VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

RISK AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

WEBEX PLATFORM

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2020 4:00 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

- Mr. David Miller, Chairperson
- Ms. Lisa Middleton, Vice Chairperson
- Ms. Margaret Brown
- Ms. Fiona Ma, represented by Mr. Frank Ruffino
- Mr. Jason Perez
- Ms. Shawnda Westly
- Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Ms. Lynn Paquin

BOARD MEMBERS:

- Mr. Henry Jones, President
- Mr. Rob Feckner
- Ms. Stacie Olivares
- Ms. Eraina Ortega
- Mr. Ramon Rubalcava

STAFF:

- Ms. Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer
- Mr. Matthew Jacobs, General Counsel
- Mr. Scott Terando, Chief Actuary
- Ms. Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo, Chief Compliance Officer
- Ms. Fritzie, Archuleta, Deputy Chief Actuary
- Ms. Beliz Chappuie, Chief Auditor
- Mr. Forrest Grimes, Chief Risk Officer

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

STAFF:

Ms. Pam Hopper, Committee Secretary

Ms. Michele Nix, Controller

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. David Driscoll, Buck Global

Mr. Steve Franklin, BDO

Mr. Billy Kim, BDO

Ms. Sylvia Mak, BDO

Ms. Dipika Nagin, BDO

	INDEX	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Approval of the November 17, 2020 Risk & Audit Committee Timed Agenda	2
3.	Executive Report - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo	3
4.	Action Consent Items - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo a. Approval of the June 17, 2020 Risk & Audit Committee Meeting Minutes	6
5.	<pre>Information Consent Items - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo a. Annual Calendar Review b. Draft Agenda for the February 2021 Risk & Audit Committee Meeting c. Quarterly Status Report - Office of Audit Services d. Status Report - Enterprise Risk Management e. Status Report - Enterprise Compliance Activity</pre>	7
6.	Action Agenda Items a. Independent Auditor's Report - Fiscal Years 2019-20 - Beliz Chappuie b. Review of Independent Auditor's Management Letter - Beliz Chappuie c. Independent Financial Statement Auditor Selection - Michele Nix d. RFP for Parallel Valuation and Certification Services - Fritzie Archuleta	8 25 32 35
7.	<pre>Information Agenda Items a. Third Party Valuation and Certification of the 2019 Annual Valuations of the Judges' Retirement System (JRS), Judges' Retirement System II (JRSII), Legislators' Retirement System (LRS), and the 1959 Survivor Benefit Program (1959 SBP) - Beliz Chappuie b. Enterprise Risk Management Framework Review Forrest Grimes c. Summary of Committee Direction - Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo d. Public Comment</pre>	48 - 50 55 56

INDEX CONTINUED PAGE Adjournment 56 Reporter's Certificate 58
Adjournment 56
Adjournment 56
Reporter's Certificate 58

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'll welcome you all back and we'll convene the session of the Risk and Audit Committee. And the first order of business is to go ahead and call the roll. And, Ms. Hopper, if you will.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for

Fiona Ma?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Present.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Present.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Present.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Present.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for

Betty Yee?

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair, all is in

23 attendance.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yea.

25 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Good. Thank you, Ms.

```
Hopper.
1
             Let's go to Item 2, approval of the November
2
3
    17th, 2020 Risk and Audit Committee timed agenda.
             What's the pleasure of the Committee?
 4
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Move approval.
5
             COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY:
                                       Second.
 6
7
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Moved by Ms. Brown. Second
8
   by --
9
             COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Second by Shawnda.
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Ms. Westly.
10
             Okay. I'm not seeing any call for discussion.
11
             So I'll call the question. Ms. Hopper, please
12
   call the roll?
1.3
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
14
15
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN:
                                      Aye.
16
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for
    Fiona Ma?
17
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO:
18
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
19
20
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
21
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
2.2
23
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
24
25
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for
```

Betty Yee?

1.3

2.2

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair. I have Margaret Brown making the motion, Shawnda Westly second it for approval of Item 2.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The ayes have it. The item is approved. So we'll move on to Item 3, the executive report. And I will call on Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo.

Welcome.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER TIMBERLAKE D'ADAMO:

Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Madam Vice Chair, Committee members and Board members. Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo, CalPERS team member. It is really great to see you all today. And thanks for hanging in for Risk and Audit Committee meeting.

Before I describe the agenda items, I would like to take the opportunity to provide two updates regarding enterprise compliance activities since we last met. In our ongoing effort to address and manage personal trading, we held a series of mandatory training personal trading expectations through the month of October. And I'm happy to report that all covered persons participated in this training.

Second, beginning with today's reporting, the enterprise compliance activity reports now include more

detail regarding closed substantiated ethics complaints.

1.3

2.2

So that's my two updates that I wanted to provide you.

Today's RAC agenda is a mix of information and action items comprising the topics of actuarial valuations, risk framework and independent audits. Your action items are first, the independent auditor's report for fiscal year 2019-20 and there they will the present their draft report and accompanying required reports for your approval.

The review of independent auditor's management letters includes comments and recommendations related to strengthening internal controls over financial reporting based on the audit of the June 30, 2019 financial statements. Management's concurrence, response and proposed corrective actions are included in the management letter. This item also requires approval from the Committee.

On May 5th 2020, CalPERS released RFP number 2020-8853 to initiate a competitive selection process to engage the services of a qualified audit firm to perform audits of CalPERS financial statements for fiscal years 20-21 through 24-25. CalPERS received five response proposals.

The independent financial statement auditor

selection agenda item presents the highest scoring finalist for the independent financial statement auditor. Upon your approval, CalPERS will enter contract negotiations with the approved finalist.

Next is the RFP for parallel valuation and certification services. We are requesting approval to seek an external firm through a competitive bidding process to provide parallel actuarial valuation and certification services to the Board of Administration.

Information items consist of the following:

Discussion of the completion of Buck Global, our third-party actuarial firm; independent review of the actuarial valuation of the JRS, JRS II, LRS and the 1959 SPB -- SBP as of June 30, 2019; and the enterprise risk management framework review agenda item will update you on the current status of CalPERS enterprise risks.

The next Risk and Audit Committee meeting is scheduled for February 2021 and includes the election of the Risk and Audit Committee Chair and Vice Chair, the review of the Risk and Audit Committee delegation, and the mid-year plan updates for Enterprise Compliance and Enterprise Risk. Thank you, Mr. Chair. This concludes my report and I would be happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you, Ms. D'Adamo.

2.2

```
I'm not seeing any requests for comment or
1
    questions.
2
             So we'll move to Item 5, information consent
 3
    items.
            I don't have any requests to pull anything. I'm
 4
    just making sure I didn't miss anything.
5
             And so, we'll move to Action Agenda Items.
6
                                                          So
7
    6 -- starting with 6a.
8
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair.
9
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, Ms. Hopper.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: We need to take a
10
   roll call to approve the minutes.
11
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh. Okay. I missed that
12
    one.
1.3
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I'll move approval of
14
    the minutes.
15
16
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Moved by Mrs. Brown.
             Seconded by?
17
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: (Raised hand.)
18
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Perez.
19
20
             So let's -- I'll call for the question. Call the
   roll, Ms. Hopper.
21
2.2
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
23
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for
24
   Fiona Ma?
25
```

```
ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO:
                                                Aye.
1
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
2
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON:
                                          Aye.
 3
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Ave.
 5
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
 6
7
             COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
8
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for
   Betty Yee?
9
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN:
10
                                              Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair, we have a
11
   motion made by Margaret Brown, Jason Perez seconded it for
12
    the approval of Item 4a, the approval of the minutes.
13
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
14
15
             Thanks for catching that. Sorry, I missed that
16
   folks.
             And so once more Item 5 is information consent
17
            I don't see anything requested to pull, so we'll
18
19
   move to Item 6, action agenda items. And 6a is the
20
    independent auditor's report. So I'll call on Beliz
    Chappuie, I believe, is that correct, Marlene?
21
             CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Correct.
2.2
23
             CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER TIMBERLAKE D'ADAMO:
24
             Yes.
25
             CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Good afternoon, Mr.
```

Chair and members of the Committee. Beliz Chappuie,
Office of Audit Services.

Agenda Item 6a is an action item. Staff is requesting the Risk and Audit Committee to approve the Board's independent financial statement auditor BDO's audit report for the fiscal year-ended June 20 -- June 30, 2020.

I would like to turn it over to BDO staff who are here presenting with me today.

Billy, take it away.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

(Thereupon a slide presentation.)

MR. KIM: Thank you. Can you hear me?

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Yes.

MR. KIM: All right.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

MR. KIM: Hello, Chairman -- Vice Chair, members of the committee.

Thank you for the time for us to present the results of the audit to you. With me here today are key leaders of my time and they'll assist me in presenting the results of the audit.

Our full team involved in the audit, as well as audit work over the GASB schedules we will issue compose of over 60 plus people, including specialists, actuarial,

IT, and valuation specialists. And collectively we spend a significant amount of time to complete the work that we've been engaged for.

1.3

2.2

The scope of our audit for the CalPERS CAFR was over the basic financial statements, for the year ended June 30th, 2020. The basic financial statements cover the fiduciary funds as well as each of the proprietary funds. We have three deliverables that we provided for your review. Two of them are audit opinions and the other is our audit wrap-up report, which includes a summary of our audit work, which is currently on the screen. But before we dive into the summary report, let me give you a summary of attachment 1 and 3.

So attachment 1 is the independent auditor's opinion. The opinion includes discussion on management's responsibility over the preparation of the financial statements. And the auditor's responsibility is providing as to whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements from a reasonable assurance standpoint. Our audit opinion that we are expecting to issue is an modified opinion, which means that it is a clean report.

Attachment 3 is the other opinion, and it's required under governmental auditing standards. It covers internal controls over financial reporting and on

compliance. There are no material weaknesses or significant deficiencies that we've identified during the audit. Also, from a compliance perspective, the report concludes that we did not identify any matters of non-compliance that could have a direct or material effect on the financial statements.

Now, going back to the audit wrap-up report on the screen, which is attachment 2. With the assistance of my colleague Steve Franklin, which is -- who's our investments audit partner and Dipika Nagin our lead senior manager. We'll walk through the report and highlight key matters associated with the results of the audit.

So if we can get both of them elevated at this time, that would be great.

Thank you.

2.2

Can we move to slide 4.

--000--

MR. KIM: All right. So on this slide, I covered most of the points listed here as part my discussion of a attachment 1 and 2, but some of the other items to highlight here is that all records and information requested were available for our inspection. And most of the audit work was performed remotely this year due to COVID-19.

With leveraging our experience from the prior

year and with successful coordination with management, we were able to complete our audit work without issue. There were certain things confidential in nature we had to review on-site, but that was limited, while most of our requests were provided electronically

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

MR. KIM: This slide was put together for the Committee to be clear and transparent as to what is described in our opinion on what assurance we are providing and to what work we have performed over each of the sections of the CAFR.

So with any financial statement audit, we perform our audit and provide assurance over the basic financial statements, which includes the notes to those financial statements.

For the other supplementary information section, we also performed audit procedures in relation to the audit of the financial statements. The other supplementary information section includes a breakdown of certain expense categories that are further breakdowns of what is included in the face, including administrative expenses, investment expenses, and so on.

There are two sections that are also required with -- that are required unde governmental reporting

standards to be included with the basic financial statements, but are not required to be audited. And those sections are called the management's discussion and analysis section, or the MD&A, and also the required supplementary information section.

And so BDO has performed limited procedures over the numbers included in these required sections by reviewing them for consistency with numbers included in the audited financial statements and all audit support providing during the audit.

For the other sections included, although they are unaudited, we read those sections as part of the review of the CAFR crafts and any questions and comments were provided to management.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

MR. KIM: As it relates to the significant accounting policies and practices -- practices and policies, there are no changes that we noted during the year. Also, there are no adoption of any significant new accounting standards.

Now, one of the areas of the audit we do focus on is in the area of significant estimates, which I will go through three of -- three of them, which are actually driven estimates. So first off, for the health care fund,

the estimated claims liability is a liability that uses an actuarial methodology based on historical claims data.

And this is for each of the medical plans that are offered by CalPERS to its members.

2.2

Second is the estimated liability for future policy benefits to be paid for beneficiaries related to the Long-Term Care Fund. The LTC, or the long-term care, liability is derived from a roll-forward method and includes assumptions from the latest valuation report.

Now, one significant change to highlight, which has also been mentioned in some of the other committee meetings is compared to the prior, there was a key change in the discount rate assumption for long-term care liability from 5.25 percent to four percent.

Third is the area of pension liabilities, which are disclosed in footnote 7, for cost sharing plans including PERF B and PERF C, and single-defined benefit plans. As part of our audit for each of these three significant estimate areas, we perform procedures over each of these liabilities, including through analytical analysis, sample testing of procedures over accuracy and completeness of data used. And we also independently utilized actuaries to review management's actuarial models, and methodology calculation, and the related assumption. So based upon the work performed, we noted no

issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Now, our last significant estimate is related to investments, where I'll have Steve Franklin share more about that work.

Steve.

Steve, are you there?

MR. FRANKLIN: There we go.

MEETING MODERATOR: Sorry about that. That was Steve Franklin.

MR. FRANKLIN: They've given me access now. Can you hear now?

MR. KIM: Yes, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

MR. FRANKLIN: I have been elevated. Apologies. Thank you.

Just getting my video there. There we go.

So, yes. Thank you, Billy.

So for the investments aspect, the significant estimate that we identified related -- was related to private equity and real asset investments, and specifically around the difference in year-ends between many of the investments which have audited financial statements as of 12-31, and CalPERS year-end, which is 6-30.

Additionally, the other part of this estimate

related to the lag analysis in whereas, whereby CalPERS utilizes initially that the 3-31 value in order to book the estimates of the private equity and real asset investments, and then -- which is then updated based on various analysis to come up with the 6-30 figures using the 6-30 numbers from the underlying investments.

Similar to as Billy mentioned, we did significant testing around this -- these areas, including send confirmations to the investment managers, doing back testing, reliability testing, reviewing audited financial statements and unaudited capital statements from the underlying investment funds, in addition to other procedures.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

MR. FRANKLIN: And with that, we're going to move on to the next part of the audit. So the results of the audit. I'm going to cover two of the corrected misstatements. There were no uncorrected misstatements during the year.

The first item that everyone will notice is related to a reclassification. So this had no impact on the actual net asset value of the funds. This was a reclassification from global debt securities to short-term securities. There were Some U.S. Treasury bills that had

been classified in the system under global debt when they should have been classified under short-term securities. So we -- we spoke with management about that and that reclassification was made.

And towards the bottom I just wanted to highlight quickly, there was an out-of-period adjustment that was booked this year related to -- for 582 million related to real assets valuation that happened last year. But that -- that was addressed this year and no further comment on that.

With that, I will hand it over to Dipika to cover the employer contribution.

MS. NAGIN: Thank you, Steve. Can you all hear me?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes. Go ahead.

MS. NAGIN: Perfect. Perfect.

There was on additional reclass entry in the amount of \$904 million that had been classified as an employer contribution, which should have been classified as a non-employer contribution. CalPERS has appropriately booked this audit adjustment.

We could move to slide nine.

--000--

MS. NAGIN: This slide discusses the varying

1 levels of deficiencies and the definition at each level.

We considered the internal controls over financial

reporting to help us design our audit procedures.

However, we do not express an opinion over the

5 effectiveness of the internal controls.

2.2

In the next agenda item, we will go into further detail on our control observations. However, we would like to highlight here that there were no material weaknesses identified.

Slide 11, please.

--000--

MS. NAGIN: These next two slides include our other required communications, which are -- which we are required to communicate to you in accordance with our audit standards. I will highlight certain key items on these pages.

First, there were no significant changes in our planned audit strategy or significant risks initially identified. Second, other than the former CIO conflict of interest matter, which is being investigated by the California's Fair Political Practices Commission, there were no violations or possible violations of laws or regulations that were noted or relevant during the audit.

Next slide.

--000--

MS. NAGIN: Third, there were no disagreements with management or significant difficulties encountered during our audit.

Fourth, due to COVID-19 and the CARES Act, management has assessed the impact to the financial statements and disclosed in the notes of the financial statements the impact to the volatility experienced in the investment portfolio during the last half of the fiscal year and how it is closely monitoring to assess for any future possible adverse implications.

As part of our audit, we have reviewed management's analysis over the impact of COVID-19 and did not take exception to it.

And lastly, I wanted to remind you all that as your auditors, we are independent of CalPERS and as required under our audit standards.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

MR. KIM: Yeah. So that concludes our presentation for Agenda Item 6a and we are happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you and thank you to you Billy and your entire team. Working, you know, with you the first time through was a pleasure. And it had its challenges and you stepped up and met them along with the whole team and Calpers team. And then this year, ready

for smooth sailing and, you know, we've been through it once and now we've got the pandemic. So new challenges, new opportunities to do things differently. And we really appreciate the fine work that you and your team and all the CalPERS team that you worked with as well. From the Risk and Audit Chair perspective, it was a pleasure.

So with that, I will go to questions.

MR. KIM: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And the first question I have is from, it looks like, President Jones.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you for the presentation. I just want to clarify what I -- a piece of information I may have missed and heard, if you will.

MR. KIM: Sure.

PRESIDENT JONES: And it was regarding the comments on page six of 13, when you talked about the estimates for private equity. I thought I heard you say that the estimates were based on calendar year-end for the balance of the year. So my quest -- if I heard that correctly, then that's -- it seems to be inconsistent with our current practice of the private equity lagging a quarter behind, not a half year.

MR. KIM: Yes. Steve, do you we want to address this question?

20

```
MR. FRANKLIN: Yeah. No. That would --
1
    apologies for the confusion. No, that -- what I was just
2
    saying is that many of the audited financial statements,
 3
    the year-end from the underlying funds are of 12-31.
    That's not -- that's not the numbers that are being used.
5
    That was just me saying that that's the fiscal year-end
6
    for most of those -- for those underlying funds, which is
7
8
    different than CalPERS, which is as of 6-30.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Okay. Thank you very
9
10
   much.
             MR. FRANKLIN: Apologies for the confusion.
11
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you.
12
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. My next questioner is
13
    Director Middleton.
14
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON:
15
                                          Thank you, Mr --
16
    excuse me.
               Thank you, Mr. Vice chair -- or Mr. Chair.
17
    Excuse me.
             Billy, the -- I just want to reemphasize one very
18
19
   basic point. You had access to all documents that were
20
   requested?
             MR. KIM: Yes, we had access to everything that
21
    we had requested for, whether that was electronically or
2.2
23
    in person.
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. And was
24
```

there anyone that was not made available to you that you

requested availability?

2.2

MR. KIM: No, there was no such situation.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. And my understanding is, from prior conversations with you, that staff was cooperative at all times during the course of this audit, is that correct?

MR. KIM: Yes, they were very cooperative and accommodating. And a lot of credit should go to them as well, especially during this COVID-19 time. And there are certain things, including hard copies that we might have received in the past that had to be scanned, right, so that we can receive it electronically. So a lot of effort on their part as well.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you. And as you said, this is a clean audit and we're certainly appreciative of seeing that, but we -- we received the facts whatever they may be here.

I just want to say on my behalf, since I had the opportunity to interact during the course of this year, that I have nothing but compliments to you, to Sylvia and to everyone on your team.

 $\mbox{MR. KIM:} \mbox{ Well, thank you. } \mbox{ Appreciate that.}$

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

Next, I have Director Brown.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Hi. Good evening

almost.

1.3

2.2

I wanted to go back to page 126 in the agenda. That's attachment 2 and it's the corrected misstatements. I -- I see the two corrected misstatements, but then you talked about 904 million. So where is that in this report and can you explain to me a little more about what that was?

MR. KIM: Yeah. So the 904 million is -- was a misstatement that was corrected. So that was something that my team had proposed to management to be corrected, because there was certain contributions that were recorded in employer contribution as a specific financial statement line item, when it should have been a separate line item that should be designated as non-employer contributions. And so that is something that we proposed and then management had then reported. So that is reflected in the CAFR, if you look at the basic financial statements and the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Okay. And so -- and so it's not on page 126, because it doesn't belong there, is that correct?

MR. KIM: Page 126 -- what is -- what page are you referring --

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: It's the correct -- it's

23

corrected misstatements. Oh, it is there. I'm sorry. 1 I'm sorry I look at that as 904,000. I apologize. 2 It's 904 million. Okay. 3 4

MR. KIM: Yes. Yes. Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Forgot. Sorry. Ι'm thinking where is that number?

And so why did we -- so why did we think it was an employer contribution when it was a non-employer contribution? Who -- where did that come from?

Yeah. No, I think management had just MR. KIM: put the amounts included in there, but, you know, this was something that was unique special funds that had been received from the State. And, you know, specifically, you know -- yeah, this was just something -- it was an isolated matter. And therefore, we had seen it as part of our work and so we had called it out and management had corrected it.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Thank you. apologies for not seeing it there. I have it circled. I'm like where's my 904 million.

Thank you so much.

MR. KIM: No problem. No problem. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

I'm not seeing anymore requests to question or

25 comment, so I will --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

```
COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I'll move approval.
1
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay Ms. Brown has moved
2
    approval. Do we have a second? This is to approve the
 3
    item.
 4
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON:
                                          Second.
5
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second, was that Ms.
6
   Middleton?
7
8
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: (Nods head.)
9
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Middleton
   seconds. So I'll call for the question. Ms. Hopper, if
10
    you would call the roll.
11
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
12
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
13
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for
14
   Fiona Ma?
15
16
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                          Lisa Middleton?
17
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Aye.
18
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
19
20
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
21
             COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
22
23
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for
   Betty Yee?
24
25
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
```

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair, I have all ayes. Motion made by Margaret Brown, seconded by Lisa Middleton for Agenda Item 6a, independent auditor's report.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Hopper. The ayes have it. The motion is approved and independent auditor's report has been approved.

So we'll move to 6b, action item agenda items, review of independent auditor's management letter. And I believe that is Ms. Chappuie.

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Good afternoon, Mr.

Chair and members of the committee. Beliz Chappuie again.

Agenda Item 6b is also an action item. Staff is requesting the Risk and Audit Committee to approve the Board's independent financial statement auditor BDO's management letter. I will like to turn back to -- back over to Billy.

MR. KIM: Well, thank you.

MS. MAK: Thank you, Beliz.

MR. KIM: Yeah, go ahead, Sylvia. Sylvia will present this section for us.

Go ahead Sylvia.

2.2

MS. MAK: Thank you, Beliz. Thank you, Mr.
Chair. I will go over our control findings as it relates
to the current year audit. As we mentioned in the prior

agenda item, we did identify two reclassifications. One reclassification related to the government-issued treasury bonds that had a short-term maturity which were recorded as global debt securities instead of short-term investments. And the other reclassification related to the non-employer contributions that were made that were inappropriately classified as an employer contribution.

1.3

2.2

Although, both of those classification errors were not material, whether quantitatively or qualitatively, they were proposed buy BDO and they were corrected by management. We've observed that the errors appeared to be as a result of a lack of control as it relates to the review of the financial statement categories for proper classification for financial reporting purposes.

Both corrections had no impact on total investments or total additional but was only a change within the correct financial statement line. From our observation, we recommend that management implement a control to review all financial statement categories in order assess whether reclassifications are necessary, including those as it relates to investments and additions.

We discussed this with management and management has responded to indicate that as it relates to the

investment reclassification, the reason why that originated was because CalPERS was in a transition from a current trade allocation service to a new service and the default settings had not yet been adjusted to align the treasury bill trades to be classified as short-term treasuries instead of global debt securities.

2.2

There really is no user involvement as it relates to the classification of each trade. It is an automated process. When those are appropriately set up, it will be automatically classified appropriately.

Management continues to work with the vendors to rectify that and other situations, where default settings may need to be updated and that is currently in process.

With respect to the classification of the employer contributions, management has agreed with that finding and they are in the process of implementing additional controls to better identify any additional or out of the ordinary contributions. Those will be added to the financial statement checklist each year to ensure that management is aware and looking out for those classification items. Those will be implemented by September 2021.

So that summarizes our current year findings. I did want to summarize the prior year control deficiencies that were identified and the steps that had been taken to

remediate those. The prior year, there were two deficiencies that were observed. The first one relates to the review of estimated claim liabilities. CalPERS health care claims fund records estimated claim liabilities, including estimates of alternate claim costs that have been reported but not settled as well as the claims that have been incurred but not reported.

1.3

2.2

In the prior year, we noted that CalPERS did not have a comprehensive review process over the completeness and accuracy of such claim liabilities. We also observed that there had been no evidence of a retrospective analysis that was performed to support the ongoing use of CalPERS's estimate methodologies.

We recommended that CalPERS put in place a process to comprehensively review the IBNR estimates and the methodology used for the reasonableness, including for the completeness and accuracy and the information that's included. We also had recommended that CalPERS reconcile the summary third-party administrator claim activity to the detailed plan activity on a regular basis.

Management responded and took steps during the year to correct for this. The Health Plan Administration Division team completed and implemented a long-term care fund procedure and process for changes that details the claim's reconciliation. And the team also coordinated

with the State Controller's office and will be documenting, implementing and testing the HCF TPA reconciliation of claims summary to the detailed claims for the new PPO contract period coming up. This was effective as of January 1st, 2020.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The second finding that we had last year that has also since been remediated was we had observed that the developers in PeopleSoft, that's CalPERS' general ledger, had access to the production environment, which allows them to bypass the program change management controls. This in itself posed a potential segregation of duties issue. And so we had recommended that management remove This was the developer access to PeopleSoft production. done during the year and all developer PeopleSoft production access was terminated in November of 2019. And then periodic PeopleSoft user controls were also put into place in order to identify any potential segregation of duties matters going forward. We concur that that has also been remediated during the year.

We will open it up for any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. I'm not seeing any requests for -- oh, there we go. Okay.

Director Brown.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I just wanted to say thank you very much for the follow-up on findings and

letting us know that they have been resolved. That's one of my sort of big sticking points. We sometimes have findings internally and we don't actually know how they've been resolved. So very good detail. Thank you very much I'm glad management and the staff has been able to put those recommended changes into practice.

Thank you.

MS. MAK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

I'm seeing no more questions or comments. And

I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Is this an action? I'll move approval.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Move approval.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Second

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Moved by Ms. Brown and

18 | seconded by Ms. Paquin.

19 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Seeing no further
comments, I'll call for the question. Ms. Hopper, please

22 call the roll.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for

Fiona Ma?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for

Betty Yee?

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair, I have the motion being made by Margaret Brown, Lynn Paquin seconding it. I have all ayes. And it's for the approval of Item 6b, review of independent auditor's management letter.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. The item is approved.

I just want to again say thank you to Mr. Kim,
Ms. Mak and the entire team from BDO and the entire
CalPERS team that supported the efforts of the audit. It
really made my job as RAC Chairman much easier. Everyone
was very helpful and I look forward to continuing to see
progress with CalPERS performance in terms of our being
responsive to the audits, and every little anything that
we find that can be a potential opportunity for

improvement. I think over the years it's getting harder to find those little opportunities, but there are -- hopefully, we'll always continue to seek to improve. So thank you for your presentations and your answers to our questions.

So with that, we'll move to 6c, independent financial statement auditor selection.

Ms. Nix.

1.3

2.2

CONTROLLER NIX: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. I am Michele Nix, CalPERS

Controller and team member. This item presents the process and results of the Request for Proposal initiated this year for the independent external auditor. The Board has delegated to the Risk and Audit Committee the authority to conduct the selection of the Board independent financial statement auditor using a subcommittee of the RAC and to recommend the finalists to the Board.

On May 5th, 2020, CalPERS released RFP number 2020-8853 to initiate a competitive selection process to engage the services of a qualified audit firm to perform audits of CalPERS financial statements for fiscal years 2020-2021 and also -- and then again through 2024 to 2025. CalPERS received five responsive proposals from: BDO USA, LLP; CliftonLarsonAllen, LLP; Deloitte & Touche, LLP; Eide

Bailly, LLP; and KPMG, LLP.

1.3

2.2

All proposals passed both the technical and fee proposal evaluations. This item is for the subcommittee of -- is for the subcommittee of the Risk and Audit Committee, or the RAC, to present the highest scoring finalist for the independent audit financial statement auditor. Upon RAC approval, CalPERS will enter contract negotiations with the approved finalist. If contract negotiations are unsuccessful with the approved finalist, this item also seeks approval to enter contract negotiations with the second highest scoring finalist that's allowed per the related request for proposal 2020-8853.

At today's meeting, the subcommittee is presenting the top highest scoring proposer from the table above or in the actual attachment, BDS -- BDO USA, LLP with a total of 968 points for approval by the RAC as the independent financial statement auditor. KPMG, LLP and CliftonLarsenALlen, LLP were the second and third ranked proposers with 958 and 950 total points respectively.

I will turn it back over to you, Mr. Chair, for discussion and direction.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Nix.

I'm not seeing any requests to discuss, so at this point, I would entertain a motion or further

discussion. I will say it was a very difficult and challenging selection process in that we had such strong candidates and the scores ended up being quite close.

I'm pleased that CalPERS is able to attract such a strong showing among qualified candidates for this opportunity. So any thoughts from anyone before we -- so I would entertain a motion to -- oh, Director Middleton.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. And I would like to echo your comments. That is was an extremely close and very difficult decision, because we had truly outstanding candidates that were presented to us. But a recommendation was -- scores were received by the Committee and I'd like to recommend that we -- or make the motion that we approve the staff recommendation.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And is there a second?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: And I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Director Brown seconds.

Any further discussion?

Okay. I'll call for the question. Ms. Hopper, please call the roll.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona Ma?

25 | Fiona Ma?

1.3

2.2

35

1 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee?

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair, I have the motion being made by Lisa Middleton, seconded by Margaret Brown. All ayes for the approval Agenda Item 6c, independent financial statement auditor selection.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. The motion is approved. And congratulations to BDO and a really heartfelt thank you to everyone else who competed. As Director Middleton said, it was very close and, you know, very encouraging process.

So, okay, moving on to Item 6d, the RFP for parallel valuation and certification services. And I call on Ms. Archuleta.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Good afternoon,
Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. This agenda
item -- of, sorry, Fritzie Archuleta, Calpers team.

This agenda item is a request to release an RFP for actuarial consulting services. The selected contractor would provide a parallel valuation each year to the valuations produced by the actuarial office.

Currently, these valuations are performed on a triennial

1.3

2.2

schedule auditing public agency valuations, the State and school valuations and finally the judges and legislators valuations.

Best practices guidelines suggest that parallel valuations are performed every three to seven years.

Additionally, the actuarial office recommends that after the three-year cycle of parallel valuations, the fourth year will not have a parallel valuation performed.

Instead, this fourth year would coincide with our ALM cycle.

Recall that during our ALM cycle a separate external audit is done on the experience study. This option will save the organization up to a hundred thousand dollars over the life of the RFP.

This concludes my presentation. I will now open it up to any questions.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

So by not doing it the fourth year is this going

to be less oversight? So we're saying we're only going to do parallel valuations for three years and not do it on the fourth?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Yes. So currently, you know, your -- we pick a specific set of valuations that we would do a parallel val on. And so, you know, it would be -- this year, you're going to hear from Buck in just a second on this -- on the judges and legislators valuation system. And so next year is our ALM cycle. And so, yes, in that fourth year, we would not do a parallel valuation at all.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Yeah, I don't -- I don't -- okay. I don't support that. All right. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Director Rubalcava.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, you're still muted,

19 Director Rubalcava.

2.2

There you go.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you. Sorry about that. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm not on this committee, but I'm interested in following the actuarial action.

In the executive summary, I just want to make

sure I understand the language. It talks about an actuarial audit, and sometimes talks about actuarial review, and sometimes talks about parallel valuation. But what services are actually provided through this RFP. Is it just the parallel valuation or is there also an actuarial audit? And if there is, can you tell me the difference between the actuarial valuation, audit, and parallel valuation. Sorry.

1.3

2.2

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Sure. It's actually just a parallel valuation. So every year, they would pick the set of valuations that they wanted to audit and they would come up with independent liability numbers. And I believe the threshold is five percent within ours to prove reasonability.

You know, and then after the whole RFP is over, they do a follow-up, like, you know, this is -- our last contract is expiring right now and they do a follow-up, a closing remark on, you know, anything that they find. So, you know, any little calculation issues that they see, they -- you know, we tweak those numbers, you know, or -- you know, as they tell us, if there's anything that they see that's a problem with our valuation system and our calculations.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Does that answer

your question?

2.2

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Yes. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Middleton.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Ms. Archuleta, the option of foregoing one out of four years of the parallel audits, is that the recommendation of staff or is that simply an option that you are presenting to the Board?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: That is the recommendation of staff. We are still within best practice guidelines, if we do that. And we saw it as opportunity to also save some money for the organization.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: The ALM process, is that duplicative of some of the processes that would be going on if we were to do the parallel audit in the fourth year?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So during the ALM cycle, we also do a demographic experience study. And during that process, we have an external actuary auditing our experience study. So in that fourth year, while we won't have a parallel valuation done, we will still have a independent auditor looking at our experience study and our assumption setting.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Right. So in terms

of actual oversight, do you -- do you see any differences?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: I mean, I think
that -- as long as we stay within best practices, I think
we're okay. We're still well within the three to seven
year guidelines, so this is why we're recommending the
change.

1.3

2.2

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Okay. And whether we accept the change or do not accept the change, we can still go forward with the balance of the RFP, correct?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Correct.

There's actually two options on the agenda item. The other option is just the regular schedule, which, you know, we would request -- we do another RFP. And then next year, instead of skipping a parallel valuation year, we would go ahead and do the parallel valuation.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: How much time does the parallel valuation take?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: It takes roughly three months, I believe.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Director Rubalcava.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you. Thank you,
Ms. Archuleta. I had a question on the experience study.
Is the experience study on the demographic assumptions or

is it also on the demographic and economic assumptions, if you could explain?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: I'm sorry. It's an experience study on both.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thanks.

1.3

2.2

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: And then the ALM obviously is the study on the discount rate, but there's inflation in there.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Got it. Thank you very much for the clarification.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I see no more requests to speak on this -- or ask questions. So oh -- ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, Mr. Ruffino.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. I just have a quick question. What prompt for staff -- what prompt the change or the suggested action, is that something that we have done in the past?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: I'm sorry. I'm going to repeat the question, because I think you said what prompted the change? You kind of cut out.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Well, what prompt the suggested change? Have we skipped on fourth year in the past or is that -- have we done this in the

past at all?

2.2

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: That's a good question. I think we used to not do a parallel valuation on the fourth year, but I can't say for certain. I could maybe ask Scott that question. But this year, the reason that we decided to do the recommendation was, you know, the RFP -- the current RFP is finishing and this was the last year, so we will have to create another one, if we want to continue to do parallel valuations. And we saw it as an opportunity to save money for the organization, even though we're staying within best practices.

I'm not sure if -- Scott, do you want to -- did we used to skip in that fourth year? I thought we did.

CHIEF ACTUARY TERANDO: Sure. So maybe I can add some comments on that. Normally, you know, during the ALM cycle, that's kind of -- next year, we always have a review of the experience study. You know, that's going to be setting a discount rate, the economic assumptions, as well as all the demographic assumptions. And that is reviewed every four years to line up with the ALM process.

So what would happen is that we go in and we have a parallel val in the same year, which is going to be happening, is you're going to be reviewing the one group -- you know, one of those three sets of groups based on the old assumptions, because the new assumptions

haven't been set yet. So what happens is basically you're having two audits done -- or parallel valuations done in the same year.

1.3

2.2

You have the -- say, they're doing State and schools, they're going to be the doing the State and school review, and you're also going to be having the audit done or the review done on the experience study. So you're basically having two reviews done on the same year, so it's double the work. Normally, you'd want to reallocate one portion every year and so the fourth -- you know, that fourth year would be the experience study. You know, it lines up that it's -- everything is getting covered.

And as a reminder, you also have your independent auditor reviewing the system as well. They're looking at our assumptions. They're looking at our processes now. They don't do a parallel val, where they take our data and run through their system and do a person-by-person comparison, this is what this is.

But you're basically -- in the ALM cycle, if we do -- if we don't make a change, you're basically going to have two parallel valuations done, an audit done on the experience study, and you're going to have the independent audit review as well.

Yes, there -- that's like triple oversight.

That's fine if you want to go ahead and have that, but considering everything that's being -- we have oversight every year, this would be an opportunity to save some funds for the plans and for the system. So that's why our suggestion, in terms of, you know, we still meet best practices, but we're saving some money, and it kind of lines up with the timing of everything wells we do. But obviously, you know, it's up to the Committee and the Board on which route they choose.

2.2

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Great. Thank you, Scott -- thank you, Mr. Terando. That was helpful.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. It sounds also like then what you're saying, not to put too sharp a point on it, but it sounds like it creates an additional burden on staff to try to do all that all at one time, if they don't really need to do during that fourth year.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: That's correct. There's extra work involved for some of the staff, but, you know, we've been doing that as far as I can remember. So that wasn't really an issue.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Director Brown.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: Thank you. And that was my question. Thank you, Mr. Miller, for putting a point on that is that if it's a workload issue, that's a different issue for me versus, you know, it's the year

that we do the ALM. We've -- I don't want to save a hundred thousand dollars and have less oversight.

I mean, we -- this Committee -- I'm not sure what committee tried to do it when they tried to eliminate the guy who does the transcripts. And it's like no, no, no. I don't want to save money to eliminate oversight.

If it's duplicative, we can talk about it. If it's a workload issue, we should definitely talk about it. But if this fourth year is important and we get good information out of these parallel valuations, I don't -- this is not the time to stop doing these parallel valuations, in my opinion. And I'm not sure who's going to make a motion on that, but that's where I'm at.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah. So Scott, what do we lose, as Director Brown is pointing out, if we're losing something in oversight. Are we losing anything in oversight by not doing parallel valuation in the fourth year?

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time hearing. I'm just going to repeat your question. You're asking are we missing something if we don't do a parallel valuation in that --

CHAIRPERSON MILER: Yeah, that's my question.

DEPUTY CHIEF ACTUARY ARCHULETA: So normally this

gets (inaudible). We will review public agency valuations in one year, then State and school valuations the following year, and then in the third year we'll do the judges and legislators, and then the '59 Survivor Program.

1.3

2.2

And then the following year, we would just go right back and do public agency valuations again. And so all that -- all this recommendation would do was delay that one year and do, you know, a parallel valuation on the public agency valuations the following year.

So we're just going to skip that one year during the ALM cycle. And during the ALM cycle, we would have an independent audit -- actuarial firm audit our experience study. So it would be a different kind of oversight, if you will, because they would look at our experience study and not our actual valuation, you know, products. And then we would start again on the three-year cycle after that.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah. Thank you. That's very helpful.

Okay. I see no more requests to speak. What's the Committee's pleasure? I would entertain a motion for the staff's recommendation or --

COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: I move that we don't change anything.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm not seeing a second.

Do we have another motion? 1 VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: I would offer a 2 substitute motion that we accept staff's recommendation. 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Just a point of 4 5 order. ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: I'll second 6 7 that. 8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I don't think we have a 9 motion -- hang on. Hang on, Frank. I don't think we have a motion to substitute for, because Ms. Brown's motion did 10 not get a second. So I think Ms. Middleton's motion is 11 the motion looking for second. And I think Frank is 12 seconding it. 1.3 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Yes, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's -- okay. 15 16 So any further discussion? Okay. Seeing none. 17 I will call for the question and ask Ms. Hopper 18 to call the roll. 19 20 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown? COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN: No. 21 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for 2.2 Fiona Ma? 23 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER RUFFINO: Yes. 24 COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton? 25

```
COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
2
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ:
                                      Aye.
 3
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                          Shawnda Westly?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER WESTLY:
 5
                                      Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for
 6
    Betty Yee?
7
8
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN:
9
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. Chair, I have
    the motion made by Lisa Middleton and seconded by Frank
10
    Ruffino for Fiona Ma to approve item Agenda 6d.
11
             CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
12
```

Aye.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON:

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chappuie.

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Committee. Beliz Chappuie, Office of Audit Services.

certification. And it looks like I'll be call on Ms.

Information Item 7a, third-party valuation and

The item is approved. And we move on to Item 7.

Agenda Item 7a is an information item. Buck Global completed its independent review of the actuarial valuations of the Judges' Retirement System, Retirement System II, Legislators' Retirement System, and the 1959 Survive Benefit Program as of June 30, 2019. Buck Global staff is here to present their report. And I would like

to turn it over to them.

1.3

2.2

You're up.

MR. DRISCOLL: Than you. I am hear of course to present the results of parallel valuations and audits of the CalPERS actuarial valuation reports, those specifically due under Task 6 of the current contract. This includes coverage of the June 30th, 2019 valuations of the 1959 Survivor Benefit Program, the Judges' Retirement Program, Judges' Retirement Program II, and the Legislators' Retirement System.

What we do in these parallel valuations is to see whether we can reproduce results within five percent, if we start with the same data an assumptions and set of methods as the Calpers Actuarial Office. I'm pleased to report that we were able to do that.

Additionally, we reviewed the assumptions and methods used for reasonableness and consistency with actuarial standards of practice. We found that in every case, they were certainly reasonable and complied with actuarial standards of practice. We also review the reports for content that is thorough and understandable and complies with actuarial standards of practice.

Regarding communication, we found that those were fulfilled. In general, we find as in our earlier audits that the work of the Actuarial Office of CalPERS with

respect to the plans covered in this task is of very high quality. And we would say that Board members may be very confident that the actuarial work of CalPERS is being conducted in a very, very capable way.

1.3

2.2

All of that said, we did have a few suggestions, which you've seen in our reports, as to ways in which the valuation reports for these systems might be enhanced.

Those suggestions have been shared with the leadership of the Actuarial Office of CalPERS for their consideration.

I emphasize, however, that if any of those suggestions were adopted, they would not, in any significant way, change the results reported in the reports or any actions taken upon them.

And with that, I would be happy to entertain questions.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I don't have any requests to speak. Let me just double check.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{No}}$, no questions or comments. So thank you very much for that presentation.$

MR. DRISCOLL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is there anything else there, Ms. Chappuie?

CHIEF AUDITOR CHAPPUIE: I don't believe so, Mr.

Chair. This is an information item.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. That does it for that

item. So on to 7b, Enterprise Risk Management Framework Preview.

Mr. Forrest Grimes.

1.3

2.2

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Committee members, and members of the Board. Forrest Grimes, Calpers team.

It's nice to be with you as always.

Item 7b is an information item. And I'm going to update the Committee on the current state of enterprise risk management efforts. Risk assessments added a COVID lens this year, as you might imagine. Could we get the presentation up, please.

(Thereupon a slide presentation.)

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: I'm specifically looking for attachment 1, enterprise -- there we go.

Thank you very much.

So this is the Enterprise Risk Management

Dashboard. This is a very high level scorecard. And this year, you can see that we actually -- if we scroll down towards the bottom, you would see that we not only added climate risk, but we also added long-term care to the dashboard, which now we have ten enterprise risks.

The arrows that you see on this report indicate how the risk is trending. And the comments column provides a brief overview of the status of the risk as it

now stands.

1.3

2.2

Can we advance to attachment 4, please, which I think is two pages up.

--000--

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: While we're doing that, attachment 4 -- there it is. This is a new report that we're providing this year. And I think this really enhance the framework, the risk management framework, and provides that next level of maturity that we're always trying to bring as we review this with you annually.

This is the developing risk report. And basically, this identifies risks that are not yet well understood. And what we intend to do with these risks is analyze and monitor them. We also will implement low-cost, low-regret mitigations, if available. And really the -- what I just said really is that we will implement things that we really don't have to spend a whole lot of resources on, because we're not sure how effective they might be until we better understand the risks. So we would not spend a lot of resources on mitigate -- mitigating these risks at this time.

The identify developing risks include extended pandemic, and I think we're seeing some of that at this point, the new working model, policy uncertainties and social instability, and third-party risk. More to follow

on those as we proceed.

2.2

Next page, please.

--000--

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: What you're going to be seeing is our heatmap. And this really -- when this comes up, you'll see that the impact is on the Y axis, probability is on the X axis, and the velocity is reflected by the size of the bubble, larger being faster to come to fruition.

The gray really indicates the 2019 results, while the white plotting indicates the 2020 results, the current results. And noteworthy changes are that we added climate change and long-term care, as I previously mentioned.

Both are in the higher risk upper right quadrant. And if you look at this a little carefully, you'll see that that is immediate action required is what that would indicate. I don't think anyone would disagree with that on both of those fronts.

Information security you can see has been reduced due to better controls being in place. And the governance and control environment risk has increased due to the need for additional controls that are either now being implemented or under development.

With that, I'm going to stop and see if you have any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I'm not seeing any requests for questions or comments.

1.3

2.2

Let me just double check here, because -- no. It looks like no questions or comments. But I will say that I find -- I always find the risk discussions to be really important and helpful. And I really appreciate the clarity of the presentation. I think that's reflected by the fact that we understood it and didn't have a bunch of questions. So I do see Ms. Paquin has a question.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER PAQUIN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's more of a comment. I just wanted to say that I really do appreciate the addition of the developing risk areas, along with the sustainability, the climate risk and the long-term care risk added to the matrix. I think that that will really help and keep us all focused on where the risk lies for the enterprise. So thank you.

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: You're quite welcome.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Middleton, you have a

comment. I have a comment from Ms. Middleton as well.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I want to thank staff for the work. This is really impressive. And when I look at the heatmap I see that pension funding in terms of its impact is -- as an issue is growing and it is already at -- at the peak on this map. And I know staff is working on issues around

this, some of them are systemic for us, but I think we cannot lose sight of the grave risks that are associated with the cost of our pension programs.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHIEF RISK OFFICER GRIMES: Yes, Ms. Middleton.

And I wanted to just -- just reiterate that the reasons that this risk is perceived to be higher is two-fold, because basically we think that COVID has created some financial stress, as you mentioned previously on our employers. And additionally, you can see that velocity has increased. And I think that this really has attributed to the speed with this -- with this pandemic and how quickly something can really happen to the revenue sources.

We didn't really think that would be possible previously, but this pandemic is creating a lot of issues that didn't seem like they were possible previously.

So thank you.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thanks again for the presentation and the answers.

And seeing no further requests to speak, I think that closes that out.

And so we'll move on to summary of committee direction. Back to Ms. Timberlake D'Adamo.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER TIMBERLAKE D'ADAMO:

Yes. Hi. Thank you. I did not record any Committee direction.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I didn't catch any either.

5 (Laughter.)

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So there's concurrence on that. And I don't believe we have any requests for public comment. Mr. Fox, is that true?

STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: That is correct, Mr. Chair. There are no callers on this Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you, sir.

So that wraps up open session. We'll leave this and transition to closed session. And I think we'll see you all there shortly.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MIDDLETON: Mr. Chair, if I could just compliment you on doing triple duty today, having to chair three different committees and congratulations. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, thank you. I'm just trained to cope with all these different letter devices. So it's not natural to me, so I appreciate the encouragement.

Thank you.

Okay. See you soon in closed.

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,

Board of Administration, Risk & Audit Committee open

session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F.

Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of

California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 25th day of November, 2020.

1.3

2.2

James & Cotte

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063