



Board of Administration

Agenda Item 9a11

February 19, 2020

Item Name: Proposed Decision After Remand – In the Matter of the Application for Disability Retirement of RAYMOND C. LEBLANC, Respondent, and EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, Respondent.

Program: Disability and Survivor Benefits Division

Item Type: Action

Parties' Positions

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision After Remand.

Respondent Raymond C. LeBlanc's (Respondent LeBlanc) position is included in Attachment C, if any.

Strategic Plan

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

Procedural Summary

Respondent LeBlanc submitted an application for disability retirement based on orthopedic (chronic back pain, compression fracture T11-L3) conditions. CalPERS denied the application. Respondent LeBlanc appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) on January 30, 2019. A Proposed Decision denying the appeal was issued on February 28, 2019.

At its meeting on May 15, 2019, the Board declined to adopt the Proposed Decision, and instead remanded the matter for further evidence from Respondent's treating physician regarding the orthopedic condition. The hearing after remand was held on December 11, 2019. A Proposed Decision After Remand was issued on January 8, 2020, rejecting CalPERS' determination and granting the appeal.

Alternatives

- A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision After Remand as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision After Remand dated January 8, 2020, concerning the appeal of Raymond C. LeBlanc; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

- B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision After Remand, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision After Remand dated January 8, 2020, concerning the appeal of Raymond C. LeBlanc, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision After Remand and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

- C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision After Remand dated January 8, 2020, concerning the appeal of Raymond C. LeBlanc, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision After Remand and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Raymond C. LeBlanc, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Raymond C. LeBlanc.

Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

Attachments

Attachment A: Proposed Decision

Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

Anthony Suine
Deputy Executive Officer
Customer Services and Support