MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OPEN SESSION

ROBERT F. CARLSON AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2018 9:02 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS:

- Ms. Priya Mathur, President
- Mr. Rob Feckner, Vice President
- Ms. Margaret Brown
- Mr. John Chiang, represented by Mr. Steve Juarez
- Mr. Richard Costigan
- Mr. Richard Gillihan
- Ms. Dana Hollinger
- Mr. Henry Jones
- Mr. David Miller
- Mr. Ramon Rubalcava
- Mr. Bill Slaton
- Ms. Theresa Taylor
- Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Ms. Karen Greene-Ross

STAFF:

- Ms. Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer
- Ms. Liana Bailey-Crimmins, Chief Health Director
- Mr. Ted Eliopoulos, Chief Investment Officer
- Mr. Christian Farland, Chief Information Officer
- Mr. Douglas Hoffner, Deputy Executive Officer
- Mr. Matthew Jacobs, General Counsel
- Ms. Donna Lum, Deputy Executive Officer

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

STAFF:

Mr. Brad Pacheco, Deputy Executive Officer

Mr. Scott Terando, Chief Actuary

Ms. Cara Buchanan, Board Secretary

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Tim Behrens, California State Retirees

Mr. Al Darby, Retired Public Employees Association

Mr. Chirag Shah, Shah and Associates

Ms. Donna Snodgrass, Retired Public Employees Association

Mr. Winn Williams

	I N D E X	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Approval of the August 15, 2018 Board of Administration Timed Agenda	2
3.	Pledge of Allegiance	3
4.	Board President's Report	3
5.	Chief Executive Officer's Report (Oral)	7
6.	Action Consent Items - Priya Mathur a. Approval of the June 20, 2018 and July 16, 2018 Board of Administration Meeting Minutes b. Board Travel Approvals	16
7.	 Information Consent Items a. Board Meeting Calendar b. Draft Agenda for the September 26, 2018 Board of Administration Meeting c. General Counsel's Report d. Communications and Stakeholder Relations 	17
8.	Committee Reports and Actions a. Investment Committee (Oral) b. Pension & Health Benefits Committee (Oral) c. Finance & Administration Committee (Oral) d. Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee (Oral) e. Risk & Audit Committee (Oral) f. Board Governance Committee (Oral)	17 19 19 19 21 21
9.	Action Agenda Items a. Proposed Decisions of Administrative Law Judges 1. Justin Z. Hendee 2. Michelle M. Rolle-Roda 3. Keri L. Mann 4. Paul A. Bellamy 5. Tamera McDaniel 6. Patricia G. Villanueva 7. Sharon McKinley 8. David Manlowe 9. Richard Elias 10. Ronnie L. Johnson 11. Dwayne J. Perez	31

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D PAGE 12. Eugene L. Campos 13. Sara L. Carrasco 14. Leslie E. Murad II 15. Delmar J. Tabek 16. Kevin S. Fukagawa 17. Brenda Turner 18. Laura C. Alvarez 19. Michael Talesforce 20. Barbara Clark 36 Petitions for Reconsideration 1. Kimberly Irving Full Board Hearing Final Decision 1. Kenneth L. Hale/Robert T. Wolf (consolidated) 10. Information Agenda Items State and Federal Legislation Update 37 a. Summary of Board Direction Public Comment b. 48 49 c. 53 Adjournment 54 Reporter's Certificate

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 PRESIDENT MATHUR: Good morning, everyone. I'm 3 going to call the Board of Administration meeting to order. And the first order of business is roll call. 4 5 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Priva Mathur? 6 PRESIDENT MATHUR: Good morning. 7 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Rob Feckner? 8 VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER: Good morning. BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Margaret Brown? 9 10 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Here. 11 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Steve Juarez for John Chiang? 12 13 ACTING BOARD MEMBER JUAREZ: Here. 14 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Richard Costigan? 15 BOARD MEMBER COSTIGAN: Here. BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Richard Gillihan? 16 17 BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Here. 18 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Dana Hollinger? 19 BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Here. 20 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Henry Jones? BOARD MEMBER JONES: Here. 21 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: David Miller? 22 23 BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Here. 2.4 BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Ramon Rubalcava? 25 BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Here.

2.

```
1
             BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Bill Slaton?
             BOARD MEMBER SLATON: Here.
 2
 3
             BOARD SECRETARY GARNER:
                                      Theresa Taylor?
             BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR: Here.
 4
5
             BOARD SECRETARY GARNER: Karen Greene-Ross for
6
   Betty Yee?
7
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS:
8
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Terrific. That brings us to
9
   Agenda Item number 2, which is approval of the August
10
    15th, 2018 Board of Administration timed agenda.
11
             VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER: Move approval.
             BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Second.
12
13
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Moved by Mr. Feckner and
14
    seconded by Ms. Hollinger.
15
             Any discussion on the motion?
16
             Seeing none.
17
             All those in favor say aye?
18
             (Ayes.)
19
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
20
             Motion passes.
             Next item on the agenda is the Pledge of
21
22
   Allegiance. And for that I've asked the Vice President,
   Mr. Feckner.
23
2.4
             VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER: Would you please rise.
25
             Hand over heart.
```

(Thereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited in unison.)

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Agenda Item 4 is the President's Report. And I -- well, good morning everyone. I wanted to start the day by talking about dessert. Yesterday, Calpers held our annual ice cream social across the street at Calpers headquarters. It's a tradition that our Board members and executive team look forward to every year. And we get to serve our em -- the people who work here at Calpers, the Calpers team, by scooping ice cream, and we also get a chance to chat and meet and great those team members.

It's our way of saying thank you to everyone here, and in our regional offices, for all that you do throughout the year to serve our members. And it's a great joy to participate. So I'd like to thank my fellow Board members for their participation in this year's social, and also thank you to the Calpers team members who put on the event. I think it was a big success this year.

Summer still has a way to go, but this is a perfect way to take a break and celebrate the work we do together. And for once, it was not a hundred degrees outside, which was a great relief to all, I think.

Speaking of meaningful events, I wanted to highlight the CalPERS Benefits Education Events, which we

know as the CBEEs. For those who are not familiar,
CalPERS hosts a number of CBEEs throughout the year in
various cities throughout the state. They're are free and
open to all CalPERS members at any stage in their career.
And, in fact, many of the sessions are targeted to early
and mid-career and others to nearing retirement, late
career. These events are a great opportunity for members
to learn more about their benefits and to attend
informative classes to help them plan for their financial
future.

Last week, we hosted one La Jolla, and we had a great turnout. In fact, I think we had almost three times the number of people pre-registered for this event than we had last year, which was quite something.

I was there and it was so energizing to sit in the sessions to see how the mastery that our team has over their subject matter and also the gracious way in which they deliver it, that some have a terrific sense of humor. And I really enjoyed the sessions.

We also got a chance to hear Marcie speak about the work that we've been doing to strengthen the fund, and I think that session was also very well received by the members who attended.

Next week on August 24th and 25th in Garden Grove, we will be holding our last CBEE of 2018. Then of

course, we'll start them right back up again in January. So if you haven't had a chance to attend one yet, I encourage you to go to the one next week in Garden Grove or again when they start up again in the new year.

Another event that we held recently was our January -- or sorry our July Board offsite. And I want to thank everyone for their participation in -- at that offsite last month, and for the excellent presentations from our guest speakers and team members. I got really terrific feedback, not just from the Board and the executive team, but also from the members who were in attendance.

We had some very open and candid discussions about the issues that we face, and how we can strengthen the fund that millions of our members and their families rely on for their retirement and health security. If you had an opportunity to join us, I think you will agree it was time well spent.

For future planning, I want to announce the dates of our January offsite. It is scheduled for January 14th through the 16th. We're still working to secure the location, but we'll be sure to let you know when we do so.

Also, an early reminder to our valued stakeholders and members of the public that we will not be holding our Board or Committee meetings in October.

That -- instead, we will be focusing in October on our educational forum in Indian Wells. That's taking place October 22nd to the 24th. I know many Board members will be in attendance there. And that event is open for registration until October 5th. So hope to see you all there.

Finally, on behalf of all of us here on the Board, I'd like to say that our deepest thoughts are with the devoted firefighters and first responders fighting the numerous fires throughout the State. We all know that we are -- they are fighting the biggest fires in history, and they are putting their lives at risk in order to protect all of us and the rest of California.

Your commitment to protecting the safety of others while putting your own safety on the line is truly heroic, and we will never forget it. And we've already seen tremendous devastation and tragedy from these fires, including the truly terrible loss of a brave firefighter just two days ago. So we pray for the safe and speedy return home of everyone who is battling these fires.

And I just want all of our members to know that we were also -- we've been sending our own team members up to Redding and to Mendocino in order to ensure that any of our members who might have lost a home sadly or have -- are unable to receive mail, that they're -- we want to

make sure that they still get their retirement warrants, even if they've had a stoppage in mail. So that's why we -- we're sending our own team up there, and that's why we are here for all of those who are -- all of our members in California.

So with that, I'd like to turn it over to our CEO, Marcie Frost, for her report.

Oh, I'm sorry. Before I do that, if I may call on Ms. Hollinger for a brief moment.

BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Ou, sorry. I'm sorry. Let me just recognize you. There you go.

BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Something very meaningful to me, my son Raleigh is in the audience, and he is near and dear to my heart.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Hi, Raleigh. A charming young man.

Ms. Frost.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yes. Good morning, President Mathur and members of the Board. It's been a busy summer meeting with members and other stakeholder groups. And in particular, since we last met at our offsite meeting, I'd like to share some of the details of those visits and also discuss a few of the program area priorities taking place across the

enterprise.

2.4

Let me start by mentioning the dedicated teams of firefighters and public safety employees who are battling California's wildfires. They're an example of CalPERS's members who protect and serve the citizens of California, and we are certainly proud to be able to serve them as well.

Unfortunately, the fire season is not really a season any longer. It's become a year-round event that has affected all of us here in California, including our members and our retirees who are among those who had to evacuate from their homes, as President Mathur indicated. So we are committed to doing what we can to help members maintain some continuity in their lives.

A couple of weeks ago when the fires did hit in Redding, we sent a representative there to meet with some of the CalPERS members who were impacted, and helping them with issues such as power of attorney. And in that process, we were able to convert about 40 of the members who had been receiving paper warrants over to automatic deposit. Again, not something we want our members having to worry about in any kind of disruption in their pension benefit.

So I would like to thank Donna Lum and the Customer Service and Outreach team for their dedication

and their commitment. They do work hard all year long to serve our customers. And this, I think, was a really good example of what they do.

With that in mind, it was a pleasure to recognize them along with the remainder of the CalPERS team at the ice cream social event yesterday. And I would also like to thank the Board for their participation in that event. I know our team really enjoys having an opportunity to interact with all of you as well.

So I'm also hoping that a few of you might be able to join us in West Sacramento. We do have a team out there. Our contact center is out there for at least one more year before they relocate back over to headquarters. So if any of you might be available and be willing to help serve ice cream in West Sacramento, we can get you those details.

So moving on now to some important customer outreach efforts. Mr. Miller, you might be joining us.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: I love ice cream.

(Laughter.)

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Several of us have already signed up.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Great.

As you know, we have been preparing for the 2018 open enrollment period, which will begin on September 10th

and it will last through October 5th. And I want to encourage all of our covered members to carefully read through this year's materials, so that they can learn about any plan updates and changes, because there are some, that will help make the best informed decisions.

Our members can be confident that the choices before them represent some of the best plan options available. And the team has worked diligently to negotiate the best rates possible.

Overall, the increase was held down to the lowest increase in decades. However, participants do need to pay close attention, because some plans do have increases as well.

So I'm also happy, up on the screen, to share a new mobile experience that we're launching for this year's open enrollment period. This is an opportunity for members to be able to securely access their personal health information any time, anywhere through the my|CalPERS account from their cell phones.

You can take -- or their iPads. Take a look at the screen and you can see how simple it will be to use.

Once a member logs in on their phone or tablet, they'll be taken to an overview page to get started.

And as you can see, they'll be able to perform several tasks, especially comparing different health plans

to see which one might be best for them. Whatever a member can do in their my | CalPERS account, they can also do in this app.

That means retirees will able to change their health plans if they want to. The app is also designed to be intuitive and user-friendly. And we anticipate that this will be an added convenience for many of our members.

Members' health plan statements will be posted at my|CalPERS at the end of August. And the new premium rates -- just a reminder, the new premium rates and any plan changes that members make would be effective on January 1st of 2019.

Moving on now to some internal news. You all received a copy of our press release and the press release that went out from the organization letting you know that Michele Nix had been hired as our Controller. Michele has nearly 30 years of experience in her field, and has a proven track record of leadership as well.

She comes to us from the State of Missouri, where she served as CFO and assistant CFO to the State's retirement system, also known as MOSERS. And for some of you, I think you've interacted with Gary Findlay in the past who was the past executive director for that system.

She also most recently served as the director of finance for the City of Columbia and had that post since

2016. And Michele will begin her new position here at CalPERS on September 12th.

I'd also like to take an opportunity to thank

Taylor Urban for his role as the Interim Controller.

We've very much appreciate his willingness to step in and maintain continuity of service during the transition.

So now I'd like to mention some of the reports that are important to our work. The first are the annual actuarial valuation reports that set employer and PEPRA member contribution rates for our public agency employers. These reports have been uploaded and can be found in employers' my|CalPERS accounts, and will be posted on our website by the end of September.

I'd like to also thank Scott Terando and the team of actuaries in the Actuarial Office for all of their hard working in completing the reports with the timeliness and the quality our employers rely upon. And I want to encourage our employers to reach out to their actuary for any distance that they might need in understanding their new valuation reports.

We've also just updated the Solid Foundation for the Future Report. And I know many of you are using that as you're out interacting with members or employers. And that update includes the fiscal career 2017-18 returns -- investment returns of 8.6. And for those watching or

members in the audience, that report has also been updated on our website.

Looking externally, I want to share some of the details about recent speaking engagements with stakeholder groups and members. In July, I was invited and had an opportunity to meet with about 2,000 members of the California School Employees Association during their annual delegate conference.

Thank you, Mr. Feckner.

I shared the decisions CalPERS has been making to strengthen the system so that they can be confident that their benefits will be there when they need them. It was an impressive assembly of CSEA members that took up the entire bottom floor of the Sacramento Convention Center. And I, again, thank them very much for the opportunity to speak with them.

Last week, I had the -- I was like that was only a week ago. Last week, I had the honor to open the National Association of State Retirement Administrators Conference in San Diego and welcome attendees to California. It was good to talk with many of the other pension leaders around the United States and hear about their successes as well as how they're tackling some of the challenges, some unique to them, some not as unique. And many have common issues around -- around the state. I

also had a chance to participate on a panel around organizational risk management.

And then tomorrow, I was invited to address THE local society of the Chartered Financial Analysts

Institute at their biannual member meeting here in Sacramento.

And President Mathur did mention the CBEES. And it is a great opportunity for those of you who have done so. I know Mr. Rubalcava was also there this last weekend -- or -- yeah, in San Diego. It is a great opportunity to interact with members. And I think what you'll hear. And when we did these Q&A sessions, what we're hearing, the number one questions coming from our members is is my service credit secure, is my benefits secure? And I know you're likely to get those same kinds of questions. But we did it on the second day as well, and that was the first question asked.

So again, more opportunities as you're out and about talking with members to really address that question head on and provide a little bit more confidence to some of the members who are really frightened by some of the things they're reading in the paper.

We did try something new this time, which stretched my comfort zone a little bit. But we did Facebook Live for the session that I did in San Diego --

or in La Jolla. And we're going to try that again in Garden Grove, and try to continue that effort into 2019.

And, in particular, any time we have updated information that we want to share out with the members, this would be another channel of which we might get better -- better outreach.

And so the next couple weeks, again, August 24th and 25th, we'll hold our last CBEE, and that's in Garden Grove. And then we'll kick-off in January of 2019 in Seaside, which is near Monterey.

And then also on the subject of outreach, let me also remind you about our 19th annual Educational Forum that will take place on October 22nd through the 24th in Indian Wells. This is an annual event that brings our employer partners together with our teams to discuss our common mission. And this year we added a new elected official track, and that was in response to some feedback that Controller Yee had. And so we will have an opportunity -- it's about a two and a half to three hour session of where employers can invite one of their elected officials to attend that track.

And we have about 790 confirmed registrations right now, which is a little bit above where we were last year. So it seems like this will another popular event with the employers.

And then finally next month we'll have our annual all-employee meeting which we CalPERS Live. And looking forward again to talking about our accomplishments as well as highlighting our priorities moving forward.

And then I'm going to end with investment performance year-to-date as of June 30th. So the preliminary fiscal year return for 2017-2018, as we've indicated, is 8.6. The three-year return is 6.7. The five-year return is 8.1, and the 10-year return is 5.6.

And that does conclude my remarks, but I'm happy to take any questions.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you very much, Ms. Frost I don't see any questions.

So that's going to bring us on to Agenda Item number 6, which is the action consent items, approval of the June 20th, 2018 and July 16th, 2018 Board of Administration Meeting minutes.

BOARD MEMBER JONES: Move approval.

BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR: Second.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Moved by Mr. Jones, seconded by Ms. Taylor.

Any discussion on the motion?

Oh, sorry, I should also note that it also includes -- I was looking only at one page. It also includes Board travel approvals.

1 Any discussion on the motion?

2 Seeing none.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

2.4

PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?

Motion passes.

I've had no requests to pull anything off of consent. So that brings us to the Committee reports and actions. And I will first call on the Chair of the Investment Committee, Henry Jones.

BOARD MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Madam President. The Investment Committee met on August 13, 2018.

The Committee approved Agenda Item 6a to adopt a second reading of the revised Public Equity -- Private Equity Program Policy.

The Committee received reports on the following topics:

The Board investment consultant Request for Proposal; the Iran/Sudan update; CalPERS trust level review and consultant report; annual program and consultant review of trust level portfolio management and opportunistic strategies; and review of the survey results of the Board investment consultants.

The Chair directed staff to bring back follow-up items at a future date:

A discussion of the role of consultants and timing of the Request for Proposal process no later than the Board offsite in January 2019; to provide information on attribution regarding the past two years of employment gains for women versus men, and the calculation method for population figures in the economic presentation with respect to non-legal immigrants; bring back information on the potential impact of Proposition 10 on our apartment portfolio; a discussion of approaches to assess risk posed by climate change in our real estate portfolio; additional information on the exits in the private equity portfolio between existing portfolio managers.

The Committee heard public comment on the support for staff's work on engagement with public-private partnerships and detention centers.

At this time, I would like to share some highlights of what to expect at the September Investment Committee meeting:

The California Public Divest from Iran Act and Sudan Act - adoption of findings; the global equity and global fixed income annual program reviews and consultant reports; the first reading of the revision of the public asset class policies; the Calpers consultant public fund universe comparison report; and corporate governance update: proxy voting, shareowner campaigns, and corporate

1 engagement.

Jones.

2.4

The next meeting of the Investment Committee is scheduled for September 24, 2018 in Sacramento, California.

And that concludes my report, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you very much, Mr.

We did not have a Pension and Health Benefits

Committee meeting this month nor a Finance and

Administration Committee meeting, so I will call next on

Bill Slaton the Chair of the Performance, Compensation and

Talent Management Committee.

BOARD MEMBER SLATON: Thank you, Madam President. The Committee met on August 14th. The Committee recommends and I move the approve the following:

Agenda Item 6a, 2018-19 incentive metric recommendations for the stakeholder engagement incentive metric, approve option A, to retain existing survey questions and align scores with KPI average aspirations.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: On motion by Committee.

On the motion, Ms. Hollinger.

BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Oh, no.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Oh, okay. On the motion.

And all those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

20

```
1
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
             (No.)
 2
 3
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Please note Ms. Brown's no.
 4
             BOARD MEMBER SLATON: The Committee recommends
5
    and I move the Board approve the following:
6
             For the enterprise operational effectiveness
7
    incentive metric, approve option A to exclude Board and
8
    third-party costs.
9
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: On motion by Committee.
10
             Any discussion by the -- on the motion?
11
             Seeing none.
             All those in favor say aye?
12
13
             (Ayes.)
14
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
15
             Motion passes.
16
             BOARD MEMBER SLATON: On Agenda Item 6b, 2018-19
17
    incentive plan for the Chief Executive Officer.
18
    Committee recommends and I move the Board approve the
19
    following:
20
             Approve option A to retain the current incentive
21
    plan structure for the CEO.
22
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: On motion by Committee.
23
             Any discussion by the Board?
2.4
             Seeing none.
25
             All those in favor say aye?
```

1 (Ayes.)

2.4

2 PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?

Motion passes.

BOARD MEMBER SLATON: The Committee heard public comment on the following topics:

The fiscal year 2018-19 incentive metrics and CEO incentive plan from J.J. Jelincic and Al Darby.

At this time, I'd like to share some highlights of what to expect at the September Performance,

Compensation and Talent Management Committee meeting. The Committee will conduct the annual performance review of the CEO and receive a summary of appraisal outcomes for all other positions covered by the Board's Compensation Policy for Executive and Investment Management Positions.

The next meeting of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee is scheduled for September 25th 2018 in Sacramento, California. And that completes my report.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you very much, Mr. Slaton.

Next, we come to the -- we did not have a Risk and Audit Committee meeting this month, but we did have a Board Governance Committee. And for that, I'll call on the Vice Chair of that Committee Ms. Hollinger.

BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Thank you. The

Committee discussed Agenda Item 6a -- well, the Board Governance Committee met on August 14th, 2018.

2.4

The Committee discussed Agenda Item 6a, the process for handling harassment allegations against Board members. The Committee considered and discussed whether to approve the draft policy for handling harassment allegations against Board members.

The Chair directed staff to rework and modify policy language to address concerns that were raised during the meeting and bring back the revised draft policy to September's Board of Administration meeting.

The Committee heard public comment on the following topics: The process for handling harassment allegations against Board members.

At this time, I would like to share some of the highlights of what to expect at the December 2018 meeting. The Committee will receive information on the CalPERS Board Education attendance report, and proposed subsequent year program proposal.

The next meeting of the Board Governance Committee is scheduled for December 18th, 2018 in Sacramento, California.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you, Ms. Hollinger.
On this item, Mr. Slaton.

BOARD MEMBER SLATON: Thank you, Madam President.

I just want to make a comment that the -- our request to discuss and come forward with a policy on harassment is a tough issue. It's a difficult issue to do. And obviously one dealing with us as a group also makes it especially difficult to do. We ask our Chief Counsel to come forward with a policy. It came out of the Board of Regents who has addressed this issue, and had a policy, and -- but it needed to be adopted -- or adapted for our use. And we asked our counsel to do that. And I just want to compliment the work that our Chief Counsel did on this project, and the continuing work to bring forth a policy. It's good work, and I appreciate it.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you, Mr. Slaton.

Ms. Brown.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

You know, there were so many questions with regard to the Harassment or Misconduct Policy that I really think -- and there were so many issues raised that it seems to be very tricky according to the lawyers, and also Calhr was talking to us about the issues involved, and I really think it needs to come back to Board Governance for further discussion. And I would like to make an amendment to the motion that we actually bring it back to Board Governance, not bring it back to the full

Board.

2 Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: So just to be clear, there wasn't a motion made, so you may make a motion.

BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Can I make -- I'd like to make a motion. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: I'll second that.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Motion made by Brown, seconded by Miller.

Any discussion on the motion?

Mr. Miller, you're -- you're -- would you like to speak on the motion?

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: Yeah.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Sorry. Please, go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER MILLER: I think, you know, in the discussion we had the other day, there was quite a few issues came up. I think it was a really good discussion. I think it was good work by staff. It spurred a lot of further discussion. I think there's a lot more to really hash out. And I wouldn't want to push, you know, Matt and staff to try to rush to address all that stuff and bring something back to the whole Board. I think this really belongs in Governance Committee. And I think we should take the time to get it right and address, you know, all the issues that have come up, and some that may continue

to come up as we go forward with this -- the development of staff's work and everything.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

Mr. Jones.

BOARD MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Madam President.

I'm not so sure, but one of the rationale for trying to bring it back next month is that there's no Governance Committee until December. However, I also concur that the issues are broad enough to require more time to discuss that. And so I would also support bringing it back at a future Governance Committee

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you.

Ms. Taylor.

BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR: Yes. Thank you.

I think based on what we were talking about yesterday, and what Mr. Jacobs had told us, I'm not for bringing it back in Governance. I think that most of the fixes we discussed were simple language fixes. And I don't know that it needs to be re-discussed in the Governance Committee. It was everything we all agreed to.

And I hesitate to take such an important issue and put it off farther. The issue has -- we've had issues before in the past on this. And we have never had a policy. It is time in this environment that we have a

policy set. I'm not saying let's not get it right. I definitely think we should get it right. If, at the Board meeting next month, we can have a discussion about it and we feel that it's not ready, we can push it off till the Board Governance meeting. But I don't see the harm in bringing it to the Board meeting for review.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you, Ms. Taylor.

Mr. Slaton.

BOARD MEMBER SLATON: Thank you, Madam President. You know, as I've said before, this is not an easy topic to talk about or to figure out how to navigate to get a right solution. But it does apply to the full Board. And while we do have a Governance Committee to go through and kind of wrestle with issues and kind of serve them up, we already see that this is a full issue. It's an issue that involves all 13 of us to make a decision. And I think this rises to the level that 13 of us should be able to debate it and all be on an equal footing in terms of voting.

And, of course, at the Governance Committee, it's -- you know, I'm not on the Governance Committee, so I don't get a vote on that. Although I do obviously when it comes to the full Board.

So all of us are interested in this topic. We

all have passions about this and how we feel about it. So why not just call it the way it is and have it at the Board meeting. If it's not ready for next month, maybe it's ready for November. If it's not ready for October.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: We don't have October.

BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR: We don't have a meeting.

BOARD MEMBER SLATON: If it's not -- if we can't do it -- obviously, we can't do it in October, but if it's not ready in September, then it would be November. But let's keep it on the agenda here. So I would vote against the motion.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you.

Mr. Juarez.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER JUAREZ: Yes. And with all due respect to Mr. Slaton, I think there are a lot of issues that affect the full Board that are only initially heard and discussed by committees. And if you start to cut and slice those that you want to have the full Board hear that are appropriately in domain of a committee, I think it's a -- it's going to be Pandora's Box. You're going to open it up and I or somebody else will say, well, wait a minute, we did that on that issue. Why can't we do it on this issue?

 $\hbox{So I -- I heartily endorse the proposed motion,} \\ \\ \hbox{and just say that I think we pretty much did have a full} \\ \\$

Board discussion, even though there are a limited number of members on that board. The full Committee -- the full Committee was engaged with other Board members who weren't on the Committee. And so I think that opportunity was, in fact, entertaining.

But I would -- I would again heartily endorse the motion to have the full Governance Committee hear it.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you.

Mr. Slaton.

BOARD MEMBER SLATON: So just -- I would support the motion in the following situation that, you know, our schedules are somewhat flexible. So just because we're not scheduled right now to have Governance until December, we could have Governance in September. We could have Governance in November. So if the Chair is willing to call for a Governance Committee when staff is ready, rather than artificially wait till December, then I would be in favor of the -- I'm okay with the motion.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: I will work with the team to see if we can schedule a Governance Committee meeting for September or November, depending on how quickly we can pull the -- pull the revised draft together.

Mr. Jones.

BOARD MEMBER JONES: Well, Madam Chair -President, so are you directing staff to bring it back to

Governance Committee. So therefore, it would not be necessary for a motion?

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Looking at Ms. Frost, if -- I don't know if you want to weigh in, but --

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah. Let me look at the schedule and what we currently have teed up for the agenda -- the remainder of the agenda is because we bring in FAC, Pension and Health comes back in.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Yes.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: That makes for a really long Tuesday.

But let me look at the Investment Committee. Is there a way that we could add a Committee meeting on Monday afternoon, if the Investment Committee agenda isn't as full. But let me have some time to look at that -- PRESIDENT MATHUR: Sure.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: -- and whether that would be September or November. It would be earlier than the December meeting.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Or potentially we could do it on Wednesday morning before the full Board.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Correct.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: That might another option.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yeah, let me look

25 at it.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Okay. All right. So I think we will just decide to bring it to the Governance Committee. I think there's no need for a vote. And we will work to get it on the September agenda. If not then, then the November agenda. We do have a member of the public who wishes to speak on this item.

Ms. Snodgrass.

MS. SNODGRASS: Good morning, Board, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Good morning.

MS. SNODGRASS: Donna Snodgrass, Retired Public Employees Association. And I was going to ask the Board to send this back to Committee rather than the full Board, so I really appreciate the motion. I was surprised.

But I wanted to point out something that dawned on me last night in -- when you're thinking about writing the policy. Yesterday, the discussion on this item surrounded mostly around sexual harassment, cause I think that's where all of our brains jumped to when we heard that.

But it's written as simply harassment in general. That's a much broader definition. So I don't know if you wanted sexual harassment particularly. Now, I'm really confused about what the policy is even being written for, because harassment could be reviewed as like I'm telling

Henry I don't like your tie today. Go take it off, you know. It's so subjective. So I just -- maybe narrow the definition down a little bit.

You all pretty much said everything I wanted to say anyway. So thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Okay. Thank you.

I think it is intended to be broader than just sexual harassment. It's harassment, discrimination, and retaliation.

Okay. So that brings us then to Agenda Item number 9, the action agenda items, proposed decisions of administrative law judges. And for that, I'll call on the Vice President, Mr. Feckner.

VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER: Thank you, Madam President.

I move that the Board adopt the proposed decisions of Agenda Items 9(2) and (3) and (5) through (20) as the Board's own decisions with the minor modifications argued by staff to Agenda Items (7) and (8) (13), (17) through (20).

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Do we need to restate that? That's okay.

BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR: Second.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Motion made by Mr. Feckner,

25 | seconded by Ms. Taylor.

1 On the motion.

Mr. Gillihan.

2.4

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Thank you, Madam

President. Is our independent counsel on the phone?

PRESIDENT MATHUR: He is on the phone.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Can I -- I'd like to ask Mr. Shah a question.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Yes, Mr. Shah, are you there?

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Okay. You have a question from Mr. Gillihan.

MR. SHAH: Yes, I am. Good morning.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Good morning, Mr. Shah.

My question has to do with Agenda Item 9a9, the case of Elias

MR. SHAH: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: And so in your summary, you mention members own health issues along with son's life altering accident which left him in grave condition could be grounds -- sufficient grounds for excusable neglect under section 20160. I was just wondering if you could expand on that a little bit, and your thoughts on whether this would be something that the Board might want to consider to hold for a full Board hearing.

MR. SHAH: Yeah. Well, the facts of the case suggested that there were some unique issues that were --

that the member was impacted by. And the 20160 determination of whether there's excusable neglect or a mistake is a very fact-specific determination.

2.4

So depending on what the administrative record actually showed, you know, you want and see how something like this could constitute grounds for excusable negligent. The Board obviously has the option of remanding the case for taking additional evidence on the question of excusable negligent or scheduling it for a full Board hearing. So those are the two choices that the Board has.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Thank you. So Madam

President I would move that the Board take Item 9a9 for a full Board hearing.

BOARD MEMBER COSTIGAN: Second.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: So I think we need to wait.

Maybe we need to take it out of the original motion, and then you can make that motion after we voted on the original motion.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Okay.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Is that okay. So I'll call back on you.

VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER: Withdraw that.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: I withdraw my motion.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Okay. Thank you. But you're

34

```
1
    asking to withdraw -- to remove 9a9 from the original
   motion made by Mr. Feckner.
 2
             BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Correct.
 3
                                                Thank you.
 4
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you. And we'll come
5
   back to you.
6
             Mr. Slaton
7
             BOARD MEMBER SLATON: Oh, the same thing.
8
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Okay. Okay. So any further
9
   discussion on the motion?
10
             Seeing none.
11
             All those in favor say aye?
12
             (Ayes.)
13
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
14
             Motion passes.
15
             PRESIDENT MATHUR:
                                Now, Mr. Gillihan.
16
             BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Thank you, Madam
17
    President. So I would move that Agenda Item 9a9 be
    scheduled for a full Board hearing
18
19
             BOARD MEMBER COSTIGAN: Second.
20
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Motion made by Mr. Gillihan,
   seconded by Costigan. Any discussion on the motion?
21
22
             Seeing -- yes, Mr. Gillihan.
23
             BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Oh, I was turning myself
2.4
   off.
25
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Oh, you were turning yourself
```

35

```
1
    off.
 2
             Okay. Any discussion on the motion?
 3
             Seeing none.
 4
             All those in favor say aye?
5
             (Ayes.)
 6
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
7
             Motion passes.
8
             PRESIDENT MATHUR:
                                 Mr. Feckner.
9
             VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER: Thank you.
10
             I move that the Board move to remand Agenda Item
11
    9al as argued by staff.
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: On motion by Mr. -- is there a
12
    second to the motion?
13
14
             BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR:
                                    Second.
15
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Motion made by Feckner,
16
    seconded by Taylor.
17
             Any discussion on the motion?
18
             Seeing none. All those in favor say aye?
19
             (Ayes.)
20
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
21
             Motion passes.
             I move to remand the Board -- I move that the
22
23
    Board votes to remand Agenda Item 9a 4 for the taking of
24
    additional evidence in light of the current language of
    subsection (a) of section 21252 of the PERL.
25
```

```
1
             BOARD MEMBER BROWN:
                                   Second.
 2
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Motion made by Feckner,
3
    seconded by Brown.
             Any discussion on the motion?
 4
5
             Seeing none.
 6
             All those in favor say aye?
7
             (Ayes.)
8
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
9
             Motion passes.
10
             That brings us to Agenda Item 9b, Mr. Feckner.
11
             VICE PRESIDENT FECKNER:
                                       Thank you, Madam Chair.
12
             I move that the Board deny the petition for
13
    reconsideration at Agenda Item 9b1.
14
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Motion made by Feckner.
15
             BOARD MEMBER JONES:
                                   Second.
16
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Seconded by Jones.
17
             Any discussion on the motion?
18
             Seeing none.
19
             All those in favor say aye?
20
             (Ayes.)
21
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: All opposed?
22
             Motion passes.
23
             9c, we had the full Board hearing yesterday, so
24
    we're not taking that up again today.
25
             The brings us to the information agenda items.
```

Number 10a is State and Federal Legislation Update.

Mr. Pacheco.

2.4

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Good morning, Madam President, and members of the Board. Brad Pacheco, Calpers team. I wanted to start by updating you on the leadership of our Legislative Affairs Division. Pleased to inform you that we have hired a new Chief to lead the Division. It's a name and a face that's familiar to Calpers. Danny Brown will be joining us as Chief of Legislative Affairs in mid-September.

Danny has been serving as the Deputy of
Legislative Affairs at CalHR under Mr. Gillihan. But
prior to that, he had a 17-year career here at CalPERS as
our former Chief, and also held positions within our
Actuarial Office.

He's very well versed in retirement law and our benefit programs and has good working relationships with our stakeholders. So we believe that he'll represent CalPERS well in the Capitol.

So I do want to thank Scot Blackledge and Gretchen Zeagler our Assistant Chiefs, and the entire Legislative Affairs team for all their work during this season, and the help during the transition.

As you know, the legislative session is winding down, and will conclude on the 31st of the month. There's

still several bills affecting CalPERS that are awaiting action, so I want to cover those.

The first let me talk about our sponsored bills.

Assembly Bill 2196 authored by Assembly Member Cooper,

that would end install payments into retirement for future service credit purchases. And that is currently on the Governor's desk awaiting his signature.

Senate Bill 1022 by Senator Pan, that shortens our contracting agency termination process. It's currently awaiting a final vote on the Assembly floor consent calendar, and then it would be heading to the Governor's desk.

And then finally, Assembly Bill 2415, authored by Assemblyman Calderon, that would provide this Board salary setting authority over Chief Health Director and Chief Operating Officer. That is awaiting action by the Senate Appropriations Committee tomorrow to move off the suspense file and head to the Senate floor.

There are other bills that we've been tracking that are on the fiscal committees suspense files and subject to action tomorrow. And I'll mention a few of those.

Assembly Bill 1597 authored by Assembly Member Nazarian. This would require CalPERS and CalSTRS to divest from any investment vehicle that is issued, owned,

or controlled, or managed by the Government of Turkey upon the passage of federal law imposing sanctions on the Government or failure to acknowledge the Armenian genocide. We've met with Assembly Member Nazarian on a number of occasions. He understands our opposition to this bill, but he also understands our willingness to engage companies to try to accomplish some of his goals.

And then we also have Assembly Bill 1912 by Assembly Member Rodriguez. This would require member agencies of a JPA to be responsible for retirement liabilities when it ceases operation or terminates its retirement contract.

Consistent with this Board's support, if amended position, the team has engaged the author and other stakeholders on a number of a -- excuse me, amendments to address our major concerns. And we expect the amendments to appear in print if the bill moves on to the Senate floor.

Then I want to mention Senate Bill 1413 by
Senator Nielsen. This would create the California
Employers' Pension Prefunding Trust Program, the 115 Trust
that we've talked to you about under the Board's
authority. It does contain general fund appropriations of
approximately \$700,000 to cover CalPERS start-up costs.
This has generated the Department of Finance to file an

opposition letter in response to this bill due to the costs.

2.4

So we are working with our stakeholders to ensure that everyone understands the value of this 115 Trust and how important it would be for our employers, if it was established.

And then lastly, I do want to mention one final bill, and that's Senate Bill 1124. This is authored by CalFire, and -- or sponsored, excuse me, by CalFire, and authored by Senator Leyva. We spoke to you about this bill at our July offsite. So among other things, it would require that a former employer of a retiree whose monthly benefit is reduced because we, through an audit or other means, found that a portion of their compensation was not PERSable. The employer would be responsible for any benefit overpayment the member would normally have to pay, and also be responsible for any future payments outside the system.

So when we spoke to you about this at the offsite, we had been working with the sponsor to ensure that CalPERS' role in this would only be to pay the reduced benefit going forward on only compensation that was PERSable by law.

Those amendments were made. We were carved out of that role, or that process, and we asked this Board not

to take a position at our July offsite. Shortly after amendments were made to this bill to make it retroactive back to January 1st 2013.

So just let me explain why this is problematic. If it was retroactive, that would mean that we would have to open up all cases within a six-year period that have already been determined, where a member has already paid us the overpayment, reverse those, provide the employer with the actuarial equivalent, ask the employer to pay that, and then we would pay the reduced pension going forward.

We based -- and Renee Ostrander who is sitting in our audience can correct me if I'm wrong. But we have about 300 of these cases per year. So that would be 300 times six years. We identified a cost of approximately \$4 million to do this, plus an annual ongoing cost of \$75,000 to provide the actuarial equivalent to the employer.

Since then, the sponsor has come back to us and made a compromise to look at a January 2017 retroactive date. So if this went into effect in 2019, it would be only two years, and to limit it to cases that were only active or under appeal.

There's still work to be involved to give you an understanding. If we have 300 cases a year, there are probably about 150 of those, or half of them, that get

appealed.

So that would be two years, roughly at 150. That's about 300 cases. I don't have a number or a dollar amount necessarily. We did work with the sponsor to provide some potential amendments on how the language would look to make it retroactive for only two years, and for only active or appealed cases. And that's actually a bill that's being heard in appropriations this morning.

So I wanted to provide the Board that update, so they understand that there could be a cost and a workload to the system, even if the amendments are accepted. Right now, it is currently still the retroactive date of a six-year period.

And then before I take questions, just want to mention on the federal front, as anticipated, the Public Employee Pension Transparency Act, HR 6290, has been formally introduced. This bill would require State and local government pension plans to report their unfunded liabilities to the U.S. Treasury Department using risk-free rates on U.S. treasuries.

The information would be available to the public via searchable website. And failure to comply with the disclosure requirements would result in plan sponsor's loss of the ability to issue federally tax-exempt bonds.

In response, a number of national stakeholder

groups, such as NASRA, NCPERS, NCTR strongly recommend
that pension systems weigh in with their congressional
delegations. We have done so. On July 24th, we sent a
letter of concern to members of the California delegation.
So we'll continue to monitor this along with our federal
lobbyist, as it makes its way.

With that, Madam President, I'll stop there and see if there's any questions.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you. We do have several. I just first want to just welcome Danny back to the fold. It's nice to have him coming back.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Mr. Juarez.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER JUAREZ: Yeah. Just a question about a bill that I think we're opposed to, but I want to confirm that and get the status report. It had to do with establishing a separate unit within the University of California relative to reviewing divestment proposals. And curious where that's at, and if, in fact, we do indeed have an oppose.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Yes, Mr.

Juarez. That was Senate Bill 783. I'm sorry I may have overlooked that by Senator Pan. And it creates the Divestment Review Program within the UC system. It appropriates \$2 million to -- from the general fund to

44

```
1
    support the program.
 2
             The Board did take an oppose position at the July
3
    offsite. And I believe that that's in appropriations
 4
    right now. And I think that is being heard either today
5
    or tomorrow.
6
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER JUAREZ: Okay.
                                                  Thank you for
7
    that. And can you comment, and if not I totally
8
    understand, what's driving Danny Brown back to -- could it
9
   have been his former employer that caused him to --
10
             (Laughter.)
11
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER JUAREZ: -- want to come back
   to the loving harms of CalPERS.
12
13
             (Laughter.)
14
             ACTING BOARD MEMBER JUAREZ: No comment is
15
   necessary.
16
             (Laughter.)
17
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: No comment on
18
    that.
19
             (Laughter.)
20
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Rhetorical question.
21
             Mr. Gillihan, on that note.
22
             (Laughter.)
23
             BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Could have timed that
24
             Thank you, Madam President.
   better.
             Well, with regard to Senator Leyva's bill, I
25
```

thought -- in your presentation, I thought I heard you say
that CalFire was the sponsor. You're not referring to -did I mishear that?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Oh, I'm sorry.

I apologize. California Professional Firefighters.

6 BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Okay. All right. Thank 7 you.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Yes.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you.

Ms. Greene-Ross.

2.4

ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS: Yeah. I had a question on the Calfire Bill on the retroactive. I had always understood that laws couldn't be enacted retroactively. Could you speak to that, because we talked about that on the JPA liability bill.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Yeah, I -- Matt.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Which bill are we talking about?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: This is Senator Leyva's bill that would -- if we found disallowed compensation through an audit, this bill would allow that going forward, but then retroactive five years under its current writing.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yeah, the question as to

whether it can be. It can be retroactive. The retroactivity limitation -- it's hard to speak in generalities here. But the retroactivity limitation generally pertains to taking something away from somebody after the fact or some kind of criminal sanction after the fact.

2.4

But there are laws that are applied retroactively all the time. I'm happy to discuss it more with you offline, but there's a whole body of law about retroactivity and where it can -- when it can be utilized and when it can't.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS: Just because of the cost, I can see correcting things when you find errors and going forward and correcting them. But the cost of applying things like that retroactively.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Well, I mean, that would suggest significant opposition from those who might be paying the cost. But as far as the legality of it, that's not an issue, if the folks vote to -- if the legislators vote to put it into place.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS: So in our JPA bill that we're working on, did we try to also impose liability in prior agreements for the JPAs?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes. Yes.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS: And did -- is

that still in that proposal?

2 GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes.

3 DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Yes, it is.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS: Back how many

years?

1

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Forever.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: For any

existing JPAs that are in the system.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER GREENE-ROSS: Okay. I wasn't

10 aware of that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you.

I see no further requests from the Board, but we de have one member of the public who wishes to speak on

14 | this item.

Mr. Darby, if you could please come up and take a seat, identify yourself and your affiliation for the record, and you'll have three minutes with which to speak.

MR. DARBY: Madam Chair, members of the Board, Al Darby, President, Retired Public Employees Association.

I didn't hear any mention of something called SB 1032 or AB 1032. I don't know if these are active bills at all, or if they will ever see the light of day. They came from Senator Moorlach apparently. If they are, in any way, shape, or form, going to see light of day, we would certainly oppose them, because they are bills

```
1
    designed to eliminate your TAP fund.
 2
             Thank you.
 3
             PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you very much.
 4
             Mr. Pacheco, did you want to address that --
    those bills -- or Senate Bill 1032.
5
6
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Yeah.
7
   believe those are both dead, but I'll double check that.
8
             PRESIDENT MATHUR:
                                Okay.
9
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO: Our team is
10
    over the Capitol, so I didn't have a friend to call.
11
             (Laughter.)
                                That's fine. No lifeline.
             PRESIDENT MATHUR:
12
13
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER PACHECO:
                                                 Right.
14
             (Laughter.)
15
             PRESIDENT MATHUR:
                                Okay. Thank you.
16
             Those are all the public comments that I have.
17
             So that brings us to Agenda Item 10b, summary of
18
   Board direction.
             CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yes.
                                                    I recorded
19
20
          And that is to bring back the amendments to the
    one.
   Harassment, Discrimination, Retaliation Policy back to
21
22
    Governance Committee in September. I think Mr. Jacobs was
23
   planning on bringing back amendments in September anyway,
2.4
    so we'll schedule a meeting of the Governance Committee
25
    instead.
```

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Terrific. I heard no other Board direction as well.

That brings us to Agenda Item number 10c, public comment. We have do two members of the public who wish to pique, Mr. Williams and Mr. Behrens. If you could please come up here and take a seat, you will have three minutes to speak. Please identify yourself and --

MR. WILLIAMS: Can we both come up?

PRESIDENT MATHUR: You can both come up. There are two seats over here Mr. Williams.

And please identify yourself and your affiliation for the record.

MR. WILLIAMS: Who's going first?

MR. BEHRENS: So, Madam Chair, members of the Board. I usually get up here to bark at you and/or staff. So today, I'm going to do something different. I recently got married, and so I was here yesterday with the help of David Teykaerts who set me up a schedule for changing my beneficiary. And I want to give you some feedback as a stakeholder on the quality of staff that work for Calpers.

From the gentleman who gave me directions, who was very courteous, to the two AGPAs Rosa and Xochitl.

And I apologize for probably mispronouncing the second one. I found the staff very helpful. They were very patient. They walked myself and my wife through the

process, including changing power of attorney to a CalPERS power of attorney in the afternoon yesterday.

And I don't think you all hear enough positive things about the CalPERS staff, because usually we're here to bark at you over some other every issue.

So I want to take this opportunity to thank those staff, thank CalPERS, and thank the Board.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Well, thank you very much for those comments, Mr. Behrens. And congratulations on your nuptials.

MR. BEHRENS: Thank you. I'm officially an old married man.

(Laughter.)

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Lovely. Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Madam President and members of the Board. I appreciate this opportunity to talk to you this morning. I was a firefighter contracting in a agency down in Southern California for 33 years. And I'm here today to ask this Board -- I know you can't do anything today, but at some point in time if you can help he get legally retired.

I've been drawing retirement from your -- from the CalPERS for a period of time since '02. And as a firefighter, I don't know if you guys are aware of it, but

firefighters and public safety employees get a little special benefit because of the nature of their hazardous duty and stuff. And it's not just workers' compensation, but they get a plan. They call it 4850, full pay for one year.

And with that comes a benefit of being able to draw that for either one year, or until the member is deemed to be permanent and stationary.

And the way it goes is if there's a dispute, it goes before the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board. And which there was a dispute on mine, and I was retired prior to being permanent and stationary, and getting -- and/or getting the one year. And this is a decision that came from the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board. So this is called 21164, in regards to that issue.

And so I do have a decision. I did follow all of the rules and the laws and everything was done. There's one problem, and I'm going to read this from the decision. "This court has no jurisdiction to alter or modify applicant's retirement date".

So they give the court the job of making the decision whether the person was retired prior to the end of benefits, but the court doesn't have the ability to step in and say you've got to fix it.

So CalPERS and the fire department that I worked

for, the public agency says we can just ignore it. We're not going to do anything. And that's exactly what's gone on. I have never been legally retired, because 21164 states that if a person was retired prior to the end of benefits, their retirement is not -- let me think of the word. It's not effective. In other words, not retired, even though I'm drawing the benefits you've been paying me for what 15 years or something like that.

I'm not retired. And I'd like for this Board to -- I've been trying to work through the staff. They keep acting like I've been legally retired.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: And I'm not getting any satisfaction or getting any restitution on the problem.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: So I would really like to have some help.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Well will -- Ms. Frost our CEO is sitting down right next to you and we'll have her and the team work with you on this.

MR. WILLIAMS: I would very much appreciate it, because I'd like to get this resolved. I mean, it's been going on a long time.

PRESIDENT MATHUR: Of course. A long time.

25 | Thank you.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Thank you. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Is that it? PRESIDENT MATHUR: Yes, that's it. Thank you very much, Mr. Williams. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: We have someone coming up to meet with Mr. Williams. PRESIDENT MATHUR: Terrific. Okay. Well, that brings us to the end of our agenda. Is there any other members of the public who wishes to speak at this time? Seeing none, we are adjourned. (Thereupon the California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration open session meeting adjourned at 10:02 a.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand 3 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: That I am a disinterested person herein; that the 4 5 foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System, 6 Board of Administration open session meeting was reported 7 in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand 8 Reporter of the State of California. 9 That the said proceedings was taken before me, in 10 shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under 11 my direction, by computer-assisted transcription. I further certify that I am not of counsel or 12 13 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any 14 way interested in the outcome of said meeting. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 16 this 19th day of August, 2018. 17 18 19 20 James & 21 22 23 JAMES F. PETERS, CSR 2.4 Certified Shorthand Reporter

25

License No. 10063