NEW CASE REPORT

Name of Case (full name):	Mitsubishi Motor Corporation ISIN(s): JP3899800001	
Date Received By Legal Office:	May 2017	
Attorney Contact(s):	Outside Counsel, DRRT: Joseph Gulino, Alexander Reus, Jonathan Cohen	
Program Contact:	Marte Castanos, Warren Astleford	
Plaintiff(s):	California Public Employees' Retirement System plus eight additional pension funds. There are approximately 100 additional domestic and foreign institutions.	
Defendant(s):	Mitsubishi Motor Corp	
Other Parties:	NA	
Issues/Status:	This is a securities litigation case in Japan arising from losses in value of Mitsubishi stock following a series of public admissions that the company falsified fuel economy reports on its Japanese vehicles. A complaint against Mitsubishi was filed in Tokyo District Court on Monday June 26, 2017.	
Potential Monetary Impact:	The potential monetary impact for CALPERS is unknown at this time.	

Name of Case (full name):	Brad Heinz, et al. v. CalPERS, Board of Administration of CalPERS and Anthem Blue Cross Life & Health Insurance Company
Date Received By Legal Office:	6/14/17
Attorney Contact(s):	Christopher Phillips
Program Contact:	HPAD
Plaintiff(s):	BRAD HEINZ, an individual; and on behalf of a class of others similarly situated
Defendant(s):	California Public Employees' Retirement System; Board of Administration of California Public Employees' Retirement System; Anthem Blue Cross Life & Health Insurance Company, a California corporation; DOES 1-100
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	Bradley Heinz, as an individual and on behalf of a class of others similarly situated, sued California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), Board of Administration of CalPERS, and Anthem Blue Cross Life & Health Insurance Company (Anthem) for (1) Breach of Contract; (2) Breach of Fiduciary Duties; (3) Misrepresentation; (4) Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; (5) Unfair Business Practices; (6) Unjust Enrichment; (7) Equitable Relief; (8) Violation of Statutory Duties; (9) Other relief, Including Attorneys' fees. The issue involves a dispute over the appropriate reimbursement of charges by an out-of-network doctor under the health plan's Evidence of Coverage.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

Name of Case (full name):	Major O. Whitlock, Jr. v. CalPERS, et al.
	•
Date Received By Legal Office:	7/11/17
Attorney Contact(s):	Charles H. Glauberman
Program Contact:	LEGO
Plaintiff(s):	Major O. Whitlock, Jr.
Defendant(s):	Malika L. Whitlock, Kelly R. Maclyman, John J. Maclyman, The Law Offices of John J. Maclyman, & California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	Member filed a Complaint against CalPERS alleging Fraud Based Upon a Civil Conspiracy to Convert Disability Benefits and seeking Damages and Injunctive Relief. The case involves whether a community property order to split Plaintiff's Industrial Disability Retirement pension between the two former spouses is valid. Plaintiff alleges his signature was forged on the stipulation upon which the order was based.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.