MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

ROBERT F. CARLSON AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2020 9:15 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

### APPEARANCES

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

- Ms. Theresa Taylor, Chairperson
- Mr. David Miller, Vice Chairperson
- Mr. Henry Jones
- Ms. Fiona Ma, represented by Mr. Matthew Saha
- Ms. Stacie Olivares
- Mr. Jason Perez
- Mr. Ramon Rubalcava

# BOARD MEMBERS:

- Ms. Margaret Brown
- Mr. Rob Feckner
- Ms. Lisa Middleton
- Ms. Eraina Ortega, represented by Ms. Michelle Mitchell
- Ms. Shawnda Westly
- Ms. Betty Yee

### STAFF:

- Ms. Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer
- Mr. Michael Cohen, Chief Financial Officer
- Mr. Matthew Jacobs, General Counsel
- Mr. Dan Bienvenue, Interim, Chief Operating Investment Officer
- Mr. Kelly Fox, Chief, Office of Stakeholder Relations

# APPEARANCES CONTINUED

### STAFF:

- Ms. Kimberly Malm, Chief, Strategic Health Operations Division
- Mr. Simiso Nzima, Investment Director
- Ms. Renee Ostrander, Chief, Employer Account Management Division
- Ms. Arnita Paige, Chief, Pension Contract and Prefunding Program
- Ms. LaRiesha Simmons, Committee Secretary
- Mr. Dallas Stone, Acting Chief, Operations Support Services Division

## ALSO PRESENT:

- Mr. Tim Behrens, California State Retirees
- Mr. Terry Brennand, Service Employees International Union
- Mr. Al Darby, Retired Public Employees Association
- Mr. Jerry Fountain, California State Retirees

	INDEX	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Election of the Finance and Administration Committee Chair and Vice Chair	1
3.	Approval of the February 18, 2020 Finance and Administration Committee Timed Agenda	3
4.	Executive Report - Michael Cohen	4
5.	Action Consent Items - Michael Cohen  a. Approval of the November 19, 2019 Finance and Administration Committee Meeting Minutes  b. Judges' Retirement System Actuarial	4
	Valuation Report and Employer and Employee Contribution Rates  c. Judges' Retirement System II Actuarial Valuation Report and Employer and Employee Contribution Rates  d. Legislators' Retirement System Actuarial Valuation Report and Employer and Employee Contribution Rates	
6.	<ul> <li>Information Consent Items - Michael Cohen</li> <li>a. Annual Calendar Review</li> <li>b. Draft Agenda for the April 20, 2020 Finance and Administration Committee Meeting</li> <li>c. Treasury Analysis and Liquidity Status Report</li> <li>d. Reporting on Participating Employers</li> </ul>	5 5
7.	Action Agenda Items a. Review of Finance and Administration Committee Delegation - Michael Cohen b. CalPERS 2019 Retired Member Election Results	13
	and Review of Board Election Program - Dallas Stone, Kim Malm  c. Revised Proposed Regulation for Social Security Administration Fees - Renee	16
	Ostrander d. Barra, LLC Contract Extension - Dan	77
	Bienvenue	82

# I N D E X C O N T I N U E D PAGE 8. Information Agenda Items a. Annual Diversity Report - Brad Pacheco, Kelly Fox, Simiso Nzima b. Summary of Committee Direction - Michael Cohen c. Public Comment Adjournment 120 Reporter's Certificate

### PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. I'd like to call the Finance and Administration Committee meeting to order. The first order of business is roll call.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Theresa Taylor?

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Davie Miller?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Henry Jones?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Matthew Saha for

Fiona Ma?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER SAHA: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Stacie Olivares?

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Here.

16 COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Jason Perez?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY SIMMONS: Ramon Rubalcava?

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Here.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So I'm -- I think our next order of business is election of the Committee's

22 Chair and Vice Chair. And for that, I'm going to hand the

23 | gavel over to David Miller.

There you go. You can have that little thing

25 there.

```
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Okay. Do I call
1
    for nominations or -- or just -- okay. I would like to
2
    nominate Theresa Taylor for Chair of the Finance and
3
   Administration Committee.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA:
                                          Second.
5
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second from Ramon
6
    Rubalcava.
7
8
             Any other nominations?
             Any other nominations?
9
             And a third time, any other nominations?
10
             Okay. Hearing none. I will call for a vote.
11
             All in favor of Theresa Taylor by unanimous
12
    acclamation, or whatever it is, just no one opposing, aye?
13
14
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Any nays?
15
16
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. The ayes have
    it.
17
             Any nays?
18
             The ayes have it. Congratulations, Ms. Taylor.
19
20
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR:
                                  Thank you.
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Mr. Miller?
21
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: While I've got the
2.2
23
   hammer I'll --
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Mr. Miller, for the
24
25
    record, you do need to ask for any no votes.
```

```
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, I thought I did.
1
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: He did just a second ago.
2
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: He asked for no votes?
 3
             I didn't hear it. Okay. Sorry.
 4
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.
                                         So. Now, the
 5
    election of Vice Chair of Finance and Administration.
 6
    I'd like to nominate David Miller.
7
8
             Are there any other nominations?
9
             Any other nominations?
             Any other nominations?
10
             Hearing no other nominations, I'd like to take a
11
   vote for David Miller for Vice Chair by acclamation.
                                                           All
12
    those in favor say aye?
1.3
             (Ayes.)
14
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?
15
16
             All right. Motion carries.
             Congratulations, Mr. Miller.
17
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
18
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. Please be
19
20
   patient. I have a cold.
             We are moving to approval of the February 18th
21
2.2
    2020 Finance and Administration Committee meeting agenda.
23
    Can I get a move for approval?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Move it.
24
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second.
25
```

Δ

```
CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's moved by Mr. Jones,
1
    seconded by Mr. Miller.
2
             All those in favor say aye?
 3
             (Ayes.)
 4
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?
 5
             Motion carries.
 6
7
             Looks like we are on the executive report, Mr.
8
   Cohen.
9
             CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Good morning.
   Michael Cohen with CalPERS. Congratulations to retaining
10
   your seat. Just a couple notes. Administratively, there
11
   were some updates on materials. Your materials are
12
    updated. There's copies in the back for anyone in the
1.3
    audience who wants them.
14
             My only other note was on 6d, one of our
15
16
    employers, which if we go through the presentation there,
    then we can hit it at that point --
17
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR:
                                  Okay.
18
             CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: -- but otherwise,
19
20
   that's all I've got for you.
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. Thank you, Mr.
21
    Cohen.
2.2
23
             So our next Item is 5, action consent items.
   Anybody want to move the action consent items?
24
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: So moved.
25
```

```
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second.
1
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So I got it moved
2
    from Mr. Jones, second from Mr. Miller.
3
             All those in favor say aye?
 4
 5
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?
 6
7
             Hearing none. Motion carries.
             So Item 6, information consent items. Based on
8
    what Mr. Cohen said, I'd like to pull 6d for Mr. Cohen to
9
10
    give us a report on that.
             CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Thank you, Madam
11
    Chair. I'm going to turn it over to Arnita Paige who runs
12
    our Employer Contract Unit. And she can walk through the
1.3
    PowerPoint that are in -- that's in your materials, and
14
    also highlight the one thing that we wanted to talk about.
15
16
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Great.
17
             (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
             presented as follows.)
18
             PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
19
20
    PAIGE:
            Good morning. Arnita Paige, CalPERS team member.
    I'm here to provide an update on our reporting on
21
   participating employers.
2.2
23
                              --000--
             PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
24
25
    PAIGE: If we could go to slide two our termination
```

report, an update since we last reported. We had five agencies who provided their final resolution to terminate. And we had two agencies who paid their termination costs in full.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Wow, that's good.

--000--

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
PAIGE: I'd like to move now to our collections report.
This report provides an update on our collection activity.
And this is for quarter through December 2019. This
quarter, we had one agency, Samoa Fire Protection
District, who reported a temporary financial challenge
with paying their unfunded liability payments. So you can
see they're actually in the 61 to 90 day column. We
provided a temporary payment plan to this agency to assist
them.

And that concludes my presentation.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. I just wanted to have you go a little bit -- so the payment plan for that department is -- and this -- as I understand it, this particular entity has only retirees, correct?

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

PAIGE: Correct.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Could you kind of go into a

25 | little bit for us?

```
PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

PAIGE: Yes, I can. They have a total of -- it's a small agency. They have no active members. They have a total of nine members in total, eight of which are retirees, and one of which is a surviving benefit.

Is there anything specific? I want to make sure
```

Is there anything specific? I want to make sure in terms of answering, was there --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: No. No. No. You're going --

1.3

2.2

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- perfectly fine. I just wanted to make sure that the Committee was aware of what this was.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

16 PAIGE: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And so -- so they are on a payment plan. They entered on a payment plan basically -- and, I mean --

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Oh, yeah, I can expand on that.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Yeah.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

PAIGE: What we did is we worked them and they provided information on what their challenges were and they were

```
their financial statements going back the last five years and did an assessment in terms of their ability to pay, and what the future cash projections were, where they have a few upcoming revenue opportunities. They were very strong, so we established a payment plan based on that.
```

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Great. Okay. That's kind of what I -- what I wanted to get out to the Committee. Are there any questions on this?

Ms. Brown.

Hold on just a second.

2.2

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

13 PAIGE: Hi.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Hi. Thank you, Madam Chair and congratulations.

I have a question about just sort of process. I'm on page two of eight on the PowerPoint.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
PAIGE: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: And what we see here is a list of agency who have -- basically, giving you a notice of intent to terminate.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Um-hmm.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: So if I sort of just look at

the first one, Central Sierra, they gave us a notice in 2011, a final resolution to terminate -- so is that an agreement we signed with them?

1.3

2.2

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

PAIGE: Yes. The final resolution to terminate is their board passing a resolution to actually terminate the contract.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Okay. So it took them eight years to do that.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Okay. That's a long time.

And then -- but you don't have anything in the other two columns. So are we currently calculating that termination cost?

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

PAIGE: Absolutely. So the next step after we receive the final resolution is the termination cost is calculated.

And that's what's happening with these agencies. Once that report is completed and returned to the employers, they'll -- if they have an amount owed after the termination, then they'll receive a bill. And then from there, we will correct the termination cost that's due.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: And so how long does it take us to do that, because it's been more than six months,

```
1 according to -- right, it's March, and this was August, so
2 it's --
```

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

PAIGE: So I'm looking -- okay. That's a good question.

I mean, it normally takes -- a final termination ballot can take up nine -- 90 days. There's also communication going back and forth with the agency on validating the data.

9 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Oh, so in case they 10 disagree.

11 PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

12 PAIGE: It takes a little time.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: In case they disagree,

14 | because there's analysis.

15 PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

16 PAIGE: Yes. Um-hmm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

25

17 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Okay.

18 PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

19 PAIGE: There's some time there as well.

20 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: All right. Thank you.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

22 PAIGE: You're welcome.

23 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: I just wanted to get an

24 understanding of the --

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF

```
PAIGE: Any time.
1
2
            BOARD MEMBER BROWN: -- process.
             So eight years is an anomaly, I would assume,
 3
    right?
 4
             PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
5
    PAIGE: Yes. We recently passed legislation so we --
6
    right, we won't see that. They have up to a year.
7
8
    they -- from the time that they notice us. And then if
    they don't do it, they have to start over.
9
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: They have to do another
10
   notice of intent.
11
             PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
12
   PAIGE: Yes.
1.3
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN: So we won't see another one
14
   of those.
15
16
             PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
   PAIGE:
17
          No.
            BOARD MEMBER BROWN: All right. Thank you.
18
            PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF
19
20
   PAIGE: Oh, you're welcome.
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. Thank you.
21
            Ms. Middleton.
2.2
23
             BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you, Madam
```

I'm on page five of the handout. Appendix two.

Chair and congratulations as well.

24

25

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Yes.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. And this is very helpful information. And I'm not expecting you to answer this now --

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Okay.

more specific questions. I would like to dig down on this report and be able to identify the cities, the counties, the special districts all by name. And then within the name, I would like to know, to the best of our knowledge, how many public safety employees and how many miscellaneous employees are attached to each one of these organizations and what was the date of incorporation?

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Okay. We can work on that for you.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. And in case there's any questions, the purpose of my digging into this is there is a considerable amount of, I believe, misinformation out in the public regarding funded status and what leads to funded status. And if we can dig down into this data in more detail, I believe we can break some of those myths.

Thank you.

PENSION CONTRACT & PREFUNDING PROGRAMS CHIEF PAIGE: Uh-huh.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. So, Mr. Cohen -- and you had mentioned also that we're looking at a different report anyway.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So can we add this to the menu of things?

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Absolutely.

We'll get starred on that as Committee direction, but

we -- we provide this report to you quarterly. We are

going to take a fresh look at how we present this. I

think we can do a better job of making it clearer in terms

of some of the questions we got this morning.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Great. Thank you.

So seeing no further questions from the Committee. It looks like we're moving on to Agenda Item 7a, Review of Finance and Administration Committee Delegation, if you want to go over that, Mr. Cohen.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Sure. Thank you. Normally, every year, we review the Committee's delegation and would put it on an action consent item. This year, we wanted to bring it to you for discussion, based on last year's workstreams. Workstream 3 talked about trying to put these things in plain English. So you'll see the rest

of today each of the committees sort of bringing the delegations to you to convert the language as best we can into plain English.

1.3

2.2

So basically what we've done is strip out a lot of the resolved and sort of the prelude to the delegation. The content itself is largely the same, but we did want to sort of identify that we're following through on your workstream conclusions and recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Great. And I think you and I spoke about this. But where it's citing government code sections, I'm wondering if we wanted to put that in a citation at the end or an index of some kind, so that we just know that they're there. We don't have to cite it in the whole thing, but --

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Sure. Why don't

I take that as Committee direction to prepare kind of a

summary of all of the code sections that are referenced in

the existing resolutions that are about to go away.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Sounds good. Thank you. Thank you.

All right. Ms. Brown.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you. On Attachment 2, page two of four, Mr. Cohen, one of the changes -- the first paren (1), it used to say, "Approve enterprise-wide budgets and resource allocations, and oversee the budget

process". And so with this change in delegation, the Committee is no longer overseeing the budget process, and instead, we are approving the budget policy. So what's -- tell me what the change is?

1.3

2.2

we're -- this is largely just reflective of current practice. The budget policy sort of lays out the Board's overall vision of the budget process. And then the budget process is more sort of a management responsibility to, you know, put a budget together and bring it to you in April. But I don't view this as being a substantive change in any information that the Board is going to see or anything that will change in terms of the process itself.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Seeing no other questions from the Committee.

We are now moving on to 7b, CalPERS Retired Member Election Results and Review of Board Election.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: That one is an action item.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh, that was an action item. I'm sorry.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So I need to move the review

of the Committee delegation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It was moved by Mr. Miller.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Second.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Seconded by Mr. Jones.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?

Hearing none. The motion carries.

I'm sorry.

And second one, this is also an action item. 7b CalPERS Retired Member Election Results and Review of Board Election Program.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: And this one I'm going to turn over to Dallas Stone and Kim Malm to run through the results and some recommendations for you.

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

Good morning, Madam Chair. Kim Malm, CalPERS team member. I think all of you are probably aware that for the last ten months I've been over in the Health Branch. And during that time, Dallas Stone, my Assistant Division Chief in Operations has been running the Operations Division. So he gets the pleasure today to present this Board election item to you guys.

But before he starts, I just want to say thank

you to Dallas for all of his hard work and to the team -the Board election team that works really hard on this and
tries to come up with some ideas for you guys every four
years on things that you consider to make the -- you can
consider to make the process better.

1.3

2.2

So with that, I'm going to turn it over to Dallas Stone.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was Presented as follows.)

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Thanks, Kim.

Good morning. Members of the Finance and Administration Committee, Dallas Stone, CalPERS team member.

This is an action item that will cover the 2019 retired member election results and a review of the Board Elections Program. We will be discussing our voter experience over the last election cycle and seeking direction on options for the upcoming 2021 through 2024 election cycle.

The retired member position was decided by a majority vote. And Henry Jones was elected with 65.97 percent of the votes. The election was officially certified by the Secretary of State on October 17th, 2019. The term of office is January 16th 2020 through January

15th, 2024.

2.2

Congratulations, Mr. Jones, on his reelection.

The following is a summary of those results.

Voter turnout for the election was 116,065 votes out of 604,192 eligible voters, which was 19.7 percent. The paper ballot was the most popular voting method coming in at 77.84 percent, followed by online voting at 17.41 percent, and telephone voting at 4.75 percent.

We continue to partner with the Office of Public Affairs and Stakeholder Relations on outreach efforts focused on increasing voter awareness and participation. A number of those outreach efforts included two email blasts reminding voters to participate. On September 6th, 2019, we sent approximately 348,000 emails. 36.61 percent of those emails were opened. On September 23rd, 2019, we sent approximately 346,000 emails and 35.31 percent of those emails were opened. There were seven press releases sent with election updates beginning with the notice of election and ending with the election results. The Public Affairs team posted 18 Facebook messages, 17 Twitter messages, and six LinkedIn messages.

We recorded and posted candidate statement videos on our external election site. And we hosted a candidate forum which was streamed live and the recording was placed on our external election's site for future viewing. The

forum had 355 people view the livestream and 1,651 YouTube replays.

Attachment one in the report includes the top ten cities with the highest voting participation, as well as additional voter demographics, including age group, gender, and participation by voting method. The total cost to conduct the 2019 retired member election was \$1,354,782.76. The cost per vote received was approximately \$11.38.

That concludes my update on the 2019 retired member election. I would now like to move on to the review of the Board Election Program, and this is where we're going to need you to take action.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Do you want to ask a question first?

Okay. Go ahead.

2.2

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: All right. So today's presentation will consist of reviewing our voter -- voting experience, trends, and costs over the last election cycle. We're going to be requesting the Board's direction on two items as we prepare for the upcoming 2021 through 2024 election cycle. The two items are related to our ballot package and voting option moving forward.

--000--

1.3

2.2

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Here's a brief overview of our typical elections cycle. An election cycle runs over a four-year period. Elections are conducted in years one through three. Year four is our off year, where we conduct an RFP to secure a Board election services vendor and make administrative and regulatory updates as needed.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: This chart provides a quick breakdown of our last elections cycle. Year one was our member-at-large election, which consists of our entire active and retired membership. Year two was our active members State, school, and public agency elections. These elections are ran simultaneously. Year three is our retired member election.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So this slide is a breakdown of our actual voter; turnout, total costs, and cost per vote by election.

Please note that CalPERS did not conduct a State or school member election last cycle. Those positions ran unopposed.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So this graph illustrates our voter turnout over the last 20 years. CalPERS has seen a steady decline in overall voter participation. Our member-at-large, public agency, and State elections had a voter turnout range of approximately 15 to 20 percent in the early 2000s, and have declined since.

1.3

2.2

Prior to our retired member election in 2019, our last election was held in 2007 with voter turnout at 32 percent. Last year's election came in at 19.7 percent.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So based on the decline in voter participation, Calpers introduce two additional voting methods beginning with our 2017 member-at-large erection. Online and telephone voting were added to complement the paper ballot.

The rationale behind the voting method enhancement was to increase accessibility to our members. Besides the paper ballot, members can vote online from their personal computer, smartphone, tablet, or by telephone.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: In addition to the multiple voting channels, our

Board election program changed its marketing efforts to raise overall voter awareness.

2.2

Some of those efforts were: online voting demonstrations at Stakeholder Forums and Benefit Education Events; marketing partnerships with the top ten largest public agency employers; candidate statement videos; toolkits for employers and retired associations; spotlight ads and banners on our inside CalPERS page, press releases and social media posts; candidate forums both in-person, lifestream, and on YouTube; and election reminder email blasts.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So we provided additional voting channels and we revamped our marketing platform with the goa of increasing voter participation. The real question is were we really able to move the needle?

Outside of the 2019 retired member election, voter participation did remain low. Two key observations were made over the last election cycle. Mail-in ballot continues to be our most popular voting method, and the second observation is that we did see a large increase in online voting preference in our active member 2018 public agency election.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So if we turn our attention to costs associated with conducting our last election cycle, the paper ballot continues to be our most expensive voting channel at \$4 million, when you take into account the cost of paper, printing the ballot materials, and postage for the ballot package and prepaid return ballot envelope. The online and telephone voting methods were approximately \$2.6 million over the same voting period.

2.2

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So we've looked at our voting experience by election, voting channel, the cost to run these elections, and our efforts to increase voter participation. How can we continue to improve our program?

Two cost-saving ideas we'd like to explore with you today that we think will hopefully increase voter participation are simplifying our ballot package and customizing our voting methods based on voter preference.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So let's start with our ballot package. When our members currently receive their ballot package in the mail, they get a candidate statement booklet, which is approximately eight to ten pages, they get a ballot card

with voting instructions, and the customer contact information, personal identification number, and tear-off ballot, and they also receive a prepaid return envelope.

2.2

What we would like to explore is moving to a one-page document which is very similar to what CalSTRS provides in their election. That would provide voting instructions, customer service contact information, the webpage to the candidate information, personal identification number, tear-off ballot for return, and a prepaid return envelope.

Now, regardless of what decision is made today, we did want to tell you that we are moving to a security tinted pre-paid return envelope for our next election cycle.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So why simplify the ballot package?

We believe the benefits are it's an environmentally-friendly ballot package. It's going to reduce complexity for voters, and there will be cost savings. The challenges would be that there is a modification to voter experience, regulatory amendments would be required. If we went with a simplified ballot package, there would be approximately \$425,000 in savings based on the previous election costs.

--000--

1.3

2.2

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: The second proposed change to our election process would be to tailor the voting methods offered by election type using voter preference from our last election cycle. This slide is a brief summary breaking out the election conducted and what voting methods our members used during that specific election.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So the first option would be not to change our current menu of voting methods offered and continue to offer online telephone and the paper ballot for all elections. The benefits of that was -- is that we have received positive feedback from our stakeholders. It's a secure and accessible experience and no regulatory updates would be -- would be needed.

The challenges would be we would continue to incur high costs, possible low voter turnout would continue, and it does require heavy reliance on enterprise support. If we stick with our current offering, the approximately cost for the next election cycle would be \$8.5 million.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF

STONE: Option B. This would be an option similar to all elections prior to 2017. This would be our program moving back to mail and ballot only. The benefits of this would be it is our most popular voting stream. There would be a cost savings. The challenges would be that our active members are trending towards online voting preference. This option would not support our sustainability goals and reduction of paper. We could see a possible decrease in voter turnout, and regulation amendments would be required.

1.3

2.2

If we move back to our mail-in ballot, there would be approximately \$3 million in savings, based on the previous election costs.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Option C, this would need -- this would be to move to an online and telephone ballot only. The benefits of this would be active members are trending towards online voting. There would be an overall reduction in paper, use, and cost.

The challenges would be this would be a modification to our voter experience, a -- we could see a possible decrease in voter turnout, and regulation amendments would be required.

If we went with only online and IVR, the

approximate savings would be \$2.1 million, based on the previous election cycle.

1.3

2.2

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: The next option is Option D, which would allow us to move to a hybrid voting system. An example of a hybrid voting system would be to offer for our member-at-large election, the mail-in ballot, online, and telephone. For State, school, and public agency, we would offer online and telephone voting only. And for the retired member election, we would offer the mail-in ballot only.

The benefits of this would be we'd be tailoring the voting options to each voting community and there would be costs savings.

The challenges would be this would be a modification to our voter experience and regulation amendments would be required.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: If we move to a hybrid Voting system, there would be approximately \$730,000 in overall savings based on the previous election cycle.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So what's next for our Board Elections Program?

We will be releasing an RFP to secure a Board elections services vendor by this fall. In April, we will be returning to this Committee and providing a regulation package. This package will include minor regulation changes and the decisions that are made today. These changes would be a effective January 2021.

After the award of the RFP, we will be working on onboarding our Board election services vendor and beginning to prepare for the 2021 member-at-large election.

--000--

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: And that concludes my presentation, and we're available to answer any questions at this time.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Certainly. It looks like you have a lot of questions. My question was you said the total cost for this election, for the retired election was 1.354 mil --

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- is that correct?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF

24 STONE: Correct.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: But you are also saying that

```
if we stay with that option, with all three availabilities
1
    of access to voting, it's -- it normally costs 8.5
2
   million?
 3
             OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF
 4
5
           If we had to run every single election in the
    election's cycle, it would cost approximately $8.5
6
7
   million.
8
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So that's overall for
    all the years --
9
             OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF
10
    STONE: Correct.
11
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- all the elections?
12
             OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF
1.3
    STONE:
           Correct.
14
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. That's what I was
15
16
   trying to figure you.
17
             Okay. So, Mr. Jones.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Madam Chair.
18
19
    I would like to continue the current practice of our
20
    election processes. In looking at the historical voter
    turnout, it may suggest that if we had not had the
21
    electronic and phone, it may have even decreased more as
2.2
23
    opposed to increasing?
             So that's -- I mean, looking at the data, it does
24
```

suggest that there's a flattening of the reduction after

25

you went to. And now we have about 22 percent in the last election that -- where members voted online or by phone. And so I don't want to remove any opportunity for our members to vote. So I would strongly suggest that we keep the current practice.

2.2

As a matter of fact, I'm thinking about adding information to the election process as opposed to decreasing. We just had a board evaluation, where we talked about more transparency. And so by limiting the information to a -- deleting all information about a candidate is less transparent to me.

I would like to also suggest two additional items to be added. One is that we have a Board Governance Policy that requires each Board member, after they're on the Board, to certify that they have not had a financial negative condition over the last five years. So why not have that up front when they're running and have a statement in there whether or not they had been exposed to financial such as bankruptcy, and liens on property, et cetera, so -- because that gives the member more information about that candidate.

And I also would like to suggest that we add that any -- a certification that any potential member that has been convicted by a government body of sexual harassment, it be noted on that form also.

So those are the two suggestions that I would suggest. And, number one, keep it as it is, but add more transparency rather than less going forward.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So let me get this right. So if we -- for Board direction. The first policy that you want is financial disclosure like a -- that it's disclosed on the ballot, or --

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: It could even be a just a check mark --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh, hold on. I got you off. Hold on.

Where did you go, Henry?

Thank you.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Yeah. I would defer to staff on how best to accomplish that goal, but it doesn't have to be -- it could be just a certification that they have not had these financial difficulties. And if they were to say, yes, then there should be a process of what -- to alert members of what was involved in that situation.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Okay. Great.

Thank you, Mr. Jones.

Ms. Brown.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I don't have a vote, but I'm in support of Option B, which

is the mail-in ballot only. First of all, it has a cost savings of 2.6 million. Probably more, when you -- and when you get the new contract for online and IVR services. It's the safest way to vote. You get a piece of paper. You turn it in and it's completely auditable. My concern has always been that the online and telephone voting does not have an audit trail for the member, so they actually can't tell if their vote was counted.

2.2

You can -- I know you said it doesn't support our green policies, but use recycled paper, which probably will increase the cost, but use recycled, and that way you'll get there, but -- and also you need to include the candidate statements. If you do not include the candidate statement in the packet, your voting percentage will decrease by somewhere between 30 and 50 percent.

I tried to quickly look up a study I read. My degree is in policy sci. I studied on actually -- on data and polling. And I'll find that study for you. But if you do not include the information, people are going to open the ballot, say who are these people? And most people read the candidate statements and chose based off those statements. They're not going to go -- 80 percent of the voters are not going to go online and look to read the statement. They are just not going to vote or they're going to make an uninformed vote. And we really don't

want that for the Pension Board.

2.2

So you need to include the statement. And I really just think we should save money and do a paper ballot. But no matter what you do, you need to include the same voting channels for all members. So if you're going to -- if the Committee is going to agree to do online and IVR, you need to do it for the retirees and for the -- all members. You can't have different voting channels for different groups. I don't think that's Constitutional.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Mr. Perez.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you.

The -- I have a couple questions. First, the security tinted envelope that you referenced, is that more robust than the one we have now or different than what we have now, or that we've used in the past?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: You will not be able to tell the vote by the voter in the envelope, holding up to light or just looking at it.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF

STONE: It's a sleeve, correct.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: All right. Thank you.

34

```
And then for Option B, you mentioned there would
1
   be some regulation amendments. Can you specify, please?
2
             OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF
 3
    STONE:
           Yeah, correct. In our retirement law, our PERL,
 4
    we have stated that online and IVR voting is permitted in
5
    our elections, so we would just have to -- if the decision
6
7
    was made by the Board to go back to the paper ballot, we
8
    would have to revert back to much likely our older
    verbiage to prior to 2017 to allow us to administer the
9
    election in that manner.
10
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: But it's permitted, not
11
   shall include?
12
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I'm sorry?
13
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Does the PERL say it's
14
   permitted or does it say it shall include?
15
16
             OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF
          It's shall include now.
17
    STONE:
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Are you sure?
18
             STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:
19
             I think it's shall, sir, but I'd have to look at
20
   the PERL.
21
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Kim, don't "sir" me.
2.2
23
             STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:
             I believe it's shall.
24
25
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: That's fine.
                                                     The --
```

just watch the news, recent past has shown that online isn't secure. I prefer the mail-in ballots. It's good enough for the federal government, good enough for the State government, I think it should be good enough for us. We-- you guys do a fantastic job of outreach and trying to get folks engaged. You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make them drink.

2.2

And I don't see the benefit of getting that 22 percent or that -- even in my case was 34 percent, of people using telephonic or online voting. I think the risk is greater than that. The juice isn't worth the squeeze on this, in my opinion.

Also, I think it's important to include the candidate statement on that.

And for mail-in ballots, on myCalPERS, I think I had to click or submit a box saying that I will allow direct mails. Is that the case for ballots?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: No. So the ballot is an exception to that.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Okay.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So even if you opted out of having -- you know, going online and doing all your business with Calpers online, we would still mail the ballot to each eligible voter.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you.

2.2

And then lastly to Mr. Jones' two points that he wanted to bring up. So right now, if we make sanctions against other Board members, those are kept in closed session. And I have some heartburn with stuff that we deal with on attorney-client stuff to submit to the public. For the record, I'm clean on both. I'm good with my finances and I haven't been alleged of harassment. But we can't -- we have to be consistent in the way we handle those.

That's it. That's all my notes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you for your hard work.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Ms. Yee.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you for the opportunity to speak on this. I'm not a member of the Committee and congratulations to you and Mr. Miller.

I'm troubled by this conversation, because it's all being framed within the context of cost savings. And I think it really should be framed within the context of improved voter participation. And from that perspective, and given kind of the context in which we're all operating in terms of voting methods, I guess I would concur with

Ms. Brown and Mr. Perez that I think moving away from mail-in ballots is a real mistake at this point. And it really depends on what your objective is.

2.2

If it's to save money, then you can make the case for the other methods. But if it's to enhance voter participation -- and I will say 19 percent is not robust. We need to do better. And we're running for the nation's largest pension fund and we don't have robust participation by our members. That's, I think, a real stain on the system.

And I would hope that if we are going to move into other methods, that there is a little bit more of a transparent process about identifying what those methods are, how they work, some of the drawbacks, robust stakeholder engagement around that. But -- and I also agree that all the elections should be run consistently in terms of the methods used.

So I would say, I mean, just on the heels of what we're seeing nationally with respect to just doubts around online voting and other types of voting methods, that I hope that we can stay with mail-in ballots and have as much information provided to the voters -- voting members as much as possible, and then really have a robust public process about moving into the consideration of other methods.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Ms. Yee.

Mr. Rubalcava.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you. And again, congratulations.

Yes, a couple points. I noticed that IVR phone has the lowest participation. And I'm not very experienced with voting procedures, but I participated on -- with many jurisdictions on the open enrollment for medical plans, and most of them have moved out -- out of IVR, because it's cumbersome and there's a lot of mistakes, because there's always confirmation and stuff.

So I agree with many of the speakers that we want to enhance voting and participation, but we also want to be -- ensure that it facilitates it, not cumbersome and it's accurate, of course, so -- and at the same time, I think we should encourage new technologies and what have you, which is happening in the -- again, I'm not sure this is a good comparison, but I'm familiar with the open enrollment for medical, and online is becoming more and more popular.

So I would suggest to allow people's choice in familiar -- well, they're familiar with, but to also enhance participation, that we stay with like a hybrid. We have both mail-in and online. It's options for the employee -- for the member and retiree.

I also got some emails saying that people are interested in having their candidate statement, and perhaps not included the mailing for some people who have problems accessing it by online. It may be cumbersome or a hardship.

So I would favor two things, I guess. One, I don't think we need the IVR phone. I don't think it's -- I don't think it's people are participating. It's probably not growing, so I would suggest we don't need that. And we should allow the candidate statement to continue on the mail-in.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Rubalcava.

Mr. Miller.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah. I concur with Controller Yee and some of my other colleagues about feeling the written statements are really valuable. For many of our voters, that's the only information they really get or have. It's also information that's constrained by some standards of content.

I think our voters can legitimately trust that those candidate statements are not going to have demonstrably false statements. They're not going to have personal attacks. They're not going to have the kind of thing that if we -- they don't have those statements and

the only information they're getting is information that they're just hearing out in the world, I worry about that.

1.3

2.2

I also feel, on the other hand, that having all options open for voting, while the percentages may not be high, we're talking about thousands of our members who voted with something other than a mail-in ballot. And sometimes that was because of the timing. They learned about the election, or decided to vote, or were spurred to action, or lost their mail-in ballot, or whatever, and at the last minute or later in the process, that was the way to vote for them that was convenient, and would ensure that they could vote. And without it, they may not have voted.

And that was a point that was brought up at the stakeholder forum the other day by an astute commenter.

And it struck me as something I hadn't really considered a lot before the additional value of having those additional mechanisms. And participation really needs to be our goal, not -- you know, cost savings is important, but in the grander scheme of things.

As far as President Jones' comments about adding additional things, I would -- it's one thing to ask people to disclose proven wrongdoing or issues related to wrongdoing. But in my experience, a lot of people who have had financial problems, and bankruptcies, or have

creditors chasing them, may have done nothing wrong in their lives. They may have run afoul of the mortgage crisis. They may have had a family member or spouse with medical conditions that sadly in this country can put you into bankruptcy, or put you in financial distress, or put you in arrears on taxes, or whatever it may be.

1.3

2.2

And so I would hope that if we do add something like that, which I'm not necessarily opposed to, we keep it to substantiated wrongdoing, something that would really reflect on the fitness of that person for this job, not necessarily something that could just be a result of, you know, circumstances living in the U.S. of A.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
Ms. Olivares.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you, Ms.

Taylor. I wanted to follow up on Mr. Jones' and Mr.

Miller's comments regarding the attestations. I think if we were going to look at two attestation, we might look at all attestations. So perhaps an attestation for no financial hardship, one for no substantiated claims of sexual harassment. But in addition, no conflicts of interest and an attestation that confidentiality measures will be followed.

So that way it's including -- I mean, there may be more that we should include in there. But whatever the

Board is subject to, that that be included in there and the attestation be made at that time.

2.2

And then the second point I wanted to make, it was in deciding between the option -- the different options on the ballots. So for Option A, in terms of mail -- well, for all the mail-in ballots, how many returned envelopes did we receive with an incorrect address notification?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: I don't have the -- that exact number, but we average between one to one and a half percent return envelopes for bad addresses. I would also add though that we, as an organization, send out two mass mailers to all of our members twice a year, where we have received information from our Post Office saying that it could be an undeliverable and we reach out to those members, you know, prior to the election just for typical business to have the most updated address on file for us.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: And during the election, when we received notification that the address is incorrect, do we take any measures?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Yeah. So the envelopes do come back to us and then we typically work with our customer service branch on those addresses. And then they get -- their file gets

added to the names that are mailed when we send out that twice a year notification to update addresses.

But if you're an active member employee, you do have to do it through your employer. Retired members would either go through the CalPERS portal or they would work with our contact center or come into our regional office.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Are there any measures taken during the election process?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: No. We don't -- if we get an undeliverable back, we -- our vendor stores those undeliverables until the end of the election and we're not -- we take no action at that time.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

Ms. Middleton.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair. And I want to thank all of my colleagues for their comments. Unfortunately, we're in a time when Public Trust in institutions is as low as it is has ever been. And I think we have to go the extra mile to make sure that in all of our elections, that there is a trail that allows us to document what someone's voting intention was. The questions are going to emerge and we need to have the

ability to create a trail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

But given that, I want to second the comments also of Ms. Yee. I think our primary goal needs to be to enhance the number of individuals who are participating in the selection.

And one of the things that we have found in the public sector is giving individuals the opportunity to either vote by mail or to vote in person has increased voter participation. And if there are members who prefer to vote online and we can find a way to track how they have -- track a vote back not to an individual, but can track it, so as to be able to determine how many votes were for a particular individual, that is something we need to do.

And I hope I got that sentence right.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I'm confused.

(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: We need to be able to validate, in some fashion, not who voted for who, but how many votes there were for a particular individual.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Okay. I got it.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: There has to be a documentation trail.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Right. Right. All right.

25 Thank you.

Ms. Yee.

meglected to ask a question. In attachment 1, and it was in the staff report, we talked about the number of invalid ballots. And I know there was a good number of them that were invalid because they were late, but could you speak to some of the other reasons why we had like 2,900 invalid ballots.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Yeah, an invalid ballot is a ballot that might have an over or under vote. They voted for multiple people. They did not select a candidate. They're also in multiple cases where the return envelope was opened and there was nothing in it, or another piece of paper outside of the ballot --

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Uh-huh.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: -- or if there was no -- obviously, no signature on the return envelope, it would be deemed invalid.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Got it. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Ms. Yee.

I would like to say, Mr. Stone, thank you very much for this report. It was very helpful. Before we go on for voting on any of this, and we've got a whole lot of stuff to discuss still, it sounds like, because we've got

some suggestions, I have people from the public that would like to talk and ask questions or talk.

Mr. Darby, Mr. Behrens, Mr. Brennand, and Mr. Fountain, if you'd come up.

Yes.

2.2

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Chair Taylor, if I may interject just a comment at this point. With respect to the various suggestions about a disclosure requirement, I just wanted to bring to the Committee's attention that there are serious legal issues with doing that.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: We -- the California

Constitution basically says, as of 1991, you cannot change
the regulations or the qualifications for Board members
who are elected. That was part of the Proposition 162
that passed. It kind of froze the selection process at
that point.

So quite arguably the addition of a disclosure requirement would change the qualifications -- well, I should say -- I left a little part out there. You can't change the qualifications without a vote of the electorate, so -- because 162 was, of course, a vote of the electorate, so --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I got it.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: So to add a

disclosure -- specific disclosure requirements would potentially violate that provision. Very recently, we've seen this play out in a somewhat different context with the State requirement that was passed last year, that said any -- anybody to be listed on the California ballot for President of the United States has to disclose his or her tax returns.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Tax returns.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: And that was ruled by the California Supreme Court as violating the California Constitution, and also by a federal district court here in Sacramento as violating the U.S. Constitution. Again, I don't want to stress that that's entirely the same case, because there were different policies at play and different provisions of the constitutions at play.

But I just wanted to point out that before we get too far down that road, that there are substantial legal issues with doing that. So to be thrown into the mix as you consider that -- that suggestion or those suggestions.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I do appreciate that. I was thinking that this might not be the venue for that, but I have a couple of comments from the Board and then we will get to you. Sorry.

Ms. Olivares.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you, Ms.

Taylor. Thank you, Madam Chair.

2.2

Would there be any obstacle to having voluntary disclosure?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: No. In fact, as I recall, the regulation now, it encourages certain types of disclosure, not necessarily the ones that the Board members were talking about here today, but it encourages disclosure in your candidate statement. And that would certainly be permissible.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

Mr. Jones.

Committee Member Jones: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Jacobs, the regulations I thought provide for the Board to develop processes for Board member's election. So you're saying that if we develop within that authority, that still would be outside of our ability to include these kinds of things.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: You raise the counterargument, and it's a good one, which is, hey, these are just the regulations for the administration of Board elections. So it's a fine line, but it -- in my judgment, it tends to move over the line toward if you're actually going to require, as opposed to what Ms. Olivares just

said of kind of urging or recommending a certain disclosure, if you're going to require them, then that kind of moves you potentially over the line toward changing the qualifications for a potential Board member and that would be a problem.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you.

So I will move on to our public comment and go ahead, Mr. Behrens, you have three minutes.

MR. BEHRENS: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Congratulations on your appointment. Members of the

Committee, Tim Behrens, President of the California State

Retirees.

We are going on record today as supporting Option A for many of the same reasons that the Committee members, and some of the Board members that are visiting, have put out there. We think that it's more important to try to increase the number of people that vote in the election. We recognize that the expense is important as -- and also recognize the fact that using my phone or my laptop may have some security issues, because it's hard to hack those paper ballots, but it's not -- it's questionable about how bad the rest of the election process is.

So we support all three continuing as they are. Hopefully, our new cybersecurity here at CalPERS will

overcome many issues related to use of phone and/or laptop. We also believe that it's very important that the candidate statement continue to go out with the ballot. I was wondering, as I was listening to your conversation, whether or not we could put the candidate statements in the CalPERS PERSpective that goes out to every retired member in the state. I don't know if there's a time between the time that the candidate statement would be final and the time people would receive that PERSpective, and whether or not that could take the place of putting it in the ballot and save a few bucks. I don't know. It's just an idea that popped in my head.

So I would urge you to recommend to the Board that we continue with Option  ${\tt A}\mbox{.}$ 

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Behrens.

Mr. Darby.

MR. DARBY: Al Darby, President, Retired Public Employees Association.

Congratulations to you and Mr. Miller for your reelection to the officer positions here.

The important thing to remember here is that

Internet and IVR are not secret ballots. There's a pin

number that's required, so you can identify who cast the

ballot. That would not be the case or is not the case

with paper ballots.

2.2

Secondly, the options proposed to enhance CalPERS Board election processes by adding Internet and telephone will cause these elections to be about \$3 million more than they need to be. And until you can come up with a secret ballot method to perform Internet or IVR, it would appear to be an invalid way to conduct an election.

Also, you have no paper trail of those votes, so you could have manipulation and we have hacking from all over the world, even China may want to hack into a CalPERS election.

For all these elections, secret ballots are mandated outside in the outside world. So this isn't an anomaly what we're doing here with IVR and Internet voting.

The two elections that we've conducted offering added non-secret ballot options have not significantly enhanced voter turnout. Voter turnout has declined even with those options available in the last two elections.

In fact, the -- all of the voting options offered here require a mailing of printed material. So it -- you can't save much money on a mailing. All you'd be doing is suggest -- it's suggested you take out candidate statements.

So in all cases, Option B would appear to be the

best option at this point in time.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Darby.

Mr. Brennand and Mr. Fountain.

MR. FOUNTAIN: Good morning. Congratulations again on the election for the -- both of you that were elected. I'm Jerry Fountain, Chief Financial Officer, California State Retirees.

And the work that this Board does is extremely important, so it's significant to have the members that you know what their beliefs are and what their feelings are. And I agree with Board Member -- Ms. Yee, it's more important to get members to vote than it is for the costs.

Now, you have some costs that are important to track what's going on, like your cost per ballot return. But that is a phantom figure. Because if you allow a person to vote by phone, or Internet, then they're not going to return a paper ballot, so the cost per ballot returned is going to be higher, so it's a phantom figure. So that should not be considered.

The paper is the only way to go. You have approximately 30 percent of the retirees, I believe the figures are, that are at least 90 or 100 years of age.

I'm willing to bet a significant amount of funds that they are not computer literate, or don't want to be computer

literate, and don't understand computers, because they're not in the computer culture.

So going with at least a minimum of the paper ballot I feel is extremely important.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Fountain.

Mr. Brennand.

MR. BRENNAND: Madam Chair and members, Terry
Brennand on behalf of SEIU California. We've done a lot
of research, SEIU has, with its members and its retirees
about voting in CalPERS elections. And the two main
reasons why they do not participate are, one, they don't
know about the election. They threw away their ballot,
didn't pay attention, don't know. So whatever we're doing
about outreach and announcement it's not enough.

Two, they're don't know enough about the candidates to choose. That was -- about 40 to 50 percent of the people who did not vote said I didn't know enough to make a choice.

So you have two proposals in front of you, one of them to restrict, in one way or another, access to voting, which seems counter to solving that problem, and the other is providing less information to the voters?

We're going the wrong way. I mean, at a minimum, we're supporting Option A. There is another option,

Option A1, which is you spend more money. You get more aggressive. You spend more time interacting with our members and our retirees about the upcoming election, about the importance of the election, about when the ballots are coming, about how to participate.

2.2

And just to respond to the IVR issue. One of the biggest problems with that is that you call to get your pin number and you have to call another number to vote. You can't go I'm ready to vote, press one, and you're sent to the voting site. So you've now created another obstacle to voting. If we could change that part, we could increase IVR. It's doubled by your numbers from the initial, in 2017, which was the first time you did it, to 2019 with the retirees to almost five percent of the vote from something like 2.48 or 58 in December -- in 2017.

And in terms of the online, I mean, 34 percent of the people who voted in the public agency election voted that way. I'd like to take a little credit for it. We had a very aggressive online program, because people in those situations feel comfortable doing it.

So I want to discourage anything that limits your options to voting and limits your options to information.

Politics is messy. Politics, democracy is expensive. We've just got to figure out a way to do it better.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Brennand.

So I had a couple of questions that came up from our commentary here, Mr. Stone.

The pin number that we're using for the online, and that's for -- does that identify the actual voter or is that just a pin number to get in?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So each -- each eligible voter is provided a unique identification number. That's just a random number that we provide. When you -- when you access the landing page, you then are required to put in your last four of your social, your pin to enter the voting portal.

After that, the vote is completely separated how you vote and what's logged. All we really care about is we know that that voter went in at that time online and cast a vote. That way we can ensure that only one vote was cast per eligible voter.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: And that -- and that has happened on occasion where, you know, someone is confused. They're trying to log back in. It's not allowing them to log back in. They call our help desk provided by the vendor. That vendor then is able to look at their account and identify you

```
logged in at 10:38 a.m. on Saturday and you did submit a vote. And sure enough, they recall doing that.
```

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So basically it's to prevent double voting?

5 OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF 6 STONE: Correct.

7 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: But otherwise, it's separate 8 from --

9 OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF
10 STONE: The voter --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- and there isn't a way for the vendor to go back and say, hey, this ID -- this pin ID was from Theresa Taylor?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: The vote and the voter is disassociated.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And then second -- my second question -- my second question was on the Internet votes,

I know we've talked about this before, is there a paper trail that can be created?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

So the vendor handles this entire election,

25 | right, CalPERS does not. And they have -- are required to

have auditable trails.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

And they're not audits performed by CalPERS, but CalPERS can request an audit of the election, and a third-party would then have to come and do an audit of their election process.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So they have some kind of trail?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

They -- Yes. And it's certified by Secretary of

State. And they have -- let me see. Where is that one?

Right here.

In the frequently asked questions that we provided to all of our members, it talks about -- I have the wrong page. Sorry. Here we go. It uses enterprise-grade hardware and encrypted security procedures, housed in a highly secured accredited data center facilities, and that they have received accreditations from the United States Department of Defense. They follow guidelines of encryption, threat modeling, physical server security, and tamper detection monitoring.

24 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. I saw Ms. Frost step 25 up. Did you want to comment? 1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: (Shakes head.)
2 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

Okay. So those were my questions. I had -before we go forward with some of the direction here, I've
got one more question from the Committee or outside the
Committee, but a Board member.

Margaret Brown.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

Ms. Malm, just to go back over, when you say that they're certified by the Secretary of State, I know that the print shop is certified to print ballots, but are you telling me that the online company is certified by the Secretary of State to do online voting, because I don't think that's true?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:
The Secretary of State does not certify online
voting.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Right. I just want to be clear. So it's just to print the ballots. It's not to -STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

And their facility is evaluated every two years. They just got accreditation -- right.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: But the Secretary of State of California does not certify any online voting?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

Except for ours. They certify our vote, our elections.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: They're not certified to do online voting.

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

That's correct.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

If I could take a swing at the candidate statements maybe. So let me -- so here's the one page that is currently used that only has basically the instructions and the ballot. And then this is our ballot package. And as you can see, it's folded, stapled, numerous -- oops -- numerous pages, so -- and blank pages, because it's in a booklet form.

And so I agree that the candidate statements are valuable information. I certainly like Mr. Behrens comment about putting it in the PERSpective. But what if there was a secondary page that was like this as opposed to a booklet, and it had like the candidate statement on the top half, second candidate statement on the bottom life. It's still a lot easier, cheaper to print, as opposed to going all the way back to the booklet. That's just a consideration for you guys to think about.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Great. So -- and I will say that does make sense, if -- so if we have more than one candidate, then you can just have it on both sides, right?

2.2

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM: Right.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. That makes sense then, rather than having a booklet. I get the booklet looks know officious, because they do it for Sacramento county and every thing -- every place else.

I do have questions from the Board members.

Mr. Saha for Ms. Ma.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER SAHA: Thank you, Madam Chair. Two quick questions. Are there any issues historically speaking with security issues related to the online or the phone voting?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: None.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER SAHA: Okay. And a question about -- I know one of the options might be not providing all the voting options to all members. I believe it's Option D. Is there any issue constitutionally with that option. Maybe it's a question for Matt, I guess.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: For D?

1 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER SAHA: Yeah, for D.
2 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

2.2

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: D is just the hybrid voting options, so maybe only offering paper for retired, offering online and IVR for -- GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It's not clear. It's a good question. I think that if there were sufficient rationale for the distinction, that would probably be fine. I've not -- that would have to be more fully articulated than it has been yet.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER SAHA: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: But it would require a regulatory change, because the current regulation requires all three voting methods.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: And just to answer your questions, Mr. Perez, the actual regulations section 554.7 of the California Code of Regulations says that CalPERS will allow online and telephone voting on there.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. Mr. Feckner.

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Unless, I'm mistaken, although I agree with Mr. Behrens

25 comment, I don't think the regulations allow us to do any

advertising for campaigns or political information in the PERSpective, correct?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: You are correct, Mr. Feckner.

BOARD MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So it would -- so basically, let's restate that. We cannot put the candidate statement in the Calpers Perspective. However, there are other discussions about how we can do that. So I just wanted to have that restated.

And I'm being asked by -- Mr. Miller -- please everyone speak into your --

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Lisa.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Microphones.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: -- microphones.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Go ahead, Mr. Miller.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. One thing that strikes me as one of the kind of challenges to some of these is that they do, they require regulations, and they would be suggest to being opposed, and potential legal challenges.

But the thing I really wanted to come back to was this idea of -- that we could potentially put -- if there were only two candidates, we could have something back to

back and save that blank piece of paper by using that. As long as we have the opportunity for those candidate statements to be delivered to our members, I think that would be fine.

2.2

But this isn't my first rodeo. I've been running for CalPERS Board, you know, since somewhere around 2001, I believe, the first time. And I remember being at a couple candidate forums with a lot of other candidates. And so I don't know that that necessarily would, you know, minimize or change the -- make a big difference in putting together a package, if we had a contested election with multiple candidates.

But I just do want to reiterate -- in having run for the Board number of times going way back and having seen the concerns, the questions, the perceptions, the distrust of our election processes, and changes, and why changes have been made over time during the course of various election cycles, especially the perception that things were being done to benefit incumbents, which you wouldn't think I'd be complaining about, but -- but I'm acutely sensitive to that -- that perception.

And so I also think, at least for myself, I also don't want to contribute to that perception that I would be kind of self-dealing as a potential future candidate by making changes that would again limit information going

directly to our members that would potentially benefit me as an incumbent.

1.3

2.2

So I'm more inclined to stay with the status quo or, in fact, actually beef up our efforts going forward, as suggested by others.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Before I move on to the next speaker, I just had one other comment that Mr. Miller's comments reminded me of. We have -- we spend time and money notifying people of the election, right? And I see that we have engagement with the ten largest agencies. So how people don't know is, you know, I don't know. I mean, I don't know what the response is when you engage with those ten large agencies. Could you give us kind of an idea?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

 $$\operatorname{Mr}$.$  Stone contacted each one of them himself, so  $I\text{\ensuremath{}^{\text{I}}}{}$  I'm going to let him talk about it.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Positive. You know, I would make contact with each agency and work with their public affairs director, information officer. Our Public Affairs team has done a fantastic job actually creating marketing toolkits.

It's -- it's a kit that we send out to all of our interested stakeholders, all of our public agencies, or

whoever is voting that -- that election, as well as our retired and labor associations.

2.2

And it's a one-stop shop with regards to newsletter help or email blasts. And, you know, unfortunately, we just haven't seen the traction, you know, we thought we would.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So is Franchise Tax Board to that as one of the ten large agencies?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: There was no State election this last election cycle or school.

12 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh, so this is the first
13 time you've done it.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Yeah. So I personally called the top ten public agency --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: -- elections in 2018 and worked with their information officer on the toolkit and marketing the elections.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And we haven't done that before for any of the other elect -- okay. So maybe we'll see it move forward, because I was going to say if you did that before, I don't think anybody ever heard about it

from my agency, but -- so we have to know whether or not that got disseminated as maybe a follow-up? You know, because they can say that they will, and they get busy, and it's not on the top of their radar, and maybe they didn't disseminate that information. So that would be something we could talk about as well.

Mr. Perez.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: I'm -- I got thoroughly confused in regards to the certification process from the Secretary of State, from whoever certifies here in California. Can you --

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: So Integrity Voting Systems, who was our administrator of the election, they have to go through a certificated process with the Secretary of State with regards to them being an authorized ballot printer, as well as printing those ballots that can be read on authorized election machines. So they -- they are audited every two years to get that accreditation, and they've had that for several years. And I think they were just reaccredit -- reaccredited.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So I think -- I think it was what you were talking about, which was the online voting is not accredited by the Secretary of State directly. The

entire vote is accredited by the Secretary of State, is that correct?

1.3

2.2

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

Correct. The election is certified by the Secretary of State.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: The whole election?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:
The entire election.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: But online and IVR voting is not certified by Secretary of State, correct?

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:
No, it is not a method that they utilize.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Yeah. All right.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: So do those votes -- do the members that use that system, do those votes count?

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Yes.

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

Yes. The election is certified, including all of the votes, by the Secretary of State. Even though they don't recognize or utilize online and telephone voting, they do recognize and certify our elections.

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So we have some decisions here. From the Chair's point, it sounds like we still want candidate statements. Maybe you guys can bring

us a copy of a State election that would have multi, so it would be two people running at the same time that would maybe have four candidate statements -- four our five candidate statements.

So if you're doing it on a sheet of paper or a couple sheets of paper, rather than a booklet, and bring the booklet kind of sample, or show us something like that. But it sounds to me, if I'm hearing the Committee correctly, that we want more information not less information.

Okay.

2.2

Now, here's the voting part. Okay. We have Options A, B, C, and D. So I'm going to -- who wants to vote for Option D? Anybody? Does anybody have an appetite for Option D?

Because I didn't hear a lot of appetite for that. So anybody?

So I'm just -- does anybody -- I'm going over the options now, Stacie, I'm sorry. It didn't sound to me like anybody had an appetite for Option D. So I'm actually trying to get rid of options now as we move into voting for this. So does anybody have a problem with us not going for Option D?

Okay. Then Option C was online and IVR only. Did anybody have an appetite to see that one?

```
It's looking like no.
1
             Okay. So we're going to get rid of C and D.
2
             Option B is return to mail-in ballot only. Okay.
 3
    So we do have a bit of an appetite for that in the
 4
5
    Committee. It looks like I have one person. So I'm going
    to ask for everybody who's in favor of Option B to hold up
6
    their hand on the Committee?
7
8
             (Hand raised.)
9
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It looks like I've got one
   person. Okay. So we don't have an Option B viable vote
10
11
    here.
             So we do have a viable vote, I take it, for
12
    Option A is where we're at, which is staying the status
13
    quo. So now I need a vote on continuing with Option A.
14
    So all -- all of those in favor --
15
16
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You need a motion.
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh, I'm sorry. I need a
17
   motion for Option A.
18
19
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: I move that we adopt
20
   Option A to continue.
             VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I second.
21
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So it's been moved by
2.2
23
   Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Miller.
             All those in favor?
24
25
             (Ayes.)
```

```
COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: What about
1
    discussion?
2
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh, we have two discussions.
 3
    I'm sorry.
 4
             Mr. Perez.
5
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: I was going to ask for a
6
                 I don't know how it works.
7
    substitute.
8
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: A substitute motion?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Yeah, that one.
9
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: That one.
10
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: To approve number B --
11
   letter B. I don't think the raising hand cuts it.
12
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So you're asking us
13
    to go back to Option B and ask for a vote.
14
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Yeah.
15
16
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Can I do that.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Just to clean it up.
17
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR:
                                  Fine.
18
             CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Mr. Perez is
19
   making a motion. He needs a second to his motion and then
20
    you can take the vote.
21
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So we're asking to go back.
2.2
23
   We have a motion to go back -- I don't -- I guess it's a
    substitute motion -- to Option B and take a vote on Option
24
```

В.

I need a second.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So it fails for no second.

Mr. Rubalcava.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you.

I would support Option A, but I was persuaded by Mr. Terry Brennand's testimony. I think we need to see if we can improve the IVR process.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Certainly. I don't know what that entails, but...

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: So it wouldn't be the double calling in, like was stated.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Oh. So, yeah, so instead -- if there's a way to --

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Simplify it.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And that would come with the RFP. You can kind of put those parameters in the RFP, right?

OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF STONE: Yeah. We've gone through about tree iterations of our -- of our flowchart, and we've gotten a lot better with the voting experience using IVR. We would just work with our vendor on adding an option if they call our help desk line for help, that we can get them transferred from there directly to the voting portal via IVR.

```
CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Is that -- so it's our -- so that's the problem. So our initial phone call -- I think Mr. Brennand is gone. The initial phone call to get our pin number, if we don't have it with us, to vote online or to vote via IVR is to our help desk, is that what I'm hearing?
```

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Chair Taylor, when a member has lost their pin and they call into the IVR. Mr. Brennand's point was that there was not the ability to transfer that person directly into the voting system, that they had to make a separate call. That was changed during the retiree election. So if --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So that was changed?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: It was changed in the retiree election.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So that's already been done.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We will make sure that we change it in the documentation so it's very clear.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Good. Okay. All right. Mr. Jones.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: After you vote on this,
I have a comment.

(Laughter.)

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. So I think we

```
were -- had a first -- or, I'm sorry, we had a motion and
1
    a second for Option A.
2
             All those in favor of Option A say aye?
 3
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?
 5
 6
             (Nay.)
7
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR:
                                  I have one nay.
8
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ:
                                       I oppose.
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Please show that Mr. Perez
9
10
    is a no vote.
             Any abstentions?
11
             All right. Motion carries.
12
             We are Option A and streamlining -- we still want
13
    the candidate statement, but streamline it as best as
14
15
   possible.
16
             And Mr. Jones.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Madam Chair, I
17
   would ask if you could direct staff to also explore the
18
    optional attestation provisions of the process.
19
20
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So I think that's a
    two-parter. So then we'll need Mr. Jacobs to look into
21
2.2
    whether or not that's actually constitutional.
23
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah, he said --
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Well, I can tell you
24
25
    right now, if you want to make something optional, you can
```

do it. 1 COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Right. That's why I 2 asked. 3 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So optional, how would that 4 work? I don't understand how that would work. 5 GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: I think the question 6 7 would be what do you want to direct staff to include in the voter -- well, no, I guess this would be in the 8 regulation. It would be a change to the regulation, 9 10 because the regulation says what should be included in the 11 candidate statement, right? OPERATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION ACTING CHIEF 12 STONE: Correct. 1.3 GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: So it would be a 14 15 direction to -- Ms. Malm is speaking, so I'm going to 16 defer to her. She's got a better idea how to do this than I do. 17 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Do you? 18 STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM: 19 No, I don't have a better idea than General 20 Counsel. 21

22 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: She's like, oh, no.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Okay. Well, then I'll

24 continue.

23

25

STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:

```
Sorry. I was giving him an idea. I'm just --
1
    we're bringing back regulations in April. And so the --
2
    we can work with the legal counsel to look at optional
 3
    language, and then it goes to you, and it goes to the
    public comment to get input on what's -- what fits.
5
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And if it's optional, is --
6
7
    am I understanding this correctly, that that doesn't
8
    necessarily mean they have to disclose this.
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Correct.
9
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's just an option,
10
   correct?
11
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Correct.
12
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So it's not changing the
13
    way --
14
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It's not changing the
15
16
    qualifications --
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR:
17
                                  Right.
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: -- to run for office.
18
19
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Right.
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It's an encouragement
20
21
    to --
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: To be honest.
2.2
23
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: -- disclose certain
24
    things.
25
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's kind of like, gee, we
```

```
really want the President's tax returns, but --
1
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Exactly.
2
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I gotcha. Okay.
 3
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS:
                                      Exactly.
             So I think that before April though, it would be
 5
    useful -- there were a number of things that were proposed
 6
    to get an idea of whether there's a coalescence around a
7
8
    few -- two, or three, or four of them, so that staff can
   put drafts of those into a proposed regulation.
9
             So what I heard was financial defalcations, which
10
    is too vaque. So we should probably say something more
11
    specific there, something about sexual harassment findings
12
    by government agencies. And then I think there were some
1.3
    others.
14
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR:
                                  It was --
15
16
             STRATEGIC HEALTH OPERATIONS DIVISION CHIEF MALM:
             Conflict of interest and confidentiality.
17
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Conflict of interest, yeah,
18
19
   and confidentiality.
20
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Right. I think that's
   the --
21
             CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Sexual harassment and
2.2
23
    financial.
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: That's the -- that's
24
25
    everything that we heard. So I think we can put those
```

into a draft regulation, bring it back, and the Committee can vote on which of those makes -- makes the grade.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I got it.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Does that make sense?

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Yeah. All right. Thank

you.

1.3

2.2

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Does that work?
CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

So we are finally done with 7b. Thank you very much.

We are moving on to 7c. Item 7c, Revised

Proposed Regulation for the Social Security Administration

Fees.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Let me have Renee Ostrander walk you through the proposed changes in regulations. You have seen these regulations before, but let me turn it over to Renee.

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF

OSTRANDER: Good morning, Madam Chair and members of the

Committee. Renee Ostrander, Calpers team member.

I'm here today to present Agenda Item 7c, the revise proposed regulations for the Social Security Administration fees. We're requesting approval to submit the revised regulations for a 15-day comment period, and if no comments are received submit those revised

regulations to the Office of Administrative Law for review and publication.

1.3

2.2

As you may recall, the regulations were first brought forward to you in September. The regulations identified the calculation of fees to support CalPERS' administration of the State Social Security program.

After that time, we released the proposed comments for a 45-day public comment period. We did receive one comment and the information related to that comment is in your agenda item.

Since that time, we've had the opportunity to revenue data, complete additional analysis and discussion. And as a result of that, we are requesting to make a revision to the calculation of the adjustment of fees on an annual basis.

And this adjustment will align with the requirement that we stay within the annual fund balance that has been set by statute and provides for more minor adjustments in the calculation. We'd be moving away from utilizing the CPIU, and instead adjusting based on the actual fund balance.

If approved today, we'll release the proposed regulations for a 15-day public comment period to the public to comment on the revised language only.

If no comments are received, we'll submit the

revised language to the Office of Administrative Law for their review, approval, and then the publication by the Secretary of State.

This concludes my presentation. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Sure. So thank you. So basically as I understand it, this change is that you would like to be able to not come before the Committee every year and ask for additional funds?

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF OSTRANDER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

2.2

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF

OSTRANDER: It was already in there to not do that,

because there -- it is a pretty lengthy process to be

adjusting them, so we had originally built it in with

CPIU. But over concerns over new data that we had

available to us, there were concerns that we might go over

our fund balance. So we've adjusted how -- we've adjusted

how we'll do those adjustments annually.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So can you give me a little -- so it's not CPIU. How are you doing it now?

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF
OSTRANDER: So now we're going to be looking at the actual fund balance, so it's related to our annual program

expenditures for the upcoming year. And then where our actual fund balance is in terms of billing and collection.

And so we put all of that information together and then calculate what the adjustment in the -- in the request -- the invoices that would go out.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So then I had a request that I had spoken to Mr. Cohen about. And just to make sure, since -- if we're not seeing this come forward and we can see it in the budget, correct?

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Yes. We'd be happy to put the proposed fee amount in the April budget proposal on an annual basis, so that everyone could see --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And then just for -- if you could report that out just as an additional --

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Yes. Everyone could see what the fund balance is and what the proposed fee would be for the upcoming fiscal year, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Great. Great

And then I had -- it sounds all wonderful. I appreciate your help on that.

Ms. Brown.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

I was on the Committee when this first came and I had asked for a copy of that calculation and the certification of that calculation, because it originally

was not included in our packet. So my question was -- and it looked like when you looked at the calculation, that we could have 150 percent of the required fees in the fund balance at some point in time.

So -- so this new regulation is going to -- we're going to do more math. We're going to do more work to get the number closer to what it needs to be, not less than 50 and not greater than 100.

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF OSTRANDER: Correct.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Okay. So that way we are not harming the employers who have to pay this.

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF OSTRANDER: Correct.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Okay.

1.3

2.2

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF

OSTRANDER: The anticipation is to stay between 50 and 100 percent, because 50 percent gives us about a six-month, you know, window, or cushion for the annual fees.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: So did we figure this out or did somebody tell us that we were going to be collecting probably too much and we needed to change that?

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF
OSTRANDER: We figured this out based on some new data
that we started collecting. I mean, everything for us in

the beginning was an estimate. So we were doing our best with that. We've started collecting actual data. And so based on that data and based on new information we received, we did this recalculation.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Great. Well, I'm glad that we discovered this issue and that it's important that we don't overcollect on the fees.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF OSTRANDER: Um-hmm, definitely.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

So given this -- I have no other questions from the Committee. Given this is an action item, can I get a motion for the revised proposed regulation for --

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's moved by Mr. Miller.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Second.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Second by Mr. Jones.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?

Hearing none. Motion carries, 7c.

We're on 7d.

EMPLOYER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF

25 | OSTRANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And that's the Barra, LLC contract.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: That's right.

Let me have Dan from our Investment Office quickly

describe this item. It's basically asking for a contract

extension that exceeds our current delegated authority.

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: Thanks, Michael. Dan Bienvenue, Acting Chief
Operating Investment Officer.

Yes, management is requesting a two-year contract extension for the Barra contract. The rationale for our request is that we currently have a handful of technology projects in flight, specifically the data strategy, and our multi-asset training platform project in flight.

The risk management platform, which Barra serves as, is closely intersected with those. We would like to progress those a bit further, before we make a final determination on the -- on our risk platform. But to get to that point, we need an extension of the contract.

So I'm happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Do I have any questions on this?

I do.

1.3

2.2

(Sneeze.)

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Bless you.

Ms. Olivares. 1 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you, Madam Chair. What's the current cost to the system? 3 INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER 4 BIENVENUE: That's about two and a half million dollars a 5 6 year. COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: And that -- so the 7 8 cost hasn't increased at all? INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER 9 BIENVENUE: As a result of this extension? 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Yes. 11 INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER 12 BIENVENUE: Correct. 1.3 COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: How many users? 14 INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER 15 16 BIENVENUE: I don't know that off the top of my head. You 17 know, it would the whole risk team, many of the total fund

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: So is -- it's primarily the Investment Office that accesses it or only the Investment Office?

portfolio management team. There would be definitely

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

tens.

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER

BIENVENUE: I believe it's only the investment office that has access.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Will the Board have access, so that we can look at this and understand the risk?

2.2

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: The Board certainly has access to the
reporting that comes out of it. That's what you see
monthly. That's the stuff that is now populated in
Insight. All of that is stuff that the Board would have
access to the reporting, absolutely.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Will we have access to this service, so that we can see this dynamically and not just on every investment meeting?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: That is not the current practice of having Board members have direct access into those systems. It's more the output that we're providing to you in your oversight role, but we're happy to have a further conversation about it.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: What would the additional cost be to add the Board members?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We would have to look at it. I don't know if this is an enterprise license or a user license, so we'd have to take a look at that.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: So we don't know that right now whether or not it's enterprise or user based?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: I do not. I

don't know if Dan does.

1.3

2.2

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: I believe it's an enterprise. It's a
site-wide license, I believe, but I'd have to confirm
that.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: I'd like to know if there are any additional costs for adding the Board members, so that we can understand the investment risks on an ongoing basis.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah. Like I said, we're happy to meet with you on that. And some of the reporting, if the reporting is not generating the transparency that you need around risk, we're happy to customize other reports that would come out of the system as well.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So our role is oversight.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And I believe that we're looking to ask for that kind of access might be looking at going down further than our actual oversight role.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: My understanding that other trustees, such as LACERA has access to several types of investment --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: They have a different investment office than we do.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Right, but many other public pension funds have similar types of access. So I just want to make sure --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And -- and let's talk about that. Let's talk about that.

Ms. Yee.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. So the two-year extension will we then see within this period of time the full implementation of the multi-asset trading platform?

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: We hope so.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Okay.

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: We certainly will have the answer. We -- the current contract expires in November of 2020. We believe that around that time is where we'll be at a decision point, and then we can -- and then we can move forward. The challenge would be that if we move to a decision point that goes a different direction, in that we would have an expiring contract, and that puts us in a tougher situation. So this just buys us a couple of years to make sure that we progress the other technology projects.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Okay. And then is this extension period also, I guess, encompassing a test

period, so that we know what may be required in terms of the RFI for future risk platform services?

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: It would. So currently On the multi-asset
trading platform specifically, we did a test system. We
confirmed that that worked, but had some reservations
about it. It's workable, but not perfect. We're doing
another test of a different potential. And then once we
work our way through those two sets of tests, we should be
approaching a decision point.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Okay.

2.2

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: And we'll have also progressed the data
strategy, which also again sort of intersects with this.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Okay. Can I just ask for maybe some periodic updates with respect to the implementation of this?

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER BIENVENUE: Absolutely.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Okay. Great. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. It appears I don't have any more questions. I had one more question. When we extend contracts for IT, I always have a little hesitancy, because IT projects in the state of California tend to be a problem. So I just want to make sure, as Ms.

Yee was asking, that the cost is staying the same, but also that we don't foresee this being used over, and over, and over again. And is there -- once this is -- this risk platform is active, is there knowledge transfer?

2.2

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER
BIENVENUE: So the risk platform is currently active and
there has been knowledge transfer and there continues to
be knowledge transfer. I would say that if we were to go
in a new risk platform, then that would also be the case.
That would be part of that -- part of that decision
calculus.

And, yes, I share your concern around technology projects going too long. That's something that we are certainly pushing on. Does -- that's the reason for a two-year extension. And it's only on a contract that's existing in place, while these other technology projects progress, and --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So -- and as I see that, then I kind of expect the next -- if we have to do this again, then I would expect that you guys have vetted what they've done, right, to see, well, do we really need an extension or is this just trying to get more money out of the State of California.

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER

BIENVENUE: We need a platform. We know we need that for

sure. What we don't know is if, through doing some of these other things, if we can actually save some money on that platform on a go-forward basis, we're just -- we don't have enough information yet to be able to make that decision.

And that's the reason to extend this contract for two years to progress the other things to see if we can -if we can get to that place of potential savings.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Hopefully.

INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING INVESTMENT OFFICER

BIENVENUE: Hopefully.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All right. Great.

I have no other questions. So this also is an action item. I'd like to have someone move it.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Move it.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So it's moved by Mr. Jones.

I need --

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Second by Mr. Miller to approve the recommendation for a two-year contract extension on Barra, LLC contract.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: All those opposed?

Hearing none. Motion carries.

1 Oh, you said --

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: I'm abstaining.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: I have an abstention by Ms.

Olivares.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

All right. Motion carries.

And now, we are on Item 8a.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Yes. That concludes your action items for the agenda.

Moving on to informational items, we have our annual Diversity and Inclusion Report, where I'll turn it over to our Communications and Investment team to walk you through the PowerPoint.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Hi, guys.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was

presented as follows.)

Morning. The set up there was purposeful. So we have our report here, annual Diversity and Inclusion report. So this comes out of the Stakeholder Relations Division. So I'll be giving this report, as well as being accompanied by Simiso here to talk about the investment component. And if there are any other questions that come up, we can have our subject matter experts come in and answer some of your questions, if you have them.

So we'll follow the slide presentation here.

So, next slide.

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: So 3 the focus on D&I is to increase engagement of our leaders 4 and team members. This -- well, first of all, let me step 5 back one second here and say that our CalPERS Diversity 6 7 Outreach Program we have three practitioners, and a 8 manager, and then we have our supervisors. They're in the audience here, so I'd like to recognize them for their 9 strong work. And you'll see as a result of the report 10 here, they put this together for us, so if they could just 11 stand up and be recognized. 12

(Applause.)

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: There they are right here.

So this is our fourth year of expanding the D&I business initiative. And we're trying to direct our efforts to increase engagement of the leaders, team members, expand training to raise awareness, and understanding of diversity and inclusion, and most importantly, help our leaders to integrate these best practices within our teams.

So next slide, please.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: So

our diversity strategy has three focus areas, talent management, which is connecting D&I and talent -- excuse me, talent management efforts to attract, develop, and advance highly qualified team members. Our education component to expand and strengthen D&I education, to increase inclusion and engagement across the enterprise, and our communication where we leverage our communication channels to present a consistent message, increase team member involvement, and showcase CalPERS as a destination employer.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: So in measuring our success, our methodology here, you can see that in 2016 we established a new measure through the employee engagement surveys questions specific to our diversity and inclusion. The target goal is to increase our engagement score by eight percent by the year 2022, requiring annual target over the five years of 1.6 percent increase per year.

Next slide.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Okay. Our accomplishments. So far, in 2018-19, we continue to implement the D&I -- enterprise D&I strategy to increase

collaboration, communication, and engagement across the enterprise. We have established an Enterprise Diversity and Inclusion Council to support CalPERS strategic goals and tactical initiatives slated to commence its first meeting this March.

2.2

Having increased the D&I strategy measure by one percent in fiscal year 2018-19, our total increase since the initiation of the business initiative is over six percent. We're are on target to raise our engagement by the eight percent target by 2022.

The number of requests for workshops and presentations has increased by 41 percent, and our team has conducted workshops and presentations to over 40 teams, including our Senior Leadership Council serving ten separate divisions and over 360 participants. Senior leader engagement increased with employee resource group efforts. And those employee resource groups are diversity and inclusion -- excuse me, our Disability Advisory Committee, as well as our CalPERS Diversity and Inclusion Group. Those are employee generated and employee participated employee resource groups.

Next slide, please.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: SO here, we'll just kind of walk through some numbers here.

You can take a look at those. They're pretty self-explanatory here. I don't need to go ahead and label all of these, but you can see from the pie cart there, our ethnicity across the enterprise with this particular slide.

Next slide.

2.2

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: We will talk about our gender demographics. You can see it broken down into the executive, senior leader, rank and file, and team leader components.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: And then our gender by branch. These branches are throughout our enterprise and you can see there, they're all listed appropriately there.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: And then the next slide our ethnicity of our team members.

Again, all the numbers are fairly self-explanatory.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: And then the ethnicity of our senior leaders. And senior leaders, division chiefs and up.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: And then our ethnicity of team leaders. And this would be our management level.

2.2

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: And then our ethnicity by program area.

So for the next two slides, I'd like to introduce you to Simiso Nzima and he'll go over these from the Investment Office and speak about our efforts on our corporate board diversity.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Thank you.

Members of the Finance and Admin Committee, good morning. Simiso Nzima, CalPERS staff.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: So corporate board diversity is one of the six strategy priorities that were adopted by the Investment Committee in August 2016. And my team really has been responsible in terms of engaging companies and trying to get them to increase the number of diverse Board members. We're making progress. It's slow. I think some board members were here a year and a half ago. They heard me say that it's -- you know, it's akin to trying to boil the ocean in terms of trying to improve the diversity on our boards.

But, you know, if we look at the companies that

we've engaged in since 2017, 53 percent of those companies have actually added an element of diversity that they didn't have at the beginning of our engagements in July 2017.

1.3

2.2

One of the KPIs of the strategy plan was to have all S&P companies have at least a woman on their Board after three years. And that was achieved by July 2019. So all S&P companies at least have gender diversity. And again, I want to emphasize the fact that we use gender as a criteria. But when we engage companies, we actually look at all forms of diversity. And the reason again we use gender as a criteria is that you -- we don't have the data in terms of race, ethnicity, and the other forms of diverse. So the disclosures are not there, because they're mandated, and so forth.

Where our engagement have not been successful, we've actually been voting against, you know, directors, board chairs, nominating and governance committee members, and so forth. So these numbers, you can see there, we actually voted against quite a number of directors.

Although, the numbers are coming down, which was really a reflection of the fact that the message is getting across. We're getting more companies adding diverse candidates or diverse members to their Board. So those numbers I would expect to see them to continue to come down over time, at

least where we're voting against directors, and also filing shareowner proposals.

2.2

Moving on to the -- to the next slide.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: This is really just a graphical illustration of the cumulative effects. So just to show you that when we started this, the fiscal 2017-18, we had identified 504 companies, and 151 companies, are here actually, have added, you know, diverse directors to their board.

And then fiscal 2018-19, we identified an additional 178 companies taking the cumulative number to 682 companies. And cumulatively, 361 companies have added a diverse director to their Board. So that's the 53 percent there. So you're seeing that -- that increase.

Before I hand it back to my colleague, I just want to spend a little bit of time talking about 3D, which is the Diverse Director DataSource. This is something -- this is a data source, or database, which was founded by CalPERS and CalSTRS. When we're engaging companies, we had a lot of companies saying that they could not find, you know, qualified diverse candidates.

And so as part of this initiative, we worked to co-found 3D. And in about -- in 2016, we moved that to the war Equilar Diversity Network platform, because they

have it over there. And the Equilar Diversity Network has 20 partner members. By partner members, these are different affinity groups, you know, like Latino Corporate Directors Association, Black Enterprise, us, and Catalyst. There's about 24 affinity groups.

2.2

And again, this is an idea that you want to see when companies are saying they can't find qualified direct -- director candidates. They can go to some of these -- the Equilar Diversity Network.

But just to give you statistics in terms of 3D. In terms of the number of candidates that are in 3D right now, we have about 814 candidates. And on the Equilar -- on the broader Equilar Diversity Network, we have about -- there are about 2,800 candidates.

In terms of the number of board appointments from 3D from 2017 -- January 2017 to the end of 2019, we had about 300 directors appointed to company boards, but 100 of which are on to public company boards. And then the other 200 are there from -- into private or nonprofit boards. And from the Equilar Diversity Network we had about a thousand directors appointed between 2017 -- 2017 to 2019.

Those are board appointment. The actual number of director -- director appointments 189 in 3D and 727 in EDN. So really there's some directors were appointed to

two -- you know, or -- two or three boards. So again, talking about the issue of whether companies are appointing the same people, you know, to multiple boards. But it shows that the number they actually are appointing -- you know, there's no overboarding here as a result of this.

1.3

2.2

And one more statistic that I'd like to leave the Board with on this -- the Finance and Admin Committee on this, and that if you look at the level of diversity on the Russell 3000, for example, in January 2017, you had 15 percent of board seats occupied by women. And by the third quarter of 2019, that number had moved to 21 percent. So that's -- you know, that's a six percentage point increase, which is a 40 percent increase in the number of board seats occupied by women on the Russell 3000.

So again, like I said, it's a slow move, but we are -- you know, I believe we're making progress. I'll hand it back to my colleague.

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Okay. So we will finish up our -- excuse me, our comments here. And then open it up to questions.

--000--

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: I didn't meant to slide over the generational slide. I got

passed it there, but that -- all those numbers are fairly self-explanatory as well. We're losing boomers and bringing in Gen Xers as we go along, so -- I'm okay with the term "Okay, Boomer". It works for me too, so...

2.2

All right. So the next steps and update. We're on track to increase our goal of eight percent by 2022. The launching of the Enterprise Council for the D&I initiatives working together as an enterprise. No segregation between our enterprise and then the investment team. Working closely with them has been beneficial for the organization, for the enterprise, as well as for all of us that are involved in that process.

The investment team continuing to do their work to engage companies as Simiso just shared with you.

And we'll leave it open for any questions that you may have for us.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Well, thank you, both, for that great report. And I wanted to -- I think our diversity is looking better, yea. I did want to ask Simiso about our corporate board directors from Equilar and our 3D database. I think -- I think it was Richard Costigan that had asked for us to break these numbers out.

But you talked about having the same people on several different boards. I'm wondering if we could have that number in the future, rather than just saying, well,

we had this many total, and some of them are doing double duty on other boards. It just gives us an idea of how many we're actually placing, and then, you know -- then we have them being placed on -- I mean, I suppose it's helpful that they are diverse candidates. But if they're serving on the same -- or different boards, same person, it really isn't expanding the diversity.

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Thank you for the question, Madam Chair. So the numbers -- so, for example, for 3D, the 300 board appointments went to about 200 board candidates.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Went to 200.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: So really it's not an overboarding issue. And as part of our proxy voting principles, we actually vote against directors who sit on more than four public company boards. So really we have that we can look at that from the principles, whereas, I can, you know, assure you that we don't vote against that many number of diverse candidates. And it's not because we give them preferential treatment. It's because they don't trip the -- you know, the more than four boards -- in terms of sitting on more than four boards.

So it's the numbers -- I don't think there's that -- the number of overboarding or having the same candidates appointed to too many boards is not that high,

in our view, at least from my experience.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's not what, I'm sorry?

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: It's not that high.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It's not that high. Well, a

hundred is high. That's a third, if you're saying 200

were --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: So 200 candidates pointed to 300 board seats. That's what one and a half -- CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Right.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: -- persons. So our principle --

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: So it's basically a third of them, a hundred of them, are serving on more than one board.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: But our principles, we say no more than four public boards. So if you don't go beyond four public boards, if you're not an executive of a company, then we actually don't vote against you. So in terms of our -- we have an overboarding policy in terms of the -- what we think is too many boards for one candidate. And that number is four.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: And we decided that how?

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: This is something

which we've -- I think we've moved from -- it is use -the -- it used to be six, I think, or five. And then
we've actually moved it down to four. So each year, we
actually look at this. And as we -- as we interact with
companies, as we see how the complexity of running and
understanding businesses is, we're always revising that
number and looking at it again to see how many boards are
too much.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

1.3

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: And the advantage of actually sitting on multiple boards is that you can learn from what's -- being on other boards. It's just that it cannot be overburdening -- it's overburdening, so that you are not able to perform your duties. And we've talked to some directors during our engagements, just in terms of trying to understand how -- how many ours they're required to -- you know, for people to actually spend in terms of attending board meetings, and understanding, and stuff like that. And it seems four is -- in terms of the number -- maximum number of boards, four is a reasonable number, given the time that's required to spend understanding the business and actually contributing effectively.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. So in addition then, as we're moving forward and we're doing the proxy voting,

when do we move into more diverse candidates? I mean, it seems like it's been hard enough to get women. So when do we move into an expectation of more diverse candidates racially?

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: I think the challenge there is that unless you have mandatory disclosures, that information really -- I mean, even right now, when you look at the Equilar platform, they're asking people to be -- to voluntarily disclose. And we try to look at those numbers even for our own appointments from 3D. The bulk of those appointments, I think it's about 80 percent, they don't disclose. So it's really hard until you have that as mandatory disclosures or you have enough companies actually disclosing voluntarily.

And that is part of the reason why, as part of the Human Capital Management Coalition, which we co-founded, in 2017, we filed a petition with the SEC in terms of improving human capital disclosure to include, you know, not just gender, but race, ethnicity, and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Great. Thank you. We have a whole lot of questions here. So, Ms. Yee.

BOARD MEMBER YEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. First of all, I want to just applaud CalPERS for its leadership with respect to moving the needle on corporate board

diversity. I think the engagements that we've long been, you know, just really leading in this respect has really resulted in a lot of progress and always room for more.

I wanted to just take a focus, I guess, more internally. And that is, even though we're making some progress, there's still a lack of gender, racial diversity among the senior level leadership here at CalPERS. And particularly on the heels of some of the rebalancing we've had to do in the Investment Office with respect to our Emerging Managers Program.

I wanted to see, Madam Chair, if we could have a report back on some of the initiatives that we started a few years ago with respect to how to diversify the top Investment Office positions. And with one source of that potential diversity now not necessarily at our -- at our -- available to us, but just what the plans are for continuing to look at diversifying the top positions in the Investment Office.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. I'll take that as Committee Chair.

Mr. Jones.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Yeah, I support Ms. Yee's request also to report back on that.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: The -- a couple questions. The mandatory disclosure for corporate companies of their boards, the -- when I was in Washington talking to one of the congressmen, she was sponsoring legislation to require that for females on corporate boards. What's the status of that legislation?

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: Thank you for the question. I don't believe that legislation has gone far. I'd have to go back and check. I think our advocacy team

10 was involved in talking to, you know, the members of
11 Congress. And I think -- I believe that was actually

12 legislation which was sponsored by representative Maxine

Waters, if I'm not mistaken. And one of --

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: No. It was another lady from one of the middle states, as I recall. I don't remember her name, because I met with her.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: We can -- we can check and come back.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: I'm coming back to the Investment Committee in March to talk about the proxy season. I can check with our advocacy team --

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: -- and see where we are with that legislation and our involvement, if any.

time, I requested that why not sponsor legislation that would also include race and ethnicity. And her comments were that she didn't think she can get it through at the time, but she thought she can get it through for the females. So that's why I'm asking what's the status of that particular legislation.

2.2

The other question I have is 800, that's a good growth in terms of where it -- 3D all started, and when corporations were indicating that they couldn't find diverse board members to put on their boards.

The 2,800 you made reference to, you said there were other partners.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Yeah. It was Equilar.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: That's the total within the equity -- Equilar Diversity Network, which is 24 partner organizations, including 3D. So it is -- it is the Latino Corporate Directors Association, for example. It is the Catalyst. It is Ascend. It is Black Enterprise. It is Women Corporate Directors. So the combination of those, about 2,800.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. So when we were managing that 3D program, I recall we made changes to its parameters, et cetera. So now how -- if there are suggested changes from Calpers, how does that get

implemented through this new mechanism with Equilar?

1.3

2.2

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR NZIMA: We work closely with Equilar. I think, you know, our relationship extends beyond just 3D. For example, in terms of the executive compensation I've talked -- you know, I've presented to the Board, they actually host our proprietary model within their platform, because they have the data. So we actually work really closely with Equilar. And if we need any changes to be made, that's something which we can -- we can make.

And in terms of administering the 3D, that's actually something which we do internally in terms of the administration of 3D. A member of my team is responsible for that. So they -- we have that direct relationship with Equilar, which has been fantastic, both from the 3D and also from the executive compensation side of things.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thank you.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah. And, Mr.

Jones --

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yes.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: -- we just met with the head of Equilar within the last couple of weeks. And there is new mapping functionality available that helps us to when we're -- when we are interviewing candidates, even for C-Suite jobs, which is what we're

planning on using the Equilar database for, as well as to source some of our C-Suite opportunities, but, you now have mapping. And so you see the professional network of every one of these candidates.

2.2

So what's really important to us is that we have people who come in and advocate for these candidates or someone who we know has worked with that individual before we bring theme into a high level position here at CalPERS.

And so they've implemented this mapping functionality. And I think what might be helpful is for the Board to see some of that again during the July off-site.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Good. Great. Great suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: That's a good idea.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Great suggestion.

And the last question, what is the target date for the D&I conference by CalPERS in Sacramento?

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Well, are you talking about Diversity Forum that we share with CalSTRS?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Right.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yes.

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: I

25 | don't -- I don't know that we have a date established at

this point. But as soon as we do, I'll -- I'll find out here. And if we have an announcement to make, I'll make that, but I haven't heard.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. I would like to know that --

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Yes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: -- because we had an annual program. And then we moved to two years. And I am concerned about moving to a three-year program. So let's make sure that --

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We're still on the two-year -- not quite two-year mark. I think we're actually doing it about every year and a half. But we're certainly not moving it out to three years.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: I think it's just that we don't know about the dates. I'm sure the planning has already started.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Ms. Olivares.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you for this report. It's very insightful.

I'm concerned about some of the numbers, particularly in
the Investment Office. Having worked in investments for

quite a while, I understand that there's not a lot of diversity in the industry.

1.3

2.2

But a couple questions. Is there a reason why we're not separating ethnicity and race?

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX:

There's no reason, I don't think. We can probably put the -- pull that out. We haven't done it that way. And we're -- for consistency, we just keep it the same we have each one of the much reports we've done. But upon request, I'm sure we could do that.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Because a person can be, for example, Latino and be of multiple races. So I think that might be more insightful. Do we only consider gender on a binary basis?

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: I believe so.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Could we possibly look at other options for that too?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah. So all of this data is sourced through the CalHR survey that's done. And so we can certainly work with Eraina - I know she's here - to make sure that that happens. And we do have an update on how we're doing that in the health program.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Great. And then I'd like to know about the executives in the Investment

Office. Do we know what percent or number are Latinas, African-American women, Native American women?

1.3

2.2

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We only know if they have self-disclosed.

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Yes.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: And so if it's not listed on this report -- and what is the effective date of this report, Mr. Fox?

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: This is through the fiscal -- last fiscal year, so through July of '19.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Okay. So there have been some additional changes that have happened in Investment Office --

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Sure.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: -- since the publication -- or since the collection of this data. But again, it's self-reported information.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Marcie, Can you pull the microphone?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Sure. It's self-reported information. And if this is effective as of the end of the fiscal year, there have been some changes in top appointments in the Investment Office.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Would it be possible

to see that, so executive level in the Investment Office by different gender types, by ethnicity, and race, and then the intersection of the two?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We can certainly do it by gender and then whatever has been self-identified through this process. We could certainly do that.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: And I also wanted to say good work on corporate board diversity. I think leadership is very important. And I think the Board here -- I mean, we can also look at our own diversity, right, in the sense of being a very diverse board, but we should also look at our leadership. And if we're doing a good job with our leadership and making sure that it's diverse.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Great. Thank you.

Ms. Middleton.

BOARD MEMBER MIDDLETON: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to second all of the comments from Ms. Olivares and thank her for those. As I was going through the report, I found one mention of individuals with disability, but no data whatsoever regarding our efforts in that respect. And I found not a single mention of LGBTQ employees or our efforts at working with corporate boards in that area. That is disappointing. We have an

opportunity to play a leadership role and we need to play that leadership role.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

Mr. Rubalcava.

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Madam
Chair. I join everybody in thanking you for the report.
Very insightful. I noticed in the staff report that
there's a reference of some internal activities. The 12th
Annual Diversity and Inclusion Day, the Disability
Awareness Month, and then something called the Altitude
for -- Altitude for Gratitude Event. Those are very nice.
We should announce them when they're coming up, so the
Board will know -- know about them. And I would encourage
you to continue those efforts.

Second in the last slide, next steps, I commend you that you're continuing the engagement efforts, particularly on the proxy voting and shareowner campaigns, especially given the SEC's current stance on proxy steps. So thank you very much for that.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Thank you.

And Ms. Olivares.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you, Madam

Chair. You know, as we look at our inclusion efforts, I wanted to State that California is a majority minority state. Latinos are the majority of the population now. We're more than 40 percent. So it outweighs proportionately all the other ethnicities and races in terms of -- but I don't see any of that representation here. I know we're subject to Prop 209, but I hope that we could do a better job recruiting and providing an inclusive environment.

2.2

What more can we do, whether it's here on the Board and making sure we represent that leadership or CalPERS as a staff?

about CalPERS as an organization, so the first thing we work on is culture. All right. So having a culture that feels inclusive to the people who are work here, so that they then promote CalPERS as a destination employer to others. That's really important. It's why we spend so much time focusing on culture. And it is why we are focused on improving the engagement goal, because that's the way these questions are written, that I'm confident that I can refer others to work at CalPERS. And that it appropriately represents the diversity of the state or the community in which I live.

So we can get you those questions so you can see

what we're trying to accomplish and the importance of increasing the score in those areas.

1.3

2.2

The second, I really believe that it's more important what people say about the organization, more than what we say about ourselves. So again, having the Board, having others here working at CalPERS, having other entities really refer CalPERS as a place to work where people can be successful.

So I think as you're out -- I know you do a lot of traveling out into the Investment Community. I know others of you do a lot of travel out into the member community. I think taking some of our jobs that we have open, because you're right Prop 209 does limit our ability to do preferences. But what's really important is that we cast a very wide net. We get a very strong candidate pool. We have the appropriate processes internally to make sure that all candidates have a fair process to go through, and ultimately we get the best talented candidate.

And so I think you all could help in taking job announcements out to the communities in which you're working and serving, and getting people to apply for some of our positions.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you.

OFFICE OF STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS CHIEF FOX: I

would like to add that the full report is available on the external facing website. And it will have all -- a lot more detailed information than what we've provided here. This is a summary of our report. But the report is on -- has been online, I think, for six days now on the outward facing site. And I that's within your Insight Tool as well.

2.2

But again, taking some of the information that we received here, we'll see if we can't improve upon that and make it more comprehensive.

COMMITTEE MEMBER OLIVARES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: It sounds like direction to the Committee -- or to the Committee Chair has -- is that we give -- for this particular report, we give as much information as we can. And then I guess we are -- I think that's it.

So we are on summary of committee direction, Mr. Cohen.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.

I do have a number of items, so please let me know if I've missed anything.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: First, going back to 6d, the report on participating employers. Chair -Member Middleton's request for additional data from the --

that appendix chart as well as a refresh of the report's format.

1.3

2.2

On 7a, we'll create a code section cross-reference in terms of the CalPERS authority that's coming -- the references that are coming out of the delegation.

7b, we'll be back with April regulations that will incorporate the optional attestation conversation, as we'll bring you some further examples of booklets versus candidate statements, those types of options.

7c, we will incorporate the annual fee amounts and fund balances into our April budget document.

7d, on the Barra contract, we will provide periodic updates on the project, as well as the follow-up information brought by Member Olivares. Further discussion there.

And then on the diversity report that we just finished, we'll incorporate additional information, as much as possible, including the number of overlapping Board members that are on multiple boards, as well as further information that we have regarding CalPERS' employees' diversity. We'll also bring back an Investment Office diversity report, in terms of the status of initiatives, and the legislative status on mandatory disclosure that President Jones requested.

CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Okay. Good. I wanted to 1 make sure we got everything. It looks everything I wrote 2 3 down you have. CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Perfect. 4 CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Does anybody have anything 5 that I missed? 6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Can you repeat it? 7 8 (Laughter.) 9 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER COHEN: Faster this time. CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Faster. Backwards. 10 All right. At this point, we are open for public 11 comment, if somebody would like to make public comment? 12 Seeing none. 1.3 The Finance and Administration Committee meeting 14 is adjourned. 15 16 (Thereupon the California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration, 17 Finance & Administration Committee meeting 18 adjourned at 11:31 a.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,
Board of Administration, Finance & Administration

Committee meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James
F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of February, 2020.

1.3

2.2

James & Potter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10063