



March 15, 2017

Item Name: Proposed Decision – In the Matter of Accepting the Application for Industrial Disability Retirement of WILLIAM E. FLORES, Respondent, and PAROLE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DIVISION, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, Respondent.

Program: Benefit Services Division

Item Type: Action

Parties' Positions

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision, as modified.

Respondent William E. Flores (Respondent Flores) argues that the Board of Administration should decline to adopt the Proposed Decision.

Strategic Plan

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

Procedural Summary

Respondent Flores submitted an application for Industrial Disability Retirement (IDR) based on an orthopedic (bilateral plantar fasciitis) condition. CalPERS rejected the application for IDR because Respondent Flores had previously been separated from his employment for reasons which were not the result of a disabling medical condition pursuant to *Haywood v. American River Fire Protection District* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 1292.

Respondent Flores appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on January 5, 2017. A Proposed Decision was issued on January 19, 2017, upholding CalPERS' rejection of Respondent Flores' application for IDR under the *Haywood* rationale.

Alternatives

- A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as modified, as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, pursuant to Government Code section 11517(c)(2)(C), which authorizes the Board to "make technical or other minor changes in the proposed decision, hereby modifies the Proposed Decision by inserting the word "it" instead of "is" between "that" and "causes" in subsection (t) in Paragraph 9 on Page 3, and hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated January 19, 2017, as modified, concerning the application of William E. Flores; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

- B. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated January 19, 2017, concerning the application of William E. Flores; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

- C. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated January 19, 2017, concerning the application of William E. Flores, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

- D. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated January 19, 2017, concerning the application of William E. Flores, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- E. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the application of William E. Flores, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the application of William E. Flores.

Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

Attachments

- Attachment A: Proposed Decision
- Attachment B: Staff's Argument
- Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

DONNA RAMEL LUM
Deputy Executive Officer
Customer Services and Support