VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETING STATE OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OPEN SESSION

ROBERT F. CARLSON AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2020 1:16 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS:

- Mr. Henry Jones, President
- Ms. Theresa Taylor, Vice President
- Ms. Margaret Brown
- Mr. Rob Feckner
- Ms. Fiona Ma, represented by Mr. Frank Ruffino
- Ms. Lisa Middleton
- Mr. David Miller
- Ms. Stacie Olivares
- Ms. Eraina Ortega
- Mr. Jason Perez
- Mr. Ramon Rubalcava
- Ms. Shawnda Westly
- Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Ms. Lynn Paquin

STAFF:

- Ms. Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer
- Mr. Dan Bienvenue, Interim Chief Investment Officer
- Mr. Michael Cohen, Chief Financial Officer
- Mr. Christian Farland, Chief Information Officer
- Mr. Douglas Hoffner, Chief Operating Officer
- Mr. Matthew Jacobs, General Counsel
- Dr. Donald Moulds, Chief Health Director

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

STAFF:

- Mr. Brad Pacheco, Deputy Executive Officer, Communications & Stakeholder Relations
- Mr. Anthony Suine, Deputy Executive Officer, Customer Services & Support
- Mr. Scott Terando, Chief Actuary
- Ms. Marlene Timberlake D'Adamo, Chief Compliance Officer
- Mr. Danny Brown, Chief, Legislative Affairs Division
- Ms. Pam Hopper, Board Secretary
- Mr. Kelly Fox, Chief, Stakeholder Relations
- Mr. Simiso Nzima, Investment Director
- Mr. John Shipley, Senior Attorney

ALSO PRESENT:

- Mr. Tim Behrens, California State Retirees
- Mr. Dillon Gibbons, California Special Districts Association
- Mr. Bijan Mehryar, League of California Cities

I N D E X PAG				
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1		
Return from closed session				
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	3		
2.	Approval of the September 16, 2020 Board of Administration Timed Agenda			
3.	Pledge of Allegiance - Henry Jones 6			
4.	Board President's Report - Henry Jones 6			
5.	Chief Executive Officer's Report (Oral) - Marcie Frost			
6.	Action Consent Items - Henry Jones	15, 47		
	 a. Approval of the June 15 and 17, 2020 and July 14 and 15, 2020 Board of Administration Meeting Minutes b. Board Travel Approvals c. Approval of Nomination of Board Member to Outside Board 			
7.	 Information Consent Items - Henry Jones a. Board Meeting Calendar b. Draft Agenda for the November 18, 2020 Board of Administration Meeting c. General Counsel's Report d. Communications and Stakeholder Relations 	18		
8.	Committee Reports and Actions a. Investment Committee (Oral) - Theresa Taylor b. Pension & Health Benefits Committee (Oral) - Rob Feckner c. Finance & Administration Committee (Oral) - Theresa Taylor d. Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee (Oral) - Rob Feckner e. Risk & Audit Committee (Oral) - David Miller f. Board Governance Committee (Oral) - Henry Jones	18 19 23 26 30 30		

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D PAGE 9. Action Agenda Items Proposed Decisions of Administrative Law 36 Judges - Henry Jones Firefighters of Los Alamitos 1. Tawanna R. McFarland 3. Susan P. Galloway 4. Ernest C. Shepherd 5. Harold Jennings 6. Lloyd A. Getchell 7. Regina T. Shcueneman Geri E. Chilelli b. Petition for Reconsideration - Henry Jones 43 1. Kimberly A. O'Donnell Request for Precedential Decision -50 Henry Jones Dudley J. Lang 1. 10. Information Agenda Items State and Federal Legislation Update -Danny Brown 72 88 b. Summary of Board Direction - Marcie Frost Public Comment 89 C. Approval to Meet in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(18)(A) -92 Henry Jones Return from Closed Session Report out on Information Security Update and 95 Cybersecurity Briefing 97 Adjournment 98 Reporter's Certificate

PROCEEDINGS 1 PRESIDENT JONES: I call the Board of 2 Administration meeting to order and the first order of 3 business is roll call. 4 Mrs. Hopper. 5 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Henry Jones? 6 PRESIDENT JONES: Here. 7 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown? 8 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Here. 9 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner? 10 BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Good morning -- good 11 afternoon. 12 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona 13 Ma? 14 ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Present. 15 16 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton? David Miller? 17 BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Here. 18 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares? 19 20 BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Here. BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega? 21 BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Here. 22 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton? 23 BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Present. 24 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez? 25

```
BOARD MEMBER PEREZ:
                                  Here.
1
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
2
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA:
                                       Here.
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Here.
 5
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                       Shawnda Westly?
 6
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Here.
7
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Betty Yee -- Lynn Paquin
8
9
    for Betty Yee?
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Here.
10
                                                  Thank you.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you.
11
             Mrs. Hopper.
12
             Okay. We'll now recess into closed session for
13
    Items 1 and 2 from the closed session agenda.
14
             So, at this time, the Board members will exit
15
16
    this open session meeting and connect to the closed
    session meeting.
17
             To the members of the public watching on
18
    livestream, the Board of Administration open session
19
20
   meeting will convene immediately following the closed
    session.
21
             So we'll see you in a little while.
2.2
23
             (Off record: 1:18 p.m.)
             (Thereupon the meeting recessed
24
             into closed session.)
25
```

```
(Thereupon the meeting reconvened
1
             open session.)
2
             (On record: 2:01 p.m.)
 3
             PRESIDENT JONES: So good afternoon.
                                                    I would
 4
5
    like to convene the Board of Administration meeting. And
    the first order of business is roll call.
6
             Mrs. Hopper, please.
7
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Henry Jones?
             PRESIDENT JONES: Here.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
10
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Good afternoon.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
12
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Good afternoon.
13
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
14
   Ma?
15
16
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Present.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
17
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Present.
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Here.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
21
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Here.
22
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Here.
24
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
25
```

```
BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Here.
1
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
2
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA:
                                      Here.
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Here
 5
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Shawnda Westly?
 6
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Here.
7
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
9
   Yee?
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: All in attendance, Mr.
11
   President.
12
             PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Ms. Hopper.
13
             The next item on the agenda is approval of the
14
    September 16 Board of Administration timed agenda.
15
16
   have a motion?
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Move approval.
17
             PRESIDENT JONES: Moved by Ms. Taylor.
18
             Second by?
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER:
                                   Second.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Second by Mr. Miller.
21
             Roll call, Ms. Hopper.
2.2
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
24
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
25
```

```
BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
1
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
2
 3
   Ma?
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
 4
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Lisa Middleton?
 5
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
7
8
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
9
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
11
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
                                  Aye.
12
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
1.3
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ:
14
                                  Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
15
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
16
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
17
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
21
   Yee?
2.2
23
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: I have a motion by
24
25
    Theresa Taylor, seconded by David Miller. All ayes, Mr.
```

President.

2.2

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Hopper.

Next item on the agenda is Pledge of Allegiance
to the flag. And I've asked Mr. Middleton to lead us in
the Pledge.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you, Mr. President. Please join me in saluting the flag of our country.

(Thereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited in unison.)

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Ms. Middleton

The next item on the agenda is the Board

President's report.

And so good afternoon again. And I want to begin by recognizing and thanking the firefighters, police officers, highway patrol, and all of the first responders who have been fighting these wildfires in California. They put their lives on the line each and every day to save the lives of others, protect homes, businesses, and historic places that are grounded in California's history. It's an honor and a privilege to serve them and ensure that they receive the retirement and health benefits that they deserve. Our hearts go out to anyone who has lost a family member, a friend, or home to these devastating fires.

I now -- I'd to speak of all of us, we hope that the days of this smoke-filled -- smoke-filled skies are -- will soon be behind us. The fires are a clear reminder that climate change is real. The evidence of rapid climate change is compelling. In addition to the fires in the west, we are witnessing extreme weather events across the United States. Globally, ice sheets are shrinking, oceans are warming, sea levels are rising, and snowpacks are decreasing.

1.3

2.2

This is why climate change is one of CalPERS' top priorities and risks to the success of our fund. So on behalf of the Board, I also want to thank CalPERS team members for their dedication and their commitment to serving our members and what has been a very, very challenging year.

We recognize that while you're working remotely, you're balancing that with home schooling children, carrying for family members, and a new way of living during a pandemic. We're grateful for all the work you and -- work that you do and your resilience.

Finally, I want to thank my colleagues for the insightful and constructive dialogue we had yesterday afternoon on Board Governance. It's good that we revisit how the changes we've made are working. And we should continue to do that in a very thoughtful and structured

manner. I want to thank Anne Simpson for pulling these agenda items together in such a short time, and for helping remind us why we made the decisions that we did.

2.2

So that concludes my report. I'll turn it over to our CEO, Ms. Frost.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Thank you,
President Jones. And as you indicated, we're rounding out
month number six of our work-from-home orders and I think
the challenges in 2020 are still coming. On top of the
COVID-19 pandemic and an extremely volatile investment
environment, Cal -- California is experiencing some of the
worst fire seasons I think they've had -- or we've had in
our history. And it's not just California, it's
Washington, it's Oregon as well.

But what we know at CalPERS is that it's an honor to serve the CalPERS members protecting people and communities throughout the state.

Let me bring you up-to-date a bit on how we're meeting the members needs, starting with our members affected by the Loyalton, the Jones and Lake fires. We've reached out to about 30 retirees living in those communities trying to make direct contact with them, to ensure that they will be able to receive their retirement checks. Some of opted in to direct deposit, which we certainly appreciate and would advise them to do so, if

possible, while others have chosen to continue to receive their paper checks.

1.3

2.2

Our teams who are processing retirement and survivor benefit applications are exceeding the service level agreements of 95 percent, while maintaining consistently high satisfaction ratings. And a number of retirement applications that are now being done through the myCalPERS system is now over 65 percent, which is a significant increase from the last time I reported this number to you.

Some of that could be due to the education that many of our members are receiving during the CalPERS education events. We held one online in July. It was a week-long event, with modules around self-education, and learning sessions that were hosted by our team members. And really, it was intended to guide members through the various resources that are available to them, in order for them to make the informed decisions that they need to make. There are about 3,300 members who attended. And the satisfaction rating for that event was at 98 percent.

Because of the success of the virtual CBEE, the CalPERS Benefit Education Event, we are planning another one in November.

Also, with our internal operations, this really has been a time of dedication, and resilience, and

flexibility on the part of our team members, who are all doing their best to balance all the new needs that have been presented to them. I have heard from many of our team members who have shared their own experiences, and they tell me it's a tough balancing act, but they're managing to remain productive all the same.

2.2

That productivity might look a little bit different in their home offices than it did while they were working at one of the CalPERS buildings, but people are very dedicated to the mission that we have at CalPERS.

Many of them also have expressed appreciation for our culture we have here that really supports their ability to be successful in both arenas. And I think it's really one of the things that makes working here worth it.

The number one thing that I hear over and over is that our employees care for and really appreciate the people they work with and the people they work for. The executive team is also very focused on making sure all of our team members have the information they need, the resources they need, and the support that they need to be successful.

I now turning now over to some outreach. Over the last month, I've met with several business organizations, over the last likely four to six weeks.

The first one being the Silicon Valley Leadership Group,

which represents one if every three private sector jobs in the area, and Jim Wunderman, CEO of the Bar Area Council. That council is made up of business leaders working with public and community leaders to drive innovation in the Bay Area to be competitive, to be inclusive and to be a sustainable region in the world.

2.2

I had productive conversations with both and fielded several questions about creating a better business climate for CalPERS to be invested in California.

That was true also of my meeting that I had just a couple of weeks ago with the Orange County Business Council President CEO Lucy Dunn.

And then finally, Dan Bienvenue and I participated in a presentation to the members of the California Society of Municipal Finance Officers, which includes many of our 3,000 participating employers. These are all great opportunities for CalPERS to share our plans, to protect the retirement security of our members, and strengthen, you know, really our role with them as a reliable partner, that we can't offer these retirement benefits without healthy business partners and healthy employers along the way.

And then that does bring me to some work that we're doing with our employers next month. On October 14th and October 15th, we'll host the Annual Education

Forum. But, of course, this one will be online. In addition to a few general sessions on the first day that cover CalPERS's basics, participants will be able to connect one-on-one with our team to be able to ask questions and discuss key issues that their agencies might be facing.

1.3

2.2

As usual, we will also hold the Spotlight on Excellence award presentation. And that will be done on the first day again.

The second day of the forum will feature more advanced learning sessions to help employers with the operational details of managing CalPERS' Pension and Health Benefits. The virtual exhibits and one-on-one consultations will also be available to ensure that people don't leave this virtual setting with questions that are unanswered.

And then the online format for the event will be highly interactive, at least to the extent that we can make it so and likely will be a unique experience for people who are able to attend. The event will be free for all CalPERS' contracting agencies, which we hope will improve the access to all employers who are interested and would benefit from the experience.

And just a couple of last items before I close. I told you earlier this summer that we had 18 team members

receive our highest form of recognition here, which is the APEX award. Last month in August, we hosted a virtual award ceremony that honored them all during my weekly webchat. And I think you can see the recipients on your screen now.

PRESIDENT JONES: Very nice.

2.2

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We took time to share some excerpts of each person's work that made their colleagues take notice and ultimately led to their nominations.

What does impress me about this group is that while they're very honored by the recognition. They're also extremely humble about it. Many of them will just tell you I'm doing my job and that reason they strive for excellence is because we want to stay focused on serving our members. It is a very inspiring event.

And then lastly, I do want to make sure that the 2020 Our Promise, the California State employees giving at work campaign, letting you all know that that has also launched. This is something that I got to be a co-sponsor of in my first year at here in California. The campaign goes all State employees the option to make charitable donations through payroll deduction. Leading the team as co-chairs of the CalPERS Our Promise campaign for the second year are Don Martinez, who is the Chief of Member

Account Management, and then Forrest Grimes who is the Chief Risk Officer.

1.3

2.2

Anthony Suine will also once again be leading the team of key connectors who are responsible for distributing and collecting contribution forms from our employees. And new this year, Suzi Ishikawa, from our Office of Public Affairs is serving as an executive on loan throughout the Our Promise campaign.

With all of the turmoil, and anxiety, and the chaos that's out there, that everyone really has experienced in some form this year, there are really a lot of people in need of help and non-profit organizations as well. So this is a great opportunity, if you're able, to contribute to a very worthy cause. And employees just need to set up awe Cal Employee Connect account to get started.

And then I'm going to end with the investment performance and this performance is through June 30th of 2020. One year -- the one-year number is 4.7, the three-year number is 6.6, the five-year number is 6.3, the ten-year number is 8.5. And that does conclude my report, President Jones, and I'm happy to take questions if there are any.

PRESIDENT JONES: No, I see no questions. Thank you very much, Ms. Frost. And on behalf of the Board,

congratulations to the APEX 2020 members. So thank you 1 very much for sharing that. 2 (Applause.) 3 PRESIDENT JONES: The next item on the agenda is 4 the -- is action consent items. We have two items, 6a and 5 6b, approval of the minutes and also the Board travel 6 7 approvals. Do we have a motion? 8 VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Move approval. 9 BOARD MEMBER MILLER: So moved. PRESIDENT JONES: Moved by Mrs. Taylor, second by 10 Mr. Miller. 11 Mrs. Hopper. 12 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown? 1.3 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: 14 Aye. BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner? 15 16 BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye. BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona 17 Ma? 18 ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye. 19 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton? 20 BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye. 21 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller? 2.2 23 BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye. BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares? 24 25 BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
1
2
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
 3
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
 5
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
7
8
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
9
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
11
   Yee?
12
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
13
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
14
15
    all ayes, Theresa Taylor making the motion, David Miller
16
    seconding it. And is this for just 6a and 6b?
             PRESIDENT JONES: No, I'm sorry, 6c also.
17
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Okay. Thank you.
18
19
             PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you. Okay. Thank you
   very much, Ms. Hopper.
20
             Mr. Feckner.
21
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
2.2
23
   President. I do have a comment on the Board travel
    approvals, not talking about this one in particular.
24
25
    talk about in general from now on. Consider adding a
```

column for estimated expenditures. I mean, we keep pushing along these line for complete transparency. We know how much these trips are going to cost. We can estimate the travel and the hotel. I just think we need to a line on there, so that our stakeholders can see the value that they're getting. And I think it's just important that we all are transparent with that amount. Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. You're welcome. And,
Mr. Feckner, are you also -- I mean, you said estimate,
but after the trip has occurred, many times the actual is
different from the estimate. Are you suggesting also that
we provide the actuals later or just go with the
estimates?

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: No, absolutely. I think if we're going to be transparent, we need to show the actual amount.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Okay. The next --

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: And, President Jones, we do put down on a quarterly basis all of the travel, and the travel does list the actual expenditures.

So this would be the new part to the process and I'll take

25 | that as Committee direction.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Frost. Okay. The next item on the agenda is the information consent items, and I have no request to remove anything from the consent items.

2.2

So we would now move to Item 8, Committee Reports and Actions. The first one is Item 8a, Investment Committee. For that, I call on the Chair, Mrs. Taylor.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. President.

The Investment Committee met on September 14th, 2020. The Committee received reports on the following topics:

An update on economic conditions, commentary on performance and risk positioning across CalPERS' fund, and a review of investment and business objectives for the investment program. An update on market conditions, CalPERS performance, and annual program review commentary from the Board's investment consultants. A presentation on the results of the annual evaluation of the Board investment consultants. An overview of the long-term fund's strategic asset allocation, investment management services, and the plan's for review.

The chair directed staff to provide definitions for the various reasons a contractor may be exempt from the usual contracting selection process, to return in November with a climate change strategy update, answer

questions posed by two of the public commenters, explore the ability to ensure investment fund naming consistency between the Investment Office reports and the CAFR.

The Committee heard public comment on the following topics, investment strategy and risks and labor disputes.

At this time, I'd like to share some highlights of to what expect in the November Investment Committee meeting. An overview of tracking error and the Responsible Contractor Policy Program annual report, and an update on the climate change strategy.

The next meeting of the Investment -- Investment Committee is scheduled for November 16th, 2020 in Sacramento, California or wherever we all may be.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Taylor.

The next item on the agenda is 8b, Pension and Health Benefits Committee. For that, I call on the Chair, Mr. Feckner.

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you, Mr. President.

The Pension and Health Benefits Committee met on September 15th, 2020. The Committee recommends and I move the Board approve the following:

Agenda Item 6a, the transition of California
Public Employees' Retirement System existing traditional

2.0

```
spread and rebate-driven pharmacy benefit manager contract
1
    with OptumRx to a market-leading acquisition-based
2
    contract with a one-year contract extension and an option
3
    fr a second year extension at CalPERS discretion.
             You're muted.
5
             PRESIDENT JONES: On the motion of the Committee.
 6
7
             Mrs. Hopper.
8
             BOARS SECRETARY HOPPER: I'm sorry, Mr.
9
   President, who made the motion on that?
             PRESIDENT JONES: Mr. Feckner.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: And do we have a second?
11
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: I'll second.
12
             PRESIDENT JONES: It doesn't require a second.
1.3
   It's from the Committee
14
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: I gotcha.
15
                                                  Than you.
16
             Margaret Brown?
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
17
                                  Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
18
19
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
20
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
   Ma?
21
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
2.2
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
24
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
25
```

2.1

```
BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
1
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
2
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES:
 3
                                     Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
 5
                                  Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                     Jason Perez?
 6
7
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ:
                                  Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
8
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
10
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
12
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
13
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
14
   Yee?
15
16
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
                                          Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
17
    all ayes for Item 6a for the Pension and Health Benefits
18
    Committee.
19
20
             PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Ms. Hopper.
             Mr. Feckner.
21
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you. The Committee
2.2
23
   received reports on the following topics.
             The Committee approved proposed changes to the
24
    PHBC delegation, the Committee received information
25
```

regarding HMO and PPO risk mitigation strategies, and the Long-Term Care Program's competitive strategy.

1.3

2.2

The Committee received public comment regarding the conversion of the PBM contract with OptumRx to an acquisition-based contract, long-term care competitive strategy, risk mitigation strategies, and looking beyond the U.S. for leverage on drug pricing.

The Chair directed staff to share a list of chronic conditions used in performance measures with the Board, discuss chronic conditions among CalPERS members by type at a future PHBC meeting, share plan enrollment figures and trends with stakeholders when explaining the new rating methodology, provide pharmacy information targeted at fire victims on the CalPERS website.

At this time, I'd like to share some highlights of what to expect at the November PHBC meeting. The Committee will receive a behavioral health update and approved benefit design and service are changes, long-term care benefit design changes, and HMO and PPO risk mitigation strategies.

The next meeting of the PHBC is scheduled for November 17th 2020. That concludes my report, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Feckner.

I do have a -- no, that's a request from outside.

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Feckner. The next item on the Agenda is 8c, Finance and Administration Committee. For that, I call on the Chair, Mrs. Taylor.

2.2

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. President. The Finance Com -- and Administration Committee met on September 15th, 2020.

The Committee recommends and I move the Board approve the following:

Item -- Agenda Item 4b, approve the recommendation to release a focused Request for Proposal solicitation for an external investment manager for the Long-Term Care Fund. And -- do we want to take these separately or do we want to do them all at once?

PRESIDENT JONES: Unless someone requests that they be pulled out, why don't you do them all Mrs. Taylor and then we'll take one vote.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. Agenda Item 6a, adopt the revised State and schools employer contribution rates for the period July 1, 2020 to June 30th, 2021.

Agenda Item 6b, approve the revised Asset Liability Management Policy.

Item 6c, approve the proposed amendments to Sections 554.5, 554.6, 554.8 of the California Code of Regulations and approve the submittal of the follow -- final rulemaking package to the Office of Administrative

2.4

		2 4
1	Law.	
2		PRESIDENT JONES: On the motion of the Committee.
3		Mrs. Hopper, please.
4		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
5		BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
6		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
7		BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
8		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
9	Ma?	
10		ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
11		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
12		BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
13		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
14		BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
15		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
16		BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
17		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
18		BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.
19		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
20		BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
21		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
22		BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
23		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
24		VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
25		BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.

1.3

2.2

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee?

ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have all ayes for Agenda Items 6 -- 4b, 6a, 6b, 6c for the Finance and Administration Committee.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Mrs. Hopper.

Mrs. Taylor.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you.

The Committee received reports on the following topics: annual actuarial valuation Terminated Agency Pool, pension contract program annual report, long-term care valuation.

The Chair directed staff to provide additional details on the actual expenditures on internal staff and administrative expenses and the FedEx contract.

The Chair also directed staff to bring back in November 2020 an agenda item on Board elections regarding wet signatures in light of the pandemic.

At this time, I'd like to share some of the highlights of what to expect at the November Finance and Administration Committee meeting: 2019-20 basic financial statements, 2020-21 mid-year budget revision, and annual review of funding levels and risk reports.

```
The next meeting of the Finance and
1
    Administration Committee is scheduled for November 17th,
2
    2020. And that is my report, Mr. President.
 3
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Taylor.
                    The next item on the Agenda is 8d,
5
    Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee.
6
    For that, I call on the Chair, Mr. Feckner.
7
8
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you, Mr. President.
9
    The Performance, Compensation and Talent Management
    Committee met on September 16th, 2020. The Committee
10
    recommends and I move the Board approve the following:
11
             Agenda Item 7a, Chief Executive Officer's 2019-20
12
    incentive award. Approve the CEO's 2019-20 incentive
1.3
    award of $100,617.
14
             PRESIDENT JONES: On the motion of the Committee.
15
16
             Mrs. Hopper.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
17
                                      Margaret Brown?
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
                                  No.
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
19
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
20
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
21
2.2
   Ma?
23
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
24
25
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON:
                                      Aye.
```

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
1
2
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
 3
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES:
                                     Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
 5
                                      Eraina Ortega?
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
7
8
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: No.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
9
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
11
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR:
                                     Aye.
12
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
13
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
14
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
15
16
   Yee?
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
17
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
18
19
    eight ayes and four noes. And the noes were from Margaret
20
    Brown, Eraina Ortega, Jason Perez, and Lynn Paquin for
   Betty Yee.
21
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you. The item
2.2
23
   passes. Thank you, Mrs. Hopper.
             Mr. Feckner.
24
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you.
25
```

2.8

```
Agenda Item 7b, Board's primary executive and
1
    investment compensation consultant request for proposal
2
    finalist approval. Approve Global Governance Advisors as
 3
    the Board's primary executive and investment compensation
 4
5
    consultant. Approve CalPERS to enter contract
    negotiations with Global Governance Advisors, and if those
6
    negotiations are unsuccessful, approve CalPERS to enter
7
8
    contract negotiations with the next highest scoring
9
    finalist.
             PRESIDENT JONES: On the motion of the Committee.
10
11
             Mrs. Hopper.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
12
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
1.3
                                  Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
14
15
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER:
                                   Aye.
16
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
   Ma?
17
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO:
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
19
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
20
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
21
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
2.2
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
23
24
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
25
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
```

```
BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
                                    Aye.
1
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
2
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ:
                                   Aye.
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
 5
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
 6
7
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
10
   Yee?
11
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
                                           Aye.
12
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
1.3
    all ayes for Item 7b for the Performance, Compensation and
14
15
    Talent Management Committee.
16
             PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Mrs. Hopper.
17
             Mr. Feckner.
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER:
                                     Thank you.
18
19
             The Committee received a report on the following
20
    topic: financial interest separation requirements for
    Chief Investment Officer position.
21
             The Committee heard public comment on the
2.2
23
    following topics, financial interest separate requirements
    for Chief Investment Officer position.
24
25
             The Chair directed staff to provide additional
```

information and research on how financial interest separation requirements should apply to the CIO position and other executive and investment management positions as well as members of the Board.

1.3

2.2

At this time, I would like to share some highlights of what to expect at the November Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee meeting.

Incentive compensation recommendations for the Chief Investment Officer.

The next meeting of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee will be in November.

Thank you, Mr. President. That ends my report.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Feckner.

And there was no Risk and Audit Committee so we will move to the next item on the agenda, 8f, Board Governance Committee. For that, I call on the Vice Chair Ms. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. My apology. I was having trouble with mute.

This -- the Board Governance Committee met on September 15, 2020. The Committee recommends and I move the Board approve the following:

Agenda Item 5b, approve the expansion of the Investment Committee to include all 13 Board members, making it a committee of the whole.

Agenda Item 5c, approve the attached language in section IX.A page 16 of 25 of the Board Governance Policy to establish shared responsibility between the Board and the Chief Executive Officer for the hiring, evaluating, and terminating of the Chief Investment Officer.

PRESIDENT JONES: Ms. Middleton, I've received a request to separate these items. So if we can go back to the first one and we'll take them one at a time.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: 5b is aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona

15 Ma?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?

PRESIDENT JONES: You're muted Mrs. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?

BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
1
2
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
 3
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
 5
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Nay.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Was that a yes, Ms.
7
8
    Taylor
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
10
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
12
   Yee?
1.3
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
14
                                          Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Mr. President, I have 11
15
16
   ayes and one no. The no was made by Theresa Taylor.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. The next item, Ms.
17
   Middle -- that item passes. The next -- Mrs. Taylor, if
18
   you want to comment now, I see you have a thing in the
19
20
   queue.
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No, I just didn't think
21
   you'd catch my separation.
2.2
23
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Okay. The next
   item, Ms. Middleton.
24
25
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: I move, and the Board
```

```
approve Item 5c. And I will not read that again, unless
1
    requested.
2
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. I don't see a request.
 3
    So on the motion by the Committee, Mrs. Hopper.
 4
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
5
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
7
8
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
   Ma?
10
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
12
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
1.3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
14
15
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
16
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
17
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
18
19
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.
20
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
21
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
22
23
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: No.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
24
25
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No.
```

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?

BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty

4 Yee?

1.3

2.2

ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have ten ayes, two noes, and the noes were made by Ramon Rubalcava, Theresa Taylor.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Mrs. Hopper. The item passes.

Ms. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. The

Committee also reviewed and discussed the proposed

revision to the Board Governance Policy regarding the

reporting of investigations to the Board and asked

management to bring back revised language that

incorporates input from the State Personnel Board and

Calhr, as well as the comments made during the discussion

of this item, and considers adding the Chair of the Risk

and Audit Committee to the notification process.

The Committee also discussed and considered the 2021 Board and Committee meeting schedule. And the Chair asked the Chair of the Investment Committee to bring back proposed dates and content for additional meetings in 2021 for consideration at the Investment Committee's November

meeting.

1.3

2.2

The next meeting of the Board Governance

Committee is tentatively scheduled for November 17 in

Sacramento, California or wherever we may be.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Ms. Brown.

might miss me. I want to thank the Governance Committee for expanding the Investment Committee to include all members. You know, one thing I don't see here on the notes, Ms. Middleton, is the discussion about Pension Health Benefits Committee being also a committee of the whole. And so I'm hoping maybe we can bring that back in November as well. I just -- I don't know, but it seemed like quite a few of us were concerned about that and thought that might also be appropriate.

Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: It is my understanding that we did not have authority to bring that back, but you are correct, many of us have that same concern.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. We now -- before we go to Item 9, I see that we've had a couple of late requests just came in that want to talk about some of the previous items that we've already covered and several of them. So 6b, 7a, 8b, and 8d.

Oh, is this -- he's saying he will speak at public comment on those now, Ms. --- Cheree?

MS. SWEDENSKY: That's correct.

2.2

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. So we don't have to go back. Okay. Good. I appreciate that. Okay. That's great.

Okay. Now, we will go to Agenda Item 9. And we've got a request, Mrs. Taylor. When we get to 9c, they want to have a comment on that before we take a vote.

So for the proposed decisions of administrative law judges, I call on the Vice President, Mrs. Taylor.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. President. I'm trying to read this to see how we do that.

So 9c1 is that what we're talking about, is that the request?

PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah, it's 9c, and then we have 9c and then we have a question on 9c1

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Mr. Chair, I also had a comment here. I need to -- I need to recuse on one of the nine items as well, so...

PRESIDENT JONES: Which 9 item did you need to recuse yourself?

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: I need TO recuse from 9a. So I would like to ask that 9a be taken up as separate vote.

```
PRESIDENT JONES: The whole, all of those under
1
    9a.
2
 3
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Just A.
                                            The -- I think
    it's --
 4
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR:
                                     There's 1 through 8.
5
             (Multiple voices at once.)
 6
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
7
                                   The Los -- Los Alamitos
8
    Fire I need to have taken up separately so I can recuse
9
   myself on that item.
             PRESIDENT JONES: So 9a1. Okay.
10
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
11
                                   Thank vou.
             PRESIDENT JONES: So we're excluding 9a1 from
12
   this vote. So move forward, Mrs. Taylor.
1.3
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. Boy, this is
14
    confusing. Okay. I move to adopt the proposed decisions
15
16
    at Agenda Items 9a2 through 8 as the Board's own decision
    with minor modifications argued by staff to Agenda Items
17
    9a2 and 5 through 8.
18
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Second.
19
20
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Can I interject?
             PRESIDENT JONES: Moved by Ms. Taylor. Second by
21
   Mr. Miller.
2.2
23
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Hold it. Hold on Matt is
    objecting to this.
24
25
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Matt.
```

objecting to it, but I did -- I thank that the request -- we had asked that the 9a3 be put over to November, Susan Galloway. What happened there is that we uploaded the respondent's argument late. And so the respondent requested that that go over to November. We do have time, because of the Governor's Executive Order extending the deadlines on OAH matters, to have that heard in November. So in light of that request by the respondent.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Matt, is that 9a3?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes, 9a3.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. So et me redo this then. So 9a1 and 9a3. So let me redo this.

I move to adopt the proposed decisions at Agenda Items 9a2, 9a4 through 8 as the Board's own decision with the minor modifications argued by staff to Agenda Items 9a2, and 5 through 8.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Moved by Ms. Taylor.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Second that.

PRESIDENT JONES: Second by Mr. Miller.

Mrs. Hopper, please.

1.3

2.2

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Aye.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
1
   Ma?
2
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
 5
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
 6
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
7
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
9
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
10
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
12
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
1.3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
14
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
15
16
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
17
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
18
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: This includes the Los
19
20
   Alamitos case?
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR:
                                     No.
21
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: No. Then aye.
22
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: So Ms. Westly was that
   an aye?
24
25
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: That's an aye.
```

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                       Thank you.
1
             Lynn Paquin for Betty Yee?
2
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
 4
    Theresa making the motion, David Mille seconding it.
5
    have all ayes for Items 9, 2 through 9, 8 with excluding
6
    9a1 and 9a3.
7
8
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Hopper.
9
             Then we go back to 9a1, Mrs. Taylor.
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I recommend -- or I move
10
    the Board adopt the proposed decision at 9a1. We're going
11
    to do that separately.
12
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yes.
                                     It's move by Ms. Taylor.
1.3
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: I have a question, sir.
14
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Let's gets a second
15
    first and then we'll --
16
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
                                  Second.
17
             PRESIDENT JONES: Ms. Brown. Okay.
18
             Mr. Perez.
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Do we have Mr. Shah on the
    line?
21
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yes.
2.2
23
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yes.
             MR. SHAH: Yes, I'm right here.
24
25
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay.
```

MR. SHAH: Sorry. Good morning.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Good morning -- or afternoon. Two questions.

MR. SHAH: Good afternoon, yeah.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Number one, why did this come to -- why did this come to the CalPERS Board, and number two, how is a firefighter at Los Alamitos Base any different than any other State firefighter?

MR. SHAH: That's a great question. It came to the Calpers Board, because it went through the administrative process. The staff denied the member's request and it was appealed to the Office of Administrative Hearings. So it resulted in a -- in a proposed decision and that's how it came to the Calpers Board.

Now, how as to -- as to the second part of your question, the gist of the proposed decision is that the members here are active duty service members and not civil service employees. And that's the reason why they're in a separate classification, not as traditional firefighters. That's what the proposed decision finds and we believe that it is a correct finding.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Does that work for you Jason?

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. It was moved by Ms.

```
Taylor and second by Mr. Miller. So we have a question.
1
2
             Ms. Brown. I'm sorry.
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: It was seconded by me.
 3
             (Laughter.)
             PRESIDENT JONES: Second by Ms. Brown. Thank you
5
   Ms. Brown.
6
7
             (Laughter.)
8
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. So, Ms. Hopper.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
9
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
11
12
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
1.3
   Ma?
14
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
15
16
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Lisa Middleton?
             (Automated phone voice.)
17
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: That's not me
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye. Still aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
21
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
22
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
23
24
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye. Sorry. I'm going
   to dial in now.
25
```

4.3

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
1
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Abstain.
2
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
 3
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
 5
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
7
8
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
9
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Abstain.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
11
   Yee?
12
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
13
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
14
    Theresa Taylor making the motion, Margaret Brown seconding
15
16
    it. I have ten ayes, two abstains, one made by Eraina
    Ortega and the other by Shawnda Westly, for item 9a1.
17
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you.
                                                   The item
18
19
   passes.
20
             So we're withdrawing 9a3 for additional
   information at a later date.
21
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Right.
2.2
23
             PRESIDENT JONES:
                               Okay.
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So let me go on to 9b
24
25
    then.
```

```
PRESIDENT JONES: 9b.
1
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. I move to deny the
2
   petition for reconsideration at Agenda Item 9b -- 9b1.
 3
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: I'll second.
 4
             PRESIDENT JONES: Second -- moved by Ms. Taylor,
5
   second by Mr. Miller.
6
7
             Mrs. Hopper, please.
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Aye.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
10
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
12
   Ma?
1.3
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
14
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
15
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
16
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
17
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
19
20
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I think she's trying to
    get on the phone.
21
2.2
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
23
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason --
24
25
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: I'm on the phone.
```

```
BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
1
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
2
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
 3
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ:
                                  Aye.
                                      Ramon Rubalcava?
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
 5
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
7
                                      Theresa Taylor?
8
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
9
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
11
   Yee?
12
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
1.3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
14
    Theresa Taylor making the motion, David Miller seconding
15
16
    it on Item 9b1.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you. We have a
17
   number -- so that item passes.
18
             We have a number --
19
20
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you.
             PRESIDENT JONES: We have a number of requests to
21
    speak on 9c.
2.2
23
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: President Jones.
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So on 9c this isn't my
24
25
    stuff here, so we're not going to be taking action on 9c.
```

PRESIDENT JONES: Say that again, Ms. Taylor. 1 VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: 9c isn't on my paperwork 2 here, so we're not taking action --3 PRESIDENT JONES: 9c1? VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No, we're not taking 5 action on 9c. 6 7 PRESIDENT JONES: So that must be updated from my 8 agenda then. BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Mine, too. 9 VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yeah, I just got this 10 from Cheree. Did that get added? 11 PRESIDENT JONES: Mr. Jacobs. 12 GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Thank you. 9c1, the 13 request for precedential decision, Dudley Lang, that has 14 been on the agenda for action since the agenda was posted. 15 16 But before we get to that, I have a different issue --VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: It's not even here. 17 GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: -- (inaudible) President 18 19 Jones --20 PRESIDENT JONES: Yes. GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: -- which is that I think 21 you need to go back on Agenda Item 6 to get a revote on 2.2 23 that, because the motion that was made was actually for approval of A and B, and then there was a suggestion at 24

the last moment that that was for C as well, but I

understand there's been an objection to how -- the way that that proceeded and that C should have been separated out. So I think we need to redo the vote on 6a through c.

2.2

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. I will -- before we go -- since we got it seems like a number of requests to speak to -- on 9c. So let's take care 6A through C, that was the -- who moved that? Can I ask you to remake that motion?

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: It's the action consent.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah. Who moved that, Ms.

Hopper.

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: It's Theresa Taylor.

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: We need a motion.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: It was Theresa Taylor and David Miller seconding the motion.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah, but -- Right. Right.

Yeah. We need another motion, what Mr. Jacobs is saying, because we didn't include C, so I'm asking to redo the whole thing. Mr. Jacobs, are you suggesting we redo the whole thing or just C?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: You can do it either way. You can take 6a and b together, which I think was the original motion and then go 6c separately. You can do 6a through c in one fell swoop. Although, I believe you'll have a request on that particular one to separate

1 | them out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Mr. Perez, I saw your hand.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: No, I was seconding or motioning to clean that up.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Okay. So why don't -Margaret Brown says she would like to be -- 6c to be
separate. So let's -- so we have actually approved A and
B, so let's take a motion for Item 6c. Do I have a --

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: So moved.

PRESIDENT JONES: Moved by Mr. Miller.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Okay. One second, Mr. 13 President, please.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: She excluded 6b, isn't that the one we need to vote on?

PRESIDENT JONES: No, we excluded 6c. I thought that's what happened.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: So, Mr. President, for the initial vote, I had it down for 6a through 6c and that was all ayes. So we're going to remove 6c from that vote, correct?

PRESIDENT JONES: Yes.

BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Okay. And this next vote will just be on 6c.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yes. So it's been moved Mr.

```
Miller.
1
             Do we have a second?
2
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Second.
 3
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: (Waved hand.)
 4
             PRESIDENT JONES: Second by Mr. Perez.
5
             Mrs. Hopper, please.
 6
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Okay. Second was Mr.
7
8
    Perez. Okay. Thank you.
9
             Margaret Brown?
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
10
                                 No.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
11
             Rob Feckner?
12
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
13
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
14
   Ma?
15
16
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Lisa Middleton?
17
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Aye.
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
21
22
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Aye.
24
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
25
```

```
BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye.
1
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava?
2
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye.
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor?
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Aye.
 5
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
 6
7
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye.
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
9
    Yee?
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I have
11
    David Miller making the motion, Jason Perez is second.
12
    All ayes, one no made by Margaret Brown for Agenda Item
1.3
    6c, approval of the nomination of Board member to outside
14
15
   board.
16
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, the item
17
   passes.
             Okay. Now we will go to 9c and we have a couple
18
19
   of --
20
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Henry, it looks like you
   have comments. You already addressed that.
21
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah, that's what I'm looking
2.2
23
    at. We've got -- we ask the -- ask the Board comments
24
    first. Ms. Westly. Ms. Brown -- Ms. Westly, do you have
25
    a comment on this one?
```

```
BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: I did not.
1
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Ms. Brown.
2
                  That's 6. Okay.
 3
             So I think that is all that -- so the rest are
 4
    from the public. We have public -- requests from the
5
   public to speak on this item.
6
7
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. For 6c, so do you
8
   want me to go to 9c now?
             PRESIDENT JONES: No, not 6c, 9c.
9
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: 9c.
10
             PRESIDENT JONES: I think.
11
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I don't know. I saw
12
    something from -- Margaret, did you already talk on 6c?
13
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah.
14
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: I'm all good.
15
16
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. All right.
                                                         So let
   me do 9c.
17
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah.
18
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: And then we can have --
19
20
    do we want to have the public talk first or do 9c?
             PRESIDENT JONES: Let's just go ahead and take
21
    the public, because it's --
2.2
23
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah. So, Mr. Fox.
24
             STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Yes.
25
```

President, we have two speakers on Item 9c. The first from the League of California Cities Bijan Mehryar.

1.3

2.2

MR. MEHRYAR: Good afternoon, Mr. President and Board members. This is Bijan Mehryar with the League of California Cities.

We respectfully request that you do not adopt the Lang decision as precedential. Our objection to that is rooted in kind of two main reasons. I would say first we find the legal reasoning put forward by the administrative law judge as insufficient to really explain the interaction between the three-year statute of limitations and the violations of the PERL for post-employment retirement.

We are not excusing, or justifying, or defending the finding within the context of the Lang decision, but we are deeply concerned that making this precedential would then kind of open a Pandora's Box for going back, and auditing, and recouping, and clawing back retirement payments that were made to retirees and potentially even contributions that employers would have to make.

I would also say that, secondly, there is a deep concern among employers over a pattern of behavior that seems to arise with ALJ decisions being put forward to be made precedential, so as to justify getting more contributions and bringing more money back into the PERF.

I think the fear is that as opposed to working collaboratively and relying on your employer partners to pursue either regulations that include stakeholder feedback or to advance legislation in the Legislature to make clear fair changes in the PERL that benefit the system without negatively impacting employers. A route is being pursued to use these ALJ decisions to get the contri -- the contributions necessary to make up for the lack of sufficient investment performance by the fund.

So as I have mentioned a couple of times in my comments throughout this week's meetings, the employers are always ready to work with the Board. We don't condone any violations of the PERL, but we think the path forward is working on guidance and assistance to employers and not speaking to measures and opening the door through ALJ decisions to elicit funds from either employees -- employers or retirees, given the current state of the world and the current demands on government at all levels, given the recession and given the global pandemic.

So again, we respectfully request that you do not adopt the Lang decision as precedential.

Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Fox.

2.2

STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Yes, Mr.

President. The next speaker from the California Special Districts, Mr. Dillon Gibbons.

1.3

2.2

MR. GIBBONS: Hello. Good afternoon. This is Dillon Gibbons with the California Special Districts Association. I'm calling to express my opposition -- or our opposition to the adoption of the decision in the matter of the appeal regarding the post-retirement employment of Dudley J. Lang as precedential.

I'd like to align my comments with the previous speaker from the League of Cities. We're not contesting the decision, but we are opposed to adopting that decision as precedential.

CSDA is opposed to the adoption of the decision as precedential, because it substantively fails to meet the Board's stated requirement to include a clear and complete analysis of the issues in sufficient detail so the interested parties can understand why the findings of fact were made and how the law was applied.

Of particular concern to the Special Districts in the decision is the finding that the three year limitation on actions where the system makes an erroneous payment or -- to a member or beneficiary is not applicable. The decision instead applies Government Code section 21220, which requires reimbursement of all retirement benefits paid during that period, regardless of time such payments

were made.

2.2

The decision simply provides a conclusory statement regarding what the Legislature intended and argues that applying section 20164 would lead to absurd results without articulating what absurdities may arise.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Careful --

MR. GIBBONS: The decision provides no --

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Sorry.

MR. GIBBONS: The decision provides no external analysis -- excuse me?

PRESIDENT JONES: No. Go ahead.

MR. GIBBONS: The decision provides no other analysis supporting why section 21220 is appropriate, rather than section 21 -- 20164 as applied to the factual circumstances in the case. To date, no other finding or precedential decision in the California courts has reached the same conclusion.

Many public agencies have reported passing multiple CalPERS audits only to have subsequent audits find a violation for post-retirement relationship that previously had no issues. The adoption of this decision would allow CalPERS to have no consequences for these types of inconsistencies, while local governments would simultaneously face the financial burden of paying the amount of dis -- disallowed compensation to the retired

member.

1.3

2.2

For these reasons, CSDA respectfully urges the Board to decline to adopt the decision in the mater of the Dudley Lang decision as precedential.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you.

Mr. Fox.

STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Mr. President, that concludes public comment on Item 9c.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you. We do have a couple of requests from Board members.

Ms. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you, Mr President. I as well am concerned about making the Lang decision a precedential decision. I think the finding in Lang was quite appropriate and the behavior here is one that we were right to audit and we were right to -- the ALJ was correct in the decision.

But in making this precedential, we take away somewhat our ability to work with the League, to work with the Special District Association, work with other employers on a solution moving forward that addresses everyone's concerns.

The use of annuitant employees is a critical part of local government. And I think this is a broad area

that we need to have more exploration with our employer partners before proceeding with a precedential decision.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you. Mr. Ruffino.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Thank you, Mr.

Chair.

2.2

So we -- in reading the letter from California Special Districts Association and the League, they're asking, and I quote, "Urging the Board to postpone such a decision until the public health crisis in California has subsided", so that they could have more time to comment and to prepare a legal analysis.

So my question is for Legal. First, do we have to make a decision today, and two, if we -- if not, you know, what's the harm -- what's the harm to delay the hearing until a later date when everybody will have ample time to do their analysis and research?

So I guess, Mr. Jacobs, the question is directed to you. Do we need to do it today?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Sure. I appreciate the question. I appreciate the concern, Mr. Ruffino. The answer is no we don't need to do it today. But I will point out that we first sent out the notice of this in February for a March date. And at the employer's request, particularly Mr. Dillon's, I believe, we continued it for, I believe, three months. And then Mr. Dillon and/or the

employers or an employer requested a further continuance.

And we again put it out another three months.

1.3

2.2

And I at least have not heard from them in the meantime. So at some point, it just becomes important to go forward or not. I mean we do have continuing discussions with employers about related issues pertaining to the employer -- excuse me, the employee vendor -- the employee/contractor relationship and that continues.

This seems to us to be a pretty straightforward application of the law. And by the way, with respect to the suggestion by Mr. Bijan that we would be pursuing these cases to bring in more funds for the Fund, I don't think that is well taken. I mean, we just -- you know, the PERL just tells us what's the -- how we're supposed to administer the fund and we just administer it as best we can according to what the PERL says.

I would suggest that we elevate John Shipley, my colleague in the Legal Office who handled this litigation, to address any additional issues. He is standing by. And it looks like Mr. Miller has a question for Mr. Shah as well.

But anyway, Mr. Shipley can well articulate how we believe that this is a straightforward application that aids the interpretation of the law for us, for respondents, for ALJs down the road, and that we should

just go ahead and move forward.

1.3

2.2

Mr. Shipley, did you have anything to add to that?

SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: I would just briefly add that the administrative law judge did consider the history of the legislation. It was changed in 1987 to include the current penalty that the person who violated the working-after-retirement would have to forfeit all retirement allowance. That was the Legislature's decision. And so what CalPERS is doing is simply following what the Legislature ha told us we have to do as far as the penalty.

The ALJ found that there was no mistake, that this is simply a penalty for somebody who violated the law. And that's what the Legislature is requiring CalPERS to do when that type of violation occurs.

And I'm happy to answer any other questions that anybody might have.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Well, I -- I appreciate -- you know, I appreciate the answer, counsel, and I -- and I noted, you know, that staff believes in your response that -- it believes that there was sufficient time given to all the interested parties, but -- and I know you mentioned three months -- I believe three months extension, which seems to be reasonable under

normal circumstances. But I -- if this does not cause any harm at all and we are not compelled to act today, I'm not -- you know, we're don't -- we don't have concerns about the legal analysis or the legal aspect. We have concerns about making sure that everybody gets ample time and no one comes back and said we haven't had sufficient time to prepare a rebuttal or having an analysis -- thorough analysis, so, you know, to clearly make a decision or making some decision.

So that's -- that's our concern. If possible, perhaps, we can delay this to and agendize for the next Board meeting. That should give sufficient time to -- indeed to everyone to be ready.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. We have other requests --

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: That's it.

PRESIDENT JONES: We have other requests to speak on this.

Ms. Brown.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you, President Jones.

This is for our legal counsel or maybe for outside

counsel. By the way, Ms. Middleton, I think she read my

speech. I had it written down here.

You know, making this precedential means other ALJs will rely on this decision. And that means that it

will sort of force them to rule this way, is that correct?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It does give it more importance.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes

you have a thought on that?

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Okay. So how many -- how many other of these cases do we -- do we have in the queue, are we working on internally from audits or problems? I mean, are there a lot of these coming?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: I don't know. John, do

SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: I would -- I would say that there's -- this isn't something that you see every month or every other month, but we do have a dozen or more cases that deal with these similar type of issues.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Would you say a dozen a year, John?

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: That's a lot.

SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: I'd probably say a dozen in the queue that deal with these type of issues.

Maybe not -- maybe over a couple year period. So it is something that reoccur -- that reoccurs, which is why Calpers staff sought to make this precedential, so that we wouldn't be relitigating the same issues.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: All right. I just -- what I don't want to do is take away any, you know, plaintiff's or appellant's rights by making this precedential. That's -- I kind of feel like we're taking away the case-by-case if we do that, is that correct?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Well, I don't think you're taking away the case-by-case. I mean, this is the way litigation works is that cases, and, you know, now we can cite to a bunch of cases that are along the same lines, but they're not precedential, so they're more easily cast aside. You know, in normal litigation, a case goes up on appeal, all of sudden you have an appellate case, and somebody's case down below get stronger or weaker, so...

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Right. So -- so, yeah, basically, this will require the other ALJs to look at this case as -- right, is that right?

Okay.

2.2

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It makes a -- it makes it a -- yeah, a stronger precedent. I mean, you're supposed to look at it --

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: More uniform.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: -- unless you can distinguish it.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: All right. Thank you.

SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: And could I just add one thing just to address your question, Ms. Brown?

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Sure. Sure.

1.3

2.2

SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: The -- each respondent would still be able to present their own specific facts. And so what we're really asking for is a precedential decision on the law. The ALJ in this decision rejected the arguments and the facts that were presented by the respondent, but each respondent would obviously be able to present their own facts as to why the law shouldn't apply. And that's all we're asking for is to have the law clarified and so that it's following what the PERL requires.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: All right. Thank you. PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Mr. Miller.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Yeah. My question is probably for Mr. Shipley, but Mr. Shah would be welcome to weigh in as well. As a layperson, without the legal background in this, I was concerned that one of our commenters raised what seemed like he was saying that the administrative law judge erred in using one section of law versus another, which seems like that would be a pretty fundamental error. So I'm wondering if we had looked at those sections and if we had -- if that was something we thought about or whether that's just something we disagree

with in our view or whether it's something we need to research further or come back later.

MR. SHAH: May I, Mr. President? This is Chirag.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yes.

2.2

MR. SHAH: Okay.

PRESIDENT JONES: Please.

MR. SHAH: Thank you. Mr. Miller, good question.

Just reasonable minds can disagree. I reviewed this proposed decision thoroughly, probably about four or five times, every time it was agendized since this was brought to the Board. And I've concluded that it is accurate. It's actually an excellent decision on all the legal points, including the statute of limitations issues that were addressed in the decision.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Ms. Taylor.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So I just was -- I'm not sure what we're -- I guess the precedential issue is what the issue is. I'm just not sure that it matters, because isn't -- I mean, in legal decisions, generally they do reference other legal decisions, so that's -- that's the other thing about that. Does it -- do we -- I guess I should leave it to everybody else. When I bring it up for a vote, we can either not vote on it now -- I'm just confused now, at this point, so Henry, go ahead.

PRESIDENT JONES: This is just for discussion right now. We'll get to that part.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PRESIDENT JONES: Mrs. Ortega.

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ι have a question about the -- the question came up about how often we -- we see these types of cases, but I -- my understanding, and certainly the -- kind of the information I have is that both the State and local agencies are using retired annuitants a lot right now. And I've received several letters from local governments that I think have been sent to both CalHR and CalPERS asking for more flexibility on the use of retired annuitants, because of the staffing challenges in particular in the health care industry around COVID. And I know -- I know certainly at the State, there are several departments who have had to bring in additional expertise to help in public health and -- and again, largely in the health care settings, but probably in other areas as well.

And so while I'm not concerned with the decision here, I am concerned that we're perhaps entering into an era of more mistakes and misuse of retired annuitants, because I don't think that people are -- I think there are a lot of entities out there who don't follow the rules or don't understand the rules closely, and then these issues

come up after the fact in the audits.

2.2

And I worry that we're narrowing our opportunity to resolve -- to resolve those issues in a way, other than finding out, you know, two years later that an employee owes -- a retiree owes \$50,000. And those are real-world examples that I've seen where there's a finding that both the retiree and the employer owe tens of thousands of dollars.

And I -- you know, I think that if it's a situation where people should have known or did know and acted inappropriately anyway, that's one thing. But I feel like some of these rules are a bit complex. They're especially complex when people are members of multiple systems or had multiple retirement formulas.

And so I'm just concerned about narrowing our options for resolving these issues in a way that isn't particularly harmful to retirees and public entities. And these -- this precedent would also apply to the State in these kind sof issues.

So just would like to get some feedback on whether it would, in fact, narrow that or whether that's kind of an over -- you know, maybe an unwarranted concern.

PRESIDENT JONES: Mr. Jacobs and then Mr. Shah.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yeah. I'm going to try and pitch that -- try and pitch that to my colleague Mr.

Shipley, because he's more familiar with these cases. And I wish that we had had Ms. Lisa -- I always forget her last name -- on the line, because she's the true expert on all of this stuff and how it interacts.

But, Mr. Shipley, do you have any comment on that?

2.2

Let me just say this, I don't think it's a big deal if we kick this for a couple of months, given the level of concern. It's just not that big a deal, getting back to Mr. Ruffino's concern. I understand that there was a letter that was sent -- I just saw it 30 seconds ago and I didn't even know that it was sent from Mr. -- from Bijan, so I -- we would like a chance to review that.

So why don't we just kick this thing to November and revisit it then.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Anyway, I don't make those decision, but I'm not opposed to it is what I'm saying.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. I would like to hear from Mr. Shah on that suggestion also, since he's the board's counsel on this matter.

MR. SHAH: Yeah. I think that's a great idea. And I can also offer a brief comment to Ms. Ortega's questions concerns, if I may.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. SHAH: You know, the proposed -- the decision here doesn't really change the law in any meaningful -anyway whatsoever. It actually seeks to clarify the law. So to the extent that there's some ambiguity, confusion -and these are -- this decision, you know, from a layperson's perspective, is actually very, very complex, because the rules are very complex.

And what I think -- what I see staff doing here, and this is the reason why I support it is to bring some clarity to a very confusing area of the law. So one perspective, if I may offer that, you know, is to say that this kind of helps to kind work through all these issues -- these complex issues and kind of tells you what you can and can't do any way. Clarifies it a little more.

But the law will be the law, and staff's duty to enforce the law stays the same, regardless.

Does that make sense?

PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah. Okay.

SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: Mr. President Could I -- this is John Shipley, could I address Ms. Ortega's comments or questions

> PRESIDENT JONES: Sure.

Okay. I do just want SENIOR ATTORNEY SHIPLEY: to -- because you were concerned about what happens during 25

this time. The Governor did issue an Executive Order that it's -- that essentially suspended the working-after-retirement restriction to provide the 3 employers, the local agencies, and the State with 4 flexibility to get work done during this time. 5 that Executive Order would essentially suspend the 6 working-after-retirement restrictions during this time, so 7 that there wouldn't be -- I know that there's a lot of confusion and everybody is trying to work through what's happening. There wouldn't be the same type of 10 restrictions in place now.

1

2

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so as long as that Executive Order is in place, it provides the employers, the agencies with flexibility to -- you know, to hire retired annuitants, and get the work done that needs to be done on behalf of all the people.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. And I don't think we reach a point where there was a motion yet, because we started to hear everyone's questions first. So --

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yeah, we didn't.

PRESIDENT JONES: -- unless I see otherwise, we will pull this and move it to November, unless any Board member have a concern about that and want to discuss it further?

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Mr. Chair.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Could I just ask that when we do discuss it, that we have the program folks involved too, because I think this notion that the decision helps clarify the rules and will help entities, you know, follow the complex rules better going forward is a very helpful bit of information. But I feel like it would be good to know that from the program perspective, rather just from the case interpretation.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. That's so directed.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Mr. President, if I could ask one question?

PRESIDENT JONES: Ms. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you.

So the issue here is whether or not this is precedential. So in delaying this to November, are we delaying adoption of the decision that was made in the Lang case, because I think everyone agrees that the ALJ made the correct decision. It's just the question of whether this is precedential.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: No, Ms. Middleton. It does not delay it at all. In fact, the Lang decision has been final for a number of months now.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: All right. Thank you.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: You're welcome.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Mr. Rubalcava.

2.2

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No. I'm --

PRESIDENT JONES: Wait a minute, Theresa. You're right. You're right. Theresa, I'm sorry.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Sorry. I just wanted to reiterate, because it's not only the decision is already made, I get that. But when it comes back, does it have to be precedential? That's the other thing we need to reconsider. And staff, I think, needs to reconsider that, because that's the big problem here. So if we're going to delay it again, because we've delayed it twice, so this will be the third time, does it need to be precedential, because that is the issue -- that's the issue at hand?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Well, we'll review that as well. Our thought was that it did need to be precedential and that's why we brought it forward. You're looking at me like maybe you can't hear what I'm saying.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No, I get. I get it. So in other words, you guys delayed it twice and said, yeah, we still want to do it precedential. So we've already taken this into consideration.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. We've decided to go ahead and delay it, but we have to rely on legal for their recommendation, so we'll have to wait until we get back to that.

So, Mr. Rubalcava, did you have a comment you wanted to make?

2.2

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: I did. But since I think the momentum is to delay and bring it back to -- I wanted to speak to whether it should be precedential or not. But I -- I'll hold my comments till it comes back. So thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Mr. Ruffino.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Well, Mr. President, I was going to make a substitute motion, but since it's been agreed to delay, there is -- it's a moot point.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. And then Ms. Taylor again.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No, no, no. You got me. I had waved a couple of times and then you missed me, so...

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Okay. So that item is removed and that completes the agenda items under the -- under the precedential decisions.

So thank you, Mr. Shah. We will now -- MR. SHAH: Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT JONES: And stay safe. And we will now move to information agenda items. The first one is 10a, State and federal legislation update. Mr. Brown.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Good afternoon, Mr. President and members. Danny Brown, Calpers team member. (Inaudible) State and federal legislative update.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: I can't understand you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah. You're breaking up, Mr.

Brown.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Okay. Can you hear me now?

PRESIDENT JONES: Yes.

2.2

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: I guess I'll have to hold my mic up a little bit farther. Sorry about that.

So on the State side, the Legislature has finished its session for this year and all bills passed, have been sent to the Governor's desk for signature or veto. The Governor has until the end of this month to make his decision to sign and veto legislation. Of those bills, there are three bills that the Board has a support position on that are on his desk.

First AB 2101, which is the CalPERS Omnibus Bill. This measure was amended by the legislative committee to include housekeeping items for both CalSTRS and the '37 Act County systems. The bills passed the Legislature without opposition.

AB 1140, the bill making a change to the contract merger and successor agency law for two Santa Cruz County fire districts passed without opposition and was signed by the Governor last Friday.

1.3

2.2

And the third bill, AB 2967, which prohibits future exclusions through the CalPERS contract amendment process passed the Legislature with bipartisan votes.

 $\,$ Both AB 2101 and AB 2967 are awaiting action by the Governor.

As you probably already know, our other sponsored bill, AB 2473, regarding private loan investments, was shelved in early August prior to being heard in the Senate policy committee.

And then the last bill I will mention, since it was raised in July, is SB 977. This is the bill that would require the Attorney General's office to review and approve specified health care system consolidations. This bill ended up dying on the Assembly floor without getting taken up for a vote.

Overall, the Legislature sent less than half the number of bills to the Governor compared to most years.

Largely, this is due to the calendar and logistical challenges imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Additionally, the bill volume was restricted because of projected budget shortfalls and challenges. Barring an

emergency session, the Legislature has recessed and is scheduled to resume activities in the first week of December, after the election and when the new legislative session is convened.

1.3

2.2

Moving to the federal side and starting with Congress, right now it appears that the only thing that might get done before the election is a passage of a clean continuing resolution to continue funding the federal government beyond the end of the federal fiscal year, which ends on September 30th.

And what I mean by a clean resolution is they're -- they're not planning on putting any type of COVID-19 aid in the continuing resolution. As for the COVID-19 relief package, The White House, Senate Republicans, and the House Democrats remain at an impasse over the size and scope of another aid bill. As we discussed before, in May, the House Democrats passed a package that amounted to about \$3.5 trillion. The Senate Republicans initially proposed several bills totaling about \$1.1 trillion, and then last week tried to pass, but was unsuccessful, in a \$500 billion bill, which really only included about 300 billion in new money.

Yesterday, a bipartisan group of about 50 members of Congress, known as the Problem Solving -- Solvers Caucus, which has 25 Republicans, 25 Democrats, introduced

a one point trillion dollar proposal that could go up or down if certain triggers are met.

1.3

2.2

As far as the State and local funding is concerned, the House Bill included \$875 billion for State and local governments. The initial Republican bill did not include any new money for State and local governments. It only added some flexibility to the CARES Act fund, so that those funds could be used to replace lost revenue rather than just towards COVID-19 expenses. Their second bill included no new money or additional flexibility.

Now, the bipartisan bill that was introduced yesterday, it did include \$500 billion for State and local governments, as well as additional flexibility of CARES Act's funds.

So kind of where does that leave us? In most negotiations, the parties actually get closer together, but publicly they seem to be getting farther apart. So it -- you know, we had -- the House Democrats went from three point -- started a 3.5 trillion. They said they would be willing to go down to 2.2 trillion. But as I mentioned earlier, the Senate Republicans started at 1.1 trillion and went down to 500 billion.

And The White House, you know, they've put out different numbers at different times. I would say on a positive note, the Treasury Secretary, Mr. Mnuchin, has

put on the record saying he is open to providing additional funds to State and local governments.

2.2

So as you can see, the discussions have been all over the map, so it is difficult to handicap. Both sides seem to be at least posturing that a deal would be -- that no deal would be better than accepting a bad deal. It is possible that a deal could happen before the end of the month, but it could be pushed off until after the election too.

The speaker did announce yesterday, she is willing to keep the House in session until the -- up until the election to get a deal done. And many are hoping that the recent \$1.5 trillion proposal is a roadmap to reaching an agreement. We will monitor and report back as needed.

Moving to the regulatory front, the SEC, and now the Department of Labor have, and what I will I guess diplomatically say, continue to propose rules that appear to favor companies and management over shareowners.

The most recent is a proposed by DOL on proxy voting that would prohibit fiduci -- fiduciaries and other asset managers from voting on proxy proposals impacting a retirement plan, unless a matter has an economic impact on the pension and 401(k) plans.

According to DOL, the proposal is intended to clarify prior proxy voting guidance and noted that

fiduciaries must consider factors that may affect the value of the plan's investments and not subordinate the interest of participants and beneficiaries in their retirement income to unrelated objectives.

1.3

2.2

Further, the Department of Labor said the proposed change is meant to ensure that fiduciaries are acting in an appropriate and cost-efficient manner. When exercising shareowner rights, critics say that the rule would benefit corporate executives at the expense of investors.

Investors and consumer advocates have also raised concerns that this proposed rule is another attempt at limiting the ability of fiduciaries to consider ESG factors when making investment decisions.

Since this rule only applies to ERISA plans, it doesn't have a direct impact on CalPERS nor would it change our decision-making or voting processes, but we are watching it closely.

I believe that Simiso is with me today, so he can speak more directly to this proposal, if there are any questions.

But before that, the last thing I want to mention is we are currently working on a comment letter to the SEC on a proposal to raise quarterly reporting thresholds for institutional investment managers from 100 million to 3.5

billion. Institutional manager disclosures enhance confidence among all investors in the integrity of securities markets. We are concerned by limiting such disclosures, this proposal undermines that confident and may lead to increased market unpredictability and instability.

And with that, I'll end and happy to answer any questions you may have.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Mr. Brown.

Yes, Mr. Perez.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you, Mr. President.

My question was before -- for 9a. It's not related to
this report. So I can wait or I can ask now.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. All right. Then we'll move to Mr. Miller.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Yeah. Thank you for the report Mr. Brown. I appreciate it. I was wondering if you could just tell us a little more about why our sponsored bill was withdrawn by the author and whether we expect it to be reintroduced next session, or whether we'll be looking for a new author, because it's very important and it's important to our strategy, and we need to get that flexibility to be able to carryout our strategic efforts.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Sure.

```
Thank you, Mr. Miller. The bill was shelved.
    Yes.
1
    mean, after discussions between, you know, CalPERS and the
2
    author, it had to do obviously with some of the news that
 3
    came out about CalPERS. But also, you know, in light of
 4
    that and the COVID-19, it just was a difficult time to
5
    really get out and advocate for a bill and have the
6
    discussion that we needed to have to count votes and know
7
8
    whether or not that bill was going to be successful, so
    that we all -- all parties thought it would be best to
9
10
    wait, and regroup, and come back next year.
    obviously, you know, at the direction of the Board, we
11
    would -- we would entertain moving that forward next year.
12
    And we would, you know, come to the Board at the
13
    appropriate time to have that discussion.
14
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Yeah. Thank you.
15
                                                       It was a
16
    very unfortunate time for us to have leaks of such
    important information that -- that's going to delay us
17
    quite a while in getting that, I think.
18
19
             PRESIDENT JONES: Are you finished, Mr. Miller?
20
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER:
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Ms. Taylor.
21
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yes.
2.2
                                            Thanks, Danny,
    for -- I love your reports. They're rather disappointing,
23
    you know, right now --
24
```

(Laughter.)

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: -- but -- so the HEROES Act -- or not the HEROES Act, but any kind of help for the COVID-19 issue right now, I found it interesting - I just heard or read, I can't remember - that Trump himself is saying that we need more money. So maybe something will get done before the end of the year. I don't know. could change his mind. I don't know. I'm hoping that something will get done, which is inclusive of putting money to states. I know that we've all been busy trying to help that through, but -- and then in addition, the -the DOL rules are we going to do a letter on that, just --I know it's ERISA only. And I had asked before if we did -- I think it was the SEC ruling on ESG for us to participate in a letter, because I think it's important regardless of whether it impacts us, that we protect the ability of everyone to have a say in this and ESG. Considering the climate right now is just crazy, I can't even imagine. So I would think we would have an interest. I don't know. So did we do a letter from the other one and are we going to do one for this one?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: We did not do a letter for -- there was another DOL proposed rule on ESG and we did not do -- doing a letter on that one.

On this one, as of right now, we -- you know, there's been a lot of discussion about that. I don't

think we are planning on it, but I would like to -- I probably should have done this before I started. But Jared can promote Simiso and maybe he can also -- as the expert, can speak to these issues.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I'd appreciate it.

Thank you.

CONFERENCE MODERATOR: I'm sorry, what was that name again, Danny?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN:

Simiso.

2.2

CONFERENCE MODERATOR: Simiso. Okay.

12 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Is he 13 on the line?

CONFERENCE MODERATOR: Simiso, you should be able to share your audio and video.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: There he is.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: And I believe that CII is planning on writing a letter, and Simiso can probably -- might be able to speak to that as he's, you know, on that Board.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I just think Calpers has such clout, the name itself. And I know that we don't get involved in these, but I -- I think there comes a time where we have to draw a line and say, yeah, this is going too far.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Thank you, Danny.

And good afternoon, President Jones and members of the

Calpers Board. Simiso Nzima Investment Director, Global

Equities.

2.2

As Danny put it our, really this ruling doesn't -- or proposal doesn't affect us, because we're not governed by ERISA. However, we do follow closely the ERISA rules. And I think this is something which we can take into consideration internally in terms of whether we should comment or not. And we didn't comment in the earlier proposal by the DOL regarding investments in ESG strategies, which was in June -- june this year.

And my understanding really was that maybe we're not going to comment on this, but that's something which we can -- we can take back and look at, you know, between Legislative Affairs and the Investment Office.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Could you let me know if, at some point, you guys have decided to do or not do, just -- because I was asked by other trustees across the country, not for us to sign on to a letter with them or thinking like that, but they asked if we had signed on. And I said, no, but I didn't know if we were going to. So I'd kind of like to know in the -- if we've decided not to, at least I can talk to Henry Levy who's in Alameda County and say, hey, no, we didn't do it, so...

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: And I've had discussions on this. I mean, I've been contacted by other retirement -- public retirement systems. And I think everybody is struggling with it, because some out there are saying that, you know, you send it in and they're not really going to pay attention to non-ERISA comments, so -- but I think it's something that we can discuss. You know, we do have a short time frame, because the one thing about DOL is it's a 30-day window.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yeah.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: -- a 30-day comment period, so the comment period does end at the end of the month. And so we would have to, you know, get on something pretty quickly.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: But most of the -- most of the State retirement systems it doesn't sound like they are -- you know, I think they're -- while we're having this same conversation, I've haven't heard of -- any that are -- that are -- actually decided to send one in.

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So they're doing it through CII instead, probably.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN:

25 Probably, yes.

2.2

VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. All right. Thank you, Danny.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Ms. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you, Mr.

President. And I simply want to compliment Danny and all of the team that has been working in D.C. for the work that they have done to try to help bring funding for local government. It is incredibly important. Local government is hurting terribly, and it's -- it's important that CalPERS steps up and supports State and local government in this effort.

So thank you.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you.

Ms. Paquin.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Thank you, Mr.

President. I also share the concerns that Theresa Taylor expressed regarding this new ERISA-focused rule on ESG.

And hopefully, we can really take a look and see if there's something that CalPERS can do to weigh in, if that's appropriate.

And I also am curious, Simiso, how would they define economic impact in this rule and what level would you need to reach before and ERISA-sponsored plan could actually vote.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Yeah. So in terms of

economic impact, I think that's where, you know, the issue is in terms of how do you define what is economic impact. And so what the DOL also did, they kind of came out with this exemptions or safe harbor for ERISA plans where they say that, you know, you don't have to -- you know, if you have a standing rule to say that you will vote with management all the time, then you don't have to do this sort of economic impact, sort of, analysis, or you could adopt a rule that says you only focus on, you know, a few issues of economic interest and they identify a few circumstances, like M&A share issuances, proxy context, and so forth. So they have these safe harbor sort of provisions in there.

2.2

Or the other thing that an ERISA plan could do is really to adopt a policy from refraining from voting, if they have a small shareholding in a company. And so, again, you know, it -- as Dennis said, it seems like, you know, the push really is to either you vote with management or you don't vote at all, and -- which is -- which is really interesting. So, you know, we expect that we'll see a lot of public comments from, you know, even the large asset managers.

We know that the large asset managers did comment against the June proposals in terms of, you know, a requirement that they shouldn't invest in ESG strategies.

And we think we'll see the same public comments in this instance. And then the issue really becomes whether there's sufficient time for this to be -- you know, to be put in place before -- you know, before the end of the year, and again depending on what happened -- what happens during the November elections. You know, we don't know whether this actually would become a rule or not. And even if the DOL moves fast, even if, you know, they want to put this in place, I think, you know, the estimates that we've had out there is that the earliest this could be put in place would probably be -- you know, come up with a final ruling in December or it was the end of December, and maybe be able to put it in place towards mid-to-late January.

And again, so I -- you know, it's really hard to tell. And we just have to -- you know, to wait and see in terms of, you know, whether there's going to be a final rule, because again this is a proposal, there's 30-day comment period, and what the DOL will do once they get those comments from the public.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. I think that's all the questions on that. Thank you, Mr. Brown and Simiso.

We now will move on to -- does that complete your report, Mr. Brown?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Yes, it does. Thank you, Mr. President.

1.3

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. We move to summary of board direction. Ms. Frost.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: President Jones,
I noted two, one would be to move the Lang precedential
decision to the November Board meeting and also ensure
that we bring a program area to analyze the impacts as it
relates to, in particular, the Executive Order.

And then the second one was that future agenda items for approval of Board travel, that they would include any expected travel costs associated with that assignment.

PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you. Got them both.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Mr. President, I have a question.

PRESIDENT JONES: Yes, Mr. Pere.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Can I just -- I just don't know where it goes, and where to ask it, or how it gets done. But how do we put on the next agenda for the Pension and Health Benefits Committee to be a Committee of the whole? How do we go about --

PRESIDENT JONES: I think at a future Governance Committee, we would include that. Because it wasn't on

```
the agenda, that's why it couldn't be discussed when we
1
    were discussing the Investment Committee.
2
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: So when is the next Board
 3
    Governance Committee meeting?
 4
             PRESIDENT JONES: When is that, Ms. Frost?
5
                                                         Or
   would that be appropriate --
6
7
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: The next meeting -- this
8
    is --
             PRESIDENT JONES: Go ahead.
9
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: This is Matt Jacobs.
10
             The next meeting is scheduled for November.
11
             PRESIDENT JONES: November. Okay.
12
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: So that will be on the
13
    agenda?
14
15
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yes, we could put it on the
16
   agenda for a discussion.
17
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you, sir.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay, you're welcome.
18
             Okay. I got something here. I guess, David,
19
20
    I've already done what you asked about, I think.
             Okay. So that's it.
21
             Then we did have some public comments that came
2.2
23
   in, Mr. Fox?
             STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Yes, Mr.
24
25
    President. Mr. Tim Behrens from CSR who wanted to comment
```

on several items, but we missed him earlier on. So he's going to try to roll those all in and has asked for your indulgence in the event that he goes over the three minutes.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Tell him three and a half.

(Laughter.)

2.2

MR. BEHRENS: Yes. Thank you, Henry and Board. Let me correct something you said earlier, Henry, because you said that public comments came in late. I put in my request while you were doing roll call for this meeting and then I called in three more times, then I wrote two emails, and finally, thanks to Mr. Teykaerts and Mr. Fox, I was able to get on the final public comments.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay.

MR. BEHRENS: So I didn't you them in late. Their system just has some flaw in it, I guess.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Well, thank you for the --

MR. BEHRENS: So I'll be -- I'll be brief. I'll be brief.

I thank Rob Feckner for adding the cost for Board travel approvals and am looking forward to future Board travel approvals being reported out at Board meetings.

I agree on the Board meeting calendar that we

need to add more meetings. I really like the off-site meeting, where we went to Sacramento and CalPERS Headquarters and met with CalPERS staff and Board members. I thought that was very enlightening.

1.3

2.2

Let's see. PHB, Pension and Health Benefits

Committee. I support the Board, as a whole, being

assigned to the Pension and Health Benefits Committee. I

hope that lively discussion will occur at the next Board

meeting.

And then finally, on 8d, I wanted to thank the rank-and-file members of CalPERS for their outstanding work during this pandemic. I think they've gone above and beyond in all the departments. And I wanted to give a quick example of how good the service is that I get from CalPERS. I mentioned yesterday to Don Moulds that I would like to get something in writing about disaster victims having access to their medication.

And then with one hour -- within one hour, I received a fax sheet from David Teykaerts, Assessing Health Care During a Disaster. And we're going to be publishing that in our next paper. That's the kind of great service CalPERS give sus.

So thank you. Have a good day and please improve the public comment system.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you, Tim.

Okay. Mr. Fox.

2.2

STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Mr. President, that concludes all public comment and we are done.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Thank you very much.

Okay. So we're now -- this afternoon, we have three items in closed session, an information security update and cybersecurity briefing involving staff's work to protect the system and an update on litigation matters. In particular, we will receive updates on the litigation in Sanchez v. CalPERS, and Nancy Michaels v. CalPERS.

In order to hold a closed session to consider matters posing a potential threat of criminal activity against CalPERS property or equipment, including electronic data and where disclosure would compromise CalPERS' security, the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act requires a two -- at least two-thirds of the Board to affirmatively vote in order to utilize this exception.

Based on consultation with our Legal Office, discussions concerning CalPERS information security and cybersecurity qualifies for this closed session exemption.

After the closed session, the Board will briefly report out in open session that we met under this exception, and if any action was taken.

What's the pleasure of the Board? I'm looking

```
for a motion and a second to meet in closed session for
1
    the information security and cybersecurity briefing.
2
             Is there a motion.
 3
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER:
                                    So moved.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Moved by Mr. Miller.
5
             VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR:
                                      Second.
 6
             PRESIDENT JONES: Second by Ms. Taylor.
7
8
             Mrs. Hopper, please.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Margaret Brown?
9
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
                                  Tired. Oh, aye.
10
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
11
             PRESIDENT JONES: Mr. Feckner?
12
             BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Aye.
13
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
14
   Ma?
15
16
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO:
                                          Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Lisa Middleton?
17
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON:
                                      Aye.
18
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
19
20
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
21
             BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Aye.
22
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
24
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
                                  Aye.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Jason Perez?
25
```

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ: Aye. 1 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Ramon Rubalcava? 2 BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Aye. 3 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Theresa Taylor? PRESIDENT JONES: You're muted. 5 VICE PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Tired also, aye. 6 BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: I have Shawnda Westly? 7 8 BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Aye. BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty 9 Yee? 10 ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Aye. 11 BOAR SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, that's all 12 ayes for Item 11 made by David Miller, seconded by Theresa 13 Taylor. 14 PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Ms. Hopper. 15 Okay. 16 So, at this time, we'll recess the -- we'll recess the open session of the Board meeting. Board 17 members will exit this open session meeting and connect to 18 19 the closed session meeting. 20 To members of the public watching on livestream, 21

To members of the public watching on livestream, the Board of Administration open session meeting will convene immediately following the closed session. And we will take a ten minute break before we convene the closed session meeting.

Thank you.

2.2

23

24

```
(Off record: 3:51 p.m.)
1
             (Thereupon the meeting recessed
2
             Into closed session.)
 3
             (Thereupon the meeting reconvened
 4
             Open session.)
 5
             (On record: 5:33 p.m.)
 6
             PRESIDENT JONES: Ms. Hopper.
7
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Yes. Yes.
9
             PRESIDENT JONES: Would you take role, please?
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: I sure can.
10
             Margaret Brown -- Henry Jones?
11
             PRESIDENT JONES: Here.
12
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Margaret Brown?
1.3
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Here.
14
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Rob Feckner?
15
             PRESIDENT JONES: His WiFi went out I think
16
17
   earlier, so...
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Frank Ruffino for Fiona
18
   Ma?
19
20
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER RUFFINO: Present.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lisa Middleton?
21
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Present.
2.2
23
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: David Miller?
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Here.
24
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Stacie Olivares?
25
```

```
BOARD MEMBER OLIVARES: Here.
1
2
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Eraina Ortega?
             BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA:
                                  Here.
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Jason Perez?
             BOARD MEMBER PEREZ:
                                  Here.
 5
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Ramon Rubalcava?
 6
             BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA:
7
                                      Here.
8
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER:
                                      Theresa Taylor?
             PRESIDENT JONES: I see her.
9
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Shawnda Westly?
10
             BOARD MEMBER WESTLY: Here.
11
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Lynn Paquin for Betty
12
    Yee?
1.3
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN:
14
                                           Here.
             BOARD SECRETARY HOPPER: Mr. President, I don't
15
16
   have Rob Feckner or Theresa Taylor checking in yet.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay. Okay. Well, I think we
17
    have a quorum, so why don't we go ahead and indicate that
18
    the Board met in closed session today pursuant to
19
20
    Government Code 11126 subdivision (c)(18)(A). During the
    closed session, the Board received information security
21
    update and cybersecurity briefing on staff's work to
2.2
23
   protect the system. The Board took no action.
             That completes the agenda and we are adjourned.
24
25
             Thank you very much for a very productive week, I
```

```
would say. Thank you very much.
 1
 2
             BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Stay safe out there folks.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Yeah, be safe. Okay. Bye-bye.
 3
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON:
                                       Take care, everyone.
             PRESIDENT JONES: Okay.
 5
             (Thereupon California Public Employees'
 6
             Retirement System, Board of Administration
 7
             meeting open session adjourned at 5:35 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand

Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,

Board of Administration open session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 23rd day of September, 2020.

1.3

James & Tittle

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063