12	
	219
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO
3	CENTRAL DIVISION
4	Before the Honorable W. Kent Hamlin, Judge
5	Department 73
6	-000-
7	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE)
8	OF CALIFORNIA,) Case No. F13902430
9	Plaintiff,) vs.)
10) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT SHELDON SCARBER, GAIL SCARBER)
11	AND CRYSTAL REYNOSO,) Defendants.)
12	March 10, 2016 Fresno, California March 11, 2016
13	Fresno, California March 11, 2016
14	-000-
15	PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
16	VOLUME 2 -00-
17	-500-
18	
19	
20	HELD TO ANSWER: March 11, 2016
21	HEDD TO MICHELL THE COLUMN AND EVAN
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	NOELLE S. ACOSTA, CSR
25	Certificate No. 10888
26	
	Ţ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,



	220
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO
3	CENTRAL DIVISION
4	Before the Honorable W. Kent Hamlin, Judge
5	Department 73
6	-000-
7 - 8	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE) OF CALIFORNIA,) Case No. F13902430
9	Plaintiff,)
10	vs.) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
11	SHELDON SCARBER, GAIL SCARBER,) AND CRYSTAL REYNOSO,)
12	Defendants.)
13	Fresno, California March 10, 2016 March 11, 2016
14	-000-
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE PEOPLE: KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
17	BY: HEATHER GIMLE, MICHAEL CANZONERI, AND DAVID LOWE
18	Deputies Attorney General
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT JOHN SARSFIELD SHELDON SCARBER: Attorney at Law
20	SHEEDON BOANDEN. Accorney at haw
21	FOR THE DEFENDANT ROGER NUTTALL GAIL SCARBER: Attorney at Law
22	FOR THE DEFENDANT ROBERT LAMANUZZI
23	CRYSTAL REYNOSO: Attorney at Law
24	
25 26	REPORTED BY: NOELLE S. ACOSTA, CSR Certificate No. 10888

		221
1	MASTER INDEX - VOLUME 2 - (Pages 219 - 501) SESSIONS	
2		PAGE
3	MARCH 10, 2016	225
4	MORNING SESSION MARCH 10, 2016	225 298
5	AFTERNOON SESSION MARCH 11, 2016	298 379
6	MORNING SESSION MARCH 11, 2016	379 452
7	AFTERNOON SESSION	452
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	W The state of the	
14	s s	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		(*)
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

		222
1	MASTER INDEX - VOLUME 2 - (Pages 219 - 501)	
2	WITNESSES IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER	
3	FOR THE PEOPLE:	PAGE
4	CHRIS SAHAGUN	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIMLE	226
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD	254 298
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTALL	308 313
8	FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD	319
9	JOHN SIMMONS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CANZONERI	262
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTALL	
11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. CANZONERI	284
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. CANZONERI	293
13	DANIELLE ISAAC DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CANZONERI	321
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIMLE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD	443 447
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTALL	448 449
16	RANDOLPH GARCIA	-
17	RANDOLPH GARCIA DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CANZONERI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD	327 339
18	JIM COOK	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWE DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. LOWE	341 401
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI	423 425
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTALL FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION BY FURTHER	436 442
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWE	443
23	,	
24		
25		
26		4,
ĺ		

	9.	223
1	MASTER INDEX - VOLUME 2 - (Pages 219 - 501) WITNESSES IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER	
2	DESTIN WATKINS	
3	DESTIN WATKINS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR.SARSFIELD	380 394
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTALL	394 396
5	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI	400
6	SERGIO TOSCANO DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIMLE	453
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTALL	456 459
8	ROBERT MCEWN	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIMLE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD	461 473
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAMANUZZI REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIMLE	474 475
11	JESSE GLORIA	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIMLE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SARSFIELD	477 478
13	0.1000 2.112.1.11.120 .1 22 1.11.1 2.11.12	
14	-	
15		
16	e e	
17		
18		
19		
20	·	
21		
22		
23		Dr.
24	=	
25	e) ***	
26		

		**************************************			224
1,	EXI	E 2 - (Pages HIBITS	219 -	501)	
2	NO. DESCRIPTION		ID.	EVD.	RFSD.
3	PEOPLE'S 10 DOCUMENT			479 479	c
4 5	PEOPLE'S 12 DOCUMENT			480 480	
5 6	PEOPLE'S 14 DOCUMENT		3	480 480 480	
7	PEOPLE'S 16 DOCUMENT			480 480 452	84
8	PEOPLE'S 24 DOCUMENT			393 338	
9	PEOPLE'S 33 PHOTO			338 338	
10	PEOPLE'S 35 PHOTO			338 338	
11	PEOPLE'S 37 PHOTO			338 325	
12	PEOPLE'S 40 DOCUMENT	OT IT		453 421	
13	PEOPLE'S 42 POWER POINT	001		422 455	
13	PEOPLE'S 43A DOCUMENT			455 456	
15	PEOPLE'S 43C DOCUMENT			456 456	
16	PEOPLE'S 43E DOCUMENT			456 456	
17	PEOPLE'S 7 BORDER PROTECTION	DOCUMENTS		283 294	
	PEOPLE'S 9 DOCUMENT	•		479	
18	/				
19 20					2
21					
22					
23					
24	į.				
25					
26					
20					

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So I'm gonna let you go ahead and tell me if there is something I've missed as far as -- I mean, you don't know what I've heard, and what I haven't, what my conclusions are, but, um, other than to say that I'm aware that we have a great deal of evidence that puts these people together in an effort to transport him across the border into Mexico, and return, and pretend as though that never happened. Other than that, if there is something more that I've missed, um, feel free to point it out and explain to me why I should hold each of these defendants to answer for these charges.

MS. GIMLE: We'd be prepared to submit it, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, here's the thing. Um, there is no -- well, I'll just take some of your arguments and -- in piece, because they -- like I say, I kinda wonder if you even heard the same evidence I heard. There's no assumption that Spencer Scarber fled and his father was in on it. There is significant circumstantial evidence that proves it. And this argument that it wouldn't be meaningful or sensible or logical for him to report this false kidnapping because it would cut off three hours of travel time assumes one thing, and that's that Spencer isn't already across the border, which all the evidence tells us he was. He was across the border at 3:24 in the morning. That was the whole point. mean, Mr. Scarber's statements to law enforcement are false, and they are made to law enforcement to establish that he's in his home at such an hour that he couldn't possibly be

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

across that border. They're designed to establish that he saw him in the home as late as 1:30, and that's simply not true. It doesn't make -- it doesn't fit with the circumstances. Okay. First of all, he did report a disappearance, and he suggested it was likely a kidnap. And you'll recall this goes back even to a supposedly threatening note that was left the day before, that is the explanation for why Gail Scarber and Crystal Reynoso and other family members had to leave town, cause they were so concerned for their safety. Well, I -- I -- admittedly, it's complicated stuff, but I sat here and watched this presentation about the phone records, and I don't see how anybody can look at that and not conclude that Kyle Scarber brought Spencer Scarber to a location in Kingsburg, and Selma, that vicinity -apparently it looks like Kingsburg -- he handed him off to Gail Scarber and Crystal Reynoso, who drove that red Toyota or white Toyota Avalon across the border, then walks back, leaving him and the car across the border, and then met with Stephanie Walker, who then transported them back so that they could somehow be back with some kind of a story that they had nothing to do with his disappearance. Well, it's so apparent, based on their meeting and all the phone calls, that they were all involved in that process. They were all involved in this effort of escorting him across the border and buying him time to not only get across the border, but get some distance from the border before anybody suspected

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

that that's in fact where he was. So he's already hours across the border by the time anybody starts to wonder, hmmm, this doesn't really look like a kidnapping, I wonder what happened, and by then he's long since gone. That vehicle drove into Mexico. I don't have to find every single overt act here proven. I need to find there is a conspiracy to -at least one act was committed in the State of California and each of these defendants is connected to it, and that's well established by the evidence. We've even got a note with Brandon Smith's name in Mr. Scarber's truck. We've got the Brandon Smith name in the room that Spencer was in sometime previous. We've got Brandon Smith on all these documents. They are clearly forgeries, and there's only really -- the evidence supports the conclusion only one person in this room forged them, and that's Gail Scarber. So I mean, she hands them off to Mr. Kaiser, and I have no idea why, but fortunately rather than destroy them as he was instructed, he gave them to law enforcement. Coming from her, in that setting, those items with this Brandon Smith connection, this false ID he's carrying that reflects he's an individual born registered or licensed in Texas, is all very strong evidence that all three were involved in the process of aiding him to escape the jurisdiction of the court; that for whatever reason -- frankly their timing wasn't good. They probably should have let him testify first, and then escorted him out before the verdict, so that at least he'd have his testimony

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

before the jury on the off chance they might buy it. it's apparent that they didn't like the way this case was going. They've told everybody it was all -- you know, people were lying, that some friend or some guy extorted a confession from him, that he wasn't being treated fairly, he was being falsely convicted. They all believe that in their hearts, and that's why they went to these steps to help them escape the jurisdiction of the court, but it's apparent that was their intent, and that they were all joined in that It's apparent that they all engaged in that conspiracy to help him not appear in court on that charge. So that gets you to Count 1 and that gets you to Count 2. As far as Count 3 goes, again, you have a willful failure to appear in court, and that's 1320.5. And again, there's clear evidence they have not only attempted to help him avoid prosecution for the rape case, but helped him fail to appear in court. And they were all part of that effort to get him out of the jurisdiction of the court so he could do so. False counterfeit certificates of birth, forgery of the Orange County recorder, those are clearly established by the evidence. And I think it's a fair inference that when Mr. Scarber tells this story, which is a story which he knows to be false, based on all these conversations that he's had on the phone with his daughters as they are escorting his son across the border, it is -- it is quite apparent that he knew he wasn't kidnapped, that he knew he hadn't disappeared.

when he gives the officers that indication, talks about seeing a light down on the road there, and then takes them to this staged crime scene, he is impliedly saying he was kidnapped. He doesn't have to say it in so many words. The officers can draw that conclusion. He said he was concerned, or thought he might have been, about this guy, this bad guy who supposedly extorted a confession from him was somehow involved in his disappearance. Calling the police when he knows, in fact, his son was just across the border hours earlier into Mexico, and his claiming he disappeared is a false report to law enforcement. So there's sufficient evidence for the People to allege the misdemeanor in Count 6 as well.

Each defendant is held to answer for each of the felony charges in Counts 1, 2, and 3. Gail Scarber is held to answer for the felony charges in Counts 4 and 5. And there is sufficient evidence for the People to allege the misdemeanor against Sheldon Kyle Scarber in Count 6 based on the evidence that I heard. Again, I don't need to relate every single piece of evidence that supports that conclusion. All I can say is it's my job to assess the credibility of the witnesses. The witnesses, for the most part, I found to be highly credible. The statements attributed by those witnesses to others I believe in fact were the statements those others made, but those statements, almost without exception, were lies, and part of the attempt to cover up

 this effort. And they are so patently lies and they are made by all of them, or others in conjunction with them, that it supports the conclusion that in fact each was involved in this concerted effort to help him escape the jurisdiction of the court, to help him fail to appear at a proceeding where he was ordered to appear, and to basically assist him in his efforts to flee into Mexico, provide him with a false identification, in the hopes that somehow he could remain there or not be detected there, or not be brought back to justice. That's what the evidence supports, and it supports it rather strongly.

Now, are all these things gonna be proven beyond a reasonable doubt at trial? I don't know. Is this more than sufficient evidence for the Court to hold them to answer as to all of these charges? Absolutely. And they are held accordingly. I guess they are on some status or another, either on bond or O.R. I'm not being asked today to modify that status. I see Kyle Sheldon Scarber posted a bond February 17th, 2013, Gail Scarber posted a bond February 17th, 2013, and Crystal Reynoso posted a bond February 17th, 2013. They are not identical to the schedule announced for the charges, but I think they are sufficient for the Court to have satisfaction based on their having made all previous appearances that they will make future appearances, and the Court allows them each to remain on bond pending further proceedings.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

498

Statutory date for the arraignment proceeding on the information would be the 28th of March, a Monday. I can set it at a later date with a time waiver.

MR. SARSFIELD: Can we do April -- or Friday, April 1st? That would be our request.

THE COURT: Either Counsel want to confirm their availability on that date?

MR. LAMANUZZI: I am not available, but if someone --

MR. SARSFIELD: I can appear for you.

MR. LAMANUZZI: -- could stand in for me.

MR. SARSFIELD: I'll appear for you.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nuttall, is that satisfactory for you and your client?

MR. NUTTALL: Let me check something.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NUTTALL: April 1st. Yes.

THE COURT: Good point. We are asked to try to combine calendars and keep them light that day. The 31st is a court holiday, and a number of judges and staff have decided to make a four-day weekend out of it, and take the April 1st off, so perhaps we can come up with another date.

MR. SARSFIELD: Can't do the 8th. How about Monday the 4th of April?

THE COURT: April 4 work for the People?

MS. GIMLE: I think that's fine, Your Honor.

MR. LOWE: Somebody will make it.

26