September 26, 2018

Item Name: Proposed Decision on Remand – In the Matter of the Application for Disability Retirement of EDITH Z. DAVENPORT, Respondent, and WEST CITIES POLICE COMMUNICATIONS, Respondent.

Program: Benefit Services Division

Item Type: Action

Parties’ Positions
Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision on Remand, as modified.

Respondent Edith Z. Davenport’s (Respondent Davenport) position is included in Attachment C, if any.

Strategic Plan
This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

Procedural Summary
Respondent Davenport submitted an application for industrial disability retirement based on psychological (post-traumatic stress disorder, panic attacks, depression, loss of memory, and inability to communicate) conditions. CalPERS denied the application for industrial disability retirement. Respondent Davenport appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) on December 9, 2015. A Proposed Decision (PD) was issued on February 1, 2016, denying the appeal. The Board adopted the PD on March 16, 2016. Respondent filed a writ of mandate in the Los Angeles County Superior Court. A hearing was held on April 18, 2017, and the matter was remanded back to OAH for hearing on June 19, 2018. A Proposed Decision on Remand was issued on July 19, 2018, affirming CalPERS determination and denying the appeal.
Alternatives

A. For use if the Board decides to modify and adopt the Proposed Decision on Remand as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, pursuant to Government Code section 11517 (c)(2)(C) which authorizes the Board to “make technical or other minor changes in the Proposed Decision on Remand,” hereby modifies the Proposed Decision on Remand, by replacing “2015-0037”, the agency case number of the caption area on page one, to “2017-0866” of the Proposed Decision on Remand, and hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision on Remand dated July 19, 2018, as modified, concerning the appeal of Edith Z. Davenport; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision on Remand as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision on Remand dated July 19, 2018, concerning the appeal of Edith Z. Davenport; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

C. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision on Remand, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision on Remand dated July 19, 2018, concerning the appeal of Edith Z. Davenport, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision on Remand and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

D. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision on Remand dated July 19, 2018, concerning the appeal of Edith Z. Davenport, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision on Remand and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.
E. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

   RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Edith Z. Davenport, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board’s Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

   RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Edith Z. Davenport.

Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

Attachments
Attachment A: Proposed Decision on Remand
Attachment B: Staff’s Argument
Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)
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