CalPERS Legal Office Monthly New Case Report August 15, 2018

NEW CASE REPORT

Name of Case (full name):	United States v. California Public Employees' Retirement System
Date Received By	June 27, 2018
Legal Office:	Julie 27, 2016
Attorney Contacts:	Christopher Phillips
Program Contact:	BNSD
Plaintiff:	United States
Defendant:	California Public Employees' Retirement System
Other Parties:	None

Issues/Status:

CalPERS received a civil Complaint to enforce a tax levy against CalPERS member Rachelle Robinson. The Complaint alleges the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) recorded nine federal tax liens against the member for assessments spanning from 1993 to 2003. In 2008, the IRS served a notice of levy on CalPERS. CalPERS acknowledged receipt of the notice and informed the IRS that because the member is "inactive," compliance with the levy is not possible until the benefits become payable. The Complaint seeks a judgment against CalPERS in the amount of the funds in member's account for which member could demand payment. The IRS liens against member total \$157,394.96.

Potential Monetary Impact:

Unknown at this time

NEW CASE REPORT

Name of Case (full name):	Craig Petree, Patrick Chavez, Michael Clepper, Scott Ferlisi, Henry Gaskins, Gilbert Gonzalez, Joseph Greco, Daniel Hedge, Steven Jahnsen, James McElvain, Waytt McElvain, Timothy Morin, Michael Mutka, Vincent Scarpino, Vance Velardez, Carol Wenker, and William Wilson vs. California Public Employees' Retirement System, County of Riverside, and Does 1 to 40, inclusive	
Date Described De		
Date Received By Legal Office:	July 2, 2018	
Legal Office.		
Attorney Contact:	Austa Wakily	
Program Contact:	EAMD	
Plaintiffs:	Craig Petree, Patrick Chavez, Michael Clepper, Scott Ferlisi, Henry Gaskins, Gilbert Gonzalez, Joseph Greco, Daniel Hedge, Steven Jahnsen, James McElvain, Wyatt, McElvain, Timothy Morin, Michael Mutka, Vincent Scarpino, Vance Velardez, Carol Wenker and William Wilson	
Defendants:	California Public Employees' Retirement System; County of Riverside	
Other Parties:	Related action involving Plaintiffs and the City of Perris	

Issues/Status: that office Conservations are series and the conservations are series as a series are series.	aintiffs are seeking retirement benefits at were allegedly promised to them by ficials from the City of Perris and bunty of Riverside. CalPERS has been erved, and anticipates filing a demurrer the Complaint.
--	---

Potential Monetary Impact: Unknown at this time

NEW CASE REPORT

Name of Case (full name):	Nancy Michaels v. State Personnel Board	
Date Received By	h.h. 2, 2040	
Legal Office:	July 3, 2018	
Attorney Contact:	Katie Mola	
Program Contact:	HRSD	
Petitioner:	Nancy Michaels	
Respondent:	State Personnel Board	
Other Party/Real Party in Interest:	California Public Employees' Retirement System	
Issues/Status:	After the State Personnel Board (SPB) made a determination that Petitioner's appointment with CalPERS was unlawful. Petitioner appealed the decision to SPB, which denied the appeal. Petitioner now contends that SPB's denial of her appeal was an abuse of discretion.	
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time	