

### NEW CASE REPORT

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>Alison Court v Spotless Group Holdings (VID 561 of 2017)</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	Case filed May 25, 2017.
Attorney Contact(s):	Mathew Chuk, Senior Associate Slater and Gordon Lawyers (Melbourne, Australia)
Program Contact:	Warren Astleford Marte Castaños
Plaintiff(s):	Alison Court (as representative plaintiff) for a group of shareowners.
Defendant(s):	Spotless Group Holdings Limited
Other Parties:	N/A
Issues/Status:	Securities litigation against Spotless Group Holdings Limited (SPO) in the Federal Court of Australia on behalf of persons that acquired SPO securities between August 25, 2015 and December 1, 2015. The claim alleges that Spotless engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct in providing its FY16 Guidance on August 25, 2015, and that it was also in breach of its continuous disclosure obligations from this date.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

### NEW CASE REPORT

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>Jason Jackson v. CalPERS, et al.</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	May 30, 2017
Attorney Contact(s):	Marguerite Seabourn
Program Contact:	LEGO
Plaintiff(s):	Jason Jackson
Defendant(s):	California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS); Board of Administration of California Public Employees' Retirement System
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	<p>This Complaint is a purported class action alleging causes of action for breach of fiduciary duties, rescission/restitution and other relief, including attorneys' fees. The purported class of plaintiffs is comprised of police officers, deputy sheriffs, firefighters, correctional officers, and other safety employees who were injured on the job and are entitled to an industrial disability retirement. These members also purchased additional service credits. It is alleged that they will be deprived of the benefit of those purchases due to defendants' actions in, among other things, failing to advise them that their service credit purchases would have no value if they took industrial disability retirement before age 50. This case resembles closely the cases of <i>Marzec v. CalPERS</i> and <i>Andert v. CalPERS</i>, which are currently being litigated in Superior Court by the same plaintiffs' attorney, John Jensen. A responsive pleading will be filed by CalPERS shortly.</p>

Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.
----------------------------	-----------------------