

I appreciate your attention to this, but please lets move this along and get it concluded.

Thank you,

Paul Mast

On Dec 6, 2007, at 8:44 PM, Montgomery, Pamela wrote:

Dear Judge Mast,

As I explained in my previous e-mail, we have not been able to validate that your calculations are correct.

You may need to review the CCPI used in your calculations. Government Code section 68203, as in effect on January 6, 1975, provided that on September 1 of each year the (judges) salary is increased based on the CCPI from the previous year. That would be the annual CCPI for the previous calendar year, not the CCPI in September of the year of the adjustment. This may be where some of the discrepancy exists between our calculations and your calculations. In the meantime, I am attempting to obtain assistance from our actuarial staff to review both sets of calculations.

Sincerely,

Pamela Montgomery, Manager  
Judges' Retirement System

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Mast [mailto: ]  
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2007 3:42 PM  
To: Montgomery, Pamela  
Subject: Fwd: Paul Mast Pension Payments

DEAR PAMELA,

I SENT THIS EMAIL TO YOU WHEN YOU WERE ON VACATION. I HAVE NOT HEARD FROM YOU.

CAN WE PLEASE FINISH THIS?

THANK YOU, PAUL MAST

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paul Mast < >  
Date: November 9, 2007 1:20:21 PM EST  
To: [pamela\\_montgomery@calpers.ca.gov](mailto:pamela_montgomery@calpers.ca.gov)  
Subject: Paul Mast Pension Payments

Hi Pamela,

t has been over a year now since I sent you the accounting indicating how much my pension should be and how much the underpayment owed by the Pension Plan to me was. Since that time it has, of course, increased.

2

JRS-A 000814

