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Investment Committee Timeline

---

**Investment Committee Checklist**

Direct Investment Vehicles

**Nov.-Dec. 2018**
- ✔️ Evaluate potential role and involvement of CalPERS Innovation Board and Horizon Board
- □ Prudent Person Opinions (PPO)

**Dec. 2018-Feb. 2019**
- □ Partnership terms
  - Ownership, key persons (management and Board), compensation framework, first year expense budget, other material terms and conditions

**Sept.-Dec. 2018**
- ✔️ Summary of key risks

**March 2019**
- ✔️ Staff workforce strategic plan

**Ongoing**
- ✔️ Fiduciary counsel input

---

CalPERS
Challenge for Private Equity

• To achieve the required rate of return for the Total Fund, CalPERS would like to prudently increase the allocation to Private Equity (PE) over time

• To avoid PE “index like” returns, CalPERS needs to have a concentrated portfolio managed by aligned and talented partners
Private Equity Business Model Review

1. Emerging Manager Summary (Pillar 1)
2. Partnership Model Attributes (Pillar 2)
3. Horizon and Innovation Structural Comparisons (Pillars 3 and 4)
4. Advisory Board Roles (Pillars 3 and 4)
5. Advantage of Long Hold (Pillars 3 and 4)
6. Key Risks and Mitigation (Pillars 3 and 4)
7. Private Equity/Public Equity Portfolio Completion and Risk Aggregation
8. Next Steps
Pillar One: Emerging Managers

- The goal of the program is to identify and cultivate the next generation of investment managers
- Implemented in a Fund of Funds model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$200 million mandate</td>
<td>$500 million mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} time funds</td>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} time funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No co-investments allowed</td>
<td>Co-investments allowed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pillar Two: Traditional Partnership Model

Opportunity:

To develop more collaborative advisory strategic relationship(s) to extend CalPERS’ reach which would:

• Create a global presence
• Augment relationships with middle market and international general partners
• Enhance resources and skills for co-investments, co-sponsorships and secondary market capabilities
• Improve access to data and technology to advance investment decision-making process
Pillars Three and Four: Advisory Board Roles

Both Horizon and Innovation would be advised by separate, independent advisory boards to:

- Advise on CalPERS values, beliefs and principles
- Advise on succession planning
- Advise on an appropriate operating budget to manage the business
- Provide perspective and counsel for prospective sourcing
## Pillars Three and Four: Structural Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Commingled PE Funds</th>
<th>Horizon/Innovation</th>
<th>CalPERS Real Assets Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>Rights are very limited and typically require vote of &gt; 66% of the Limited Partners</td>
<td>Rights include:&lt;br&gt;• Removal of manager&lt;br&gt;• Leverage parameters&lt;br&gt;• Guidelines incorporating CalPERS values, beliefs and sustainability principles</td>
<td>Rights include:&lt;br&gt;• Removal of manager&lt;br&gt;• Leverage parameters&lt;br&gt;• Sale or transfer of assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Structure</td>
<td>Management fees based on:&lt;br&gt;Capital committed (typically 1.25-1.75%)&lt;br&gt;Incentive fees:&lt;br&gt;(typically 15-20% of profits over a hurdle)</td>
<td>Management fees based on:&lt;br&gt;Budget-based operating expenses&lt;br&gt;Incentive fees:&lt;br&gt;(reduced at scale and better aligned)</td>
<td>Management fees based on:&lt;br&gt;Gross asset value that decreases as portfolio grows&lt;br&gt;Incentive fees:&lt;br&gt;Based on cash yield, income growth and relative outperformance – and capped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Very broad at GP discretion</td>
<td>Well defined by CalPERS with parameters related to geography, industry, size of investment and leverage</td>
<td>Well defined by property sector, geography and risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Board</td>
<td>Limited use. Publicly traded GPs have a corporate board</td>
<td>Provides advice to the GP on CalPERS mandate relative to beliefs, values and principles – advice on succession planning for the GP, advice on the annual operating budget reasonableness and other counsel</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pillars Three and Four: Advantage of Long Hold

In long-hold private equity strategies, funds have more value creation levers.

Traditional PE funds focus on value creation levers that enhance performance over a shorter term (5 year):

- **Organizational and cost optimization**
  - Short-term earning improvement through cost cutting
  - Financial restructuring/re-engineering

- **Mergers and Acquisitions**
  - Quick, inorganic revenue growth
  - Synergies/cost reductions to improve earning

Long-hold funds can focus on capital and time intensive levers that yield **sustained long-term growth**:

- **Top line performance**
  - Expand into new markets/geographies
  - Expand into new products/business lines

- **Transformation and disruptive change**
  - Investments in new and innovative technology
  - Strategic acquisitions at scale (rather than small tuck-ins)
## Pillars Three and Four: Key Risks and Mitigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential risks to CalPERS</th>
<th>Mitigation Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concentration Risk</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Horizon – company exposure to fewer companies at larger levels</td>
<td>• Assess and manage risk in context of the Total Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Innovation – later stage venture capital with smaller specific company investments with potential for higher returns and volatility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliance on key partners</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Success dependent on top-tier Partners who can successfully source and execute the specific CalPERS strategies</td>
<td>• Careful selection and diligence of Partners is essential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ability to act on timely basis and achieving economic and philosophical alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment timelines vs. Partner commitments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Initial commitment to Horizon and Innovation partners will have a fixed term that is likely shorter than the time to realize returns on their investments and fully construct their team</td>
<td>• Create a structural commitment to the strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Align incentives with Partners to focus on long term performance and holds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Return dilution</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Longer hold periods may dilute the high annual returns seen in short-hold private equity, especially in the early years – weigh importance of maximizing returns with other objectives (e.g. Increasing scale, decreasing friction)</td>
<td>• Consider potential dilution in benchmark setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Utilize longer-term metrics to supplement performance metrics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Private Equity Public Equity Portfolio Completion and Risk Aggregation

With the creation of the “Growth Segment” during the 2017 ALM process, CalPERS has initiated processes that will aggregate all equity exposures when reviewing diversification across geographies and sectors.

The Total Growth Segment ($179 billion public equity and $28 billion private equity as of September 30, 2018), will be used for measurement.

The liquid public equity portion will be used as a completion portfolio to rebalance over and under weights in the private equity portfolio, resulting from more concentrated positions.
Next Steps

• Action by the Investment Committee (IC) is required to execute Pillars Three and Four

• The action would be for the IC to decide whether it would grant/provide delegated authority to staff to allow for multi-year commitments of capital to the Horizon and Innovation investment entities above the current delegation limitations set in Policy

• In advance of such Action Item, the IC will receive:
  – A report assessing the overarching respective strategies by an outside consultant
  – PPOs from a third party fiduciary
  – Board Consultant opinion
  – Details related to the terms, including governance and fees