
# CalPERS Trust Level Review Risk Management Summary



# Period Ending June 30, 2018

**Investment Belief 9:** Risk to CaIPERS is multi-faceted and not fully captured through measures such as volatility or tracking error. CaIPERS shall develop a broad set of investment and actuarial risk measures and clear processes for managing risk. The path of returns matters, because highly volatile returns can have unexpected impacts on contribution rates and funding status.

Total Fund Forecast Volatility Trends (%)								
		Current	Last Year					
	Policy Target	6/30/2018	3/31/2018	6/30/2017				
Total	n/a	7.6	7.9	8.1				
Benchmark	n/a	7.4	7.5	7.8				
Tracking Error	< 1.5	0.5	0.6	0.5				
Allocation	< 0.75	0.0	0.1	0.1				
Selection	n/a	0.5	0.4	0.4				



### **Comments:**

Forecast Total Volatility of the PERF decreased by 54 bps over the last year. This change is primarily a reflection of historically low recent market volatility. Recent conditions carry higher weight in risk model calibration.

Rapid shifts in volatility regime can occur and would not be predicted by this model. The best interpretation of this estimate is as an indicator of the plan's volatility given the current market environment.


The pie chart above gives a visual representation of portfolio volatility contribution by asset classes (in percent).

Asset Class	rket Value <sup>1</sup> millions)	Total Forecast Volatility (%)	% Contribution to Total Vol	Tracking Error (%)	
PUBLIC EQUITY	\$ 171,635	11.3%	70.4%	0.3%	
PRIVATE EQUITY	\$ 27,106	14.8%	13.0%	3.7%	
INCOME	\$ 79,032	5.3%	2.3%	0.3%	
REAL ASSETS	\$ 38,066	10.4%	11.0%	3.4%	
INFLATION	\$ 20,797	6.7%	2.7%	0.3%	
LIQUIDITY	\$ 11,780	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
TRUST LEVEL <sup>2</sup>	\$ 3,067	5.4%	0.6%	5.4%	
TOTAL FUND	\$ 351,484	7.6%	100.0%	0.5%	

<sup>1</sup>Market values could be different from other documents, due to differences in pricing methods in risk and performance systems.

<sup>2</sup>Trust Level includes Multi Asset Class, Completion Overlay, Risk Mitigation, Absolute Return Strategies, Plan Level Transition and other Tot level portfolios

Source: BarraOne / CalPERS




The bottom chart plots the Forecast Total Volatility and Tracking Error for the Total Fund one year prior to each date vs. the Total Volatility and Tracking Error realized for that date. The graph highlights potential deviations between risk model estimates and subsequent realized volatility, due to the lagged and smoothed nature of risk models. In particular, modeled volatility forecasts tend to lag changes in regimes, for example the rapid increase in volatility during the period of the global financial crisis, and similarly the persistent decline in market volatility in the last few years.


Source: BarraOne, SSB, CalPERS

## **STRESS TESTING**

Historical scenarios highlight the sensitivity of the portfolio to past economic regimes or specific events. The scenarios can be used as a "what if" gauge of current portfolio positioning to understand the potential impact if a similar event or regime were to repeat.

Scenario	Portfolio Ret	urn Policy Benchmark Return	Excess Return
2016 Brexit (Jun 2016)	-3.4%	-3.5%	0.1%
1973 - 1974 Oil Crisis (Oct 1973 - Mar 1974)	-3.7%	-3.9%	0.1%
2010 Peripheral European Bond Crisis (Mar 2010 - May 2010)	-5.3%	-5.4%	0.1%
2001 Sept 11 (Sep 2001)	-7.3%	-7.2%	-0.1%
1998 Russian Financial Crisis (Jul 1998 - Oct 1998)	-7.8%	-7.3%	-0.5%
2011 US Debt Ceiling Act (May 2011 - Sep 2011)	-8.4%	-8.4%	0.0%
1987 Market Crash (Aug 1987 to Nov 1987)	-9.0%	-9.0%	0.0%
2007-2008 Equity Slow Grind (Sep 2007 - Aug 2008)	-9.6%	-9.6%	0.0%
2000-2003 Tech Crash & Recession (Jan 2000 - Mar 2003)	-22.1%	-23.1%	1.0%
2008 - 2009 Global Financial Crisis (Sep 2008 - Mar 2009)	-28.7%	-28.6%	-0.1%
Historica		Portfolio Return	





# Liquidity Analysis: Total Plan

□ 1 Week □ 1 Month □ 1 Quarter □ 1 Year ■ Year +

Transactional liquidity is estimated for each asset class/strategy based on the current market environment while also accounting for legal structures or other factors that may impact liquidity. *source: SSB, CalPERS* 

PE	RF LIQUIDITY SNAPSHOT As of June 30, 2018	
	Expected Cash F	lows for 1 Month
	Normal Conditions	Stress Scenario
Cash Equivalents in Liquidity a Portfolio (< 30 days)*	\$5,182,519,970	\$5,179,303,442
Sources Total (cash flow in)	\$3,972,353,255	\$3,345,210,944
C Uses Total (cash flow out)	(\$3,178,077,612)	(\$3,178,077,612)
d Contingency Use**		(\$4,170,232,355)
Expected Cash Equivalents (Period End)	\$5,976,795,613	\$1,176,204,419
Liquidity Coverage Ratio	288%	116%

= (a+b)/-(c+d)

\* Excludes borrowed liquidity i.e. cash available in asset classes and cash collateral from sec lending \*\* Contingency Use accounts for potential cash demands from derivatives positions, sec lending, and fund level contingent liabilities

Liquidity Coverage is computed from estimates of future cash inflows and outflows up to a 1 year horizon. In this table, the 1 month forward period is shown with Liquidity Coverage ratios for a normal environment and for a selected stress period (Global Financial Crisis). The Liquidity Coverage ratios could be interpreted as how many times (2.88 times in normal market conditions) available liquid cash /cash equivalents could cover projected cash needs over a 1 month forward period. *Source: BarraOne, SSB, CalPERS* 

# **Total Fund Leverage Report**

as of 06/30/18

Leverage changes a portfolio's risk profile through both impact on liquidity and amplification of returns volatility. As a metric, leverage has the benefit of being relatively straightforward to calculate, making it a good backstop to more nuanced but complex perspectives on risk that could suffer from model errors or flawed assumptions. However, since the leverage metric implicitly treats all assets as equally risky, and because it does not capture the interrelationships between assets (diversification), leverage should always be viewed in conjunction with other perspectives. For example, a low leverage portfolio could easily be more risky than a better-diversified moderate leverage portfolio.

# Portfolio View of Plan Leverage:

"L1" captures exposures with full recourse to the total plan, and is most relevant from an immediate liquidity perspective. "L2" includes non-recourse borrowing, which can amplify risk and returns for a given \$ invested. **Company Embedded Leverage:** 

Some Fund assets embed leverage by their nature (i.e., private and public companies). In this case, leverage is not a portfolio management decision, but does contribute to the assets' inherent riskiness.

# **Unfunded Commitments:**

Represent potential draws on Fund liquidity, but are contingent in nature.

#### Portfolio View of Plan Leverage

		L1: Portfolio	Leverage -	Full Rec	L2: Portfolio	Leverage w/No	n-Recourse			
Asset Class/ Program	Net Market Value (\$Billions) (A)	+ Source	s of Levera	ige <sup>1</sup>	- Cash <sup>2</sup>	Gross Market Exposure (B)	Portfolio Leverage (B/A)	Additional + Sources of Leverage	Gross Market Exposure (C)	Portfolio Leverage (C/A)
		Derivatives	Recourse Debt	Other				Non Recourse Debt		
Public Equity	171.6	10.8			2.2	180.2	1.05		180.2	1.05
Private Equity	27.1		1.6 <sup>3</sup>		0.0	28.7	1.06		28.7	1.06
Income	79.0	8.0			7.9	79.2	1.00		79.2	1.00
Liquidity	11.8				11.8	0.0	0.00		0.0	0.00
Real Assets	38.1		0.04		0.7	37.4	0.98	19.1	56.5	1.48 <sup>5</sup>
Inflation	20.8	5.4			5.2	21.0	1.01		21.0	1.01
Securities Lending <sup>6</sup>	0.0			4.3	4.3	0.0	N/M	1	0.0	N/M
Trust Level <sup>7</sup>	3.1	2.1			0.9	4.3	N/M		4.3	N/M
Total Fund	\$351.5	\$26.3	\$1.6	\$4.3	32.9	\$350.7	1.00	\$19.1	\$369.9	1.05

#### **Embedded Leverage in Asset Classes**

	Implied Leverage <sup>8</sup>		Net Market Value	Unfunded Commitments (\$B) <sup>9</sup>	% of Total Fund
Public Equity	1.52		(\$B)	(+=/	
Private Equity	2.22	Private Equity	27.1	14.2	4.0%
Real Estate	1.27	Real Assets	38.1	8.4	2.4%

**Unfunded Commitments** 

1. FX Forwards used for hedging and fixed income duration shifting are not counted as leverage. Options are included based on delta adjusted notional value.

2. Cash is defined as assets meeting Liquidity program guidelines, and include cash holdings in the Fund.

3. Subscription financing and other liabilities at the fund level (as of 12/31/2017) are shown as recourse, while defined non-recourse for policy definition.

4. Recourse Debt in Real Estate is about \$4.5m (as of 3/31/18) and it has not changed from the prior quarter.

5. Policy leverage for Real Assets is measured as a Loan-to-Value ratio and will differ from figure shown in table. LTV leverage as of 3/31/18 for Real Estate,

Infrastructure and Forestland are: 32%, 46%, and 21%, respectively.


6. Securities lending includes only securities lent for cash collateral (which creates a source of financing).


7. Trust Level includes Multi Asset Class, Completion Overlay, Risk Mitigation, Absolute Return Strategies, Plan Level Transition and other Total Fund level portfolios.

8. Implied leverage is estimated from either asset class benchmark data or industry research. It represents the Enterprise Value to Equity ratio.

9. Unfunded commitments are as of 06/30/2018 for Private Equity and 3/31/2018 for Real Assets. 94% of Real Asset unfunded commitments are revocable at CaIPERS' discretion.

# CONCENTRATION REPORT







CIO Total Fund Performance and Risk Report


Source: BarraOne, CalPERS

## **CONCENTRATION REPORT**





## COUNTERPARTY RISK



CDS spreads and other metrics are regularly monitored for individual CaIPERS counterparties. In addition, when aggregate spreads rise above 100 bps additional oversight

Counterparty	<u>NET MTM</u> FORWARDS (\$)	<u>Net MTM</u> OPTIONS <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Net MTM</u> <u>SWAPS</u> ( <u>\$)</u>	<u>CalPERS</u> Exposure ( <u>\$)</u>	<u>Counter Party</u> Exposure ( <u>\$)</u>	<u>Net MTM Total</u> (\$)	<u>Collateral Posted</u> ( <u>\$)*</u>	<u>Net Credit Net</u> <u>Exposure</u> <u>(\$)</u>
Bank of Montreal	12,119.00			17,805.00	(5,686.00)	12,119	0	12,119
Bank of America	14,380,658.00	(184,822.00)	1,330,072.00	48,759,891.00	(33,233,983.00)	15,525,908	(15,530,000)	(4,092)
BNP Paribas	1,610,169.00	(209,097.00)	41,197,194.00	54,689,479.00	(12,091,213.00)	42,598,266	(42,600,000)	(1,734)
Barclays	22,685,515.00			26,759,125.00	(4,073,610.00)	22,685,515	(22,700,000)	(14,485)
Citigroup	28,030,570.00		(15,256,297.00)	32,982,190.00	(20,207,917.00)	12,774,273	(12,780,000)	(5,727)
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce	(289.00)	(10,475.00)		1,164.00	(11,928.00)	(10,764)	0	(10,764)
Credit Suisse International	813,379.00		88,472.00	1,235,268.00	(333,417.00)	901,851	(700,000)	201,851
Deutsche Bank	37,560,172.00	(309,733.00)	114,174.00	40,590,405.00	(3,225,792.00)	37,364,613	(37,370,000)	(5,387)
Goldman Sachs Intl.	21,488,921.00	91,811,488.00	56,201,903.00	260,356,725.00	(90,854,413.00)	169,502,312	(169,550,000)	(47,688)
HSBC	19,988,597.00		(117,622.00)	29,670,494.00	(9,799,519.00)	19,870,975	(19,900,000)	(29,025)
JPMorgan Chase Bank	26,730,716.00	(3,459.00)	45,062,188.00	124,119,424.00	(52,329,979.00)	71,789,445	(71,790,000)	(555)
Macquarie			13,708,172.00	13,708,172.00		13,708,172	(13,710,000)	(1,828)
Morgan Stanley Capital Group			11,016,765.00	11,016,765.00		11,016,765	(10,850,000)	166,765
Morgan Stanley Capital Service	27,437,793.00	(8,513.00)	(1,765,687.00)	34,026,200.00	(8,362,607.00)	25,663,593	(25,700,000)	(36,407)
RBC Capital Markets	696,607.00			916,847.00	(220,240.00)	696,607	(700,000)	(3,393)
Standard Chartered Bank	2,372,142.00			2,372,142.00		2,372,142	(2,290,000)	82,142
Societe Generale	16,029,772.00	(645,591.00)	11,217,596.00	28,045,471.00	(1,443,694.00)	26,601,777	(26,610,000)	(8,223)
State Street	146,184.00			158,425.00	(12,241.00)	146,184	(170,287)	(24,103)
Toronto Dominion	(87,171.00)				(87,171.00)	(87,171)	70,000	(17,171)
UBS AGG	2,669,541.00			3,903,355.00	(1,233,814.00)	2,669,541	(2,700,000)	(30,459)
Grand Total	222,565,395.00	90,439,798.00	162,796,930.00	713,329,347.00	(237,527,224.00)	475,802,123	(475,580,287)	221,836

\*As of 6/30/2018 Counterparties posted 477mm to CalPERS which includes Internal Collateral

**Above:** Total market value exposure and net credit exposures are monitored for all of our OTC (over-the-counter) positions.


Source: Blackrock, CalPERS

**Below:** FCM (Futures Commission Merchant) exposures are monitored for how much margin we have posted with our FCM. *source: CalPERS* 

FUTURES COMMISSION MERCHANT EXPOSURE					
Futures Commission Merchant	Collateral Posted				
CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC	260,184,954				
MERRILL LYNCH PIERCE FENNER & SMITH INCORPORA TED	67,510,111				

\*As of June 30, 2018

# 1. How to interpret the OTC Counterparty Risk Exposure section



\*Net mark to market (MTM): positions are adjusted to reflect current market values and then summed