens of the segment 1 and segment
4 2. And we saw most of the detracting from segment 2,
5 which was a more concentrated set of securities. And so
6 if you think about any kind of divestment activity reduces
7 that pool further, concentrating it, so any of the impacts
8 you're going to see are going to be more pronounced. And
9 that could be positively or negatively. This year we did
10 see over the cumulation of those nine quarters a negative
11 impact. But if you can recall back to 2020, there was
12 positive across all the active divestments. So these are
13 volatile and they can change year over year.

14 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: So and because of the
15 concentration and the securities that you mentioned,
16 that's what's attributing to the negative present value
17 estimate?

18 LAUREN GELLHAUS: Yes. Pronounced in Q1 and Q2
19 of this year.

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PACHECO: Thank you very much
21 for your comment.

22 LAUREN GELLHAUS: Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you for your
24 presentation.

25 I don't see any further questions. I don't

1 believe we have any public comment on this item.

2 So we will move on to 6g, Summary of Committee
3 Direction. And this will be another exiting item, I'm
4 sure.

5 INVESTMENT DIRECTOR DEMING: I've noted one
6 Chair-directed item that we will update, the proxy voting
7 policy, and bring it back for Board's input at the
8 appropriate time in the future.

9 That was the only directed item. But I have
10 noted a number of other than Board member comments, and we
11 will find the right way to be responsive to those as well.

12 That's it.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I thought we had more
14 than one.

15 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah, Mullissa
16 had a couple.

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah. Well, let's double
18 check that.

19 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We'll pull the
20 transcript and find them, Mullissa, then I'll follow up
21 with the Board?

22 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Well, with that, I'm
23 looking at Item 6h. I don't believe we have any more
24 public comment on 6.

25 And so we will now -- we're going to take a

1 break, and then we're going to go into closed session.

2 So let's take a 10-minute break and we'll
3 reconvene for closed session. So we can go ahead and
4 start to clear the room.

5 And then we will return after closed session.

6 Oh, yeah. Yeah, we'll adjourn out of...

7 (Off record: 4:04 p.m.)

8 (Thereupon the meeting recessed
9 into closed session.)

10 (Thereupon the meeting reconvened
11 open session.)

12 (On record: 6:04 p.m.)

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We'll reconvene here in open
14 session. And hearing no objection, I will say we are
15 adjourned for the day for Investment Committee.

16 Adjourned.

17 (Thereupon, the California Public Employees'
18 Retirement System, Investment Committee
19 meeting open session adjourned at 6:05 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration, Investment Committee open session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 27th day of November, 2023.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10063