### MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

PERFORMANCE, COMPENSATION &

TALENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

ROBERT F. CARLSON AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2017 2:33 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

### APPEARANCES

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mr. Michael Bilbrey, Chairperson

Mr. Richard Costigan, Vice Chairperson

Mr. John Chiang, represented by Mr. Eric Lawyer

Mr. Richard Gillihan, represented by Ms. Katie Hagen

Ms. Dana Hollinger

Mr. Ron Lind

Ms. Theresa Taylor

### BOARD MEMBERS:

Mr. Henry Jones, Vice President

Ms. Priya Mathur

Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Ms. Lynn Paquin

### STAFF:

Ms. Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Ted Eliopoulos, Chief Investment Officer

Mr. Doug Hoffner, Deputy Executive Officer

Mr. Matthew Jacobs, General Counsel

Ms. Tina Campbell, Chief, Human Resources Division

Ms. Carol Takehara, Committee Secretary

# APPEARANCES CONTINUED ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Neal Johnson, Service Employees International Union Local 1000 Mr. Andrew Junkin, Wilshire Consulting

|                          | I N D E X  | 53.65 |
|--------------------------|--|-------|
|                          |  | PAGE  |
| 1.                       | Call to Order and Roll Call  | 1     |
| 2.                       | Election of the Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee Chair and Vice Chair   | 2     |
| 3.                       | Executive Report   | 8     |
| 4.                       | Consent Items Action Consent Items: a. Approval of the November 15, 2016 Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee Meeting Minutes                         | 9     |
| 5.                       | Consent Items Information Consent Items: a. Annual Calendar Review b. Draft Agenda for the June 20, 2017 Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee Meeting | 9     |
| Action Agenda Items      |  |       |
| 6.                       | Semiannual Status Reports on Performance Plans<br>of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief<br>Investment Officer   | 9     |
| 7.                       | Biennial Salary Survey   | 11    |
| 8.                       | Review of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee Delegation   | 24    |
| 9.                       | 2016-17 Enterprise Operational Effectiveness<br>Metric   | 26    |
| Information Agenda Items |  |       |
| 10.                      | 2016-17 Investment Management Plan Design Review   | 4     |
| 11.                      | Summary of Committee Direction   | 33    |
| 12.                      | Public Comment   | 34    |
| Adjournment              |  | 35    |
| Reporter's Certificate   |  | 36    |

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Call this meeting of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee to order.

So we're going to begin in open session -- change things a little bit. So we'll start with the roll call, pleaser.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: Michael Bilbrey?

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Here.

10 COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: Eric Lawyer for

11 John Chiang?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

19

12 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER LAWYER: Here.

13 COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: Richard Costigan?

COMMITTEE MEMBER COSTIGAN: Here.

15 COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: Katie Hagen for

16 Richard Gillihan?

17 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: Dana Hollinger?

COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER: Here.

20 COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: Ron Lind?

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Here.

22 COMMITTEE SECRETARY TAKEHARA: And Theresa

23 Taylor?

24 | COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Here.

25 CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right. So we will now

2.

```
move into the election of Chair and Vice Chair. Since I
have no Vice Chair on the Committee, I will be calling on
Mr. Lind to run the Chair election.
COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: All right. I will
```

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: All right. I will entertain nominations for Chair of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Hold on.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: I would call on Richard Costigan.

COMMITTEE MEMBER COSTIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Lind.

I would be honored to nominate Michael Bilbrey as Chair of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee. I think Michael has done an extraordinary job running this Committee the last couple years with some very difficult issues. And I think his leader -- continued leadership is needed on this Committee.

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Okay. Michael Bilbrey

19 has been nominated.

Any other nominations?

Any other nominations?

Any other nominations?

The nominations are closed.

I'll entertain a motion to elect Michael Bilbrey by acclamation.

3

```
1
             COMMITTEE MEMBER COSTIGAN: So moved.
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER LAWYER:
 2
                                               Second.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: All in favor say aye?
 3
 4
             (Ayes.)
 5
             COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Any opposed?
 6
             Motion carries. Congratulations.
7
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY:
                                   Thank you.
8
             (Applause.)
9
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: At this time we'll open up
10
   nominations for Vice Chair.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER COSTIGAN: Call on Dana.
11
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Ms. Hollinger
12
13
             COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER: Well, I am pleased
14
    and proud to nominate Richard Costigan as Vice Chair.
15
   has tremendous acumen in this area, next to Katie Hagen,
16
    so it's -- and would -- really has been a -- would be a
17
   valuable asset to the Committee as Vice Chair.
18
   proud to nominate Richard.
19
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you.
20
             Richard Costigan has been nominated as Vice
21
   Chair.
22
             Are there any other nominations?
23
             Any other nominations?
2.4
             Any other nominations?
25
             Seeing none
```

Δ

```
1
             I'll entertain a motion to elect Richard
 2
    Costigan, Vice Chair, Performance, Compensation and Talent
3
    Management by acclamation.
 4
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: So moved.
5
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: So moved by Hagen.
 6
             COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER:
                                           Second.
7
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Second by Hollinger.
8
             All those in favor say aye?
9
             (Ayes.)
10
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY:
                                   Opposed?
11
             Motion carries. Congratulations, Mr. Vice Chair.
12
             (Applause.)
13
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER:
                                                 Yes.
14
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: I know my microphone isn't
15
    working yet. We'll take things out of order and go to
16
    Item 10.
17
             Success. All right. So we're going to go right
18
    to Item 10. We're a little out of order, but we're
19
   working to accommodate a Valentine request.
20
             So 2016-17 Investment Management Plan Design
21
   Review, and we call on Ms. Campbell.
             HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL:
22
                                                        Thank
23
   you, Mr. Chair and congratulations. Tina Campbell,
2.4
    CalPERS member.
25
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY:
                                   Thank you.
```

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: Agenda Item 10 is an information item. The purpose of this agenda item is to provide the Committee with an overview of the how the 2016-17 plans for investment management positions were developed in alignment with the Board's adoption of plan design changes in August 2016.

This includes Associate Manager classification up to the Chief Operating Investment Officer classification.

As a result of the Incentive Compensation Program and policy review conducted by the Board's executive compensation consultant, Grant Thornton, one of the approved recommendations revised the total fund incentive plan measure considerably.

Changes included a revised incentive curve, which utilizes the Board's approved policy benchmark for performance measurement, and a 5-year performance measurement period. This measure was approved for use in incentive plans at the CEO and CIO and has been included in the investment management plans as swell.

In subsequent efforts to align the asset class performance measures with the Board's direction, similar revisions occurred. Mathematically, revised incentive curves were developed by Wilshire, the Board's primary investment consultant, a 5-year measurement period has been adopted, and asset class performance will be measured

against the respective policy benchmarks.

Andrew Junkin with Wilshire is available to answer any questions regarding the attachment, if you have any.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. This concludes my report.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you. Mr. Junkin, do
you have anything you want to add before we go onto
questions?

MR. JUNKIN: I think that Tina accurately described the process that we went through.

And just for my own part, thank you for moving this up.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: You bet.

MR. JUNKIN: It makes a big difference to me.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Mr. Lind.

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Just a quick question. I know we -- at the beginning, we got into this whole attempted change in our process around this incentive plan. It was to -- I thought one of the goals was to simplify it. I'm not sure we reached that goal here. It doesn't seem simpler to me, and -- but having said that, my question is on the new plan, is it just the way the curve works, the fact that there -- there is this 0.05 of a point incentive for someone being below the benchmark by 15 basis points?

MR. JUNKIN: I assume you're looking at the total fund measure.

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Just as an example.

MR. JUNKIN: That happens to be the case. Yeah, so the total fund measure was developed exclusively with Grant Thornton. We opined on it. PCA opined on it. There is a little kink just right at the bottom. It doesn't zero out precisely at 15 basis points below. It does at 16. And so we've mimicked that with all of the others.

But really fundamentally the shape of the curve is the same that it was, the slope, from 0 to the 1.0 payout mark. And then from 1.0 to 1.5, it's flatter, and so it's harder to achieve a maximum bonus payout.

And so from my perspective, the -- that means if you're in the Investment Office when you get up to 1.0 and you're trying to get higher, you have to be really careful about how you use risk, because the downside is actually more significant in terms of your bonus than the upside.

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Any other questions?

Seeing none.

Have a safe trip?

MR. JUNKIN: Thank you again.

Happy Valentine's Day to everyone.

1 CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Same to you.

With that, we will now move back into closed session and go through the regular plan of agenda.

(Off record: 2:41 p.m.)

(Thereupon the meeting recessed

into closed session.)

(Thereupon the meeting reconvened

open session.)

(On record: 3:58 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right. So we will reconvene the open session once again, and continue with the agenda -- and give me a minute here -- with the Executive Report.

Mr. Hoffner.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Vice Chair, and the members of the Committee. We have a few items for you today, and we've taken things out of order. So I'll make sure I don't misstep here.

The first item up today will be the approval of both the CEO and CIO semiannual status reports, which you've just talked about. We're also going to seek Committee direction related to a biennial salary survey. And then finally, we have one other additional action item related to seeking approval for implementation of a

methodology change related to the performance measures around operational enterprise effectiveness.

This is part of the -- the metrics that were approved by the Committee and full Board in August of 2016. And there's a couple implementation tweaks we want to bring before you today for approval. And that concludes my report today.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Very good. Seeing no one wishing to ask any questions, we on move to 4a, action consent items. Do I have a motion?

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: I'll make the motion.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER LAWYER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Motion by Taylor, seconded by Lawyer to approve the minutes.

Any discussion on the motion?

Seeing none.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

19 CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Opposed?

Motion carries.

Item 5, information consent items. I've had no requests to withdraw any.

So we will move then on to Item 6, Semiannual Status Reports on Performance Plans of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer.

Ms. Campbell.

2.4

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: Tina

Campbell, Calpers team member. Agenda Item 6 is an action

item. As discussed earlier in closed session, the

Executive Compensation Policy provides the executives will

prepare a status report on their respective performance

plans for the Performance, Compensation and Talent

Management Committee on a semiannual basis.

These reports provide a means of informing the Committee of progress forward achieving the quantitative and qualitative measures in the performance plans.

Presented in this item are the semiannual status reports for the CEO and CIO covering the time period of July 1st to December 31st, 2016. The status report of the CIO includes proposed minor edits for Committee approval, which would re-weight the measures to better align with the responsibilities of the CIO as a leader of the organization, and incorporate the leadership priorities that are included in all other Deputy incentive plans.

As they were for the item in closed session, Ms. Frost and Mr. Eliopoulos are available should you have any questions you'd like to ask them.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. This concludes my report. CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you.

Mr. Costigan.

11

```
1
             VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 2
             I move that this Committee adopt the action taken
3
    in closed session, in which the Committee accepted and
 4
    discussed the first semiannual status updates of the CEO
5
    and CIO, and accept the changes to the CIO's plan as
6
    amended by the Committee.
7
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER:
8
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Second by Hagen.
9
             Oh, I'm sorry. Hollinger.
10
             (Laughter.)
11
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Any discussion on the
   motion?
12
13
             Seeing none -- we don't need to put that comment
14
   down.
15
             (Laughter.)
16
             Seeing none.
17
             All those in favor say aye?
18
             (Ayes.)
19
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Opposed?
20
             Motion carries. Thank you.
21
             Next item, the Biennial Salary Survey.
22
             Ms. Campbell.
23
             HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL:
24
    Item 7 is also an action item. The purpose of this agenda
    item is to gain Committee direction regarding the
25
```

conducted of a comprehensive salary survey for positions covered under Government Code section 20098.

In accordance with policy, a salary survey should be conducted every 2 years or as the Board deems appropriate to determine if existing salary ranges are competitive with the current market environment.

The last salary survey results were presented to the Committee in August of 2015 by McLagan. The survey included base pay policy provisions, incentive award schedules, and salary data. Minor adjustments to selected salary ranges were made at that time for classifications which had incumbents compensated in the 4th quartile. Attachment 1 arrays the current positioning of incumbent salaries.

Should the Committee direct staff to conduct a salary survey, staff, with input from the Committee Chair, would determine the parameters of the survey and the findings, along with any other recommendations would be presented at a future meeting.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. This concludes my report.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: So to understand correctly, the Committee can either approve for a salary survey to be done, give direction of no salary survey to be done, if they choose to, or to ask for information to be brought back, is that the third part I heard?

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: So -so the Board can ask for us to do a survey. You could
also ask, based on the 2015 survey and any other
information we have that we could bring back that would
suggest that we do something differently with the
salaries, or you can ask us to not do one at this time,
because it's at your discretion at what time you want to
do it, but we revisit it every 2 years.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you for the clarification.

Mr. Lind.

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: I think we've refined this thing in what the survey looks like pretty well over the last several kind of time periods. I make a motion we do the survey without change.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Is there a second?

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: It's been moved by Mr. Lind and seconded by Mr. Costigan to do a salary survey.

And we'll continue on -- with the motion.

Discussion?

Mr. Costigan.

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: So now that I've seconded it, but I have a question about expanding it. So I know this only relates to those employees that are

covered by 20098. At some point, and I've raised this before, is we need to look, as was shown with our recent changes with Covered California, there is a discrepancy in other positions inside the organization that would be senior as well, that are not necessarily captured by this statute.

And Covered California has exposed that this is a significant problem, not only at CalPERS, but the Department of Health Care Services and Health and Human Services as well. How do we either expand the salary survey or you -- or do you only do it, because it's related to the Government Code section?

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: You could ask to include other positions that you feel are -- that should be included under 20098. We could ask that of McLagan to include those positions.

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Because one of the things, Mr. Hoffner, I know we've spoken - and I see you're about ready to speak is - it's not just the health care. It's also the CIO on the information technology side. I mean, there's a little bit of not only private sector, but now I'm not sure how we're competing with other State agencies, when you look at FI\$Cal, or you look at -- do it, some of these other folks, their salaries, we don't have a good comparison for our organization.

And maybe it would be appropriate if you say that's not -- it should be the jurisdiction of this Committee. But I do think, Mr. Chair, at some point, we need to expand it, not just to the Government Code employees, I think this Committee needs a better understanding of what we're competing.

And Covered California truly exposed one of the flaws within inside civil service right now. So I support Mr. Lind's motion. Although, I would be interested, Mr. Chair, from hearing other members of the Committee, if we want to potentially broaden it.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Did you want to, Mr. Hoffner?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: I was just going to go to the point, I mean, the survey and the purpose of doing it was really historically around the -- those positions you have the ability to change the compensation, either performance or base salary, for. The study that we're seeking, and it sounds like the Committee may approve, is really data collection.

And then the question would be, is there other things you want data to be collected on, and if so, you know, let us know? We're working with the Chair and Vice Chair on that.

But the second step is what do you do with it

once you collect the data and once you have it available? We've also looked at some other organizations like NASRA. There's some surveys they've done recently that we've been able to compile some additional information that may cover some other positions, not necessarily those all within the 20098 of the Government Code. We'd be happy to share that at the appropriate time with you as well.

So I just wanted to bring that forward. We don't ultimately control those other levers, which we've talked about the last few years, in terms of those other positions, but, you know, the data will be the data. It should be collected per your request.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Ms. Mathur.

BOARD MEMBER MATHUR: Thank you.

Well, Mr. Costigan has stated my concern very well. I think there are some positions which are very hard to recruit for at the current salary levels, and it would be helpful to benchmark those positions against the market, so that we can decide how to proceed around those key positions.

And so I guess I would -- I would urge the Committee -- I don't sit on the Committee any more, but I -- so I'd make a substitute motion, if I did. But I would urge the Committee to consider expanding the list, and maybe turning it back over to the team to -- to

identify which positions it might be worth benchmarking against the market.

So clearly, some in health might be appropriate, but there -- I'm sure there are others in the organization that I -- that don't readily come to my mind that should be included, so -- otherwise, I -- I, otherwise, support the motion. I do think benchmarking is important, and doing it regularly is important, particularly given that some of our -- some of our individuals are at the top of their range in the fourth quartile.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you. Ms. Hagen.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: I believe that the 2015 McLagan data that was collected included some of those comparable classifications. I remember some discussion around some of the other Deputy level positions. So I would ask the staff, if my memory serves me correctly, that there -- that the existing data that we still have access to contains comps for, I believe it was, the executive team level.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: I remember a discussion, but I didn't remember --

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: We're checking real quick. We have a copy of it.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: We're trying

to confirm that with the slide deck right here.

2.4

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: And while we're checking that, I just -- I believe that the data collected back in 2015 would still be informative. I'm not sure that the -- the Board needs to direct another salary survey at this point in time. I just wanted to add that feedback to the discussion.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: So we just checked. It was just the covered position that are in Government Code 20098. So I think we did discuss it, but it wasn't the direction of the Committee at that point in time.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Okay. And the -HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: That's
the Associate Investment Managers and above.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Yeah. And I would just add to what Mr. Hoffner said. You know, the salary survey is outlined in our Executive Compensation Policy for this Committee, and is restrictive of the 20098 classification. So if you did it, it would just be informational only. There really would be no practical use to the data.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Ms. Taylor.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: So since we have run

into a situation where we are having some difficulty with recruiting for positions at the top level, I would think the first step would be the data gathering for the positions.

So I'm going to make the substitute motion that we include in this salary survey for our Chief of Health Benefits - is that the correct term? - and our Chief of IT. I think most State agencies have a chief of IT, so that might not be too difficult to recruit for, but definitely Chief of Health Benefits would be difficult.

So I think in a first step towards getting that position put in the 20098 would be to gather the data. So again, I make a substitute motion to include the Health Benefits and IT executives.

COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER: I'll second that.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right. So we now -- to be clear on your motion, you want to expand the salary survey, substitute motion, to include the health benefits, what is the title?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: It's the position that Doug McKeever previously held I think is what we're referring to.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Right. I don't remember what the exact title was, but that --

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: It's been

20

```
1
    advertised as the Chief Health Officer.
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Right --
 2
 3
             COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Chief Health Officer.
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: -- as well as --
 4
5
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Director.
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: -- the Direct -- Director
6
7
    of IT or the executive --
8
             COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Chief Information
    Technology Officer, is that what you guys call it?
9
10
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: We do, and
11
    it's a currently filled position. So I think the question
12
    is, maybe from our perspective, is maybe working with the
13
    Chair and Vice Chair, are there -- are these the 2
14
   positions you're only looking for, or are there any other
15
    things --
16
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Or you're looking for all
17
    that level.
18
             COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: We could look for all
   of that level.
19
20
             Go ahead.
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER:
21
                                                But we're
22
    trying to fill the Chief Information Security Officer,
23
    which has been challenging, but we currently have a
24
   position filled that's the CIO.
```

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Mr. Costigan.

25

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: So, first of all, okay, I agree with Ms. Hagen. I mean, it's informational only. I think this is part of a larger discussion to have. That sort of, again, was exposed a little bit by Covered California.

On one hand, I don't want to necessarily limit, because there might be other -- from an informational gathering, because we still would have to work with CalHR and the administration to add additional positions. But I think right now, one of the flaws we have is we don't have all the information. And it may just be, Ms. Campbell, Mr. Hoffner, is we don't need McLaughlin[sic] to do this. It could be present the data to us from what other State agencies are doing.

It would have been nice to know, for example - and I should have known - but the spread -- or for Ms.

Mathur to know with her committee, the spread between the Covered California folks and our folks. I mean, that's a -- there's a nice easy comparison without having to bring in something from outside is who are we competing -- our employees compete inside of State civil service.

So there's a little bit, maybe that we do nothing with it, and that's just it. But I just think the health -- Mr. McKeever's departure sort of showed, along with Ms. Johnson's departure at Health Care Services

points out that, at least inside of State civil service, there's an issue going on right now on pay, and now we're trying to backfill the recruitment.

understands what I mean.

So Ms. Hagen is absolutely correct. It's just informational on it. But I'd like to have a broad -- Mr. Chair, I think a broader discussion, as we've said over the last year. I know we focused on investments last year. I think, at some point, how do we have a broader discussion as it relates both to information technology and to Health Benefits.

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: And information security.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: So can we --

COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: So what do we do?

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: -- actually look at this in 2 parts. One is to do the salary survey as directed per the agenda time. Secondly, bring back an informational item at some point that the staff puts together on that level of positions. The CEO can work with the others and bring back as an informational item on the agenda whatever information you're able to gather to show the differences in those positions, salaries, et cetera. I think the CEO

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Yes, I do. My only comment was there are a couple other positions I

2.3

```
1
   would like to do some salary survey work on as well.
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Right.
 2
             CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: And your approach
3
 4
    would certainly allow us to do that.
5
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: And those are direct
6
   reports to you.
7
             COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: I withdraw my
8
    substitute motion.
9
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Okay. Got it.
10
             So the motion on the floor about doing a salary
11
    survey. Any further discussion on that motion?
12
             Seeing none.
13
             All those in favor say aye?
14
             (Ayes.)
15
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Opposed?
16
             (No.)
17
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Please record no for CalhR.
18
             And the motion passes. And the direction is at a
19
    later date, working with the CEO, we'll bring back
20
    information on the CEAs -- whatever -- yeah, I think CEAs.
21
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Understood.
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Got it. So I think we're
22
23
    clear, right?
2.4
             Are you okay, Ms. Hagen?
25
             Oh.
```

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Yes.

2.4

On the second item, I think that's a great idea. And I just would like to offer to Calhr staff to have a discussion with Calhr as representative of the administration. Because as you likely know, this is a very complex issue, and there are a number of agencies within the State that have different salary-setting authorities. And it's important to understand those in this kind of an environment, so I would offer that.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you, Ms. Hagen.

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: And I think we'll gladly accept it.

All right. So the next item on the agenda, Item 8, Review of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee Delegation.

Ms. Campbell.

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF CAMPBELL: Tina Campbell, CalPERS team member.

Agenda Item 8 is an action item. Am I on the right one?

Yes.

The delegation resolution for the Performance,
Compensation and Talent Management Committee is reviewed

on an annual basis. I do want you to note that the agenda item recommended -- recommendation section incorrectly states the revisions are proposed. Staff has reviewed the delegation resolution for Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee and recommends no revisions at this time.

If the Committee wishes to make revisions to the delegation resolution, they will be incorporated and brought back for Board consideration and approval in April.

This concludes my report, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you.

What is the pleasure of the Committee?

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Move adoption.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER LAWYER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Moved by Lind, seconded by

Lawyer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Any discussion on the motion?

Seeing none.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Opposed?

Motion carries.

Mr. Hoffner

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: I just -- we didn't make any changes, so I don't know if we had to approve it, but...

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Oh. Well, now it's official.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: I don't know.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: We're good.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: That's right It is what it was.

(Laughter.)

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Perfect.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Item 9, 2016-17 Enterprise Operational Effectiveness Metric.

Ms. Campbell.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Actually, I'm going to jump in on this one. So this is an item that is going to make 3 prospective changes to the incentive plans that were approved back in August of last year. This relates to the enterprise effectiveness operation. So it's essentially considered the administrative costs of the organization outside the Investment Office using the use of CEM.

And so as we work with the consultants Grant

Thornton, we came up with this data that was approved by

the Board back then. As we went to implementation of this

policy, we came forward with 3 minor changes, one of which relates to the 3-year variance or an average for the 3 years of administrative costs.

What we found is we didn't actually have a full 3 years in terms of costs for the administrative expenses that were consistent related to -- and that relates to our flex funded plans that came in 2 years ago or so. So we wanted to modify this to the 2 years for this 1 year of the cycle. At the end of this fiscal year, we'll have the 3 years of data to move forward. And that will be in concert with the approved policies.

So we want to tee that up for you just to acknowledge that it -- in this 1 year it's a 2-year look back.

Any questions on that?

2.4

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: So to be clear, we're using 2 years for this 1 year, and then we'll revert back to the 3-year.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: And I wanted to note that Grant Thornton has opined in a letter.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Right. And there's a --

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: That was part of the agenda item, which they agree.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Correct. And so the second one relates to the actually scoring methodology related to this issue. In the incentive hurdles, we had a year-to-year discussion - actually, I think I just talked about that one - looking at the average target. And so we have a dynamic here where we propose, which is actually showing the 3-year average as an example, and you'll see in the Attachment -- Item 9, Attachment 1 that has represented actual percentages of our admin costs.

And so in terms of implementation, we realized we'd have to change those numbers every year in the policy. And so what we thought would make more sense was to put a proposed range in above and below the target, which is identified in the materials here for simplification purposes, and that the payouts and averages are still there. But we thought it would be a better representation of the overall goals above and below the targets that were identified.

So any questions about that?

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Seeing none.

And then the --

2.4

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Wait, wait. Ms. Hagen

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: I'm sorry, did

you call on me?

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Yes, Ms. Hagen.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Hi there.

So I'm -- I don't have any questions with the second one. It was actually the first one that I had a question on. So you indicated that you didn't have 3 years of consistent data.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: In terms of the health care components and costs. So we changed the flex-funded health plans in a prior year, and therefore we don't have 3 years of how we applied that funding, from a budget perspective, that would apply to the 3 years for this plan.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Okay. So it's a year-to-year comparison that's --

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: It's a 3-year average is what was adopted by the Board.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: A 3-year average.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: And so that was conceptually adopted. We went to implement it. We realized that we had 1 year. We had 2 years of the data that was effectively consistent and 1 year where the implementation of this flex-funded plan kicked in, and that changed the overall scoring. And so I don't think that was necessarily consistent with what we intended to

do in terms of a 3-year average. And so we're looking to sort of amend and rectify that with what we're proposing today.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Okay. There isn't a lot of detail in the item, and so I just want -- I don't -- I want to frame this in the right way. Please take my comments as constructive feedback, that it feels like, although we say in the item that, you know, it's a trans -- we're doing this for transparency purposes. It feels like the numbers didn't yield the performance that you anticipated, and therefore you're wanting to change it. And so I just hope --

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Yeah, I hear you. So I think -- I think the issue is the way that we previously funded the health care plans was done differently, as we changed the methodology. If that flows through, we're going to see spike and/or a change in the overall structure of these 3 years. So we don't have the consistency.

So I hear what you're saying. I don't think that's -- it's clearly not the intent of what we're proposing here, and that's why we also had Grant Thornton opine in terms of their perspective on this issue. And again, at the end of this fiscal year in a few months, we're going to have 3 years of full data.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Right.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: So I think we're trying to meet the intent of what was proposed by and approved by the Committee and Board last year.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: And I hear that. And I only raise this concern because I know one of the goals of our redesign was to make this more transparent, and take the equation -- you know, the piece of the equation out that people are impacting their own pay-for-performance programs.

So I just -- I guess I'm just raising this as a perception that might be there, and that we also talked a lot about, as I recall, that we want to look at more long-term performance. And so I was a little worried that this was going to be a permanent change, but I understand that it's just a temporary change.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: It's for this -- this one fiscal year. So essentially, we're going to have 2 and a half years of data that's -- we're just don't have the 3, and so we wanted to bring this back and identify the challenge from an implementation perspective, understanding what you're talking about.

It is a 5-year plan going forward, which is what was discussed in Agenda Item 10 when Mr. -- Andrew Junkin was here earlier, an elongation of the plan. So

effectively this is just one component piece. It will still tie to the overall -- the intent of what the Board adopted in terms of the percentage.

So we're either going to meet, exceed, or be below the threshold. You know, at the end of the day, I don't know where we sit right now. We Don't have an approved budget for this point in time, but as we move forward, the next year we'll see what that looks like.

But the goal is drive to, of course, hitting performance while not sacrificing our customer service and response to our membership. And that was Yin and Yang of the overall plan as well.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Seeing no one else.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Excuse me.

And then the last piece was essentially looking at the metric from a percentage. This is more closely aligned with how we report things out traditionally, in terms of payout ratios. And that was using a percentage metric up or down versus the overall percentages that were adopted in the plan which we're used for illustrative purpose.

So what we effectively tried to do is to identify the interpolation method versus a banded method. And this is consistent with what you've seen in other plans. So we

33

```
1
    thought from a consistency standpoint, as well as from a
    level of complexity reduction, this was helpful.
 2
 3
             And overall, all the plans that have been
 4
    adopted, these are the 3 minor changes that have come
5
    forth from an implementation perspective. So I think at
6
    the end of the day, we think it's quite successful.
7
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right.
                                                This is an
8
    action item.
                  What's the pleasure of the Committee?
9
             VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN:
                                          I'll move the item.
10
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Moved by Costigan.
11
             COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER: Second.
12
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Seconded by Hollinger.
13
             Any discussion on the motion?
14
             Seeing none.
15
             All those in favor say aye?
16
             (Ayes.)
17
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Opposed?
18
             (No.)
19
             CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Oh, one -- record one no
20
    from CalHR, Ms. Hagen.
21
             Motion passes.
22
             Summary of -- we took care of Item 10 earlier in
23
    the day. So Item 11, Summary of Direction.
2.4
             Mr. Hoffner
25
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: I didn't take
```

any notes so far, but I think it's generally working with the CEO on Agenda Item 7, in terms of the second half component, which we talked about. And I didn't see any other feedback that related to summary of Committee feedback.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Right, that was the one.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER HOFFNER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right.

And public comment. We have one person wishing to speak. Mr. Neal Johnson.

Come on down. We'll turn on the microphone, and you'll have your 3 minutes.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. My name is Neal Johnson. I represent the Service Employees International, Local 1000. And I have 2 comments.

One was we're supportive -- Ms. Taylor made a -- was trying to make a substitute motion about the salary -- collecting a salary survey. And our data -- clearly -- I mean we've got a -- as we've said to CalHR for a number of years, we have broken civil service system, both in the sense of number of classes, job specs, but also compensation.

And to the extent, you know, that we can gather data and have a more cohesive system, we're very supportive and willing to help you in that fashion.

The other was more a technical thing. In Agenda Item 4, apparently I joined another local. In your -- in the minutes of the last meeting, I was in local 100. Local 1000. (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: All right. We'll make that correction. Thank you, Mr. Johnson. All right. This meeting is adjourned. (Thereupon the California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration, Performance, Compensation, & Talent Management Committee meeting adjourned at 4:26 p.m.) 

# CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,

Board of Administration, Performance, Compensation &

Talent Management Committee meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand

Reporter of the State of California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21st day of February, 2017.

James & Cathe

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063