MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

BOARD GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

ROBERT F. CARLSON AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, MARCH 19, 2019 1:00 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

# APPEARANCES

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

- Mr. Henry Jones, Chairperson
- Ms. Dana Hollinger, Vice Chairperson
- Mr. Rob Feckner
- Mr. Jason Perez
- Ms. Mona Pasquil Rogers
- Mr. Ramon Rubalcava
- Mr. Bill Slaton

### BOARD MEMBERS:

- Ms. Theresa Taylor, Vice President
- Ms. Margaret Brown
- Ms. Fiona Ma, represented by Mr. Frank Ruffino
- Mr. David Miller
- Ms. Eraina Ortega, represented by Mr. Ralph Cobb
- Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Ms. Lynn Paquin

# STAFF:

- Ms. Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer
- Mr. Matt Jacobs, General Counsel
- Ms. Kristin Garner, Committee Secretary
- Ms. Anne Simpson, Investment Director

# APPEARANCES CONTINUED ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Tim Behrens, California State Retirees Mr. Al Darby, Retired Public Employees Association Mr. Jerry Fountain, California State Retirees Mr. Dane Hutchings, League of California Cities

	I N D E X	
	T 14 D T 77	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Election of Board Governance Committee Chair and Vice Chair	1
3.	Approval of the March 19, 2019 Board Governance Committee Timed Agenda	3
4.	Executive Report - Matthew Jacobs	4
5.	Action Consent Items - Matthew Jacobs  a. Approval of the September 24, 2018 Board Governance Committee Meeting Minutes  b. Review of Board Governance Committee Delegation	4 2 9
6.	<pre>Information Consent Items - Matthew Jacobs a. Annual Calendar Review b. Board Education Attendance Report and</pre>	4
7.	<pre>Information Agenda Items a. Update on Board Self-Evaluation Workstreams -     Marcie Frost b. Summary of Committee Direction - Matthew     Jacobs c. Public Comment</pre>	5 29 29
Adjournment		
Reporter's Certificate		

# P R O C E E D I N G S

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Good afternoon. I'd like to call to order the Governance Committee meeting for Calpers.

The first order of business is the roll call.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Dana Hollinger?

CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Rob Feckner?

COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Good afternoon.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Henry Jones?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Jason Perez?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Mona Pasquil Rogers?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PASQUIL ROGERS: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Ramon Rubalcava?

COMMITTEE MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Bill Slaton?

COMMITTEE MEMBER SLATON: Here.

CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Thank you.

And the second item on the agenda is the election of the Board Governance Committee Chair and Vice Chair.

And with that, I'd like to call on Bill Slaton.

COMMITTEE MEMBER SLATON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'd like to nominate Henry Jones to be Chair of

2

```
the Governance Committee.
1
             CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Thank you very much.
2
 3
             Are there any other nominations for the
    Governance Committee Chair?
 4
5
             Are there any other nominations?
             Last time?
 6
             And then we'll take a vote. All those in favor
7
8
    of Henry Jones as Chair of the Governance Committee, say
9
    aye?
10
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Congratulations, Mr.
11
    Jones
12
             (Applause.)
1.3
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Thank you. The second item
14
    on the agenda is to elect the Vice Chair of the Governance
15
    Committee.
16
             Do we have a motion?
17
             Mr. Perez.
18
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ: I nominate Ms.
19
20
   Hollinger.
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. Ms. Hollinger has been
21
    Nominated for Vice Chair.
2.2
23
             Are there any other nominations?
             Any there any other nominations?
24
             Are there any other nominations?
25
```

3

```
So we could have a vote on electing Ms. Hollinger
1
    as Chair -- Vice Chair of the Governance Committee.
2
                                                          Α
   motion, please.
 3
             COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: So moved.
 4
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Moved by Mr. Feckner.
5
             COMMITTEE MEMBER PEREZ:
                                       Second.
 6
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Second by Mr. Perez.
7
8
             Okay. Yeah. All those in favor say aye?
9
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Opposed?
10
             Hearing none, so your -- congratulations.
11
             VICE CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Thank you.
12
             (Applause.)
13
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. We're going to take a
14
    few minutes to rearrange.
15
16
             Okay. Thank you. So the next item on the agenda
    is to approve the March 19, 2019 Board Committee timed
17
             Do we have a motion?
    agenda.
18
             COMMITTEE MEMBER SLATON: So moved.
19
20
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Moved by Mr. Slaton.
             VICE CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Second.
21
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Second by Ms. Hollinger.
2.2
23
             All those in favor say aye?
             (Ayes.)
24
25
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Opposed?
```

4

```
Hearing none.
1
2
             The item passes.
             The next item is the Executive Report, Mr.
 3
    Jacobs.
 4
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes. Good afternoon,
5
    Chair Jones, Committee, and Board members. A pretty
6
    streamlined agenda this afternoon. Just the one
7
8
    substantive item, which is 7a, the update on Board
9
    self-evaluation workstreams. And Anne Simpson will guide
   the discussion on that one.
10
             That's all I've got.
11
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. Thank you.
12
             The next item is the action consent item is the
13
    approval of the minutes from September 24th.
14
             Do we have a motion?
15
16
             COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Move approval.
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Moved by Mr. Feckner.
17
             VICE CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Second.
18
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Second by Ms. Hollinger.
19
20
             All in favor say aye?
             (Ayes.)
21
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Opposed?
2.2
23
             Hearing none.
             The item passes.
24
             The next is the information consent items.
25
```

There's no request to move anything off, so that moves us to Item number 7. And this is the update of the Board's self-evaluation. Ms. Anne Simpson.

1.3

2.2

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Thank you very much.

I'm very pleased to give you a report on the progress to date with this important project on the Board's self-evaluation. We've put together a few slides, because we know in the busy world of everything, it's easy to forget quite what happened when and what we planned

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So just to recap, the Board has a policy of evaluating itself every two years, which is good practice. And the purpose of that is two-fold. One, it's to identify strengths - it's important to know what we're good at - but also to identify opportunities for the Board, both as a whole and for Board members individually to enhance their effectiveness.

And the purpose of that, of course, is to improve the performance of the Board, because that's going to have an impact on the performance of CalPERS.

This time the Board selected an outside

facilitator, the National Association of Corporate Directors, who bring independence to the process, but they also bring expertise. They have 40,000 members throughout the United States. And I think they're globally recognized as a leader in the field of governance best practice.

1.3

2.2

And we were very honored to be able to have -- I would say we're very honored to have the Honorable Cari Dominguez, who has tremendous board experience, both in the public and in the private sector, and has also done a lot of work in California. So she's very familiar with the special rules and regulations that apply in California.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So again, just to recap for those of you who joined the Board recently, what NACD did was put together three surveys. And they were available to each Board member who was sitting on the Board at the time to be able to answer. They were confidential, they were online, password protected, all that good stuff. And it was really an opportunity to tease out what the important issues were.

And the three surveys were for the Board as a whole, for the Committees, and for what we call Board attributes, in other words, the dynamics, the conduct of

the Board, how things really work in practice.

1.3

2.2

Cari then followed up with each Board member at the time and had an interview, typically an hour, sometimes it ran longer. But it was really to give a chance for her to find out more about the thinking behind the answers that had been given in the survey, and to dig a little bit deeper.

On the basis of that, she came to the January Board off-site, which for some of you was your first meeting, and she presented the findings. What she found is that among the many hours of conversation that she had, and all of the survey results a number of themes emerged, and she put those together in a slide called findings and opportunities

So then at the January off-site, the Board had a very thorough discussion about how to take up these different issues and maybe how to group them into different workstreams, so that the Board could start to work in an organized way to tackle the different opportunities that have been identified.

So the main areas that were identified through this process were the need for Board curriculum. CalPERS is a very big and complicated organization. And the Board has serious responsibilities that require a lot of thought, care, and time. But one finding was really that

the Board is really looking for more opportunity to learn, both in the orientation process joining the Board, but also ongoing opportunities to develop professional skills and abilities.

2.2

Another thing that came out was roles and responsibilities. CalPERS is also quite complicated. There's the Board, the Committees, the consultants, staff. And at times, in the merry whirl of it all, it's not entirely clear to everyone where one job starts and other job ends, and what the division of labor should be. So that was an important area of work.

A third area was meeting materials. I think it was all praise for having plenty on quantity and detail, but a concern about whether the materials for the Board could be streamlined and honed into focusing on the decisions that the Board has to make. And there was a project, which was started some months ago, called Insight, which the Board was able to have a trial run with help from Doug Hoffner and Tim Taylor.

The next area that it was felt useful to look at was the idea of developing a Code of Conduct. Now,
CalPERS has many policies that govern different aspects of the Board's working. In fact, if you stack them all up,
you'd have something you could prop a door open with it.
It's a huge amount of material

But really the idea of a Code of Conduct is that you distill all of the thinking about behavior and set out expectations, so that in one place you've got a strong and clear statement of Board conduct. And it was felt that there could be an opportunity there.

2.2

And finally, the Insight Prototype which was given its maiden voyage, if you like, at the Board off-site. It was agreed by the Board that it would be very helpful, as that project develops, to have our test pilots to continue. So that's our fifth workstream.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So let me tell you now where we've got to in planning. We've given each workstream a number, just for the sake of convenience. There's no -- there's no hierarchy implied. This is just the order that it all fell in.

And I'm delighted to say that our Board President, Henry Jones, has kindly nominated two Board members to each of the workstreams, so that we can get started on picking up these opportunities.

So on work street one -- workstream -- tongue twisters here -- Workstream 1, Board curriculum. So what we think for each of the workstreams that would be helpful is to organize the project in a logical way. So the first thing is to look at what Calpers current practice is.

So CalPERS has a new member -- a Board Member
Onboarding Program. You've all been through some of it or
part of it. And we think from the self-evaluation, that
the Board is ready for us to upgrade this to an
orientation program.

1.3

2.2

The second thing in each of the workstreams we want to do is look at leading practice. And this is where NACD comes in. We're going to keep them on as our trusted advisors through this process, in order that we can draw on the leading practices of other boards that are members of NACD, and really just continue to have that third-party independent expert on anything that the Board begins to develop

However, we'll also be using other organizations that have got experience that CalPERS can benefit from. So two examples here, the National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems. They do some very nice training, which Ms. Brown drew to my attention recently. Thank you. And also the CFA, the Charter Financial Analyst Institute. And, of course, they're the worldwide body that accredits investment professionals. So on the investment side of CalPERS work, they could be helpful.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So as you are all aware, this workstream will be lead by two Board members,

Theresa Taylor and Mona Pasquil Rogers. Thank you very much. We're looking forward to working with you.

1.3

2.2

And the goal of this workstream is to establish a richer and ongoing Board orientation -- in other words, the onboarding but upgraded -- Board orientation and continuing education program. So that's the goal of Workstream 1.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: The way that we're going to work is have a meeting together, which we'll do in the next couple of days. We'll kick off this workplan. And the first thing we'll do is sift out what we think the priorities are. Then we're going to develop a timeline with input from the NACD faculty member, Cari Dominguez, and the staff at NACD. We'll work out the roles and responsibilities - to come back to that theme - between the Board members, and staff, and NACD, so we have clarity about who's doing what. And we'll also agree a timetable for reporting back to this Committee, so that the Calpers Board's Governance Committee can monitor the progress, and make sure that we're heading in the right direction.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Workstream 2, we're following the Same structure. This workstream is looking at the big topic of roles and responsibilities throughout

the CalPERS governance structure. We'll be reviewing current practice. So that means taking a look at the Board, the Committee, and the Board President delegations. We'll be looking at remunerated Board Time Policy, the requirements of the Public Employees Retirement Law, and current board policy that's relevant. And, of course, then leaning into NACD to find out what's leading practice in resources that are available to help us make progress clarifying roles and responsibilities.

2.2

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: The two leads for this workstream are our Board President, Henry Jones - thank you - and Betty Yee. The goals of this workstream are clear, that to revisit the Committee structures and the Committee composition, to review the role of Board consultants, and finally to explore the equitable allocation of remunerated Board time.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Again, we'll follow the same format of getting together to map out priorities, timelines, and NACD's input; the role of the team involved supporting the Board members; and our reporting cycle to the Governance Committee.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So Workstream 3,

Meeting Materials. As I said, tremendous progress has been made on this through the Insight Project, which got its first outing at the January Board off-site. The current practice for meeting materials is we have the prospective annual calendar; we've got the use of Board software, which can be improved to organize meeting materials; and we have, I think this a polite term, significant content and resource material, which means there's a lot. But again, we'll look at NACD.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: And I'm pleased to say that the two Board members who will be leading this work are Dana Hollinger and David Miller. So thank you.

The goal of this workstream will be to review the calendar and the format for Board meetings, and also to streamline both format and content of agenda item materials. And, of course, the Insight Project, which we'll be piloting in another workstream, is going to be extremely helpful in that work.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Again, we've got the same format for priorities, timeline, and reporting back. But on meeting materials, because we're going to -- through this workstream, the Board members will be looking at the issue of the timing and format of Board meeting.

We're also going to be sure that a request from an important stakeholder group is going to be carefully considered. The League of California Cities has asked for some changes to the timing of Board meetings. So this workstream will be able to pick up that request and consider what would be a good way forwards.

1.3

2.2

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So Workstream 4 is the Code of Conduct. So again, we'll be looking, first of all, at what we've got, CalPERS current practice. That's obviously Governance Policy, but also a document known as Rules and Guidelines for the Conduct of Meetings. And there are a number of other related policies on permissible activities, and travel, and a number of other issues around conduct, like harassment that we -- this workstream will want to look at.

Of course, NACD again will be looking at what other funds do that we can learn from.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: And our two leads for this project are Rob Feckner and Jason Perez. So thank you.

And the goal is a bit like it does what it says on the tin. The goal for this is to create a Code of Conduct for Calpers Board members. It's an important

piece of work.

2.2

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So same thing, how will we go about this? Priorities, timeline, NACD faculty input, role and responsibilities, and a reporting cycle.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: And then finally, it was extremely valuable at the off-site to be able to have direct input from Board members on the insight project. So this fifth workstream is really to continue with a beta test. I think that's a fancy way of saying trying it out, trying it for size.

We want to make sure, as this project is developed, that it's really meeting the Board's needs.

And, of course, the Board will have fantastic ideas about how to improve the portal.

So Tim Taylor will be taking the lead, continuing to develop this important work with Doug Hoffner, but we see it wrapping into the workstream on improving the flow of meeting materials.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So the purpose of this work of course is to give an integrated portal for the Board that's not only giving the supporting information, but also historical information and relevant

policies for the Board and for Committees to be able to discharge their responsibilities that are specifically reserved for the Board.

1.3

2.2

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: So beta test one, this sounds like something out of NASA. I love it. So beta test one for Insight Tool Prototype. Margaret Brown and Ramon Rubalcava, thank you very much. You'll be our bate test one team. And the goal here, of course, of this project is to give the Board insights for direction, and for policy decisions that the Board has to make, and to ensure that you've got the information for prudent oversight.

And, of course, technology is our friend, but technology can be bewildering, as well as helpful. So having this beta test is going to be extremely useful.

--000--

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: But no, there's more. We have beta test two. We also are going to have a separate beta test workstream with Bill Slaton and Fiona Ma. And thank you, Frank Ruffino, who will no doubt be helping with this.

It has the same, goal but we've got two work -two testing -- if you like, two testing tracks going on at
the same time with two sets of Board pairs.

So I realize that was a lot to rattle through, but I'd be very happy to answer any questions or hear any comments from the Committee on progress to date.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Thank you very much, Ms. Simpson. And I want to applaud you, and Marcie, and the rest of the staff for such a prompt response in getting this going forward to the next steps, so -- because this is such an important body of work. So we really appreciate that.

I also want to just recognize the other Board members here, Mr. Cobb, Ms. Paquin, Ms. Brown, Mr. Miller, and Ms. Taylor are also in attendance here with us. And we do have a couple of questions.

And Ms. Brown.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you.

This looks like a lot of work.

(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: So I think you might just be the person for this -- these tasks. I appreciate you lining these up as well as you did. I do want to go back to the people that are signed to the Code of Conduct, because it does include the Harassment Policy. And so I might suggest that we have a woman on there as opposed to just two men. Looking for a little diversity in that representation on the Board.

I hadn't talked to Lynn about maybe having Betty Yee do that, but certainly looking for a female on -- in that role.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Ms. Paquin.

1.3

2.2

ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: Thank you.

Thank you, Ms. Brown. And, you know, I can certainly talk to the Controller, but I know that she was very interested in the workstream that she's been assigned to, so I --

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: You can take another one.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER PAQUIN: No, well, I -- she's pretty busy, but I do agree with the sentiment about the --

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Why don't we do this, I'll chat with Matt and see if it's possible to have three members instead of two.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: (Shakes head.)

CHAIRPERSON JONES: It's not. So we have to -we have to -- yeah, we'll look at. But -- well, Anne -yeah, Anne is in -- you know, she's the -- she's running
the meeting, so that's --

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Three gets us -- oh.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: I'm female. The rumors are true.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. She'll -- Anne is in

the meeting, so that's the woman, and she's the participant -- an active participant in the process. So I think that will cover the concern.

Okay. Mr. Slaton.

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER SLATON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

First of all, thank you Anne for taking the lead on making this all happen. This is always a very difficult and challenging thing for any body, this particular group, to hold up the mirror at ourselves and figure out how we can be better. It's always -- there's a little bit of discomfort when we do this, but it's important. It's an important process to do.

So please convey my appreciation and all of our appreciation to NACD for helping us get to this point.

And I think this assignment of workstreams is an excellent way to do this, because it gets Board input -- Board member input as we develop it, as opposed to having something developed and then being just brought to us --

COMMITTEE MEMBER SLATON: -- to wrestle with. So I think it's a great start. The devil is in the details, and we'll see what we come up with. But I'm looking forward to being a guinea pig in workstream five, I guess it is. So thank you very much.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Right.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: No. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. No -- wait. Mr. Miller.

1.3

2.2

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Yeah. I just again want to say thank you for this. And I in my -- in other roles, I have examined a lot of board self-assessment approaches. And they all involve some sort of survey and some introspection, and then a plan of action, which is usually to consciously increase our awareness of our opportunities for improvement, and maybe read some articles and try to do better next time, and we'll see you in three years.

And so to see this, where we're actually going to do some work on opportunities for improvement is really encouraging from my perspective. And I thank you for that.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay.

INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Well, thank you very much. And thank you to all of the Board, because we are going about it in an interesting and unusual way, which is for every Board member to participate. And that means the success of this is a shared responsibility. So thank you for your time, your ideas, and your attention, because I think CalPERS can do some really good work in this field.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. Thank you. INVESTMENT DIRECTOR SIMPSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. We do have requests to speak on this item. Mr. Tim Behrens, Al Darby, Dane Hutchings, and Jerry Fountain. If you could all come to these --

MR. BEHRENS: Our comments are on the League of Cities' request to change the days for the CalPERS meeting, which we're told is after this.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Yes. Come on up, and don't talk from there. And as -- but I think it's important to note that the -- this was a request, and it will be discussed as we go through the process, so there's not any action --

MR. BEHRENS: Oh, okay.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: -- to do that.

MR. BEHRENS: So we get first bite at the apple.

(Laughter.)

1.3

2.2

MR. BEHRENS: Chairman Jones, members of the Committee, and members of the Board, Tim Behrens, President of the California State Retirees.

We have been told that there's been a request by the League of Cities to change the days of the CalPERS meetings to Wednesday, Thursday, Friday. So I'm here to share, at least three or four reasons that I think that's a bad idea. I've been working with my 26 chapter presidents that are scattered out all over the state for

three years to convince them not to have their chapter meetings during CalPERS Board week for a number of reasons. One, not the least of which, is CalPERS members can't attend their chapter meetings upon request, and many of them are doing that at this time. So it would have a big impact on that.

Statistically, Highway 99 and I-5 on Fridays are the worst time for anybody to travel, especially senior citizens. That's when most accidents statistically occur. And according to the leadership of the California Highway Patrol retirees, even law enforcement doesn't like traveling on those two highways on Fridays. So something to think about.

Also, flying planes on Fridays, trying to fly out of Sacramento somewhere else in California is the worst time, difficult, backed up, you name it. It would be a very big inconvenience for shareholders that fly.

And finally, on behalf of myself, Saturday is the only day that I usually take off for my duties as the President. And I would not travel on Friday to go home, so I would be coming home on Saturday, which is the day I like to play golf. So it would have a major impact on my golf game.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

MR. FOUNTAIN: Thank you for this opportunity.

I'm Jerry Fountain, Chief Financial Officer for the

California State Retirees.

To tag along to what President Behrens has already mentioned, we represent 26 chapters throughout the state. And we do send our board members, when we possibly can, to the chapter meetings. And we represent approximately 38,000 statewide members. So it's important for us to stay in contact with our membership, to, if nothing else, pass along the information that we obtain here at the Calpers Board. So I would encourage you to give us some strong consideration before you change the dates. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Thank you for your comments.

MR. DARBY: Al Darby, President, Retired Public Employees Association. Congratulations, Mr. Jones on your election and Ms. Hollinger on your election.

And Committee members, RPEA opposes this plan.

This proposal disrupts the schedule of regular attendees, ourselves, and you in favor of intermittent attendees.

Often, you don't see anybody here from the cities and counties unless there's a hot button issue to address.

Friday, as Mr. Behrens has mentioned, is one of the worst days to travel on the road or by air. And

Fridays is often a getaway day for people. And they don't work that day or shorten their day, so you could be deprived of the wisdom of some of the best minds, because they are on their way to the ski slopes or the big waves.

Thank you.

2.2

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Okay. Mr. Hutchings.

MR. HUTCHINGS: Good afternoon, Chair and members. Dane Hutchings with the League of California Cities.

First and foremost, I want to state that it's -it was never our intent to endanger seniors while they're
traveling on a Friday. Certainly don't want to -- you
know, to increase the rate of car accidents or, you know,
jammed up flights, and all that good stuff.

Look, the -- we made this request. We didn't think that any action was going to be taken in the -- you know, in the dead of night or anything, that we want more transparency. What I think you're hearing from our members is that while it may be inconvenient for folks to get here on different ways, our council members have obligations on -- specifically on Tuesdays, and usually Monday is for preparation.

And so we have our council meetings every Tuesday

evening. And as I can tell you in the last 18 to 24 months, that we have really stepped up our engagement, some of the biggest issues that have hit CalPERS when we talk about the ALM cycle review, discount rate reduction, specific pieces of legislation that would have significant impact on the way we operate, or that would have a significant impact on how our rates are increased are usually held on Tuesdays, Tuesday evenings during Finance and Admin Committee.

1.3

2.2

So I'm certainly and my members are certainly open to not being stuck to the Friday. I understand that it's -- that it could pose some difficult challenges for folks with regards to travel and whatnot.

You know, perhaps even a Tuesday, Wednesday,
Thursday schedule is something that the Committee would be
looking -- or the Board would look to explore, something
that acknowledges the fact that one of the pillars of this
organization is trying to double down on engagement with
all stakeholders.

And while I would hope that one day when I hang them up and I retire, I'll have more flexibility to be able to show up to a Board meeting whenever I can. The members that I serve don't have the same latitude as some of the other folks that have -- you know, may have to sacrifice a golf day to get out here and testify at a

Board meeting.

1.3

2.2

(Laughter.)

MR. HUTCHINGS: So, you know -- but no, that was -- that's a little tongue in cheek.

But my point is this is that we're -- we want to engage. One of the gentlemen did make a point that said that, you know, League of Cities doesn't engage unless it's a hot button issue. I think that you all have seen me here quite a lot over the last couple of years. I continue to -- you know, I'll be here tomorrow too, so three for three this month.

But my members want to engage too. They want to understand this issue. You know, oftentimes, we talk about some of the more significant challenges. All they do is look at their balance sheet and say why are my contributions increasing so significantly? They want an opportunity to tell their story, they want an opportunity to engage, and they want to learn more about how this organization operates.

And so having open communication and flexibility on how we can address that to increase engagement from all stakeholders, I think that's really what we're trying to get at here. And so I look forward to the work of the Committee, and I'm certainly happy to answer any questions. And thank you so much for the time today.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. Thank you for your comments.

Okay. We have Mr. Feckner.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Yes. Mr. Hutchings, before you run off. Just a couple things. First of all, I'm probably sure that your constituents are watching the live version on the webcast during the week, since their meetings are mostly at night. But has there been any consideration of on a rotating basis, one of those council members not attending that meeting and coming up here? I can understand not doing that all the time. But if they rotated that, if they were that interested, could they not do such a thing?

MR. HUTCHINGS: Typically, the way -- so the way our organization is structured is we usually have maybe one or sometimes two council members from -- you know, from -- out of the 475 of our cities out of the 42 that are really engaged with the League of Cities. And so I think sending a council member, out of a five-member council or seven-member council, that quite frankly isn't -- isn't engaged with our organization and doesn't really understand the issues, I don't know if they'd be comfortable doing that. It's certainly something I can broach with them.

I think where you're going to find some of the

most policy expertise are from those city managers who also are required to be at these council meetings on Tuesday evenings. And so, you know, those are the folks that really can engage. Also, you know, some of our more engaged council members that have expressed a strong interest in attending have said to me is there a way that we can at least move some of these committees to days that we can make it? I have not offered that, but I certainly can go back to my membership and check on that.

1.3

2.2

COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: And would you provide any additional input to Ms. Simpson, so that they can get to the Committee that's working on this item, okay?

MR. HUTCHINGS: Certainly. Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. Mr. Slaton.

COMMITTEE MEMBER SLATON: Yeah. It's a -- I appreciate the retirees' comments on this. I don't like to drive or fly on Fridays. I also don't like to fly or drive on Sundays. So we have a problem at both ends of the spectrum.

I think given the issues that the League has pointed out, it seems to me that within this workstream, we should also work on the strategy of placing agenda items, so that we should be able to know if there's an area that is of particular concern to local government.

And maybe we could do a better job of putting those on the agenda, recognizing that it's difficult to be there on Tuesdays. So that's just one thought for the people who are working on the workstream.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. Thank you. So that concludes the discussion on this item.

The next item on the agenda is Summary of Committee Direction.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes. Chair Jones, we have missed 5b when we went through the agenda, probably because it's not on the overhead. Although, it should be in the materials. 5b is just the review of the Board Governance Committee Delegation.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: And if the Committee so -- is so -- wants to --

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Yes, that's an action item.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Right. Right.

CHAIRPERSON JONES: Do -- Okay. Thank you, Mr. Jacobs for calling that to my attention. So it is an action item. It's the review of the Board Governance Committee delegation.

Do we have a motion to approve that?

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOLLINGER: Motion to approve.

```
CHAIRPERSON JONES: Moved by Ms. Hollinger.
 1
             COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Second.
 2
 3
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Second by Mr. Feckner.
             All in favor say aye?
 5
             (Ayes.)
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Opposed?
 6
             Hearing none.
 7
 8
             The item passes. Thank you for that catch.
 9
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Thank you.
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. So anything else, Mr.
10
    Jacobs
11
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: No.
12
             CHAIRPERSON JONES: Okay. This meeting is
13
14
    adjourned.
             (Thereupon California Public Employees'
15
16
             Retirement System, Board Governance Committee
             meeting adjourned at 1:38 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,

Board of Administration, Board Governance Committee

meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters,

a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California,

and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by

computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 24th day of March, 2019.

1.3

James 4 Patter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063