VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

RISK AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

ZOOM PLATFORM

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2021 5:25 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

# APPEARANCES

#### COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lisa Middleton, Chairperson

David Miller, Vice Chairperson

Margaret Brown

Fiona Ma, represented by Frank Ruffino

Ramon Rubalcava

Betty Yee, represented by Lynn Paquin

### BOARD MEMBERS:

Henry Jones, President

Rob Feckner

Eraina Ortega

Theresa Taylor, Vice President

# STAFF:

Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer

Matt Jacobs, General Counsel

Anthony Suine, Deputy Executive Officer

Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo, Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer

Beliz Chappuie, Chief Auditor

Forrest Grimes, Chief Risk Officer

Pam Hopper, Committee Secretary

Keith Riddle, Chief, Disability and Survivor Benefits Division

	INDEX	
		PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Approval of the September 14, 2021 Risk and Audit Committee Timed Agenda	2
3.	Executive Report - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo	3
4.	Action Consent Items - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo	4
	a. Approval of the June 15, 2021 Risk & Audit Committee Meeting Minutes	
5.	<pre>Information Consent Items - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo a. Annual Calendar Review b. Draft Agenda for the November 17, 2021 Risk     &amp; Audit Committee Meeting c. Status Report - Enterprise Risk Management d. Status Report - Enterprise Compliance     Activity</pre>	6
6.	<pre>Information Agenda Items a. Review of CalPERS Internal Audit 19-001,    Review of Death Benefit Overpayment    Receivables - Beliz Chappuie/Anthony Suine b. Enterprise Risk Management Framework    Review - Forrest Grimes c. Summary of Committee Direction - Marlene    Timberlake D'Adamo d. Public Comment</pre>	11 44 46 47
Adjournment		
Reporter's Certificate		

# PROCEEDINGS

1 CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: So I'm going to call to 2 order the Risk and Audit Committee. And the first -- or 3 the second item is approval of the September 14, 2021 Risk and Audit Committee timed agenda. Is there --5 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Actually, Madam 6 Chair --7 8 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Roll call. 9 COMMITTEE SECETARY HOPPER: We do need to take roll call. 10 CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: We do. Thank you. Thank 11 you, Pam. 12 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton? 1.3 CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Present. 14 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown? 15 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I am present. COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for 17 Fiona Ma? 18 19 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Still present. 20 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller? VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Here. 21 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava? 2.2 23 COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Here.

24

25

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

Okay. I do not see Shawnda Westly, Madam Chair.

2

```
Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee?
1
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Here.
2
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: All is in attendance
 3
   with the exception of the absence of by Ms. Westly.
 4
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. So thank you.
5
             With that, we'll move on to aproval of the timed
 6
             Is there a motion to approve?
7
    agenda.
8
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So moved.
9
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Second.
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Motion by Mr. Miller,
10
    second by Ms. Greene-Ross.
11
             All in favor -- or we will call the roll, please.
12
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Ms. Mid -- Ms. --
1.3
   Madam Chair, it's Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee, so she will
14
   be seconding that motion.
15
16
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: My apology.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
17
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN:
                                       Aye.
18
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for
19
20
    Fiona Ma?
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO:
21
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
2.2
23
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
24
25
             COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
```

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee?

1.3

2.2

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Madam Chair, the motion was made by David Miller, seconded by Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee with all ayes, and Shawnda Westly being absent.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.

We'll move on to Item 3, which is the Executive Report from Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER
D'ADAMO: Thank you. Good afternoon. Good afternoon,
Madam Chair, Mr. Vice Chair, Committee members. Marlene
Timberlake D'Adamo, CalPERS team member. Today, we have
two information items. The first is the review of CalPERS
internal audit 19-001, titled "Review of Death Benefit
Overpayment Receivables". For the program area, the
Office of Audit Services will present their report of an
internal review of death benefit receivables. The
objective of the review is to evaluate the effectiveness
of controls over the death benefit overpayment
receivables.

This second item is the enterprise risk management framework review. The purpose of this item is to update the Committee on the current state of CalPERS

enterprise risk.

1.3

2.2

The next Risk and Audit Committee meeting is scheduled for November 17th, 2021 and includes the independent auditor's report for fiscal year 2020-2021 and the review of the independent auditor's management letter.

Thank you, Madam Chair. This concludes my report and I would be happy to take any questions.

I do not see any questions. But on behalf of the Risk and Audit Committee, Marlene, I'd like to thank you for the incredible work that you've given us over the last few years and wish you nothing but continued success in your new role as our Diversity and Inclusion Officer.

We're thrilled to have someone of your talent going into that role.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Hear, hear.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER D'ADAMO: Thank you. I appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: So I do have a request from Ms. Brown to pull Item 5d from the consent items.

Are there any other items that anyone --

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Madam Chair, I'm sorry to interrupt again. We need to take a roll call vote for 4a of the approval of the minutes.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. So if we

5

```
could have a --
1
2
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Move approval.
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: -- motion to approve.
 3
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second.
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Moved by Ms. Brown,
5
    seconded by Mr. Miller.
 6
             Roll call, please.
7
8
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
9
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for
10
   Fiona Ma?
11
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
12
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
1.3
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Aye.
14
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
15
16
             COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: I'm going to
    abstain. I don't think I was part of the Committee back
17
    then. Thank you.
18
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
19
20
             Absent.
             Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee?
21
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
2.2
23
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Madam Chair, we have
   four ayes, one abstention from Ramon Rubalcava, motion
24
   made by Margaret Brown, seconded by David Miller for
25
```

Agenda Item 4a, approval of the June 15, 2021 Risk and Audit Committee minutes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you.

We'll move now on to the consent items. We have a request to pull 5d. Are there any other items that any member would like to have pulled for further discussion?

Seeing none.

Can I get -- do we need, Ms. Hopper, a vote to approve Items 5a through c?

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Not for information consent, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you.

So we will move on then to Item 5d, Enterprise Compliance Activity Report. We could have staff report, please.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER
D'ADAMO: So -- well -- and 5d represents April through
July of our monthly reporting. And so we provide these to
you as an attachment. I would request that if, Ms. Brown,
if you have maybe a specific question, we can go right to
the heart of it or did you want sort of a general overview
of all of them or any particular month?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I'm very tired. I'm perfectly happy just to ask my question, if the rest of the Committee is fine with that. I'm looking at Agenda

```
Item 5d, attachment 1, just page five of 22, which is the Board and employee Form 700 filings. My guess is you probably know this off the top of your head. We have one assuming office submitted late and one leaving office outstanding. Can you tell us who those are?
```

1.3

2.2

D'ADAMO: Well, actually, I don't have that in front of me, in terms of who they are. But what I could tell you is that the -- if you look at the note, we added an enhancement beginning with this report -- with this meeting that says the -- that there was no -- as of August 24th when we essentially put the report together for the Board review, that one that had been outstanding was received.

So essentially, as of today, there would be two that were submitted late. Does that make sense?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yeah. I just want to make sure that more importantly than being submitted late, which is problematic, but that there are none outstanding, and that is what you're telling me?

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER D'ADAMO: Correct.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: All right.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER

25 D'ADAMO: Yes, that is what I'm telling you. And I'm glad

that you asked the question, because I think it's important for you to know that as that note at the bottom, where we added that legend, that will be a new feature to this report going forward.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I do appreciate that and I appreciate that our people are doing these timely. And I did want to note that on the next page, page six, that our consultant Form 700 filings are all -- none of them are submitted late, which is a miracle. But my guess is they don't want to make the paper, so they're all on time and it looks pretty good. So I guess having, you know, a small scandal gets people to get their paperwork in order. So I really do appreciate the update. And I think when we have -- I think when we have good news like this, we should -- now that it is good news, but we should share it, you know. So I appreciate -- that's all -- that's all, Ms. Middleton.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you. Marlene, I do have one additional question if I may on 5d.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER D'ADAMO: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: And that would be the ethics complaint 2020-1111b, Agenda Item 5d, Attachment 1, has been both substantiated and marked as closed. Can you

give me an update as to what actions we have taken to prevent something like this from happening again and to the extent that you can give comments publicly.

2.2

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER
D'ADAMO: Okay. So this 2020-1111b, correct?

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Correct.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER
D'ADAMO: Okay. At this point, I would like to ask Mr.
Suine to come forward, if he's not already. There he is.
Okay. He's actually going to speak to this.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.

Mr. Suine, whenever you're ready.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Hi, Ms.

Middleton. Thank you. Anthony Suine, CalPERS team member. And, yeah, so we've taken some various steps to help with the controls over those various processes, those include adding additional reviews to the distribution of the dormant accounts. As you may be aware, there was dormant accounts that have the fraudulent activity on them. And so we were able to create additional reviews for those dormant accounts and have supervisory level reviews before any of those payments are released.

We also have a separation of duties between various -- we added additional separation of duties between accounts and units who perform those functions.

So, for instance, somebody who identifies a dormant account to be payable, they would not be able to change bank account information as well.

2.2

And then we've also updated our policies and procedures in all those areas, so that, you know, team members are clear about the procedures in those areas and we've improved all the documentation in those areas.

And then we've also improved our internal controls creating automated controls, so that certain activities can occur unless their -- the system allows it via a business rule.

And then lastly, we've increased our audit reporting, so our back-end audit reporting. We've created reports that do system checks and balances to make sure that these type of payments aren't distributed erroneously and allows us to track on the back end, if anything suspicious is happening.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Mr. Suine, could you provide a definition to the Committee on what a dormant account is just as a refresher, please.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Sure. So these dormant accounts are typically accounts that they can be -- where benefits have stopped, where somebody has not cashed a check for multiple periods. And after we don't -- after we re -- a check is returned to us three

consecutive times, whether that be a paper check or a closed bank account, then an individual moves into a dormant account.

The good news on those is there's no additional benefits being paid. However, right, we require additional documentation for somebody to come forward and then claim that money to be reissued to them. So that's a typical dormant account situation.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Are there any other questions from any member of the Committee or from anyone on the Board?

Seeing none.

2.2

We will move on from Item 5 to Item 6a, which is a review of CalPERS internal audit 19-001, review of death benefit overpayment receivables. And that will be Ms.

19 Chappuie and Mr. Suine. And Welcome.

Beliz, we can't hear you yet.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: I think you're on mute.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, we can't hear you. (Shakes head.)

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Beliz, we can't hear you

at all? 1 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That was not encouraging. 3 (Laughter.) 4 DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: I'm able to kick 5 it off, if you'd like. 6 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah. We might 7 8 want to have Beliz try the phone -- her phone for audio, 9 if she can hear me. Thank you, Jared. 10 CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Excellent. Sorry about 11

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Welcome.

that.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Thank you. My apologies. I have a new computer. For whatever reason, the microphone is not working, so I'm on the wifi in my offers. I disconnected it from the VPN. My apologies.

All right. Let's resume. Let's try this again.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Okay.

(Thereupon a slide presentation.)

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Madam Chair, members of the Committee, Beliz Chappuie, Office of Audit Services.

Agenda Item 6a is an information item.

At the June Risk and Audit Committee, Madam Chair directed staff to agendize the death benefits overpayment

receivables audit. During our agenda -- next slide, please.

--000--

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: During our agenda, I will provide an overview -- who's controlling -- thank you.

Okay. Reviewing our agenda, I will provide an overview of the CalPERS Integrated Assuranced Model, the audit process, roles and responsibilities, and the observations made as part of this audit.

Next slide.

2.2

--000--

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Then I will turn it over to Anthony Suine and he will provide you with an overview of the Survivor Benefits team and the work they perform, then walk through each of the findings and what's being done to mitigate issues. Lastly, Anthony will summarize next steps and take any questions and will also field your questions as he goes through each finding.

CalPERS follows the three lines of defense model for governance and risk management, and refers to it as the CalPERS integrated assurance model.

Next slide.

--000--

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: As the third line of

defense, Office of Audit Services, OFAS, performs independent assurance services that include audit and consulting services. OFAS reports its observations to management and the Board to promote and facilitate continuous improvements and monitors corrective actions.

Due to its role in maintaining its independence,

OFAS does not participate in the program's decision-making

or activities.

The first line division audited develops and implements corrective action plans to address the observations reported in the audit report. The second line, including CalPERS Enterprise Risk Management Division, ERMD, collaborates with the audited division to develop, improve, or modify their internal controls to address observations, and provides assurance to the executive team on the adequacy of the controls implemented in response to the audit observationations. The executive team provides oversight and monitoring -- and monitors the resolution of the observations.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Ms. Chappuie, if I could ask just a question before you get into this specifics of the audit. Just in looking at the audit process, is this a process that you support and one that reflects what you

believe are best practices for an internal audit function?

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Yes. Yes. And these are all driven by the internal auditing standards we follow.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. And there's been no undue influence from anyone in the determination of this process?

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: No.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you.

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Next slide. Yeah, thank you.

The death benefits overpayment receivables audit noted five high rated observations in summary. Disability and Survivor Benefits Division, DSBD, did not consistently identify deaths timely to minimize the issuance of benefit payments and related receivables. DSBD did not consistently record death benefit overpayments receivables within 30 days after identification of death. DSBD issued certain lump sum survivor benefits without first recovering the receivables owed to CalPERS. DSBD did not adequately attempt to collect receivables from survivors, or, if available, the decedent's estate. DSBD did not review receivables for timely write-off.

The full report is attached as an appendix to the agenda for further detail.

With that said, I would like to turn it over to Anthony Suine to present his portion of the agenda.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Thank you,
Beliz. And good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the
Committee. Anthony Suine, Calpers team member.

And I'm here today to discuss the recent review performed by our Office of Audit Services on our death benefit overpayment receivables. While you'll hear the reference to death benefit overpayments, I want to clarify these are retirement benefits being paid to retirees or beneficiaries. And any of those payments that are made after the date of death then become an overpayment receivable related to that death.

Today, we will discuss various aspects of this process, including what our team does currently to mitigate those issues, and challenges we may face, and any corrective actions we are working on to further mitigate the concerns as we proceed through this presentation.

With me today is Keith Riddle. And Keith is the Chief of our Disability and Survivor Benefits Division. And he will assist me as needed with any questions that may be posed. So I'll ask Keith to be promoted, if he hasn't already.

In respect of your time, I'll just -- I don't

want to cut anything out, but I'll speak a little faster and I won't recap the audit finding and the suggested actions from Office of Audit Services. Those are in the report. I'll just focus on our current state and our corrective actions that we're taking, but they all address exactly what the audit of Audit Services pointed out.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So I'd like to start by giving an overview of our Survivor Benefits team, what they do, and the workload they accomplish. Our team determines benefit eligibility for pre-retirement and post-retirement deaths. They are required to analyze the various death benefits available by law, determine beneficiaries, handle sensitive inquiries with families dealing with the loss of a loved one, and then ultimately resolving overpayments and processing payments to beneficiaries and survivors.

We have 50 plus team members that are assigned to these activities, while other team members handle ancillary duties related to survivor benefits, such as training, appeals, and administrative duties.

Next slide.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Over the last three years, we have averaged over 24,000 deaths reported

each year. And this last fiscal year, we saw an 18 percent increase from just two fiscal years ago. And it was just over five years ago the number of deaths was under 20,000 a year. So the trend has been continuous for some time.

2.2

Last fiscal year, we paid over 22,500 death benefit cases which is 30 percent higher than what we paid just two fiscal years ago. And the majority of cases require multiple benefit payments, either to one or multiple beneficiaries. So the team is working harder than ever to distribute timely and accurate payments to those valued beneficiaries and survivors.

An ongoing monthly benefit to a surviving spouse is the team's utmost priority. And we continue to meet our goal of paying 95 percent of those benefits without the survivor missing a monthly retirement allowance.

In addition to the work in paying benefits, the team also responded to requests related to death benefits payable from the retiree prior to their passing or related to death benefits on a certain case.

When combined, the inquiry workload has also increased over 35 percent in the last two years.

Next slide, please.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So covering

observation number one, untimely identification of deaths. I'd like to outline some challenges that occur with the detection of deaths and then follow with what we are doing to mitigate this issue. And I'll stop after each observation and allow for any questions.

1.3

2.2

I believe I've discussed this issue with the Board before and timely detection of unreported deaths is an issue facing many sectors in the financial services industry, including public pension systems, such as Calpers.

The challenge of detecting unreported deaths became more problematic in 2011 when the Social Security Administration drastically reduced the number of records reported in the publicly available death master file by prohibiting access to State death records due to fears of identity theft and fraud. While SSA still maintains a full file of death information that includes all state death records, SSA only shares the full file with certain federal and State agencies. SSA provides a more limited file of death records to a federal department who then sells that limited data file of death information to other agencies and private organizations, such as banks and credit companies.

That file now only identifies roughly 25 to 35 percent of deaths that occur in the United States.

Vendors may purchase that data, then augment their death detection services in various ways, such as contracting independently with states for their death records, funeral homes, governmental agencies, and using obituary search logic to track down additional death information. I will provide more information on those services in a bit, after I explain the timing of how deaths are typically reported to CalPERS.

1.3

2.2

In reading the audit, I think it's important to understand two different dates related to death reporting. First is how long it takes us to record a death once it's reported to CalPERS, and then the number of days a member has been deceased once we learn of that death. We reviewed over 40,000 deaths that were reported during the audit period and the averages are identified in the table in the slide.

Deaths are reported to CalPERS primarily through two channels. They're either personally reported to CalPERS through our Contact Center by family, friends, employers, or other third parties, or they are identified through our death verification service provider, which is currently the Berwyn Group. Approximately 75 percent of CalPERS member deaths are reported through the Customer Contact Center. Team members enter the annuitant's death into myCalPERS at the time of contact and benefits are

terminated the next day through an overnight batch process. When this method is used, deaths are recorded within one day and the average number of days a member has been deceased when utilizing this method is 14 days.

2.2

Approximately, 25 percent of CalPERS member deaths are identified through our death match vendor. Our vendor conducts a monthly comparison of our retiree payee records against their vital statistic data, and typically returns results to CalPERS within three business days. A CalPERS team member then reviews the hundred of death verification results and enters the death into myCalPERS as appropriate, and the benefit stream is terminated in the overnight batch process.

DSBD also receives weekly updates from the vendor on that same file and follows the same process. Our goal is to enter deaths as quickly as possible to ensure another benefit payment is not issued on the next monthly roll. When deaths are identified via the vendor file, deaths are recorded within seven to 10 days of receiving the report. And the average number of days a member has been deceased, when utilizing this method is 46 days.

Since only 25 percent of our deaths are identified from the vendor, the average number of days the member has been deceased, once reported to us, is 21 days when averaging both the Contact Center and the death match

vendor method.

1.3

2.2

So besides utilizing these two methods to identify member deaths, we also instituted a benefit verification process in 2019, where we identify a population of retirees to verify their benefit eligibility status. And we are continuing to refine and improve that process as we go through future stages.

Next slide, please.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Although our response to record deaths and assure -- ensure accuracy on average have been effective, we have already acted on audit feedback to streamline the review process when the file is returned from our vendor. And we also implemented a supervisory review process of the deaths recorded from the vendor. In addition, we recently sent out a new wave of benefit verification letters and implemented new risk factors to enhance the process, as recommended by the audit.

In relation to augmenting our death identification processes, we have been looking at several additional vendor options. CalPERS submitted an application to the Social Security Administration to gain access to the SSA full file of death information. That includes all the state reported death records. Our

request was denied according -- since CalPERS benefits are not funded by the State -- are not wholly funded by the State.

2.2

As an alternative, SSA indicated they have legal authority to provide CalPERS access to what they call the State Verification and Enumeration System under the agency's privacy act. This would provide us access to SSNs and death indicators for the entire death population available to SSA. However, it does not provide a date of death. This would then trigger us to perform additional research to identify dates of death for these members and subsequently stop benefits.

The approval process with SSA is quite lengthy, but we have met with their leadership and have worked to expedite any reviews on either of their side or our side. However, due to this being a national issue, they have numerous requests to gain access to the files for the same reasons. Contractual documents have already been submitted to SSA and are awaiting review by their financial and General Counsel teams, which they indicate may not occur until the end of this year. Then we will proceed with additional procedures required to complete the contracting process.

In addition, Social Security requires a state transmission component because they won't exchange data

directly with a State agency. As of result, we have already made arrangements with the California Department of Technology to stire -- to serve as our State transmission component.

1.3

2.2

In addition to pursuing the SSA file, DSBD has also conducted market research to secure additional death verification service providers to suppplement our Berwyn Group. We conducted a proof of concept with a vendor that has more sophisticated algorithms and found additional deaths that have gone undetected in the past. We are working on a contract with that vendor that should be completed in the next 30 days and then we complete -- can proceed with the data exchange before the end of the year.

In addition, we are finalizing a contract with a national nonprofit vendor that collects death data from over 40 jurisdictions, mainly states, and also plan to finalize that contract in the next 30 days and proceed with exchanging data before the end of the year.

As we add these new vendors, we will be able to ensure we are getting the most comprehensive and timely death identification data, and monitor each for value and effectiveness over the next year.

I'll pause there and see if there's any questions on observation number one.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Ms. Brown, I believe you

had a question.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yeah. So we'll go ahead and talk about that. Thanks for the update, Anthony, on the Social Security file. I mean, that's always sort of been my question. It's like why aren't we getting the Social Security file? Now, it's sounds like we are, you know, this close to getting it, except that, you know, they -- it's going to take till the end of the year, you know. But I think I heard that our CEO has pull with the Biden administration, so maybe you can get her involved in getting Social Security Administration to move a little faster, because this is really critical.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: And, Ms. Brown, the end of the year is just the first phase of their contracting process.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Oh.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Then we go into following phases. They estimated it would take approximately 12 months from when we started, which was around the August time frame.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Wow.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So we do have significant hurdles to climb, but we are working with them every step of the way.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Great. Thank you. And

I'll save the rest of my comments till the end of your presentation. Thank you.

1.3

2.2

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Okay. And the other two files I believe we'll have -- we'll gain a lot of additional data from those as well. So it's not all contingent on the Social Security file.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: All right. Next slide, please.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So as previously stated, our internal myCalPERS system is designed to terminate benefits and record a receivable on the decedent's account once a death report is entered by the team member. While we are confident the system properly terminates benefits and records receivables the vast majority of time, we did identify a system issue that occurred in the past for a period of time when certain benefit streams were not terminated. That issue was resolved and a report was created to identify these types of errors in the future. However, it was only ran an ad hoc basis and upon identification of a system issue or concern.

Next slide, please.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: As a result of the audit, we did add additional report parameters to determine if a death was input and the benefit stream was not terminated or a receivable was not recorded. We are now generating that report weekly and it is reviewed by the team to ensure no instances are occurring. We also are analyzing a system notification to prevent this from occurring and the results of the report will help us identify those instances which may occur that we can then automate. Over the last five months of monitoring this report, we've only had one instance where the benefit did not terminate and we had to research and resolve.

2.2

I'll pause for any questions on observation number two.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: I don't see any, Mr. Suine, so please proceed.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Okay. Move to next slide, please.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Observation number three regarding lack of collections prior to issuing of some survivor benefits. So in the next observation, observation four, I will provide a more detailed accounting of the overpayment collection process and data. So here, I will focus on process and procedures

to mitgate this issue and then address any questions after observation four on receivable collection as a whole.

To mitigate collection issues, the law provides us the authority to recoup payments issued after the date of death. We have automated processes to do this that are highly successful as the data in observation four will show. Upon a death being entered into the system, a bank reclamation letter is distributed to notify the bank of the death and for them to return the monies to us. If those are not successful, we are able to recoup from the beneficiaries and survivors as we process the benefits payable and are able to deduct outstanding receivables from those benefits.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: As noted in the audit, we have identified situations where benefits were paid and receivables were not collected. We have since updated procedures and training to team members on fully recouping overpayments from benefits payable prior to issuing. We have also developed a report that is actively reviewed to identify any outstanding receivables where benefits have been paid.

This is a mitigation until we fully implement an automated control to the system to not allow benefits to

```
be issued when a receivable exists. I'll go ahead and
1
2
   move on to observation number four, and then take any
    questions in whole on --
 3
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: -- overpayments.
 5
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Ms. Middleton, can I
 6
    just --
7
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yeah.
8
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: -- ask a quick question
9
   on that?
10
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Sure.
11
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Thank you, Chair
12
   Middleton. So it's currently not automated, so it's a
13
   manual process is what you're saying about observation
14
   number three?
15
16
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yes.
17
    happens is the team, as they're paying the benefits,
    they -- they're instructed to review the account and
18
19
    identify any outstanding receivables before paying those
20
   benefits.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: As opposed to they can't
21
   pay the benefit if there's a receivable, right?
2.2
23
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Exactly. So
   we're putting in that automated control, but in the
24
25
   meantime we have that back-end report to identify any
```

concerns with that.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Great. Thank you.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So moving on to observation number four. While we agree that more can always be done to collect on overpayment receivables, our team is extremely successful on collecting on these receivables when looking at the large population of overpayments generated on an annual basis.

Directing your attention to the fourth bullet on this slide, you can see why this process generates over a hundred and fifty million dollars in receivables annually. Through our bank reclamation letters and collecting from beneficiaries and survivors through the benefit payment, we are successful in completely closing receivables on over 93 percent of the cases and collecting over 80 percent of the outstanding monies.

Since we developed this slide, we further researched partially collected receivables during this fiscal year, since this bullet reflected only those fully closed receivables. Our financial data shows when adding in those partially collected receivables that more than 95 percent of these receivable monies have been collected.

We also went back another fiscal year and identified the same successful collection rates of over 95

percent for fiscal year 18-19. As I mentioned previously, paying ongoing survivor benefits are the utmost priority of the team. Paying other types of survivor benefits such as lump sums and payments to other beneficiaries besides survivors are a close second. Identifying sources to collect from and actually being successful in those collections can be time-consuming and require additional resources.

We make every effort to follow up on our initial efforts to collect. But knowing many of those outstanding receivables also have a corresponding benefit payable, the cost-benefit minimizes the priority of these ben -- of these efforts.

Next slide, please.

--000--

1.3

2.2

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: However, we have identified several collection -- corrective actions to help improve on the process. We are seeking to clarify legislation on the types of benefits we can recoup overpayment receivables from. We are also working with our Policy and Data Analytics and Research Division to review best practices of our peer systems and other pension systems to determine their efficiencies in collecting overpayments to baseline our effectiveness.

We are also enhancing our notifications to better

indicate outstanding receivable amounts and due dates to comply with State administrative standards and make collections or discharging of receivables more expeditious in the future. And we have already implemented a report that identifies the largest receivables created, so we can act immediately, as we know the longer a member has been deceased, the more difficult it becomes to recoup receivables.

2.2

So I'll pause there and answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Ms. Brown, you had a question.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yes. Yes. It was with regard to the previous observation about the percentage that you're collecting or the dollar amount you're collecting. And I heard you say you had gone back a prior year to pull the same data. And so that would be helpful for the Board to see those -- the multi-year trend line of either the percentage, or the amounts, or just the numbers you've got to collect. And it would be helpful to see how with more automation probably the efforts will come down, right? The -- there will be less to collect, hopefully, you know.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yeah. The more death detection services we have, like those vendors, if we can identify those deaths sooner, the less money that

will be going out the door after the date of death.

1.3

2.2

help.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yeah, for the auto -DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So that will

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yeah, for the automated processes, that doesn't -- that will not allow a payment, if there's a receivable or, you know, stop. You know, those types of things can help as well. But I do appreciate the information. All right. Keep going. Sorry.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.

Mr. Rubalcava, I believe you have a question.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Yes, I just want to make sure. Have you got -- maybe I've jumped the gun, have you gotten to the third bullet yet, or are you still going? Have you talked about the condolence -- the condolence packaged.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yeah, so that I kine of incorporated in there, Mr. Rubalcava, but the -
COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Could you explain what that is in more detail? Sorry.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yes, absolutely. So the condolence package is what is sent to the address

on record of either the member who -- or the -- sorry, the beneficiary who reports the death, maybe a survivor, maybe a relative, and we send that condolence package out and that contains the information for them to complete to claim a benefit.

1.3

2.2

So that -- what the audit was pointing out is that information needs to be more descriptive of what type of overpayments might be tied to the case, needs to have more of an invoice type of feel to it, and needs to have a due date of when those payments need to be made. So that would expedite any collection efforts and we could move those on like we talked about in the Finance and Admin Committee. The Financial team then has a collections team that can go pursue those outstanding receivables, if we're not able to collect up front as benefits are being paid.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Mr. Suine, please keep going.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Okay. Next slide, please.

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So observation number five relates to timely write-offs. So as I mentioned in the previous observation, our resources are prioritized accordingly in paying benefits and other

activities to ensure proper customer service. We do have processes and procedures for writing off outstanding receivables that have no source to collect from or have exceeded the statutes of limitations, but it has been a lower priority as other workload demands have increased over the last several years.

As you may have noticed in the annual discharge of accountability report in the Finance and Administrative state -- Administration Committee this morning -- or this afternoon, we discharged 288 receivables totaling 138,000 over the last fiscal year.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: However, as a result of the audit finding, we have found more efficient ways and dedicated resources to comply with the findings. We have a new virtual folder technology that improves the coordination of write-off effort between our Survivor Benefits team and our Financial Reporting team to discharge receivables that are uncollectable. We have focused on receivables that are under \$500 and then those with larger balances that have exceeded the 10-year statute of limitations.

We have also focused our attention on negative receivables, where overpayments may have been

overcollected, such as recouped from the bank and also paid by the beneficiary or survivor and monies are owed back to these individuals. So you can see from this slide we're making a concerted effort to return those monies to those individuals. And we are continuing to enhance these processes and look at additional resources that can assist with this workload.

1.3

2.2

So I'll go ahead and maybe I'll just close out with the next steps and then I can take a variety of questions. Is that okay, Ms. Brown and Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: That is okay. Please proceed.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: So just on the next slide, just to summarize our next steps, we have a few key priorities as we move forward to close out these audit findings and improve our controls.

The first and foremost is finalizing the contracts with the additional death identification vendors to improve the timeliness and notifications of death and perform the necessary monitoring to identify the most efficient methods of detection.

We are also tracking the implementation of 17 corrective actions we proposed in our management response to address the concerns found in the audit. We have already provided updates on 14 of our 17 identified

corrective actions to our audit team for review, so they can analyze and monitor if the actions are sufficient to close the audit findings.

2.2

And lastly, we remain committed to improve the controls and our processes while also continuing to ensure our customer service and satisfaction remains high. And we're collaborating closely with our Office of Audit Services to monitor the effectiveness of those controls.

So with that, that's conclude my presentation and we're happy to take any additional questions.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Ms. Brown, I believe you had additional questions or comments.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Sure. Thank you.

Observation five, 101 negative receivables totaling

\$980,000. So is that in one year? Is that a one-year number?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yes, that was -COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Woo.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: -- over the last fiscal year, I believe.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Great. Well --

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Is that -- is that correct, Keith?

24 DISABILITY & SURVIVOR BENEFITS DIVISION CHIEF

25 RIDDLE: Yeah. Excuse me. Good afternoon -- or good

evening. Keith Riddle CalPERS team member.

Yes, that is correct.

1.3

2.2

member, that would be a priority for me. I want us to do the collections. But boy, if we've overcollected, I'm glad to see that we're giving the money back that we're not entitled to. I'm more worried about getting money, but I didn't know about that number, so I appreciate that.

Who would be able to answer the question -- this has come up two times now in the last several months, about people not being able to get benefits timely -- survivor benefits, because the coroner had put pending on the death certificate and so a benefit wasn't paid to a survivor. Maybe this is not part of this, but -- and maybe this is just a COVID issue or a workload issue for the coroner, but I just want you to know that's come up and hopefully we can figure out, you know, what those delays are. I mean, if the member is deceased, you know, the survivor of the beneficiary would need the payment. So I don't know if this is the right time to answer that question or not.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Yeah. We did research that particular issue and we didn't find that the team -- maybe, Keith, did you want to comment on that. I know Keith's team looked into it.

DISABILITY & SURVIVOR BENEFITS DIVISION CHIEF
RIDDLE: And again, it depends on the circumstances,
because if it -- if it is a survivor that we're going to
be paying, we don't require the death certificate in order
to pay the survivor. We get the documentation after the
fact when we're going to be paying a lump sum benefit.
But we don't hold that payment of that monthly benefit to
get the death certificate.

2.2

But in cases where we're going to be paying the lump sum and we do require the death certificate, it has to be a final death certificate.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Um-hmm.

DISABILITY & SURVIVOR BENEFITS DIVISION CHIEF RIDDLE: It cannot be pending status.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: If I -- if I could interrupt, I'd like us to be careful regarding the questions and the answers that we have, given the fact that not everyone who will be watching this tape is a good actor. Some are going to be bad actors that are looking for loopholes and for openings in our -- in our processes. But clearly, we want to do the oversight necessary at the Board level, but we don't want to create a roadmap.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yep. Thank you, Ms. Middleton for that warning. I appreciate that.

I did have a final question for Ms. Chappuie,

which is -- first of all, thank you, Ms. Middleton, for putting this on the agenda. I do appreciate that. I think this is one of the best ways we can actually provide oversight and accountability. And it's what -- we typically don't hear about Ms. Chappuie unless it's in the management letter. We don't know that these corrective actions have been taken, because according to our policy, we only know if they haven't been corrected after one year. Then we get the list.

1.3

2.2

So for one year after this report, we don't know what you've been doing, because I assume it's your office that's making sure that, like in this case, this -- the DBOR, whatever the department is, is making those changes, is that correct? Are you making sure that they're following through on their management plan?

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Yes. So my team.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Your team, yes.

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: You can hear me, right?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: (Nods head.)

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: So my team works with the Division and when the Division submits us the corrective actions and the documentation related to it, my teams work with the Division, review the documents, ensure it's sufficient, and they address the findings noted. And if not, they request additional documentation until

they're fully satisfied that the Division has implemented the corrective action and it's working as intended, and then we close the finding. So we do monitor it until it's closed.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Great. And I -- and I do appreciate that. We just don't get to see it. We just -- we just don't know if it's outstanding until it's over a year and then we know. But I do appreciate you following up. I believe you are very diligent at this and I do appreciate it. And more importantly, I learned a lot about how our team -- how big the team the, first of all, and how well they the are trying to not only do their work, but improve. Improve on the way we pay benefits or collect receivables. So I do appreciate what the staff is doing and Ms. Chappuie, as always, I appreciate your audit report.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you. Any other questions or comments from anyone else?

I'd like to just close. If I got these numbers correct, Mr. Suine, in the last year, you worked on 26,000 deaths. So my simple math means that every day that somebody comes to work in your office, you're looking at approximately a hundred plus new death cases to take, and manage, and process. And I can appreciate how difficult

an undertaking that is.

2.2

And last thing I want to say on this, all of these instances that we're dealing with somebody has died. And there's a family out there that is trying to recover from the loss of a loved one, and we're trying to do our best to make sure that we get the dollars right that go to those families. So I want to thank Ms. Chappuie and her team for the work in identifying that we have places where we have to do better, as well as thank Mr. Suine and his team for responding to this audit in exactly the way that we look for our leaders to respond to an audit.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Thank you for acknowledging that, Ms. Middleton. The work is difficult, and it's voluminous, and then they're dealing with, you know, members who are beneficiaries or survivors who lost loved ones. So the work can be very taxing on these team members and they do a great job. And Mr. Riddle brings in a lot of, you know, support for the team to help them deal with the type of workload that they deal with.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Yes. Thank you. I also would like to take the opportunity to say -- recognize my team -- audit teams who are very dedicated and passionate about the work they do in our mission as the auditors, which is to add value to the operations of Calpers and

help CalPERS management, the Board, and the executive team to achieve the goal -- the goals and the mission of the organization, and help them in their role by providing them timely feedback and helping them improve the operations.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: It's a teamwork effort and it's one of checks and balances. So thank you all.

With that, I think we've concluded Item 6a and we

can move on to Item 6b, the enterprise risk management -VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: One.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Mr. Miller, I'm sorry.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: One quick comment and it's a follow-up to Ms. Middleton's comment, is just on a personal note, I never really have -- had really taken the chance to say thank you to Anthony, Marcie, the whole Calpers team that when I lost my wife, the last thing you're thinking about is money and administrivia, and -- but having those resources is really critical. And so I really appreciated that -- receiving that in a very timely manner, and in a very manner -- a manner that was sensitive to the situation. And I just happen to have a chance to say thank you. So thank you to the whole team.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUINE: Thank you, Mr.

Miller.

24 | Miller

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: David, thank you.

With that, we will move on to Item 6b and ask Forrest Grimes to step forward. Thank you.

(Thereupon a slide presentation.)

1.3

2.2

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: Good evening, Madam
Chair Committee members, membes of the Board. This is
Forrest Grimes, Calpers team. It's nice to see you all,
and I hope you've been dealing well, and hopefully we can
do this face-to-face some time soon. That will be a -that will be a nice welcome back, I'm sure, for all of us.

Item 6b is a review of the Enterprise Risk
Management dashboard, profiles, developing risks, and heat
maps serving to update the Committee on the current state
of enterprise risks.

If we can go to the first slide, please.

The list of current risks appears in the enterprise risk management dashboard, that is Attachment 1, page 159 of your materials, if you'd prefer to do it it that way. Our methodology in compiling the information in these reports was initiated in July by conducting risk assessment workshops with subject matter experts and updating the respective risk profile for each risk. This would be Attachment 2 and that would be 160 to 180 of your materials.

We then conducted a risk scoring exercise with senior leaders from throughout the enterprise. Results

were presented to the executive team and it was concluded that there were no significant changes in perceived residual risk as shown in the column to the far right of the exhibit, Attachment 1.

Next slide, please.

--000--

2.2

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: We also updated the developing risk report that was first introduced to the Committee last year, and that's Attachment 3. And you can find that on page 181 of your materials. These are risks that may pose significant threats that are not yet well understood and should be monitored. They include the new working model, maintaining organizational culture, and third-party risks. And you can see the descriptions of each of those in the document, so I'm not going to read those for you at this late -- this late in the evening. I know you're probably ready to wrap-up, but the material is there for your review.

CalPERS is employing low-cost low-regret mitigations while performing analysis to better understand these threats.

Next slide, please.

--000--

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: And finally, I want to show you our heat map, which is Attachment 4. And you

can find that on page 182 of your materials.

2.2

This is the CalPERS team's view of the prioritization of enterprise risks. While all are important. This provides a high-level view of where to give precedence to resources when in doubt.

And with that, Madam Chair, I'm going to turn it back to you to see if there are any questions from the Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: I do not see any in the chat. Are there any members of the Committee or any members of the Board who would like to ask any questions or comments?

Forrest, I think you got in at the end, so we will let you go, and move on to Marlene and to Item number 6c --

16 CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: Thank you, Madam
17 Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: -- which is summary of committee direction.

Marlene, you're on mute.

CHIEF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION OFFICER D'ADAMO: Sorry. I've employed my phone to help with communication.

I did not record any Committee direction.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: I do not recall any as

well.

1.3

2.2

So with that, we have reached the end of the open session, but we need to adjourn into closed session. It will be a -- I believe a short closed session. We will reconvene in open session for the purpose of adjourning this meeting after the conclusion of closed session. For those in the public, I do not expect that we will have any reportable action when we reconvene. And Mr. Jacobs --

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Ms. Middleton.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: -- did I miss anything.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: You may have -- I would just suggest that you check whether there's any public comment.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Excuse me. That I definitely missed. Mr. Fox, is there any public comment?

STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Madam Chair, there are no callers.

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Well, I guess my oversight goes unpunished today.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: So with that, let's adjourn immediately into closed session and I will see everyone in the other room.

Thank you.

(Off record: 6:31 p.m.)

```
(Thereupon the meeting recessed
1
             into closed session.)
2
             (Thereupon the meeting reconvened
 3
             open session.)
             (On record: 6:56 p.m.)
 5
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I think Ms.
 6
7
   Middleton is having some technical difficulties, so I'll
8
    reconvene the Risk and Audit Committee open session just
    to say we've concluded our business. We have nothing to
9
    report out in open session from our closed session, which
10
    we've concluded. And seeing no objections, I will move
11
    that we adjourn. So -- oh, and there she is. So I was
12
   moving adjourn -- if we don't -- with no objection and we
13
    will see everybody tomorrow.
14
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Board Governance 9 a.m.
15
16
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Nine a.m.
             CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thanks, David.
17
                                        Oh, no worries.
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER:
                                                         Thank
18
19
    you.
20
             (Thereupon the California Public Employees'
             Retirement System, Board of Administration,
21
             Risk & Audit Committee open session
2.2
23
             meeting adjourned at 6:56 p.m.)
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,

Board of Administration, Risk & Audit Committee open

session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F.

Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of

California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21st day of September, 2021.

1.3

James & Potter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063