

# Legislative Summary

2011-2012 Session

Prepared by:  
Office of Governmental Affairs

# INTRODUCTION

This booklet provides a summary of legislation from the 2011-2012 Regular Session of the California Legislature that impacts the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). The format for this booklet contains four main parts:

## Index of Bills by Program Area

The index identifies the bill number, author, topic, and final status for each bill pertaining to that subject. Under each program area heading, the bills are listed in bill number order grouped by each status type: enacted, failed, vetoed, or amended. Bills listed with an asterisk (\*) in the status column contained provisions pertaining to that subject at some point during the legislative session, but were subsequently amended to no longer relate to that subject. Bills with provisions pertaining to multiple subjects are listed under each applicable subject heading.

## Summary of Legislation by Bill Number

The summary of legislation presents, in bill number order, the bill number, author, final status, CalPERS Board position (if any), title, and summary for each bill identified in the list of legislative bills by subject. For bills that were enacted as an urgency statute, the last line of the summary identifies it as an "urgency measure" and notes the effective date of the bill. The effective date for all other bills enacted without an urgency provision is January 1 following the year of enactment.

## Cross Reference of Enacted Legislation

These tables provide for a quick overview of all chaptered bills included in this summary, and have been organized by bill number, as well as by chapter number.

## Governor's Veto Messages

For the vetoed bills, this section includes the Governor's veto message by bill number.

This publication is prepared by CalPERS Office of Governmental Affairs. We hope you find this summary of legislation a useful reference and welcome your suggestions for improving future editions.



CalPERS  
Office of Governmental Affairs  
P.O. Box 942720  
Sacramento, CA 94229-2720  
(916) 795-3689, FAX (916) 795-3270

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Index of Bills by Program Area

Health Benefits: Administration .....	1
Health Benefits: Contracts.....	1
Health Benefits: General and Statewide.....	1
Health Benefits: Healthcare Reform .....	1
Health Benefits: Long-Term Care.....	2
Health Benefits: Mandated Coverage .....	2
Health Benefits: PEMHCA.....	2
Health Benefits: Prescription Drugs .....	2
Investments (Including Corporate Governance and Home Loans).....	3
Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) .....	3
Other Systems: Local Government .....	3
Other Systems: STRS.....	4
Retirement: Administration .....	4
Retirement: Benefits.....	4
Retirement: Contracting .....	5
Retirement: General .....	6
Retirement: JRS/LRS .....	6
Retirement: Membership (Including Classifications) .....	6
Retirement: Pension Reform .....	7
Retirement: Service Credit .....	7
Retirement: Special Compensation .....	7
State Administration (Not limited to CalPERS).....	7
State Administration: Political Reform .....	8

<u>Summary of Legislation by Bill Number</u> .....	9
--	---

## Cross Reference of Chaptered Legislation

By Bill Number.....	55
By Chapter Number.....	57

<u>Governor's Veto Messages</u> .....	59
---------------------------------------	----

# INDEX OF 2011-2012 BILLS BY PROGRAM AREA

## Health Benefits: Administration

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 1742	Pan	Health Care Coverage: Payment of Benefits	Failed
SB 1196	Hernandez	Health Care Coverage: Transparency	Enacted

## Health Benefits: Contracts

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 276	Alejo	Central Coast Hospital Authority	Enacted

## Health Benefits: General and Statewide

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 52	Feuer	Health Care Coverage: Rate Approval	Failed
AB 2053	Allen	Post-Employment Health Benefits: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	Vetoed
SB 703	Hernandez	Health Care Coverage: Basic Health Program	Failed
SB 751	Gaines	Health Care Coverage: Transparency	Enacted
SB 924	Price	Physical Therapists: Direct Access To Services and Professional Corporations	Failed
SB 1141	Walters	Post-Employment Health Care Benefits: Collective Bargaining	Failed
SB 1142	Walters	Post-Employment Health Care Benefits: Full Funding	Failed
SB 1143	Walters	Post-Employment Benefit Modification	Failed
SB 1285	Hernandez	Hospital Emergency Services and Care	Failed

## Health Benefits: Healthcare Reform

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 36	Perea	State Tax Conformity for Dependent Care Coverage up to Age 26	Enacted
AB 1453	Monning	Essential Health Benefits	Enacted
SB 810	Leno	Single-Payer Health Care Coverage	Failed
SB 951	Hernandez	Health Care Coverage: Essential Health Benefits	Enacted
SB 1321	Harman	Essential Health Benefits	Failed

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

## Health Benefits: Long-Term care

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 999	Yamada	Long-Term Care Insurance	Enacted

## Health Benefits: Mandated Coverage

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 72	Eng	Health Care Coverage: Acupuncture	Failed
AB 154	Beall	Health Care Coverage: Mental Health Services	Failed
AB 171	Beall	Health Care Coverage: Pervasive Developmental Disorder or Autism	Failed
AB 428	Portantino	Health Care Coverage: Fertility Preservation	Failed
AB 1641	Lowenthal	Health Care Coverage: Durable Medical Equipment	Failed
AB 1738	Huffman	Health Care Coverage: Tobacco Cessation	Failed
SB 136	Yee	Health Care Coverage: Tobacco Cessation	Amended*
SB 166	Steinberg	Health Care Coverage: Autism Spectrum Disorder	Failed
SB 253	Alquist	Brain Injury Treatment	Failed
SB 770	Steinberg	Health Care Coverage: Developmental Disorder and Autism	Failed
SB 946	Steinberg	Health Care Coverage: Pervasive Developmental Disorder or Autism	Enacted

## Health Benefits: PEMHCA

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 2142	Furutani	CalPERS Wellness and Risk Adjustment Programs	Enacted
SB 1294	Berryhill	Mariposa County: Employer Contribution for Retiree Health Benefits	Enacted

## Health Benefits: Prescription Drugs

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 310	Ma	Prescription Drugs: Out-Of-Pocket Cap	Failed
AB 369	Huffman	Health Care Coverage: Prescription Drugs	Vetoed
AB 1800	Ma	Health Care Coverage: Out-of-Pocket Limit	Failed
SB 1195	Price	Pharmacy Benefit Audit Procedures	Enacted
SB 1301	Hernandez	Prescription Drugs: 90-Day Supply	Enacted

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

**Investments**  
(Including Corporate Governance and Home Loans)

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 17	Davis	Emerging Investment Managers and Brokerage Firms	Amended*
AB 1042	Allen	Compensation of Key Employees	Enacted
AB 1151	Feuer	Fiduciary Determinations for Iran Divestment	Enacted
AB 1255	V.M. Perez	Investments: Corporate Board Candidate Registry Information	Amended*
AB 1379	Bradford	Reports on California and Emerging Domestic Market Investments	Vetoed
SB 294	Price	Emerging Investment Managers: Five Year Plan	Enacted
SB 903	Anderson	Fiduciary Determinations for Iran Divestment	Failed
SB 955	Pavley	Public Retirement Systems: In-State Infrastructure Projects	Enacted
SB 1208	Leno	Publicly Traded Corporations: Compensation Disclosure	Failed
SB 1234	De Leon	California Secure Choice Retirement Savings Trust Act	Enacted

**Memoranda of Understanding (MOU)**

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 2140	Lara	State Bargaining Unit 5 Retirement Contribution Rates	Enacted
SB 151	Correa	State Employees: Memoranda of Understanding	Enacted

**Other Systems: Local Governments**

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 329	Dickinson	Sacramento County: Lower Retirement Tier for New Safety Employees	Enacted
AB 1212	Mansoor	County Employees Retirement: South Coast Air Management District	Failed
AB 1248	Hueso	Local Public Employees Retirement: City of San Diego	Enacted
AB 1519	Wieckowski	County Employees Retirement: Boards	Enacted
AB 1542	Mansoor	County Employees Retirement: Cost-Of-Living Adjustments	Failed
AB 1815	Harkey	Retirement: Orange County Board of Supervisors	Failed
AB 1885	Bonilla	County Employees Retirement: Reciprocal Benefits	Vetoed
AB 2664	PER&SS Committee	County Employees Retirement: Electronic Signature	Enacted

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

SB 203	Correa	County Employees Retirement: Boards	Enacted
SB 681	Runner	County Employees Retirement: Alternate Retirement Plans	Failed
SB 1231	Walters	Orange County Employees Retirement Association: STAR COLA	Failed
SB 1232	Walters	Orange County Employees Retirement Association: Retiree COLA	Failed

### Other Systems: STRS

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 178	Gorell	CalSTRS Post-Retirement Employment	Enacted
AB 1101	Eng	Teachers' Retirement Board: Members	Vetoed
AB 2275	Achadjian	State Teachers' Retirement: Post-Retirement Earnings Limits	Failed
SB 114	Yee	Teacher Retirement: Part-Time Community College Instructors	Enacted
SB 349	Negrete McLeod	CalSTRS Omnibus Bill	Enacted

### Retirement: Administration

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 782	Brownley	Recovery of Additional Audit Expenses	Enacted
AB 870	Grove	Hybrid Retirement Plans for New CalPERS Members	Failed
AB 873	Furutani	Post-Separation Employment for State Retirement System Board and Staff	Enacted
AB 1247	Fletcher	State Employee Retirement Plans: Financial and Investment Reporting Requirements	Enacted
AB 1320	Allen	Employer Rate Stabilization Accounts	Amended*
SB 46	Correa	Compensation Disclosure for Public Officials	Failed
SB 524	Walters	Public Employees' Retirement: Reinstatement	Failed
SB 689	Harman	Retirement System Reporting Requirements	Failed

### Retirement: Benefits

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 89	Hill	Compensation Limit for Retirement Calculating	Amended*
AB 344	Furutani	Final Compensation and Post Retirement Employment	Amended*
AB 617	Davis	Retired School Member Death Benefits	Failed

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

AB 875	Donnelly	Final Compensation	Failed
AB 961	Mansoor	Public Employee Organizations: Negotiations: Pension Benefits	Failed
AB 1203	Mendoza	Public Employee Organization Members: Paid Leave of Absence	Enacted
AB 1433	Fuentes	Elected Official Retirement Benefit Forfeiture	Failed
AB 1633	Wagnor	Retirement Benefit Limits for New Public Employees	Failed
AB 1639	Hill	Retirement: Employer Contribution Limits	Failed
AB 1653	Cook	Benefit Forfeiture: At-Will Employees	Failed
AB 1861	Olsen	Benefit Forfeiture: Teachers and School Employees	Failed
AB 2115	Alejo	Annual Pension Limit	Vetoed
AB 2224	Smyth	Pension Reform	Failed
AB 2606	Mendoza	School Member Post-Retirement Death Benefits	Failed
SB 115	Strickland	Public Employee Retirement Benefit Forfeiture	Failed
SB 321	Negrete McLeod	State Employees: Ratification of MOUs	Failed
SB 322	Negrete McLeod	Benefit Limits for Members Employed by Multiple CalPERS Employers	Enacted
SB 520	Walters	Hybrid Retirement Plans for New CalPERS Members	Failed
SB 521	Walters	Post-Employment Health Care Benefits	Failed
SB 525	Walter	Minimum Retirement Age	Failed
SB 526	Walters	Final Compensation	Failed
SJR 21	Kehoe	Federal Tax Exemptions: Retirement Funds	Failed
SJR 30	Hancock	Social Security: Retirement Benefits: Public Employees	Enacted

## Retirement: Contracting

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 1184	Gatto	Contracting Agency Liability for Excessive Compensation and Closure of the Replacement Benefits Plan for New Employees	Failed
AB 2416	Mansoor	Public Retirement Systems Reserve Accounts	Failed
ABX1 26	Blumenfield	Budget Act of 2011: Redevelopment Agencies	Enacted
ABX1 27	Blumenfield	Budget Act of 2011: Redevelopment Agencies	Enacted
SB 527	Walters	Limit Collective Bargaining For Pension Benefits	Failed

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

## Retirement: General

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 1023	Wagner	Annual Code Maintenance	Enacted
AB 1028	PER&SS Commtee	CalPERS Omnibus Bill	Enacted
AB 1649	Smyth	Benefit Forfeiture: Public Employees	Failed
AB 1874	Mansoor	Closure of the Legislators' Retirement System to New Members	Failed
ACA 22	Smyth	Pension Reform	Failed
ACA 26	Smyth	Public Pension: Felony Forfeiture	Failed
SB 27	Simitian	Pension Spiking and Return to Work Limits	Failed
SB 350	Negrete McLeod	1959 Survivor Benefit-Public Agency Pools	Vetoed
SB 820	Walters	CalPERS Employer Contribution Rates: Calculation and Reporting	Failed
SB 987	Negrete McLeod	CalPERS Omnibus Bill	Enacted
SB 1057	Huff	Benefit Forfeiture: School Employees	Failed
SB 1176	Huff	Pension Reform	Failed
SCA 18	Huff	Pension Reform	Failed

## Retirement: JRS/LRS

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
SB 503	Vargas	Judges' Retirement System II Service Credit Purchases	Vetoed

## Retirement: Membership (Including Classifications)

Bill No.	Author	Topic	Status
AB 666	Jefferies	Special Death Benefit: Riverside County	Failed
AB 738	Hagman	Pension Ban for Part-time Elected Officials	Failed
AB 769	Hueso	Pension Ban for Appointed State Board and Commission Members	Failed
AB 933	Allen	State Hospital Miscellaneous Member Benefits	Failed
AB 1819	Ammiano	Charter School Participation in CalPERS	Failed
AB 2428	Hagman	CalPERS Membership Ban for Local Elected Officials	Failed
AB 2429	Hagman	Pension and Benefits Ban for Part-time Local Elected Officials	Failed

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

AB 2437	Jefferies	Special Death Benefit: Riverside County	Failed
AB 2495	Grove	Public employees: Fair Share Payments	Failed
SB 523	Walters	Pension Ban for Local Elected Officials	Failed

### **Retirement: Pension Reform**

<b>Bill No.</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Status</b>
AB 197	Buchanan	California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013: Cleanup	Enacted
AB 340	Furutani	California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013	Enacted
SB 827	Simitian	Public Employees' Retirement Reform	Failed
SCA 13	Cannella	Pension Reform	Failed

### **Retirement: Service Credit**

<b>Bill No.</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Status</b>
SB 522	Walters	Prohibition on Specified Nonqualified Service Credit Purchases	Failed

### **Retirement: Special Compensation**

<b>Bill No.</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Status</b>
SB 807	Correa	State Employee Compensation Earnable	Amended*

### **State Administration (Not limited to CalPERS)**

<b>Bill No.</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Status</b>
AB 7	Portantino	State Employees: Executive Salary Freeze	Failed
AB 92	Blumenfield	2011-12 Budget Act	Failed
AB 1458	Huber	Joint Legislative Retirement Committee	Amended*
AB 1463	Blumenfield	2012-13 Budget Act	Failed
AB 1787	Portantino	State Employment Salary Freeze	Failed
AB 1914	Garrick	State and Local Agency Reports	Failed
AB 2381	R. Hernandez	Judicial Council Employer-Employee Relations	Failed
ABX1 1	Portantino	State Employees: Executive Salary Freeze	Failed
SB 68	Leno	2011-12 Budget Act	Failed

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

SB 69	Leno	2011-12 Budget Act	Vetoed
SB 71	Leno	Elimination of Legislative Mandated Reports	Enacted
SB 78	Budget and Fiscal Review Committee	Budget Trailer Bill: Administration of Justice	Enacted
SB 80	Budget and Fiscal Review Committee	Budget Trailer Bill: State Government	Enacted
SB 87	Leno	2011-12 Budget Act	Enacted
SB 153	Strickland	State Boards: Salaries	Failed
SB 252	Vargas	State Employees: Collective Bargaining	Failed
SB 447	DeSauliner	State Agencies Communications: Social Security Numbers	Vetoed
SB 528	Walters	CalPERS Board of Administration: Gubernatorial Appointment of Members	Failed
SB 957	Leno	2012-13 Budget Act	Failed
SB 1002	Yee	Public Records: Electronic Format	Amended*
SB 1006	Budget and Fiscal Review Committee	Budget Trailer Bill : State Government	Enacted
SB 1021	Budget and Fiscal Review Committee	Budget Trailer Bill : Public Safety	Enacted
SB 1226	Gaines	Professional Fiduciaries	Enacted
SB 1308	PER Committee	State Department of Human Resources	Enacted
SB 1309	Negrete McLeod	State Department of Human Resources	Enacted
SB 1368	Anderson	State Officer and Employee Salary Limit	Failed

### **State Administration: Political Reform**

<b>Bill No.</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Status</b>
SB 398	Hernandez	Investments: External Managers	Enacted
SB 439	Negrete McLeod	CalPERS and CalSTRS Gift Limits	Vetoed

\*Later version(s) of this bill do not include this subject matter or amendments no longer apply.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 7</b> Portantino  <b>5/27/2011-                      Failed Passage</b>	Oppose Unless Amended	<b>State Employees: Executive Salary Freeze</b>  Would have prohibited State employees whose base salaries are greater than \$150,000 per year from receiving a salary increase while employed in the same position or classification. It also would have exempted persons whose compensation is governed by an operative memorandum of understanding, and persons who have been exempted by executive order of the Governor or whose salaries are set pursuant to the California Constitution. This prohibition would have remained in effect until January 1, 2014.
<b>AB 17</b> Davis  <b>1/13/2012-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      January 13,                      2012.</b>		<b>Emerging Investment Managers and Brokerage Firms</b>  Would have required the CalPERS Board of Administration to annually report to the Legislature on the ethnicity and gender of its external investment managers and external firms that provide brokerage services. It also would have required the Board to develop and include in the report plans and strategies to increase the participation of emerging investment managers and emerging brokerage firms.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on January 13, 2012.</b>
<b>AB 36</b> Perea  <b>4/7/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 17,                      Statutes of 2011</b>	Support	<b>State Tax Conformity for Dependent Care Coverage up to Age 26</b>  Conforms to federal tax law by excluding from an employee's gross income for State personal income tax purposes, the value of employer-provided health coverage for a child who, at the end of the taxable year, has not attained age 27. It also allows parents to exclude from their gross income, any reimbursements for medical expenses made under a flexible spending arrangement. The bill takes effect immediately as a tax levy.
<b>AB 52</b> Feuer  <b>9/1/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>	Oppose Unless Amended	<b>Health Care Coverage: Rate Approval</b>  Would have provided the Department of Managed Health Care (DMHC) and the Department of Insurance (CDI) with regulatory authority to approve, deny, or modify excessive rate change requests by health plans and insurers. It would have required plans and insurers to submit explanatory information and allowed the departments to hold public hearings and receive public comments on proposed rate changes. The bill also would have prohibited insurers from implementing rate changes on any product more than once a year.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 72</b> Eng  <b>1/19/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Acupuncture</b>  Would have required every health care service plan, except a plan that enters exclusively into specialized health care service plan contracts, and every disability insurer issuing policies on a group wide basis, to provide acupuncture coverage.
<b>AB 89</b> Hill  <b>10/2/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 390, Statutes of 2011</b>	Support	<b>Compensation Limit for Retirement Calculating</b>  Would have required all public retirement systems in California to adhere to the federal compensation limit under Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a)(17) when calculating retirement benefits for members who first join the retirement system on or after January 1, 2012. It also would have prohibited a public employer from making contributions to any qualified public retirement plan based on any portion of compensation that exceeds that limit.  As chaptered, this bill allows the County of San Mateo to implement lower retirement tiers for safety employees represented by the Deputy Sheriffs Association, the Organization of Sheriff Sergeants, and the Probation and Detention Association under the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937. This bill takes effect immediately as an urgency statute.  <b>The compensation limit content was removed from the bill on September 2, 2011.</b>
<b>AB 92</b> Blumenfield  <b>2/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>2011-12 Budget Act</b>  Would have made appropriations for support of State government for the 2011-12 fiscal year. As a budget bill, it would have taken effect immediately.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 154</b> Beall  <b>6/27/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Mental Health Services</b>  Would have expanded coverage for certain health plans and health insurance policies which are issued, amended, or renewed on or after January 1, 2013, to include the diagnosis and treatment of mental illness as defined in the "Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV" (including substance abuse but excluding nicotine dependence and other specified diagnoses). This requirement would not have applied to CalPERS health plans, contracts, or health insurance policies unless the Board elected to purchase a plan/contract/policy providing mental health coverage.
<b>AB 171</b> Beall  <b>6/26/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Pervasive Developmental Disorder or Autism</b>  Would have required health care service plan contracts and health insurance policies to provide coverage for the screening, diagnosis, and treatment, other than behavioral health treatment, of pervasive developmental disorder or autism.
<b>AB 178</b> Gorell  <b>7/17/2012-</b> <b>Chaptered by</b> <b>the Secretary of</b> <b>State, Chapter</b> <b>Number 135,</b> <b>Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>CalSTRS Post-Retirement Employment</b>  Changes and clarifies conditions under which retired members of CalSTRS can return to employment without being subject to the earnings limitation.
<b>AB 197</b> Buchanan  <b>9/12/2012-</b> <b>Chaptered by</b> <b>the Secretary of</b> <b>State, Chapter</b> <b>Number 297,</b> <b>Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013: Cleanup</b>  Clarifies two sections of the conference report creating the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), AB 340 (Furutani).

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 276</b> Alejo  <b>9/28/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 686, Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Central Coast Hospital Authority</b>  Allows Monterey County to establish the Central Coast Hospital Authority (Authority) to assume management of the Natividad Medical Center (NMC), a hospital under the management and control of Monterey County, and requires the Monterey County Board of Supervisors to reach an agreement with at least one other health care facility to merge into, or affiliate with, the Authority. Among other things, it requires the Authority to become a contracting agency of CalPERS in order to maintain retirement benefits for NMC employees who are currently members of the System.
<b>AB 310</b> Ma  <b>5/11/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Prescription Drugs: Out-Of-Pocket Cap</b>  Would have prohibited health plan contracts and health insurance policies that cover outpatient prescription drugs from requiring coinsurance for outpatient prescription drug benefits. It would have limited co-payments for an individual prescription drug to \$150 for a one-month supply of a prescription. The bill also would have required, if a health plan contract or health insurance policy provides for a limit on the annual out-of-pocket expenses for an enrollee or insured, the enrollee's or insured's out-of-pocket costs of covered prescription drugs to be included in that limit.
<b>AB 329</b> Dickinson  <b>6/13/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 26, Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>Sacramento County: Lower Retirement Tier for New Safety Employees</b>  Allows the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors to adopt a resolution to implement a memorandum of understanding mutually agreed upon with bargaining units representing public safety employees that would require new safety employees, first hired after the approval of the resolution, to be subject to a retirement formula based on 3% at age 55; use the 3-year highest years compensation average to calculate retirements and provide retiree cost-of-living adjustments of up to a 2% annually; clarify that the employees covered by this statute include both newly hired represented and newly hired nonrepresented employees; and provide that the resolution could provide a different formula or retirement calculation for new safety members in one bargaining unit than what is provided to safety members in other bargaining units. This bill takes effect immediately as an urgency statute.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 340</b> Furutani  <b>9/12/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 296,                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013</b>  Establishes the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) to change public employee retirement benefits for the State and most local government employees and employers. For public employees hired on and after January 1, 2013, it provides reduced defined benefit pension formulas with increased normal retirement ages, establishes a new definition of pensionable compensation, imposes a three-year final compensation period, caps pension benefits and requires equal sharing of the normal cost of pension benefits. For existing and future employees, the bill prohibits retroactive benefit increases, imposes benefit forfeiture for employees convicted of job-related felonies, prohibits nonqualified service credit purchases, and requires strict adherence to federal contribution and benefit limits for public pensions. In addition, the bill closes the Legislators' Retirement System (LRS) to new members, requires equal retiree health benefit vesting periods for non-represented and represented employees, prohibits employer pension contribution holidays, and imposes limitations on public employment for retired annuitants. The bill also exempts charter cities and charter counties that operate their own public retirement systems, as well as the University of California, from the provisions of the PEPRA.
<b>AB 344</b> Furutani  <b>8/24/2012-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      August 24, 2012</b>	Support	<b>Final Compensation and Post Retirement Employment</b>  Would have prohibited exceptions for State, local, and school members not in a group or class that allows recognition of increases in compensation earnable beyond increases reported for all employees in the same membership classification. This bill also would have prohibited a retired annuitant from serving on a temporary or emergency basis without reinstatement beyond the 960 hour per year limit.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on August 24, 2012.</b>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 369</b> Huffman  <b>9/30/2012- Vetoed by the Governor</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Prescription Drugs</b>  Would have imposed specified requirements on health care service plans or health insurers that restrict medications for the treatment of pain pursuant to step therapy or fail first protocol. It also would have authorized the duration of any step therapy or fail first protocol to be determined by the prescribing provider and would prohibit a health care service plan or health insurer from requiring that a patient try and fail on more than two pain medications before allowing the patient access to other pain medication prescribed by the prescribing provider, as specified.  <i>See veto message on page 59.</i>
<b>AB 428</b> Portantino  <b>1/19/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Fertility Preservation</b>  Would have required health insurance companies to provide fertility preservation coverage for patients undergoing treatments known to cause infertility.
<b>AB 617</b> Davis  <b>5/4/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Retired School Member Death Benefits</b>  Would have increased the retired school member lump sum death benefit from \$2,000 to \$6,163.
<b>AB 666</b> Jeffries  <b>7/6/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Special Death Benefit: Riverside County</b>  Would have authorized the Special Death Benefit to be payable to the qualifying survivor of a Riverside County Sheriff's Department Correctional Deputy or a Correctional Corporal, if the member's death occurred as a direct result of the performance of his or her official duties.
<b>AB 738</b> Hagman  <b>1/10/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Pension Ban for Part-time Elected Officials</b>  Would have prohibited a person who is elected to public office that is less than full-time, as defined, on or after January 1, 2013, from becoming a member of a retirement system by virtue of that service or acquiring any retirement right or benefit for serving in that elective office. This prohibition would not have applied to an elected official who obtained membership by virtue of holding office prior to January 2013, and remained in, or was reelected to that office.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 769</b> Hueso  <b>5/4/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Pension Ban for Appointed State Board and Commission Members</b>  Would have prohibited a person who is appointed to any State board or commission, on or after January 1, 2012, from becoming a member of CalPERS or CalSTRS, earning service credit by virtue of that service, or acquiring any other retirement right or benefit for serving on that State board or commission. This prohibition would not have applied to a State board or commission member that obtained membership in CalPERS or CalSTRS by virtue of their service prior to January 2012, and remained in, or was reappointed to that position.
<b>AB 782</b> Brownley  <b>7/25/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 107, Statutes of 2011</b>	Sponsor	<b>Recovery of Additional Audit Expenses</b>  Allows CalPERS to recover its additional administrative expenses when the time necessary to complete an employer audit exceeds estimates provided to the employer.
<b>AB 870</b> Grove  <b>5/4/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Hybrid Retirement Plans for New CalPERS Members</b>  Would have required CalPERS to establish a hybrid retirement plan for public employees who become members on or after January 1, 2012. The hybrid plan would have been required to provide a defined contribution plan and defined benefit plan for retirement for service and a defined benefit for retirement for disability or death. It also would have prohibited those plans from creating a vested property right for the member with respect to any employer contributions before retirement.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 873</b> Furutani  <b>10/7/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 551, Statutes of 2011</b>	Support	<b>Post-Separation Employment for State Retirement System Board and Staff</b>  Prohibits CalPERS and CalSTRS Board Members, executive employees, and senior managers in Health and Information Technology (IT) from representing another entity before CalPERS or CalSTRS to influence specified actions for a period of four years after leaving service. The bill also prohibits those individuals from aiding, advising, consulting with, or assisting a business entity, for a period of two years after leaving service, in obtaining the award of, or in negotiating, a contract or contract amendment with CalPERS or CalSTRS. In addition, the bill prohibits those individuals from accepting compensation for providing services as a placement agent, for a period of ten years after leaving service.
<b>AB 875</b> Donnelly  <b>5/4/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Final Compensation</b>  Would have prohibited public employees first hired on and after January 1, 2012, from using credit for accrued leave or overtime for purposes of determining final compensation.
<b>AB 933</b> Allen  <b>5/27/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>State Hospital Miscellaneous Member Benefits</b>  Would have extended industrial death or disability benefits to State miscellaneous members employed by a State hospital, if the member's death or disability resulted from an injury on or after January 1, 2012, and was a direct consequence of a violent act by a patient or client of a State hospital where more than 50 percent of the patients or clients have been committed by a court if at the time of injury, and other specified conditions are met.
<b>AB 961</b> Mansoor  <b>5/4/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Public Employee Organizations: Negotiations: Pension Benefits</b>  Would have excluded matters relating to pension benefits from the scope of public employee collective bargaining.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 999</b> Yamada  <b>9/27/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 627,                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Long-term Care Insurance</b>  Modifies how rate development is executed for long-term care (LTC) insurance by the Insurance Commissioner and allows consumers to review a policy's language before purchasing a LTC insurance policy.
<b>AB 1023</b> Wagner  <b>9/21/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 296,                      Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>Annual Code Maintenance</b>  Makes technical, nonsubstantive changes in the California codes, as recommended by the Legislative Counsel's Office.
<b>AB 1028</b> Committee on Public Employees, Retirement and Social Security  <b>10/3/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 440,                      Statutes of 2011</b>	Sponsor	<b>CalPERS Omnibus Bill</b>  Makes minor policy and technical changes to the Public Employees' Retirement Law.
<b>AB 1042</b> Allen  <b>10/9/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 688,                      Statutes of 2011</b>	Sponsor	<b>Compensation of Key Employees</b>  Adds the chief financial officer to the list of key positions under which the CalPERS Board of Administration has authority to set compensation and terms and conditions of employment, including performance standards and more stringent conflict of interest requirements.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1101</b> Eng  <b>9/29/2012- Vetoed</b>		<b>Teachers' Retirement Board: Members</b>  Would have replaced the Governor-appointed retiree representative on the Teachers' Retirement Board with a representative elected by the retirees of CalSTRS.  <i>See veto message on page 60.</i>
<b>AB 1151</b> Feuer  <b>10/3/2011- Chapered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 441, Statutes of 2011</b>	Oppose	<b>Fiduciary Determinations for Iran Divestment</b>  Imposes additional procedural requirements if either CalPERS or CalSTRS invokes fiduciary responsibilities (described in Section 17 of Article XVI of the California Constitution) as the reason to continue investments in companies with specified dealings in Iran's energy sector. These new requirements include holding hearings and adopting findings on a quarterly basis that demonstrate how divestment disadvantages Fund beneficiaries, indicating that any feasible investment alternatives would yield a lower rate of return with commensurate degrees of risk, or create a higher degree of risk with commensurate rates of return.
<b>AB 1184</b> Gatto  <b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>	Neutral	<b>Contracting Agency Liability for Excessive Compensation and Closure of the Replacement Benefits Plan for New Employees</b>  Would have required CalPERS to develop guidelines and implement program changes to ensure that a contracting agency that creates a significant increase in actuarial liability for another contracting agency or agencies due to increased compensation it has paid to a nonrepresented employee will bear the associated liability, regardless of when the increase in compensation occurred. It also would have expressed legislative intent in this regard and required CalPERS to report to the Legislature on its implementation efforts. In addition, the bill would have prohibited the CalPERS Board from administering an Internal Revenue Code Section 415 Replacement Benefits Plan for a person who first becomes a CalPERS member on or after January 1, 2013.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1203</b> Mendoza  <b>9/30/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 804, Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Public Employee Organization Members: Paid Leave of Absence</b>  Requires school and community college districts to grant leaves of absence to eligible unelected classified school employees to attend member organization activities. It also requires these organizations to reimburse the employer for the associated salary and benefit costs, including pensions.
<b>AB 1212</b> Mansoor  <b>2/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: South Coast Air Management District</b>  Would have allowed the South Coast Air Management District to provide, by resolution, contract, or contract amendment, a 2% at age 61 retirement benefit formula for new employees and specified that these retirement benefits cannot be provided unless agreed to in a memorandum of understanding made under the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act.
<b>AB 1247</b> Fletcher  <b>10/9/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 733, Statutes of 2011</b>	Support, with Suggested Amendments	<b>State Employee Retirement Plans: Financial and Investment Reporting Requirements</b>  Modifies CalPERS reporting requirements that were enacted as part of the 2010-11 Budget package to instead require CalPERS to produce an annual report describing the investment return assumptions, discount rates, and amortization periods used to calculate contribution rates for State employee retirement plans. The bill deletes the requirement that the Treasurer express his or her opinion of the reasonableness of the Board's calculation of the contribution rates, and instead requires the Chair of the California Actuarial Advisory Panel (CAAP), or a designee to report on CalPERS investment return assumptions and amortization periods used in the calculation of contribution rates at a joint hearing of the Senate and Assembly committees on public retirement. It also deletes the requirement of the Board to provide the Legislature with a revised calculation of the forecasted contribution rates utilizing a specified investment rate assumption.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1248</b> Hueso  <b>9/30/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 853,                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Local Public Employees Retirement: City of San Diego</b>  Requires the City of San Diego to provide social security coverage to all its employees not covered under a defined benefit retirement plan. This requirement does not apply to employees participating in specified defined contribution plans in place on or before July 1, 2012.
<b>AB 1255</b> V. Manuel Pérez  <b>8/23/2012-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      August 23, 2012</b>	Support	<b>Investments: Corporate Board Candidate Registry Information</b>  Would have required the Secretary of State to post, on the next regular Internet website update after January 1, 2012, a link to the Internet Web pages of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, the California State Teachers' Retirement System, or both, that provide information on registries of potential qualified corporate board candidates.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on August 23, 2012.</b>
<b>AB 1320</b> Allen  <b>6/11/2012-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      June 11, 2012</b>	Oppose Unless Amended	<b>Employer Rate Stabilization Accounts</b>  Would have established the Employer Rate Stabilization Fund administered by the CalPERS Board of Administration for the purpose of receiving employer payments made to stabilize State and contracting agency employer retirement contributions.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on June 11, 2012.</b>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1379</b> Bradford  <b>10/5/2011-</b> <b>Vetoed by the</b> <b>Governor</b>	Neutral	<b>Reports on California and Emerging Domestic Market Investments</b>  Would have required State and local pension systems with assets over \$4,000,000,000 to report to the State Controller on California investments and California emerging market investments, as defined, obtained on and after July 1, 2012, and held in their respective portfolios. It also would have required, for the 2011–12 and 2012–13 fiscal years, CalPERS and CalSTRS to share information regarding streamlined and cost-effective methods for identifying investments within their portfolios that meet the definitions of California investment and California emerging market investment. The bill would have authorized the Controller to compile and publish specified investment information supplied by state and local pension systems on the Controller’s Internet Web site.  <i>See veto message on page 61.</i>
<b>AB 1433</b> Fuentes  <b>2/1/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Elected Official Retirement Benefit Forfeiture</b>  Would have required a public officer or employee who is convicted of specified felonies related to bribery, embezzlement, money laundering, and felonies associated with conduct arising directly out of his or her official duties to forfeit all rights and benefits under any public retirement system in which he or she is a member, effective on the date of conviction. Forfeiture would extend to all rights and benefits earned by the elected official during his or her career, and not just those earned after the enactment of the bill. It also would have required that any contributions made by the elected official to the public retirement system be returned without interest; and specifies that the rights of an innocent spouse or dependent shall not be abrogated.
<b>AB 1453</b> Monning  <b>9/30/2012-</b> <b>Chaptered by</b> <b>the Secretary of</b> <b>State, Chapter</b> <b>Number 854,</b> <b>Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Essential Health Benefits</b>  Establishes the Kaiser Small Group HMO 30 plan as the Essential Health Benefit benchmark plan for individual and small group health plan products licensed by the Department of Managed Health Care.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1458</b> Huber  <b>6/28/2012-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      June 28, 2012</b>		<b>Joint Legislative Retirement Committee</b>  Would have repealed provisions of law establishing the Joint Legislative Retirement Committee, which has not met for over 20 years.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on June 28, 2012.</b>
<b>AB 1463</b> Blumenfield  <b>9/1/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>		<b>2012-13 Budget Act</b>  Would have made appropriations for support of State government for the 2012-13 fiscal year. As a budget bill, it would have taken effect immediately.
<b>AB 1519</b> Wieckowski  <b>6/15/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 15                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: Boards</b>  Establishes educational requirements for retirement and investment board members serving on boards governing the 20 county retirement systems under the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937.
<b>AB 1542</b> Mansoor  <b>5/2/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: Cost-Of-Living Adjustments</b>  Would have prohibited a person who first becomes a member of the Orange County Employees Retirement System (OCERS) on or after January 1, 2012, from receiving the annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) until they have been retired at least 12 months, if adopted by the Orange County Board of Supervisors.
<b>AB 1633</b> Wagner  <b>4/26/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>		<b>Retirement Benefit Limits for New Public Employees</b>  Would have prohibited public employees first hired on or after January 1, 2013, from receiving benefits from a public retirement system in excess of \$100,000 annually, if the benefits are not coordinated with Social Security, or from receiving benefits in excess of \$80,000 annually, if they are coordinated with Social Security. These amounts would have been adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1639</b> Hill  <b>4/26/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Retirement: Employer Contribution Limits</b>  Among other things, would have required all public retirement systems in California to adhere to the federal compensation limit under Internal Revenue Code, Section 401(a)(17) when calculating retirement benefits for members who first join the retirement system on or after January 1, 2013. It also would have prohibited a public employer from making contributions to any qualified public retirement plan based on any portion of compensation that exceeds that limit.
<b>AB 1641</b> Lowenthal, B.  <b>4/27/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Durable Medical Equipment</b>  Would have required health care service plan contracts and health insurance policies to provide coverage for durable medical equipment.
<b>AB 1649</b> Smyth  <b>4/26/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Benefit Forfeiture: Public Employees</b>  Would have required current and future public employees and officials convicted of specified violent and serious felonies relating to their employment to forfeit all rights and benefits in a public retirement system from the earliest date of the commission of the crime, with the member contributions returned, without interest.
<b>AB 1653</b> Cook  <b>4/26/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Benefit Forfeiture: At-Will Employees</b>  Would have required any at-will employee of an elected public officer who is convicted of any felony involving public money, extortion or theft of public money, perjury, or conspiracy to commit any of those crimes arising directly out of his or her official duties, to forfeit all rights and benefits under, and membership in, any public retirement system in which he or she is a member, effective on the date of final conviction. It would have applied to any at-will employee providing services to an elected public officer who takes public office, or is reelected to public office, on or after January 1, 2013.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1738</b> Huffman  <b>4/23/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Tobacco Cessation</b>  Would have required health plan contracts and health insurance policies issued, amended, renewed, or delivered on or after January 1, 2013, to provide coverage for two courses of treatment in a 12-month period for tobacco cessation preventive services rated "A" or "B" by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, and prohibited health plans and insurers from charging a copayment, coinsurance, or deductible for those services. It also would have prohibited health plans and insurers from requiring enrollees or insureds to enter counseling in order to receive tobacco cessation medications, or from imposing prior authorization or stepped-care requirements for tobacco cessation treatment.
<b>AB 1742</b> Pan  <b>4/24/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Payment of Benefits</b>  Would have required group or individual health care service plans, except specialized health care service plans, as defined, to permit an enrollee's assignment of benefits for services rendered by a provider to be paid directly to the provider. It also would have required the provider to supply a plan with specified information in order to receive reimbursement.
<b>AB 1787</b> Portantino  <b>4/26/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>State Employment Salary Freeze</b>  Would have prohibited State employees whose annual base salary is over \$100,000 and whose terms and conditions of employment are not subject to collective bargaining from receiving a salary increase or a bonus until January 1, 2015, if they remained in their existing positions or job classifications. It also would have required a sum equal to the savings realized from the freeze to be credited annually to the General Fund and, upon appropriation by the Legislature, used to administer the AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) administered by the State Department of Public Health. Its provisions would not have applied to those State Officials whose salaries are set pursuant to the California Constitution.
<b>AB 1800</b> Ma  <b>8/16/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Out-of-Pocket Limit</b>  Would have required health plans and health insurers to provide an annual limit on subscriber out-of-pocket expenses for all covered benefits.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1815</b> Harkey  <b>4/24/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Retirement: Orange County Board of Supervisors</b>  Would have prohibited a person who is first publicly elected to, or appointed to fill the term of a person who is publicly elected or appointed to, the Orange County Board of Supervisors, on or after January 1, 2012, from becoming a member of that retirement system by virtue of that service, acquiring any retirement right or benefit for serving in that elected office, and would not have been credited with service for purposes of the retirement system by virtue of serving on the Orange County Board of Supervisors.
<b>AB 1819</b> Ammiano  <b>8/16/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>	Neutral	<b>Charter School Participation in CalPERS</b>  Would have required charter schools to provide retirement benefits to its eligible employees under CalPERS or CalSTRS.
<b>AB 1861</b> Olsen  <b>4/17/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Benefit Forfeiture: Teachers and School Employees</b>  Would have established a felony crime for a teacher or employee of a K-12 public or private school to engage in a sexual relationship or inappropriate communication with a student of any age attending that school. It also would have required any current or future teacher or employee of a K-12 public school convicted of that crime to forfeit all rights and benefits under, and membership in, any public retirement system in which he or she is a member, effective on the date of conviction, and that all member contributions be returned without interest.
<b>AB 1874</b> Mansoor  <b>4/26/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Closure of the Legislators' Retirement System to New Members</b>  Would have prohibited a legislative statutory officer, the Insurance Commissioner or other elective officer of the State elected by the voters or appointed by the Governor that is first elected or appointed to office on or after January 1, 2013, from becoming a member of the Legislators' Retirement System . It would have instead required all legislative statutory officers first elected on or after January 1, 2013 to become optional CalPERS members. It also would have excluded the Insurance Commissioner and other elective officers of the State from CalPERS membership.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 1885</b> Bonilla  <b>9/29/2012-                      Vetoed by the                      Governor</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: Reciprocal Benefits</b>  Would have extended the reemployment period for qualifying for reciprocity eligibility for laid off employees from six months to one year for all counties operating retirement systems under the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937.  <i>See veto message on page 62.</i>
<b>AB 1914</b> Garrick  <b>5/25/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>		<b>State and Local Agency Reports</b>  Would have required State and local agencies that are required in statute to submit reports to the Legislature, to furnish to the Secretary of the Senate, in printed form, and the Assembly Chief Clerk, in electronic form, on or before April 1 of each year, a list of any reports not yet submitted as of that date and a compliance plan for the submission of each overdue report. It also would have authorized the Legislature to withhold appropriations to any agency failing to submit their reports in a timely manner.
<b>AB 2053</b> Allen  <b>9/29/2012-                      Vetoed by the                      Governor</b>		<b>Post-Employment Health Benefits: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District</b>  Would have authorized the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District to make contributions for postretirement health benefits for all members of its governing body, its unrepresented employees, and for any unit of employees whose terms and conditions of employment are determined through collective bargaining, that are first hired on or after July 1, 2013, or a date specified in a bargaining agreement. It would have authorized a partial employer contribution for those new employees that perform at least 10 years of credited service with the District, required a full employer contribution for those employees that perform 15 years of service, and authorized employer contributions for employees that retire for disability with lesser years of service. It also would have prohibited different eligibility criteria for represented and non-represented employees.  <i>See veto message on page 63.</i>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 2115</b> Alejo  <b>9/13/2012- Vetoed by the Governor</b>		<b>Annual Pension Limit</b>  Would have limited the defined pension benefit paid by any State or local retirement system to a current or future member to \$173,987 per year.  <i>See veto message on page 64.</i>
<b>AB 2140</b> Lara  <b>9/7/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 249 Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>State Bargaining Unit 5 Retirement Contribution Rates</b>  Reduces CalPERS member contribution rates by three percent for State miscellaneous and industrial members of State Bargaining Unit 5.
<b>AB 2142</b> Furutani  <b>9/22/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 445, Statutes of 2012</b>	Sponsor	<b>CalPERS Wellness and Risk Adjustment Programs</b>  Authorizes CalPERS to implement risk adjustment procedures that adjust and redistribute payments across its health plans based on rules and regulations established by the CalPERS Board of Administration (Board). It also allows the Board to adjust premiums as part of health promotion and disease management programs. Finally, the bill makes changes to the Health Care Fund that are necessary to manage the risk adjustment program.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 2224</b> Smyth  <b>4/26/2012- Failed Passage</b>	<b>Pension Reform</b>	Would have made various statutory changes necessary to require all State and local government employers to offer public employees first hired on or after July 1, 2013, a hybrid pension plan that provides a combined defined benefit (DB) and defined contribution (DC) retirement benefit, or a specified alternative pension plan option. It also would have established a minimum normal retirement age for safety employees of 57 years with 30 years of service, and an early retirement age of 52, and for all other employees, establishes a minimum normal retirement age of 67 years with 35 years of service, and an early retirement age of 57. In addition, the bill would have prohibited additional retirement service credit purchases, would have prohibited retroactive pension benefit increases, would have imposed specified cost sharing requirements on both employees and employers, would have required annual normal costs to be paid in each fiscal year, would have prohibited employers from paying any portion of required employee contributions, would have imposed benefit forfeiture for job-related felony convictions, would have imposed limitations on the employment of retired annuitants, would have increased the retiree health benefit vesting period for State employees, would have required State retirees to pay a specified portion of retiree health benefits, and would have added two members to the CalPERS Board of Administration. These statutory changes would have become operative January 1, 2013, only if a companion constitutional amendment was approved by voters statewide on the November 2012 General Election ballot.
<b>AB 2275</b> Achadjian  <b>4/26/2012- Failed Passage</b>	<b>State Teachers' Retirement: Post-Retirement Earnings Limit</b>	Would have extended the sunset date of the existing CalSTRS post-retirement earnings limit exemptions from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2014, and expanded eligibility, where applicable, to members who retired on or before January 1, 2011.
<b>AB 2381</b> Hernandez, R.  <b>6/25/2012- Failed Passage</b>	<b>Judicial Council Employer-Employee Relations</b>	Would have established the Judicial Council Employer-Employee Relations article to cover Judicial Council employees, including employees of the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), so that AOC employees may organize and bargain with their employer consistent with most California employees.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 2416</b> Mansoor  <b>5/11/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Public Retirement Systems Reserve Accounts</b>  Would have required any public retirement system that does not maintain a reserve fund to create such a fund and deposit any excess funds, after payment of unfunded liabilities each fiscal year, in the reserve fund to be used against deficiencies in other fiscal years. It also would have prohibited funds in the reserve fund from being used for the payment of benefits.
<b>AB 2428</b> Hagman  <b>4/24/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>CalPERS Membership Ban for Local Elected Officials</b>  Would have prohibited a person elected to a local office of any kind, or a person who is appointed to fill an elected local office, on or after January 1, 2013, from becoming a CalPERS member or acquiring any retirement right or benefit under the System for serving in that office. This prohibition would not have applied to an elected local official who held office prior to January 1, 2013, and remained in, or was reelected to that office.
<b>AB 2429</b> Hagman  <b>4/26/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Pension and Benefits Ban for Part-time Local Elected Officials</b>  Except as required or permitted by the California Constitution, would have prohibited a person appointed or publicly elected to a local public office of any kind that is less than full time, as defined, on or after January 1, 2013, from becoming a member of any public retirement system by virtue of that service, from acquiring any retirement right or benefit, or from receiving health benefits or other specified benefits for serving in that elective office. This prohibition would not have applied to a local official who held office prior to January 1, 2013, and remained in, or was reappointed or reelected to that office.
<b>AB 2437</b> Jeffries  <b>4/24/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Special Death Benefit: Riverside County</b>  Would have authorized the Special Death Benefit to be payable to the qualifying survivor of a Riverside County Sheriff's Department Correctional Deputy or a Correctional Corporal, if the member's death occurred as a direct result of the performance of his or her official duties.
<b>AB 2495</b> Grove  <b>4/26/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Public Employees: Fair Share Payments</b>  Would have authorized a state employee subject to a fair share fee to elect to have a sum equal to the fair share fee paid to a retirement savings plan of their choosing instead of to the recognized employee organization.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>AB 2606</b> Mendoza  <b>5/25/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>School Member Post-Retirement Death Benefits</b>  Would have removed a school employer's ability to contract with CalPERS to provide enhanced retiree death benefits of \$3,000, \$4,000 or \$5,000. It also would have increased the benefit each year by \$500 until it reaches \$6,000, whereupon it would be adjusted annually in the same manner as retiree cost of living adjustment (COLA) payments.
<b>AB 2664</b> Committee on Public Employees, Retirement and Social Security  <b>7/9/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 59, Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: Electronic Signature</b>  Allows the retirement systems under the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937 („37 Act) to adopt regulations allowing for the use and acceptance of a member's electronic signature with the same force and effect as a signed, valid original document. This bill also authorizes the Los Angeles County Employees' Retirement Association (LACERA) to adjust retirement payments due to errors or omissions in the same manner as CalPERS. In addition, this bill establishes the County Retirement System Dental Care Program (Program) to provide dental care benefits to county and district retirees, their survivors, and eligible dependents of „37 Act retirement systems that elect to offer the Program. A retiree is responsible for the full cost of the dental care premium and counties electing to participate in the Program may contract with a third party administrator to provide the dental care. The bill specifies that the dental benefits may be revised or discontinued at any time.
<b>ABX1 1</b> Portantino  <b>9/12/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>State Employees: Executive Salary Freeze</b>  Would have prohibited State employees whose base salaries are greater than \$150,000 per year from receiving a salary increase while employed in the same position or classification. The bill would have exempted persons whose compensation is governed by an operative memorandum of understanding, who have been exempted by executive order of the Governor or whose salaries are set pursuant to the California Constitution. This prohibition would have remained in effect until January 1, 2014.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Summary</b>
<b>ABX1 26</b>	Blumenfield		<b>Budget Act of 2011: Redevelopment Agencies</b>
<b>6/29/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 5, Statutes of 2011-12 First Extraordinary Session.</b>			Makes statutory changes necessary to implement the portions of the 2011-2012 budget related to community redevelopment. It eliminates redevelopment agencies (RDAs) and specifies a process for the orderly wind-down of RDA activities. As a bill related to the budget, it takes effect immediately.
<b>ABX1 27</b>	Blumenfield		<b>Budget Act of 2011: Redevelopment Agencies</b>
<b>6/29/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 6, Statutes of 2011-12 First Extraordinary Session.</b>			Makes statutory changes necessary to implement the portions of the 2011-2012 budget related to community redevelopment. This bill creates an alternative voluntary redevelopment program. As a bill related to the budget, it takes effect immediately.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>ACA 22</b> Smyth  <b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>	<b>Pension Reform</b>	Would have amended the State Constitution, upon voter approval, to require all State and local government employers to offer public employees first hired on or after July 1, 2013, a hybrid pension plan that provides a combined defined benefit (DB) and defined contribution (DC) retirement benefit, or a specified alternative pension plan option. It also would have established a minimum normal retirement age for safety employees of 57 years with 30 years of service, and an early retirement age of 52, and for all other employees, establishes a minimum normal retirement age of 67 years with 35 years of service, and an early retirement age of 57. In addition, the bill would have prohibited additional retirement service credit purchases, would have prohibited retroactive pension benefit increases, would have imposed specified cost sharing requirements on both employees and employers, would have required annual normal costs to be paid in each fiscal year, would have prohibited employers from paying any portion of required employee contributions, would have imposed benefit forfeiture for job-related felony convictions, would have imposed limitations on the employment of retired annuitants, would have increased the retiree health benefit vesting period for State employees, would have required State retirees to pay a specified portion of retiree health benefits, and would have added two members to the CalPERS Board of Administration.
<b>ACA 26</b> Smyth  <b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>	<b>Public Pension: Felony Forfeiture</b>	Would have amended the State Constitution to require a public employee or official to forfeit retirement benefits if he or she is convicted of specified violent and serious felonies related to his or her public employment. The measure would have applied to current and future public employees, and required forfeiture of benefits from the earliest date of the commission of the felony for which the employee was convicted. It also would have required that this measure be approved by voters on a statewide ballot.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 27</b> Simitian  <b>8/17/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>	Support, with Suggested Amendments	<b>Pension Spiking and Return to Work Limits</b>  Among other things, would have provided, effective July 1, 2012, that any change in salary, compensation, or remuneration principally for the purpose of enhancing the benefits of a CalPERS or CalSTRS member would not be included in the calculation of the member's final compensation for purposes of determining their defined benefit. It also would have prohibited any CalPERS or CalSTRS member that retires on or after January 1, 2013, from performing services for any employer covered by their retirement system until the member has been separated from service for at least 180 days.
<b>SB 46</b> Correa  <b>9/1/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Compensation Disclosure for Public Officials</b>  Would have required State and local public officials, except for candidates for public office, to file annual compensation disclosure forms when filing their required Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests, detailing all forms of compensation and benefits received from their employing government agency, and required that agency to post the information on its Internet website, beginning January 1, 2013. It also would have required the State Controller's Office to adopt emergency regulations for the implementation of these requirements by March 1, 2013, including format of the compensation disclosure form, as specified, and to recommend methods for compiling the compensation information on publicly accessible databases to the Governor and Legislature by July 1, 2013. The provisions of this bill would have sunsetted on January 1, 2019.
<b>SB 68</b> Leno  <b>1/31/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>2011-12 Budget Act</b>  Would have made appropriations for support of State government for the 2011-12 fiscal year. As a budget bill, it would have taken effect immediately.
<b>SB 69</b> Leno  <b>6/16/2011-</b> <b>Vetoed by the Governor</b>		<b>2011-12 Budget Act</b>  Would have made appropriations for support of State government for the 2011-12 fiscal year. As a budget bill, it would have taken effect immediately.  <i>See veto message on page 65.</i>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 71</b> Leno  <b>9/28/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 728,                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Elimination of Legislative Mandated Reports</b>  Eliminates various state agency legislative mandated reports and modifies other reporting requirements. Specifically, it eliminates the requirement that CalPERS provide to the Legislature annually, a Retiree Cost of Living Report, Annual Report on New State Retirees, and a State Employers Industrial Disability Cost Report.
<b>SB 78</b> Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review  <b>3/24/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 10,                      Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>Budget Trailer Bill: Administration of Justice</b>  Among other things, grandfathers certain employees of the Office of the Inspector General of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation as peace officer/firefighter (PO/FF) members. As a bill related to the budget, it takes effect immediately.
<b>SB 80</b> Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review  <b>3/24/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 11,                      Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>Budget Trailer Bill: State Government</b>  Among other things, requires the CalPERS Board of Administration to negotiate with health benefit carriers to add a Core Health Plan Option to its existing portfolio of health plans, and/or implement measures to achieve ongoing cost savings beginning in the 2012-13 fiscal year. As a bill related to the budget, it takes effect immediately.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 87</b> Leno  <b>6/30/2011-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 33,                      Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>2011-12 Budget Act</b>  Makes appropriations for support of State government for the 2011-12 fiscal year. As a budget bill, it takes effect immediately.
<b>SB 114</b> Yee  <b>9/30/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 829,                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Teacher Retirement: Part-Time Community College Instructors</b>  Beginning July 1, 2013, requires community college districts to submit collective bargaining agreements or employment agreements for part-time instructors and adult education instructors to CalSTRS in order to facilitate the accurate reporting of creditable hours worked by CalSTRS covered employees working on a part-time basis.
<b>SB 115</b> Strickland  <b>5/2/2011-                      Failed Passage</b>		<b>Public Employee Retirement Benefit Forfeiture</b>  Would have required a public officer or employee who is convicted of any felony for conduct arising directly out of his or her official duties on or after January 1, 2013 to forfeit all rights and benefits under any public retirement system in which he or she is a member, effective on the date of conviction. Forfeiture would have been limited to only that portion of a public employees' rights and benefits that accrued on or after January 1, 2013.
<b>SB 136</b> Yee  <b>4/28/2011-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      April 28, 2011.</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Tobacco Cessation</b>  Would have required health plans to cover specified tobacco cessation treatments.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on April 28, 2011.</b>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 151</b> Correa  <b>5/16/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 25, Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>State Employees: Memoranda of Understanding</b>  Approves memoranda of understanding (MOU) between the State and Bargaining Units 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 13, and would approve addenda to memoranda of understanding between the State and Bargaining Units 1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21. Among other things, would increase the employee retirement contribution rates by five percent for State miscellaneous, State industrial, or State safety members who are represented by State Bargaining Unit 13, by three percent for State miscellaneous, State industrial, or State safety members who are represented by State Bargaining Unit 2, 6, 7, 9, or 10, by three percent for State peace officer/firefighter members who are represented by State Bargaining Unit 6, by two percent for State peace officer/firefighter members who are represented by Bargaining Unit 7, and reduce the employee retirement contribution rates by one percent for excluded State miscellaneous or State industrial members related to Bargaining Unit 2.
<b>SB 153</b> Strickland  <b>4/26/2011- Failed Passage</b>		<b>State Boards: Salaries</b>  Would have prohibited members appointed to the Agricultural Labor Relations Board, the California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board, the Public Employment Relations Board, and the State Water Resources Control Board from receiving a salary and authorized a member of these boards to receive a specified per diem payment (\$100 per day).
<b>SB 166</b> Steinberg  <b>1/6/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Autism Spectrum Disorders</b>  Would have exempted certain child-only health care service plan contracts administered by counties or other specified local entities from the requirement to provide coverage for behavioral health treatment for pervasive development disorder or autism. CalPERS was exempted from the bill.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 203</b> Correa  <b>7/26/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 124, Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: Boards</b>  Makes various changes to the process for filling vacancies on boards of retirement and boards of investment in counties operating retirement systems under the County Employees' Retirement Law of 1937.
<b>SB 252</b> Vargas  <b>7/3/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>State Employees: Collective Bargaining</b>  Would have required the Public Employment Relations Board to grant a petition from sworn peace officers in State Bargaining Unit (BU) 7, Protective Services and Public Safety, represented exclusively by the California Statewide Law Enforcement Association, as specified, to be in a bargaining unit composed solely of those employees.
<b>SB 253</b> Alquist  <b>1/6/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Brain Injury Treatment</b>  Would have prohibited health care service plan contracts and health insurance policies from denying coverage for medically necessary treatment of acquired brain injuries at any facilities that are properly licensed and accredited, as specified. It also would have prohibited denial of coverage because the treating facility was not in or near the home of the enrollee or insured.
<b>SB 294</b> Price  <b>10/9/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 701, Statutes of 2011</b>	Support	<b>Emerging Investment Managers: Five Year Plan</b>  Requires the Board of Administration of the Public Employees' Retirement System and the Teachers' Retirement Board to each provide a five year strategic plan for emerging investment manager's participation across all asset classes and define the term "emerging investment manager." The bill also requires each of the boards to submit an annual report to the Legislature, until January 1, 2018.
<b>SB 321</b> Negrete McLeod  <b>1/31/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>State Employees: Ratification of MOUs</b>  Among other things, would have provided legislative ratification for memoranda of understanding between the administration and State Bargaining Unit 2, California Attorneys, Administrative Law Judges and Hearing Officers in State Government.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<p><b>SB 322</b> Negrete McLeod</p> <p><b>7/5/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 47, Statutes of 2011</b></p>		<p><b>Benefit Limits for Members Employed by Multiple CalPERS Employers</b></p> <p>Clarifies that a member who receives benefits based on credited service with multiple employers cannot exceed the annual federal limit on retirement benefit payments pursuant to Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code.</p>
<p><b>SB 349</b> Negrete McLeod</p> <p><b>10/9/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 703, Statutes of 2011</b></p>		<p><b>CalSTRS Omnibus Bill</b></p> <p>Makes technical, clarifying and non-controversial changes to various sections of the Education Code administered by CalSTRS to improve and continue effective administration of that system.</p>
<p><b>SB 350</b> Negrete McLeod</p> <p><b>9/6/2011- Vetoed by the Governor</b></p>	<p>Sponsor</p>	<p><b>1959 Survivor Benefit-Public Agency Pools</b></p> <p>Would have merged the members and assets in the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd levels of the 1959 Survivor Benefit Program for Public Agencies into a single pool paying the 3rd level benefit. In addition, the \$2.00 required monthly employee premium would have been waived as long as the pool had surplus assets in excess of 200 percent of the total liabilities of the pool.</p> <p><i>See veto message on page 66.</i></p>
<p><b>SB 398</b> Hernandez</p> <p><b>10/9/2011- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 704, Statutes of 2011</b></p>	<p>Support</p>	<p><b>Investments: External Managers</b></p> <p>Clarifies definitions of "external manager" in the Political Reform Act and other parts of the Government Code with regard to external investment managers retained by public retirement systems.</p>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 439</b> Negrete McLeod  <b>10/7/2011-                      Vetoed by the                      Governor</b>	Support	<b>CalPERS and CalSTRS Gift Limits</b>  Would have prohibited all CalPERS and CalSTRS governing Board Members and designated employees from accepting gifts valued in excess of \$50 annually from any single individual or entity that has secured a contract with or submitted a contract proposal to CalPERS within the previous five years. It also would have established sanctions for vendors and contractors that make gifts in violation of this restriction on two separate occasions in a five year period from bidding on, and being awarded, any contract for a period of two years from the date of second penalty assessment made by the California Fair Political Practices Commission for commission of the violation.  <i>See veto message on page 67.</i>
<b>SB 447</b> DeSaulnier  <b>9/23/2012-                      Vetoed by the                      Governor</b>		<b>State Agencies Communications: Social Security Numbers</b>  Would have prohibited State agencies from sending any communication to any individual that contained more than the last four digits of the Social Security Number (SSN) of that individual, unless required by federal law, and unless contained in a sealed envelope.  <i>See veto message on page 68.</i>
<b>SB 503</b> Vargas  <b>10/9/2011-                      Vetoed by the                      Governor</b>	Neutral	<b>Judges' Retirement System II Service Credit Purchases</b>  Under the Judges' Retirement System II (JRS II), existing law allows a judge to elect to purchase, prior to retirement, all of the time served as a subordinate judicial officer (SJO) and pay the full employee and employer cost (based on an actuarial present value). This bill would have allowed a member of JRS II, to make, at the time of retirement, a one-time written election to purchase service credit, for any number of whole years of time served as a SJO.  <i>See veto message on page 69.</i>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 520</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Hybrid Retirement Plans for New CalPERS Members</b>  Would have required CalPERS to establish a hybrid retirement plan for public employees who become members on or after January 1, 2012. The hybrid plan would have been required to provide a defined contribution plan and defined benefit plan for retirement for service and a defined benefit for disability or death. It also would have prohibited those plans from creating a vested property right for the member with respect to any employer contributions before retirement.
<b>SB 521</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Post-Employment Health Care Benefits</b>  Would have required the Board to determine contributions necessary to ensure that postemployment health care benefits under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act are fully funded. It also would have required an employee first hired on or after January 1, 2012, and his or her employer to each pay 50% of those required contributions to the Annuitants' Health Care Coverage Fund.
<b>SB 522</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Prohibition on Specified Nonqualified Service Credit Purchases</b>  Would have repealed an existing provision of the Public Employees Retirement Law that allows vested members to purchase up to five years of additional retirement service credit. It also would have repealed similar provisions for members of CalSTRS and the retirement systems under the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937.
<b>SB 523</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Pension Ban for Local Elected Officials</b>  Would have prohibited a person elected to local public office, on or after January 1, 2012, from becoming a member of a retirement system by virtue of that service, or acquiring any retirement right or benefit for serving in that local elective office. This prohibition would not have applied to a local elected official that obtained membership by virtue of holding office prior to January 2012, and that remained in, or was reelected to, that local office.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 524</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Public Employees' Retirement: Reinstatement</b>  Would have prohibited public employee organizations from negotiating for the retroactive application of pension benefit increases. It also would have specified that any adjustments to the formula used to calculate retirement benefits that would yield an increase in benefits apply only to service performed after the operative date of the adjustment, and would have prohibited the retroactive application of that adjustment.
<b>SB 525</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Minimum Retirement Age</b>  Would have prohibited a State employee who becomes a CalPERS member on or after January 1, 2012, and who is not a State patrol member, State safety member, or State peace officer/firefighter member, from being eligible for service retirement prior to reaching age 55.
<b>SB 526</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Final Compensation</b>  Would have specified that for purposes of determining a defined benefit retirement for public employees first hired on or after January 1, 2012, final compensation means the highest annual average compensation earnable by the person during a consecutive 36 month period of membership in a public retirement system. It also would have prohibited the addition of compensation for accrued leave or overtime work in the calculation of final compensation.
<b>SB 527</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Limit Collective Bargaining For Pension Benefits</b>  Would have prohibited public employee organizations from negotiating for pension benefits with public employers, except for the amount of employee contributions to the pension plans.
<b>SB 528</b> Walters  <b>4/28/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>CalPERS Board of Administration: Gubernatorial Appointment of Members</b>  Subject to voter approval, would have replaced the six members of the CalPERS Board of Administration elected directly by CalPERS members, with six members appointed by the Governor. It also would have required a special election be held to determine the matter, and consolidated with a statewide election held in 2011.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 681</b> Runner  <b>1/31/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>County Employees Retirement: Alternate Retirement Plans</b>  Would have declared the intent of the Legislature to authorize San Bernardino County to establish alternate retirement plans or benefit levels for county employees, selectively applicable by groupings of employees, as specified. It also would have made related findings and declarations.
<b>SB 689</b> Harman  <b>5/2/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Retirement System Reporting Requirements</b>  Would have required CalPERS, CalSTRS, and the University of California, on or before July 1, 2012, each to establish and maintain a public Internet website with information about retired members who receive a pension of \$100,000 or more, and information regarding the costs of postretirement health care benefits.
<b>SB 703</b> Hernandez  <b>8/16/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Basic Health Program</b>  Would have implemented the Basic Health Program state option contained in the federal health care reform law, to provide health care coverage to individuals under 200% of the poverty level that do not qualify for Medi-Cal.
<b>SB 751</b> Gaines  <b>9/6/2011-</b> <b>Chaptered by</b> <b>the Secretary of</b> <b>State, Chapter</b> <b>Number 244,</b> <b>Statutes of 2011</b>	Support	<b>Health Care Coverage: Transparency</b>  Prohibits contracts between licensed health care facilities and health plans or insurers from including nondisclosure clauses that restrict the release of information on the cost of medical procedures and quality of care to members of the plan or insurer. The bill also requires a plan or insurer to annually provide a hospital or facility the opportunity to review and validate data provided to subscribers or enrollees of the plan or to policyholders or insureds of the insurer.
<b>SB 770</b> Steinberg  <b>8/17/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Developmental Disorder and Autism</b>  Would have required health care service plan contracts and health insurance policies to provide coverage for behavioral health treatment, as defined, for pervasive developmental disorder or autism. However, no benefits would have been required to be provided that exceed the essential health benefits required under the federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 807</b> Correa  <b>4/16/2012- Relevant content was removed from the bill on April 16, 2012</b>		<b>State Employee Compensation Earnable</b>  Would have prohibited compensation for travel and housing allowances from being used when determining compensation earnable for State employees under the Public Employees' Retirement Law.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on April 16, 2012.</b>
<b>SB 810</b> Leno  <b>2/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Single-Payer Health Care Coverage</b>  Would have created a single-payer health care system, administered by the California Healthcare Agency (CHA), to provide health insurance coverage to all California residents. It also would have provided that the system and CHA would become operative on the earlier of the date the California Secretary of Health and Human Services determined that sufficient revenues are available to implement the bill's provisions, and the date the Secretary obtains a specified federal waiver.
<b>SB 820</b> Walters  <b>1/31/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>CalPERS Employer Contribution Rates: Calculation and Reporting</b>  Among other things, would have replaced existing requirements that CalPERS, when adopting contribution rates for State and public agency employers, report to the Legislature alternative data regarding its investment returns, amortization periods, and discount rates using specific analytical guidelines, and instead required an annual report whose scope is limited to the State retirement plans. It also would have deleted the reference to the 10 year United States Treasury Bond, and instead required a calculation of liabilities using discount rates equal to the average rate of investment return since the establishment of the fund, and since January 1, 1984.
<b>SB 827</b> Simitian  <b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Public Employees' Retirement Reform</b>  Would have stated legislative intent to convene a Conference Committee to craft responsible, comprehensive legislation to reform State and local pension systems in a manner that reflects both the legitimate needs of public employees and the fiscal circumstances of State and local governments.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 903</b> Anderson  <b>8/25/2011-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>	Oppose	<b>Fiduciary Determinations for Iran Divestment</b>  Existing law allows the CalPERS Board of Administration to suspend action as described in the California Public Divest from Iran Act (Act) if it determines such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties as described in the California Constitution. This bill would have replaced that authorization with the requirement that the Board determine in a properly noticed public meeting that a specific action described in the Act would be a breach of its fiduciary responsibilities in order to suspend action.
<b>SB 924</b> Price  <b>8/27/2012-</b> <b>Failed Passage</b>		<b>Physical Therapists: Direct Access To Services and Professional Corporations</b>  Would have allowed physical therapists to treat patients without a diagnosis from a physician for 30 business days, and thereafter under specified conditions, and specified who may be shareholders, officers, directors, or professional employees of medical corporations, podiatry corporations, chiropractic corporations, and physical therapy corporations
<b>SB 946</b> Steinberg  <b>10/9/2011-</b> <b>Chaptered by</b> <b>the Secretary of</b> <b>State, Chapter</b> <b>Number 650,</b> <b>Statutes of 2011</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Pervasive Developmental Disorder or Autism</b>  Requires health plans and health insurance policies to cover behavioral health therapy for pervasive developmental disorder or autism. This bill also requires plans and insurers to maintain adequate networks of autism service providers, establishes an Autism Advisory Task Force in the Department of Managed Health Care, sunsets this bill's autism mandate provisions on July 1, 2014, and makes other technical changes to existing law regarding HIV reporting and mental health services payments.
<b>SB 951</b> Hernandez  <b>9/30/2012-</b> <b>Chaptered by</b> <b>the Secretary of</b> <b>State, Chapter</b> <b>Number 866,</b> <b>Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Health Care Coverage: Essential Health Benefits</b>  Requires, effective January 1, 2014, all health plans and policies in the individual and small group markets to cover minimum "essential health benefits" (EHBs), defines the Kaiser Small Group Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) plan contract as California's Essential Health Benefits (EHB) benchmark plan, and clarifies several standards that plans and policies must meet with respect to EHBs.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 955</b> Pavley  <b>9/29/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 760,                      Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Public Retirement Systems: In-State Infrastructure Projects</b>  Expresses Legislative intent that public retirement boards with specifically targeted infrastructure investment policies prioritize investments in projects located in California. It also authorizes CalPERS and CalSTRS prioritize investment in an in-state infrastructure project over a comparable out-of-state project.
<b>SB 957</b> Leno  <b>9/1/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>		<b>2012-13 Budget Act</b>  Would have made appropriations for support of State government for the 2012-13 fiscal year. As a budget bill, it would have taken effect immediately.
<b>SB 987</b> Negrete McLeod  <b>9/30/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 833,                      Statutes of 2012</b>	Sponsor	<b>CalPERS Omnibus Bill</b>  Makes minor policy and technical changes to the Public Employees' Retirement Law.
<b>SB 1002</b> Yee  <b>8/20/2012-                      Relevant                      content was                      removed from                      the bill on                      August 20, 2012.</b>		<b>Public Records: Electronic Format</b>  Would have required all State and local public agencies to use open format software, as defined, for any electronic document or record required by law to be provided to the public or placed on the Internet. It also would have allowed agencies to charge for converting existing electronic records to a searchable open format.  <b>This content was removed from the bill on August 20, 2012.</b>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<p><b>SB 1006</b> Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review</p> <p><b>6/27/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 32, Statutes of 2012</b></p>		<p><b>Budget Trailer Bill: State Government</b></p> <p>Among other things, establishes from July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, the Personal Leave Program 2012 (PLP 2012) that reduces State employee compensation and provides up to eight hours of leave credit per month. The terms of the PLP 2012 for represented State employees are established in ratified MOU addenda, and the Department of Personnel Administration establishes the PLP 2012 terms for excluded employees. It also imposes a one-day per month unpaid furlough on employees in Bargaining Units that do not participate in the PLP 2012. As a bill related to the budget, it takes effect immediately.</p>
<p><b>SB 1021</b> Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review</p> <p><b>6/28/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 41, Statutes of 2012</b></p>		<p><b>Budget Trailer Bill: Public Safety</b></p> <p>Among other things, clarifies the retired annuitant provisions in the Public Employees' Retirement Law to require that an appointment of a retired CalPERS member by a CalPERS employer, including any made concurrently, shall not exceed a combined total of 960 hours each fiscal year, eliminates extensions to the 960 hour limit for a retired member appointed to a vacant position by a contracting agency, and limits the rate of pay and additional benefits allowed to be provided to specified retired annuitants. As a bill related to the budget, it takes effect immediately.</p>
<p><b>SB 1057</b> Huff</p> <p><b>4/27/2012- Failed Passage</b></p>		<p><b>Benefit Forfeiture: School Employees</b></p> <p>Would have required an employee of a school district, county office of education, or charter school to forfeit his or her retirement benefits if convicted of any State or federal felony crime related to his or her employment. It also would have required current and future employees to forfeit all rights and benefits in any public retirement system from the earliest date of the commission of the crime, with the member contributions returned, without interest.</p>
<p><b>SB 1141</b> Walters</p> <p><b>4/18/2012- Failed Passage</b></p>		<p><b>Post-Employment Health Care Benefits: Collective Bargaining</b></p> <p>Would have prohibited public employers from entering into a memorandum of understanding or other collective bargaining agreement that provides for defined post-employment health care benefits unless each employee first hired on or after January 1, 2013, pays at least 50 percent of the actuarially required contributions necessary to prefund those defined health benefits.</p>

## SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 1142</b> Walters  <b>4/18/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Post-Employment Health Care Benefits: Full Funding</b>  Would have prohibited all State and local public employers, except the University of California, from providing retiree health benefits to employees first hired on or after January 1, 2012, unless it prefunds those benefits as determined by an actuary. It also would have required these employers to prefund retiree health benefits for all current and future employees in accordance with Government Accounting Standards and amortize unfunded liabilities over periods that do not exceed the remaining service lives of current employees, with a five-year phase-in.
<b>SB 1143</b> Walters  <b>4/18/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Post-Employment Benefit Modification</b>  Would have required public employers to reserve the right to modify retirement and other postemployment benefits provided to employees first hired on or after January 1, 2013, and without providing any comparable benefit in return. It also would have allowed public employers to change benefit formulas, employee contribution rates, and vesting for these employees, except as prohibited by federal law. The bill would have specified these employees have no implied right to future postemployment benefits, and accrued benefits earned for prior service may be reduced without written consent if authorized by law.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 1176</b> Huff  <b>4/27/2012- Failed Passage</b>	<b>Pension Reform</b>	Would have made various statutory changes necessary to require all State and local employers to offer public employees first hired on or after July 1, 2013, a hybrid pension plan that provides a combined defined benefit (DB) and defined contribution (DC) retirement benefit, or a specified alternative pension plan option. It also would have established a minimum normal retirement age for safety employees of 57 years with 30 years of service, and an early retirement age of 52, and for all other employees, establishes a minimum normal retirement age of 67 years with 35 years of service, and an early retirement age of 57. In addition, the bill would have prohibited additional retirement service credit purchases, would have prohibited retroactive pension benefit increases, would have imposed specified cost sharing requirements on both employees and employers, would have required annual normal costs to be paid in each fiscal year, would have prohibited employers from paying any portion of required employee contributions, would have imposed benefit forfeiture for job related felony convictions, would have imposed limitations on the employment of retired annuitants, would have increased the retiree health benefit vesting period for State employees, would have required State retirees to pay a specified portion of retiree health benefits, and would have added two members to the CalPERS Board of Administration. These statutory changes would have become operative January 1, 2013, only if a companion constitutional amendment was approved by voters statewide on the November 2012 General Election ballot.
<b>SB 1195</b> Price  <b>9/28/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 706, Statutes of 2012</b>	<b>Pharmacy Benefit Audit Procedures</b>	Requires a contract between a pharmacy and an insurer, health plan, or pharmacy benefit manager for the provision of pharmacy services to health plan participants that is issued, amended, or renewed on or after January 1, 2013, to include specified policies and procedures related to audits conducted under the contract. It also prohibits specified methods of cost recovery and limit disclosure of audit results.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 1196</b> Hernandez  <b>9/30/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 869, Statutes of 2012</b>	Support	<b>Health Care Coverage: Transparency</b>  Prohibits any health plan contract in existence or issued, amended, or renewed on or after January 1, 2013, between a health care service plan or health insurer, and a provider or supplier, from prohibiting, conditioning, or in any way restricting the disclosure of claims data related to health care services provided to enrollees, or subscriber of the health plan or carrier, or beneficiaries of any self-funded health coverage arrangement administered by the carrier to a qualified entity, as defined. This bill requires a health care service plan or health insurer to comply with all state and federal laws and implementing regulations for the protection of data privacy and security. The bill further requires a qualified entity to comply with specific requirements for error correction for all claims data received, including data from sources other than Medicare.
<b>SB 1208</b> Leno  <b>8/21/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Publicly Traded Corporations: Compensation Disclosure</b>  Would have required a publicly traded corporation to disclose, in its annual statements to the California Secretary of State, the names of, and the annual compensation paid to, the corporation's five most highly compensated retirees.
<b>SB 1226</b> Gaines  <b>4/18/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Professional Fiduciaries</b>  Would have revised the professional fiduciary definition to include a person who acts in those capacities pursuant to a nondurable power of attorney, unless the power of attorney was limited to a single act or between partners or co-owners.
<b>SB 1231</b> Walters  <b>5/7/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Orange County Employees Retirement Association: STAR COLA</b>  Would have allowed the Orange County Board of Supervisors to adopt a resolution prohibiting the Orange County Employees Retirement Association from approving the Supplemental Targeted Adjustment for Retirees Cost of Living Adjustment (STAR COLA). It also would have allowed the Board of Supervisors to limit the benefit for current members or preclude the benefit for any member not currently receiving the STAR COLA.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 1232</b> Walters  <b>5/7/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Orange County Employees Retirement Association: Retiree COLA</b>  Would have allowed the Orange County Board of Supervisors to pass a resolution requiring that cost of living adjustment (COLA) applied to retirees of the Orange County Employees Retirement Association be adjusted annually, beginning on April 1st in the second calendar year following retirement. This new rule would have applied to any employee retiring after the date of the resolution.
<b>SB 1234</b> De León  <b>9/28/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 734, Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>California Secure Choice Retirement Savings Trust Act</b>  Contingent on the availability of sufficient funds, establishes a retirement plan for California's private sector workers that do not have access to a retirement plan through their employer, to be administered by a seven member Board chaired by the State Treasurer and operating under the auspices of the Treasurer's Office. It requires employers with five or more employees that do not offer an employer-sponsored payroll deposit retirement plan, and authorize all other employers, to enroll their eligible employees, as defined, into the California Secure Choice Retirement Savings Trust (CSCRST). The bill also requires the Employment Development Department (EDD) to create an opt-out and default contribution adjustment process for participating employees, and authorizes EDD to assess a penalty on employers that fail to comply. Finally, it authorizes, but does not require, the Treasurer, CalPERS Board of Administration, and private sector investment managers to invest CSCRST funds.
<b>SB 1285</b> Hernandez  <b>8/16/2012- Failed Passage</b>	Support	<b>Hospital Emergency Services and Care</b>  Would have required hospitals with an out-of-network emergency utilization rate of greater than 50 percent to adjust charges for out-of-network emergency care so that the expected reimbursement does not exceed 150 percent of the amount the hospital could reasonably expect Medicare to pay for the care.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<p><b>SB 1294</b> Berryhill</p> <p><b>9/30/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 836, Statutes of 2012</b></p>	<p>Neutral</p>	<p><b>Mariposa County: Employer Contribution for Retiree Health Benefits</b></p> <p>Allows Mariposa County to provide a higher employer contribution for its retirees than for its active employees, and require it be executed through a memorandum of understanding (MOU), which is agreed upon through collective bargaining, or by a resolution adopted by a majority of the County Board of Supervisors. Its provisions do not apply to annuitants that retire before enactment of the bill, or to annuitants that retire before the effective date of the MOU or the date a resolution is adopted or MOU is signed.</p>
<p><b>SB 1301</b> Hernandez</p> <p><b>9/22/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 455, Statutes of 2012</b></p>		<p><b>Prescription Drugs: 90-Day Supply</b></p> <p>Authorizes a pharmacist to dispense not more than a 90-day supply of medication pursuant to a valid prescription that specifies the initial dispensing of a lesser amount followed by periodic refills of that amount if the patient has completed an initial 30-day supply of the medication, as specified.</p>
<p><b>SB 1308</b> Committee on Public Employment and Retirement</p> <p><b>9/27/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 665, Statutes of 2012</b></p>		<p><b>State Department of Human Resources</b></p> <p>Makes the statutory changes necessary to transfer the duties and functions of the Department of Personnel Administration and State Personnel Board to the newly established State Department of Human Resources.</p>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SB 1309</b> Negrete McLeod  <b>9/17/2012-                      Chaptered by                      the Secretary of                      State, Chapter                      Number 360,                      Statutes of 2012</b>	<b>State Department of Human Resources</b>	Makes the statutory changes necessary to implement the Governor's Reorganization Plan 1 with respect to the consolidation of the Department of Personnel Administration and the State Personnel Board into a single agency, the California Department of Human Resources.
<b>SB 1321</b> Harman  <b>6/20/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>	<b>Essential Health Benefits</b>	Would have required the California Health Benefit Exchange's board to determine the average premium per enrollee or insured for each health plan listed as an optional benchmark plan in regulations adopted pursuant to the Patient Protection and Affordability Care Act (PPACA). It also would have required that the plan with the lowest average premium per enrollee or insured, set the benchmark for items and services to be included in the definition of essential health benefits under PPACA.
<b>SB 1368</b> Anderson  <b>4/24/2012-                      Failed Passage</b>	<b>State Officer and Employee Salary Limit</b>	Except for elected State officers whose salary is set by the Citizens Compensation Commission, this bill would have prohibited the annual salary of a State officer or employee, on or after January 1, 2013, from exceeding the annual salary of the Governor as established by the Commission. Its provisions would not have been applicable to State officers or employees subject to a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or employment contract in place prior to January 1, 2013, until that MOU or contract had expired. This bill would not have applied to specified exempt public safety officers under certain conditions, and would have allowed the Governor to suspend the annual salary limitations upon declaring a state of emergency.

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<p><b>SCA 13</b> Cannella</p> <p><b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b></p>	<p><b>Pension Reform</b></p>	<p>Among other things, this bill would have, for employees first hired on or after January 1, 2013, only allowed defined benefit (DB) pension plans to be provided as part of a hybrid retirement plan, allowed retirement benefits to be altered on a prospective basis, and imposed limits on pension benefits. For all public employees, it would have allowed or required increases in employee contributions for both pension and retirement health benefits, and generally prohibited employer contribution holidays or the payment of member contributions. It also would have replaced retirement boards' authority over actuarial functions with an independent plan actuary appointed to a 12-year term and imposed additional qualification requirements on board members.</p>
<p><b>SCA 18</b> Huff</p> <p><b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b></p>	<p><b>Pension Reform</b></p>	<p>Would have amended the State Constitution, upon voter approval, to require all State and local government employers to offer public employees first hired on or after July 1, 2013, a hybrid pension plan that provides a combined defined benefit (DB) and defined contribution (DC) retirement benefit, or a specified alternative pension plan option. It also would have established a minimum normal retirement age for safety employees of 57 years with 30 years of service, and an early retirement age of 52, and for all other employees, establishes a minimum normal retirement age of 67 years with 35 years of service, and an early retirement age of 57. In addition, the bill would have prohibited additional retirement service credit purchases, would have prohibited retroactive pension benefit increases, would have imposed specified cost sharing requirements on both employees and employers, would have required annual normal costs to be paid in each fiscal year, would have prohibited employers from paying any portion of required employee contributions, would have imposed benefit forfeiture for job-related felony convictions, would have imposed limitations on the employment of retired annuitants, would have increased the retiree health benefit vesting period for State employees, would have required State retirees to pay a specified portion of retiree health benefits, and would have added two members to the CalPERS Board of Administration.</p>

# SUMMARY OF 2011-2012 LEGISLATION BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number Author	Position	Summary
<b>SJR 21</b> Kehoe  <b>9/1/2012- Failed Passage</b>		<b>Federal Tax Exemptions: Retirement Funds</b>  Would have urged Congress and the President to provide all retirees who have contributed to a qualified retirement plan the ability to treat funds from those plans used to pay medical and long-term care insurance premiums as tax-free rollovers, similar to what is provided to comparably situated public safety members under the Pension Protection Act of 2006.
<b>SJR 30</b> Hancock  <b>9/10/2012- Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Resolution Chapter Number 126, Statutes of 2012</b>		<b>Social Security: Retirement Benefits: Public Employees</b>  Requests the President and Congress to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provisions from the Social Security Act that apply to teachers and other public employees in the state.

## 2011-2012 CROSS REFERENCE BY BILL NUMBER

Bill Number	Author	Chapter Number	Statutes	Effective Date (If prior to January 1 <sup>st</sup> )
AB 36	Perea	17	04/07/2011	Y
AB 178	Gorell	135	09/12/2012	N
AB 197	Buchanan	297	09/12/2012	N
AB 276	Alejo	686	09/28/2012	N
AB 329	Dickinson	26	06/13/2011	N
AB 340	Furutani	296	09/12/2012	N
AB 782	Brownley	107	07/25/2011	N
AB 873	Furutani	551	10/07/2011	N
AB 999	Yamada	627	09/27/2012	N
AB 1023	Wagner	296	09/21/2011	N
AB 1028	Committee on PERSS	440	10/03/2011	N
AB 1042	Allen	688	10/09/2011	N
AB 1151	Feuer	441	10/03/2011	N
AB 1203	Mendoza	804	09/30/2012	N
AB 1247	Fletcher	733	10/09/2011	N
AB 1248	Hueso	853	09/30/2012	N
AB 1453	Monning	854	09/30/2012	N
AB 1519	Wieckowski	15	06/15/2012	N
AB 2140	Lara	249	09/07/2012	N
AB 2142	Furutani	445	09/22/2012	N
AB 2664	Committee on PERSS	59	07/09/2012	N
ABX1 26	Blumenfield	5 (1 <sup>st</sup> Ex.)	06/29/2011	Y
ABX1 27	Blumenfield	6 (1 <sup>st</sup> Ex.)	06/29/2011	Y
SB 71	Leno	728	09/28/2012	N
SB 78	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	10	03/24/2011	Y
SB 80	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	11	03/24/2011	Y
SB 87	Leno	33	06/30/2011	Y
SB 114	Yee	829	09/30/2012	N
SB 151	Correa	25	05/16/2011	
SB 203	Correa	124	07/26/2011	N
SB 294	Price	701	10/09/2011	N
SB 322	Negrete McLeod	47	07/05/2011	N
SB 349	Negrete McLeod	703	10/09/2011	N
SB 398	Hernandez	704	10/09/2011	N
SB 751	Gaines	244	09/06/2011	N
SB 946	Steinberg	650	10/09/2011	N
SB 951	Hernandez	866	09/30/2012	N

## 2011-2012 CROSS REFERENCE BY BILL NUMBER

<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Chapter Number</b>	<b>Statutes</b>	<b>Effective Date (If prior to January 1<sup>st</sup>)</b>
<b>SB 955</b>	Pavley	760	09/29/2012	N
<b>SB 987</b>	Negrete McLeod	833	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 1006</b>	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	32	06/27/2012	Y
<b>SB 1021</b>	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	41	06/28/2012	Y
<b>SB 1195</b>	Price	706	09/28/2012	N
<b>SB 1196</b>	Hernandez	869	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 1234</b>	De León	734	09/28/2012	N
<b>SB 1294</b>	Berryhill	836	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 1301</b>	Hernandez	455	09/22/2012	N
<b>SB 1308</b>	Negrete McLeod	665	09/27/2012	N
<b>SB 1309</b>	Negrete McLeod	360	09/17/2012	N
<b>SJR 30</b>	Hancock	Res. 126	09/10/2012	N

## 2011-2012 CROSS REFERENCE BY CHAPTER NUMBER

Bill Number	Author	Chapter Number	Statutes	Effective Date (If prior to January 1 <sup>st</sup> )
<b>2011 Chapters</b>				
<b>SB 78</b>	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	10	03/24/2011	Y
<b>SB 80</b>	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	11	03/24/2011	Y
<b>AB 36</b>	Perea	17	04/07/2011	Y
<b>SB 151</b>	Correa	25	05/16/2011	
<b>AB 329</b>	Dickinson	26	06/13/2011	N
<b>ABX1 26</b>	Blumenfield	5 (1 <sup>st</sup> Ex.)	06/29/2011	Y
<b>ABX1 27</b>	Blumenfield	6 (1 <sup>st</sup> Ex.)	06/29/2011	Y
<b>SB 87</b>	Leno	33	06/30/2011	Y
<b>SB 322</b>	Negrete McLeod	47	07/05/2011	N
<b>AB 782</b>	Brownley	107	07/25/2011	N
<b>SB 203</b>	Correa	124	07/26/2011	N
<b>SB 751</b>	Gaines	244	09/06/2011	N
<b>AB 1023</b>	Wagner	296	09/21/2011	N
<b>AB 1028</b>	Committee on PERSS	440	10/03/2011	N
<b>AB 1151</b>	Feuer	441	10/03/2011	N
<b>AB 873</b>	Furutani	551	10/07/2011	N
<b>AB 1042</b>	Allen	688	10/09/2011	N
<b>AB 1247</b>	Fletcher	733	10/09/2011	N
<b>SB 294</b>	Price	701	10/09/2011	N
<b>SB 349</b>	Negrete McLeod	703	10/09/2011	N
<b>SB 398</b>	Hernandez	704	10/09/2011	N
<b>SB 946</b>	Steinberg	650	10/09/2011	N
<b>2012 Chapters</b>				
<b>AB 1519</b>	Wieckowski	15	06/15/2012	N
<b>SB 1006</b>	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	32	06/27/2012	Y
<b>SB 1021</b>	Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review	41	06/28/2012	Y
<b>AB 2664</b>	Committee on PERSS	59	07/09/2012	N
<b>AB 178</b>	Gorell	135	07/17/2012	N
<b>AB 2140</b>	Lara	249	09/07/2012	N
<b>SJR 30</b>	Hancock	Res. 126	09/10/2012	N
<b>AB 197</b>	Buchanan	297	09/12/2012	N
<b>AB 340</b>	Furutani	296	09/12/2012	N
<b>SB 1309</b>	Negrete McLeod	360	09/17/2012	N

## 2011-2012 CROSS REFERENCE BY CHAPTER NUMBER

<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Chapter Number</b>	<b>Statutes</b>	<b>Effective Date (If prior to January 1<sup>st</sup>)</b>
<b>AB 2142</b>	Furutani	445	09/22/2012	N
<b>SB 1301</b>	Hernandez	455	09/22/2012	N
<b>AB 999</b>	Yamada	627	09/27/2012	N
<b>SB 1308</b>	Negrete McLeod	665	09/27/2012	N
<b>AB 276</b>	Alejo	686	09/28/2012	N
<b>SB 71</b>	Leno	728	09/28/2012	N
<b>SB 1195</b>	Price	706	09/28/2012	N
<b>SB 1234</b>	De León	734	09/28/2012	N
<b>SB 955</b>	Pavley	760	09/29/2012	N
<b>AB 1203</b>	Mendoza	804	09/30/2012	N
<b>AB 1248</b>	Hueso	853	09/30/2012	N
<b>AB 1453</b>	Monning	854	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 114</b>	Yee	829	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 951</b>	Hernandez	866	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 987</b>	Negrete McLeod	833	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 1196</b>	Hernandez	869	09/30/2012	N
<b>SB 1294</b>	Berryhill	836	09/30/2012	N

**BILL NUMBER: AB 369**  
**VETO DATE: 9/30/2012**

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 369 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit a health plan or insurer from requiring a patient to try and "fail" more than two medications before allowing a patient to have the pain medication prescribed by his or her doctor.

While I sympathize with the author's good intentions, I am not convinced that this bill strikes the right balance between physician discretion and health plan or insurer oversight. A doctor's judgment and a health plan's clinical protocols both have a role in ensuring the prudent prescribing of pain medications. Independent medical reviews are available to resolve differences in clinical judgment when they occur, even on an expedited basis.

If current law does not suffice - and I am not certain that it doesn't, any limitations on the practice of "step-therapy" should better reflect a health plan or insurer's legitimate role in determining the allowable steps.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: AB 1101**  
**VETO DATE: 9/29/2012**

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1101 without my signature.

The state's retirement system boards need greater independence, not less. This bill would move in the wrong direction by giving CalSTRS members an additional representative on the CalSTRS board.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: AB 1379**  
**VETO DATE: 10/05/2011**

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

AB 1379 would require any state or local pension system with assets over \$4 billion to report annually specified information relating to California investments.

Some public pension systems already track and voluntarily report the information required by this bill and for them this bill is unnecessary. For others, these new reporting requirements will cause an increase in administrative costs at a time when they can ill-afford it.

I am returning Assembly Bill 1379 without my signature.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: AB 1885**  
**VETO DATE: 09/29/2012**

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1885 without my signature.

This bill mandates that all counties increase the reciprocity period from six months to one year for some county employees laid-off and later re-employed.

I don't believe it is reasonable to require counties to offer this benefit and reverse an explicit agreement made during the negotiations that led to the pension reform law I signed this month.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: AB 2053**  
**VETO DATE: 09/29/2012**

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2053 without my signature.

This bill makes the vesting period for BART employee's retirement health benefits 15 years.

The labor contracts for BART's five bargaining units expire next year, so negotiations for new contracts will start soon if not already. The vesting period for health benefits is a matter that should be negotiated in the new contracts. This bill removes the vesting period from negotiations.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: AB 2115**  
**VETO DATE: 09/13/2012**

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2115 without my signature.

It is obvious that written employment contracts between local health care districts and hospital administrators would provide the transparency people have a right to expect. In fact, most local health care districts already do this.

Other local health care district boards should adopt this practice forthwith if they have not yet done so. In this way we will get the transparency we need without the state being forced to pay for what is a responsibility of local districts.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: SB 69**  
**VETO DATE: 06/16/2011**

To the Members of the California State Legislature:

I am returning Senate Bill 69 and Assembly Bill 98 without my signature.

In January, I presented a balanced budget solution with a mix of deep spending cuts and temporary tax extensions subject to voter approval. My plan would put these extended revenues in a lockbox, ensuring that they are only used to protect education and public safety. It would also address California's long term fiscal crisis by substantially paying down the \$35 billion wall of debt built up over the last decade.

Yet Republicans in the Legislature blocked the right of the people to vote on this honest, balanced budget.

Meanwhile, Democrats in the Legislature made valiant efforts to address California's budget crisis by enacting \$11 billion in painful cuts and other solutions. I commend them for their tremendous efforts to balance the budget in the absence of Republican cooperation.

Unfortunately, the budget I have received is not a balanced solution. It continues big deficits for years to come and adds billions of dollars of new debt. It also contains legally questionable maneuvers, costly borrowing and unrealistic savings. Finally, it is not financeable and therefore will not allow us to meet our obligations as they occur.

We can - and must - do better. A balanced budget is critical to our economic recovery. I am, once again, calling on Republicans to allow the people of California to vote on tax extensions for a balanced budget and significant reforms. They should also join Democrats in supporting job creation and ending tax breaks for out-of-state companies. If they continue to obstruct a vote, we will be forced to pursue deeper and more destructive cuts to schools and public safety- a tragedy for which Republicans will bear full responsibility.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: SB 350**  
**VETO DATE: 09/06/2011**

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 350 without my signature.

This bill allows CalPERS, for certain local government members, to combine three survivor benefit levels into the level with the highest benefit and to suspend employee premiums.

Although the benefits increased by this bill are funded by substantial excess reserves, the changes this bill makes should be part of a more comprehensive pension reform.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: SB 439**  
**VETO DATE: 10/07/2011**

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 439 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit board members and high-level employees of the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) and the California Teachers Retirement System (CalSTRS) from receiving gifts in excess of \$50 in a year from anyone who contracts with CalPERS and CalSTRS. Current law requires that gifts in excess of \$50 be reported, but does not prohibit them outright.

As the author of the Political Reform Act, I feel strongly that gifts made to public officials should be disclosed and subject to monetary limits as they are under current law. In point of fact, the Fair Political Practices Commission over the years has promulgated pages and pages of detailed regulations covering such gifts. To now create a special set of rules that will apply exclusively to CalPERS and CalSTRS would add more complexity without sufficiently advancing the goals of the Political Reform Act.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: SB 447**  
**VETO DATE: 09/23/2012**

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 447 without my signature.

Many state agencies already use a unique number, and not an individual's social security number, for identification purposes. But sometimes a social security number is used to determine eligibility for programs such as unemployment and disability benefits. On these occasions, federal and state laws provide numerous protections against identity theft and fraud, including how and when a document listing a person's social security number can be sent.

While I understand the author's intent to prevent identity theft, the additional prohibition in this bill would hinder the ability of state agencies to promptly and accurately provide information to run essential programs.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.

**BILL NUMBER: SB 503**  
**VETO DATE: 10/09/2011**

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 503 without my signature.

This bill allows additional pension options at retirement for a small number of retiring judges.

These options may or may not be warranted, but because I will be soon be proposing pension reform, I am vetoing this bill now. The bill's provisions can be part of the broader pension reform discussion.

Sincerely,

Edmund G. Brown Jr.