

Caipers INCENTIVE PLAN | Fiscal Year 2017-18

Name: Ted Eliopoulos Position: Chief Investment Officer

INCENTIVE METRICS

Weight	Metric				
40%	Total Fund Performance (7/1/13 – 6/30/18)		Variance (bps) from Benchmark	Payout Ratio	
	The Total Fund Performance Metric is measured based on fund performance against the policy benchmark for the five-year period of July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2018.		+35	1.50	
			+30	1.41	
			+20	1.25	
			+5	1.00	
			0	.76	
			-15	.05	
			< -15	.00	
15%	Investment Office CEM		1		
	The 2017-18 annual participation in the	Score		Rating	
	CEM benchmarking (CEM) survey	Outperforms US Benchmark on Net Value Added		1.50	
	analyzes CalPERS' investment costs	(Returns) and Cost by 0.2% and 5 bps, respectively Outperforms US Benchmark on Returns and		1.00	
	1 3	Cost by .001% and 1 bps, respectively		1.00	
	and return performance over a five-year	Outperforms US Benchmark on		.50	
	period against a customized peer group.	Outperforms US Benchmark on Returns		.00	
		Underperforms US Benchmark on Returns and Cost		0.00	
15%	Stakeholder Engagement The Stakeholder Engagement Metric for 2017-18 is based on results		Score	Rating	
			75%	1.50	
	of the following three Stakeholder Engager	73% to < 75%	1.25		
	 Is CalPERS sensitive to the needs 	71% to < 73%	1.00		
		69% to < 71%	.75		
	Does CalPERS do a good job of ke	67% to < 69%	.50		
	informed?	< 67%	0.00		
	On a scale of one to ten, how would you rate CalPERS				
	being effective in engaging and communicating with				
	stakeholders?				
10%	Enterprise Operational Effectiveness				
. 370	The Enterprise Operational Effectiveness Metric for 2017-18 is defined as Overhead Operating Costs as a percentage of Total Operating Costs ("OOCP"). • Total Overhead Operating Costs ("OOC") identify all administrative costs not mapped directly to Product and Service Delivery Operating Costs ("PSDOC") • OOCP = OOC / (OOC + PSDOC)		Score	Rating	
			<-1.1%	1.50	
			-1.1% to -0.6%	1.25	
			06% to 0.0%	1.00	
			0.0% to 1.0%	.75	
			1.0% to 1.5%	.50	
			>1.5%	0.00	

KEY BUSINESS OBJECTIVES

		Score	Payout Ratio
		Far Exceeds High Expectations	1.50 (150%)
Weight	Key Business Objectives	Meets High Expectations	1.0 (100%)
		Does Not Meet High Expectations	0 (0%)
		Intermediate points are interp	oolated
10%	Deliverables: By June 30, 2018, in support of the CalPERS 2018-18 B to achieve risk-adjusted investment returns. Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit start Fund the System through an integrated view of pension Build effective investment risk and performance a within and across asset classes to ensure risks are compensated Enhance the Capital Allocation framework through greater understanding and ownership of trust-level Explore long-term planning considerations includi management, and office locations Develop enhanced governance and protocols to it assessing and engaging external managers and refinite increase net returns on assets Enhance cost effectiveness, resource allocation, and to increase net returns on assets Enhance the investment platform and internal conficiency Develop a framework for the integration of CalPE considerations into the investment decision-making deliverables and objectives targeted for the currer ESG Strategic Plan approved by the Board in Augumplement the objectives set forth for FY 2017-18 in the Roadmap. Leadership 2017-18 language in development. To be added upon receiptions.	Business Plan, improve CalP ustainability assets and liabilities: ttribution review and decision re fully understood, intended, in improved risk factor modeliel investment risk and perform ing private assets business in improve efficiencies and contingrating additional asset type and efficiency of the investment rols environment to achieve RS Investment Beliefs and Eng process, including comple int fiscal year in the Global Gogust 2016. EFY 2017-18 Investment Office	ERS' ability n processes and ng and nance nodel, talent rols in es in house ent program optimum SG risk ting the key overnance
1000/	Total Dian Waight		
100%	Total Plan Weight		