

## Executive & Investment Positions Compensation Review – Key Findings



February 13, 2023

# GGA's Determination of Market Competitiveness

 In assessing the competitiveness of compensation at CalPERS, GGA has generally compared the Midpoint Salary, Target Total Cash Compensation and Target Total Compensation at CalPERS to the:

© all rights reserved 2023

 Median of Combined Peer Group (i.e., 67% Public Sector & 33% Private Sector)

#### REMINDER:

- Total Cash Compensation = Salary + Annual Incentive at Target
- Total Compensation = Salary + Annual Incentive at Target + Long-Term Incentive at Target

# **KEY FINDINGS**

### Summary of Competitiveness – Executive Management

© all rights reserved 2023

 Overall, all roles are less competitive from a Total Compensation perspective when compared to the Combined Peer Group.

	Competitiveness (within 10% of Median)			
Position	Salary*	Total Cash Compensation	Total Compensation	
CEO	Yes	No	No	
CFO	Yes	No	No	
General Counsel	Yes	No	No	
COO	No	No	No	
Chief Actuary	Yes	No	No	
Chief Health Director	No**	No**	No**	

\* While Midpoint salaries are generally within a 10% range from the Market Median for most roles, slight adjustments should still be made to position Midpoints closer to the Market Median.

\*\* While market data is not yet finalized for this role, based on GGA's experience and our preliminary findings, the role appears to show a gap to market that will need to be addressed.

# **Competitiveness Breakdown**

 While Midpoint Salary figures are less competitive for the COO role, the main cause for the gap in pay at CalPERS when compared to the Combined Peer Group appears to be less competitive Annual and Long-Term Incentive opportunity levels (similar to last year).

© all rights reserved 2023

Position	Salary	Total Cash	Total Compensation
	% Difference	% Difference	% Difference
CEO	+1%	-57%	-66%
CFO	-5%	-23%	-33%
General Counsel	-8%	-33%	-41%
COO	-25%	-46%	-55%
Chief Actuary	-4%	-32%	-40%
Chief Health Director	TBD	TBD	TBD

### Summary of Competitiveness – Investment Positions

 Overall, almost all roles are less competitive from a Total Compensation perspective when compared to the Combined Peer Group.

© all rights reserved 2023

	Competitiveness (within 10% of Median)			
Position	Salary	Total Cash Compensation	Total Compensation	
CIO	Yes	No	No	
Deputy CIO	Yes	No	No	
COIO	Yes	No	No	
Managing Inv. Dir.	Yes	No	No	
Inv. Director	Yes	No	No	
Inv. Manager	Yes	No	No	
Associate IM	No	No	No	

# **Competitiveness Breakdown**

 Midpoint Salary figures are competitive for almost all roles, so the main cause for the gap in pay at CalPERS when compared to the Combined Peer Group appears to be less competitive Annual and Long-Term Incentive opportunity levels (similar to last year).

© all rights reserved 2023

Position	Salary	Total Cash	Total Compensation
	% Difference	% Difference	% Difference
CIO	-2%	-39%	-37%
Deputy CIO	+11%	-24%	-19%
COIO	-5%	-39%	-47%
Managing Inv. Dir.	+14%	-23%	-26%
Inv. Director	+13%	-25%	-24%
Inv. Manager	+15%	-18%	-11%
Associate IM	-13%	-29%	-24%

# NEXT STEPS

# Next Steps



GGA will be coming forward in April with specific recommendations to address the gaps observed when comparing CalPERS to the Combined Peer Group and provide a level of pay opportunity that will allow CalPERS to attract the talent it needs to achieve its strategy.

- GGA's recommendations will be largely in the form of increases to At-Risk Incentive compensation, which will only provide additional compensation if performance expectations are hit.
- Adjustments to the Salary Band Midpoint will be recommended for select Executive and Investment roles where material gaps to market are observed in order to align the roles more competitively with the peer group.





#### Peter Landers Senior Partner

peter.landers@ggainc.com +1.416.799.6640 Brad Kelly Partner

brad.kelly@ggainc.com +1.416.707.4614