ATTACHMENT B

STAFF'S ARGUMENT

## STAFF'S ARGUMENT TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED DECISION

Robert J. Gardner (Respondent Robert) appealed CalPERS' determination to deny his request to transfer the lifetime monthly benefit of Hayley Gardner (Hayley) to Ryan Gardner (Respondent Ryan) after Hayley's untimely death. Both Hayley and Respondent Ryan were named as equal share beneficiaries by member Kim M. Gardner (decedent) for the lifetime monthly allowance payable upon her death.

Decedent became a school miscellaneous member of CaIPERS through her employment with the Capistrano Unified School District on August 20, 2001. Prior to retiring, decedent visited the CaIPERS Orange County Regional Office on January 22, 2020, for a retirement counseling session. A CaIPERS team member assisted decedent by explaining the Service Retirement (SR) and Disability Retirement (DR) options, going over the differences between the Pre-Retirement and Post-Retirement death benefits, and instructing decedent on how to obtain online estimates for both SR and DR options. The CaIPERS team member also reviewed and explained the precautionary disability application process. When CaIPERS is notified that a member has a life-threatening condition, CaIPERS will suggest that the member submit a Precautionary Disability Retirement Application in case the member needs to retire due to their condition.

On January 22, 2020, decedent submitted a Precautionary Disability Retirement Application and elected the "Flexible Beneficiary Option 4 with Specific Percentage" (Option 4), naming her two children, Hayley and Respondent Ryan, as equal share beneficiaries to receive the Option 4 benefit payable upon her death. The Option 4 benefit consists of the right to have a retirement allowance paid to a member until his or her death, and thereafter to have a monthly allowance paid to his or her named beneficiary for life (Gov. Code, § 21477, subdivision (a).) Decedent named her spouse Respondent Robert as beneficiary for the lump sum Retired Death Benefit.

Decedent passed away on October 11, 2020. CalPERS determined that based on the options decedent elected on her retirement application, Post-Retirement death benefits were payable in accordance with Government Code section 21504. As decedent's surviving spouse, Respondent Robert was entitled to the lump sum Retired Death Benefit and a lifetime monthly Post-Retirement Survivor Allowance (PRSA). Decedent's children, Respondent Ryan and Hayley, were entitled to receive a monthly Option 4 benefit for life, to be split equally between them.

On November 19, 2020, CalPERS notified Respondents Robert, Ryan, and Hayley of their entitlement to the death benefits payable. They completed and returned survivor benefit applications shortly thereafter. On January 6, 2021, CalPERS issued the Option 4 benefits to both Hayley and Ryan in equal shares; and the lump sum Retired Death Benefit and PRSA to Respondent Robert.

On January 19, 2021, Respondent Robert notified CalPERS by telephone that Hayley passed away unexpectedly on January 15, 2021. He asked what would happen to Hayley's Option 4 monthly allowance share now that she had died. On January 20, 2021, Respondent Robert sent a letter to CalPERS requesting that Hayley's Option 4 monthly allowance be given to Ryan to increase his own monthly allowance.

On February 18, 2021, CalPERS denied Respondent Robert's request to have Hayley's Option 4 share transferred to Respondent Ryan. CalPERS explained that upon Hayley's death, her monthly allowance is no longer payable to anyone because CalPERS is only authorized to pay monthly benefits to a named beneficiary for his or her lifetime.

On April 12, 2021, CalPERS sent a determination letter to Respondent Robert formally denying his request to have Hayley's Option 4 share conveyed to Respondent Ryan. CalPERS also informed Respondent Robert of his right to appeal the determination. Respondents Robert and Ryan appealed CalPERS' determination and exercised their right to a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) with the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). A hearing was held on May 25, 2022. Respondents Robert and Ryan were each present at the hearing and represented themselves.

Prior to the hearing, CalPERS explained the hearing process to Respondents and the need to support their case with witnesses and documents. CalPERS provided Respondents with a copy of the administrative hearing process pamphlet. CalPERS answered Respondent's questions and clarified how to obtain further information on the process.

Respondents each testified at hearing on their own behalf that CaIPERS' denial of their request to have Hayley's Option 4 allowance added to Ryan's allowance is not fair or just; and is contrary to decedent's intention to leave a lifetime monthly allowance for her children. Respondents testified that the circumstances of their case are unique and justify an exception. Respondents feel there should be some room for discretion, compromise, and fairness to be administered in this matter.

At hearing, CalPERS presented documentary evidence and the testimony of staff from the Disability and Survivor Benefits Division. Staff explained CalPERS' determination, and the processing of benefits for decedent's beneficiaries.

After considering all of the evidence introduced, as well as arguments by the parties, the ALJ denied Respondent Robert's appeal. The ALJ found that CalPERS correctly determined that Hayley's Option 4 monthly allowance cannot be moved to Ryan to increase his monthly allowance. CalPERS' obligation to pay Hayley's Option 4 allowance terminated when she died. Government Code section 21477 clearly and unambiguously states that the Option 4 monthly allowance is payable to a member's named beneficiary "for life." Section 21477 contains no provision or exception authorizing CalPERS to continue paying an Option 4 monthly allowance beyond the named beneficiary's lifetime. The laws within the PERL that govern the other lifetime retirement benefit option allowances similarly provide that a named beneficiary shall

receive a monthly allowance "for life." (See Gov. Code, §§ 21475 (Option 2), 21475.5 (Option 2), 21476 (Option 3), and 21476.5 (Option 3).)

While understanding that Hayley's unexpected death three months after decedent's death has caused a tragic and painful situation for the surviving members of the family, the ALJ concluded that there is no legal authority that would allow CalPERS to continue paying Hayley's Option 4 allowance after her death. There is no correctable error in accordance with Government Code section 20160, and the doctrine of equitable estoppel does not apply in this case.

The ALJ found that CaIPERS has carried out decedent's intentions in accordance with the Public Employees Retirement Law (PERL). Decedent made a valid designation on her retirement application naming her children, Hayley and Respondent Ryan, as equal share beneficiaries for the Option 4 lifetime beneficiary allowance. After decedent died, CaIPERS paid death benefits to her beneficiaries according to her intentions expressed in her application and in accordance with the PERL. CaIPERS has fully complied with all requirements under Government Code section 21477. All of decedent's named beneficiaries were paid the benefit for which each was deemed eligible. No statutory authority establishes Respondent Ryan's entitlement to the benefit increase he seeks. He is entitled to his Option 4 monthly allowance share, as designated by decedent, for his lifetime. He is not entitled to have his Option 4 allowance increased by Hayley's share following her death. Ryan has received, and will continue to receive for his lifetime, his Option 4 beneficiary allowance. Decedent made no correctable error or omission in completing her retirement application and designating her children as her Option 4 beneficiaries.

For all the above reasons, staff argues that the Proposed Decision should be adopted by the Board.

September 21, 2022

Nhung Dao Attorney

> Staff's Argument Board of Administration Page 3 of 3