

Montgomery, Pamela

From: Paul Mast <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 07, 2008 2:16 PM
To: Montgomery, Pamela
Subject: Paul Mast - Retirement Adjustments

Dear Pamela,

You have not responded to my last two emails.

I have been patient for the four years since your office failed to make the required adjustments, and doubly patient in the one and a half years since I did a complete accounting and gave you a summary of what was owed and what the adjustments should have been.

I have finally run out of patience. Unless I receive the funds that are due for the past years, and the adjustment of the current pension payment amount by the beginning of June, I will take further action. I have not decided what action I will take, as I have several alternatives, none of which I wish to take. Anything I do will have unintended consequences and ramifications that I do not want to happen. This is why I do not want to do them, why I have been so patient, and why I am writing this letter now.

The amount due should and must be in accordance with the summary that I prepared a year and a half ago. The only place where those figures might be minimally wrong, is on the calculation date of the COLA increases. As I have told you before, the proper date is September of each year (which you have now acknowledged is correct), rather than January of each year, which is the date that your office has insisted on using for the past ten years, even though I originally pointed out this error to your office. Up until recently, your office continued to insist that the January date was the proper one to use, and I MAY have used this in my calculations, as the differential was not enough to be concerned with. It still is minimal, as when the calculations are averaged over a ten year period, there is little difference. If I used January, and I don't remember, you are welcome to recalculate with the September COLA.

Pamela, I implore you not to make me move ahead in a direction I do not want to move.

Thank you, Paul Mast

