The Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call Meeting was held via conference call using the Chino Basin Watermaster conference call number on September 8, 2011 at 11:00 a.m.

**NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL MEMBERS PRESENT ON CALL**
- Bob Bowcock, Chair, Vulcan Materials Company (Caimat Division)
- Ken Jeske, Vice-Chair, California Steel Industries
- Lisa Hamilton, General Electric Company (Geomatrix)
- Brian Geye, Auto Club Speedway
- Tom O'Neill, Alternate, City of Ontario Non-Agricultural Pool
- David Penrice, Aqua Capital Management LP
- David Starnes, Swan Lake Mobile Home Park

**WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBER PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**
- Bob Kuhn, Three Valleys Municipal Water District

**WATERMASTER STAFF PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**
- Deol Alvarez, Chief Executive Officer
- Danielle Maurizio, Senior Engineer
- Gerald Greene, Senior Environmental Engineer
- Joe Joswiak, Chief Financial Officer
- Janine Wilson, Recording Secretary

**WATERMASTER BOARD COUNSEL PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**
- Scott Slater, Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck

**WATERMASTER ENGINEER PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**
- Mark Wildermuth, Wildermuth Environmental Inc.

**PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**
- Dave Closley, City of Chino

Chair Bowcock called the Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call meeting to order at 11:02 a.m.

**ROLL CALL**
Janine Wilson called roll call.

**AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER**
Mr. Alvarez pulled the August 11, 2011 minutes and noted a revision needs to be made to them and then they will be resubmitted next month.

**I. BUSINESS ITEMS**

A. MINUTES

1. Minutes of the Agricultural Pool Meeting held August 11, 2011

   Pulled for resubmission next month.
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The Appropriative Pool Committee meeting was dismissed by Chair Mura at 10:24 a.m.

Secretary: ____________________________

Minutes Approved: October 13, 2011
2. GE Injection Wells

Mr. Alvarez stated the GE Injection Wells has been an item that previously was before the Watermaster parties but it had been put in abeyance. Mr. Alvarez stated General Electric and the City of Ontario have now worked out an arrangement where they are all satisfied on a moving forward basis as to how to operate that facility. Mr. Alvarez stated staff will be coming back through the Watermaster process for approval of a plan in November.

3. 89/15 Rule

Mr. Alvarez stated this item goes back to the Recharge Master Plan and the implementation of the Recharge Master Plan amongst all of the commitments that Watermaster has. One of them is moving forward with the implementation of the Recharge Master Plan. Mr. Alvarez stated in looking at some of what the court expectations are, staff will be putting together a committee to provide oversight and direction on that. The committee will consist of Inland Empire Utilities Agency, Chino Basin Water Conservation District, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, and three representatives from the Appropriate Pool to make a working committee to move forward with that regard. Mr. Kinsey stated Watermaster has an existing Recharge Master Plan and the parties have a lot of questions about the likelihood of actually producing as much as what was anticipated. The parties know Wildermuth Environmental is updating the model, which will ultimately change the Recharge Master Plan. Mr. Kinsey stated the hesitancy is moving forward with commitments which in the future may turn out to be unnecessary. Mr. Kinsey stated Desalter obligation does go away in the future, whether it’s at the end of the Peace Agreement or if it’s earlier through a negotiation process. A lot of those agencies that are pumping out of the Desalter will eventually start using production rights to offset that Desalter replenishment obligation.

IV. INFORMATION
1. Cash Disbursements for August 2011
   No comment was made.

2. Newspaper Articles
   No comment was made.

V. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS
No comment was made.

VI. OTHER BUSINESS
No comment was made.

VII. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

Pursuant to the Appropriate Pool Rules & Regulations, a Confidential Session may be held during the Watermaster Pool meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

No confidential session was held.

VIII. FUTURE MEETINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Meeting Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 8, 2011</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Appropriate Pool Meeting @ CBWM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 8, 2011</td>
<td>11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 8, 2011</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Agricultural Pool Meeting @ CBWM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 15, 2011</td>
<td>8:00 a.m.</td>
<td>IEUA Dry Year Yield Meeting @ CBWM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 15, 2011</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 15, 2011</td>
<td>10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Land Subsidence Committee Meeting @ CBWM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 22, 2011</td>
<td>11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Friday, October 28, 2011</td>
<td>10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Watermaster Court Hearing @ Chino Court</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: The court hearing has changed from September 30, 2011 to October 28, 2011
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October/November timeframe to come to the Watermaster meetings and discuss planning scenarios, hopefully after those two agencies provide their future production estimates. Mr. Wildermuth stated that particular task is a requirement of the court to approve the Recharge Master Plan Update, in which new production and replenishment projections need to be formulated and provided to the court in December.

III. REPORTS/UPDATES

A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

1. Next hearing Schedule and Preparation
Counsel Slater stated staff and counsel have been meeting with the various stakeholders with regard to presenting Watermaster Resolution 2010-04 to the court. This is in connection with asking the court for direction to proceed with the Desalter Expansion, in clearing out the contingencies and providing relief for all of the parties on a go forward basis. Counsel Slater stated great progress is being made and Mr. Alvarez and Mark Wildermuth have done a great job interfacing with the Regional Board. It is expected to have a supportive declaration out of the Regional Board on the primary issue of whether Hydraulic Control has been achieved. Counsel Slater stated staff and counsel are extremely hopeful that that declaration will be executed, which should provide a context for the court to also make its findings. Counsel Slater stated counsel is planning on a hearing date of October 28, 2011, which is approximately 30 days beyond the prior expected date of September 30, 2011.

2. Restated Judgment Process
Counsel Slater stated the first part of the restated Judgment process was to produce a technically accurate form of the Judgment, inclusive of all orders and amendments, which has been on the Watermaster ftp site and has been circulated. Staff, counsel, and the parties now feel this is the completed and accurate form of the Judgment. Counsel Slater stated this portion was the scrubbers exercise and a secondary portion of the process has now begun. Counsel Slater stated that actually starts with counsel preparing a fully annotated Judgment, which takes the Judgment itself and then cross-references the Rules & Regulations, Optimum Basin Management Plan, and the Pooling Plans so that when any person or party opens a page, they will see not only the text in the Judgment but the related cross-references to that text in other documents. Counsel Slater stated it is anticipated to have something out on this regard within the next 30 days, which will then be sent out to smaller committees that will be comprised of stakeholders, lawyers, and their principals to review the document. Counsel Slater stated that consistent with the earlier direction from the stakeholders staff and counsel intends on this not being a negotiating exercise, but one that is more scholarly in its effort to pull together all the resources and put them in one place so that the Judge has a quick reference book with everything in it. A lengthy discussion regarding this item and the necessity of going to the second portion of this project ensued.

B. CEO/STAFF REPORT

1. Recharge Update
Mr. Alvarez stated, as of this past weekend, there has been approximately 25,000-26,000 acre-feet of water spread in the Chino Basin. Mr. Alvarez stated the MWD replenishment water project may end sooner than originally anticipated. MWD, through the end of August, had delivered 188,000 acre-feet of the 225,000 acre-feet which means that amount will be exhausted at the end of September or middle of October. Watermaster was planning on purchasing 50,000 acre-feet of that 225,000 acre-feet of water. However, it appears that amount will only be 30,000 to 34,000 acre-feet of total replenishment in the basin. Mr. Alvarez stated that final number will be clearer toward the end of the month. Ms. Rojo inquired of the 225,000 acre-feet of MWD water that has gone into the ground, do we know how all the other MWD agencies are getting the water in the ground — is it through replenishment or is it through in lieu as that is a lot of water to get in the ground really fast. Mr. Alvarez stated he does not know how all the water is getting into the ground, though it seems to be a combination of replenishment and in lieu.
Counsel Slater stated whatever form the Appropriative Pool wants to take to formally adopt rules, procedures, or interpretative guidelines the Pool would then inform staff in terms of how to apply the rule. Counsel Slater stated if this Pool is trying to change the rule, then that takes a judgment amendment. Counsel Slater stated the history is what the history is, and if there is conflict or concern over how it is applied the parties adopted a statute of limitations on its own actions. Counsel Slater stated if someone has a quarrel with how it was applied historically if it's beyond four years, it's bygones be bygones. Ms. Rojo stated when the parties are talking about inconsistencies with how it's been handled in the past from the history that is presented, it seems to have been consistently applied throughout the past and inquired if the Pool has ever adopted a motion or taken action in the past. Counsel Slater stated it is what it is and it speaks for itself, and if somebody wants to argue that it is inconsistent – counsel is expressing no opinion. Counsel Slater inquired if a forensic exercise has been done to look at the Pool actions when they apply the rule. Mr. Alvarez stated a fairly exhaustive look up has been done and several discussions have taken place over this subject at several meetings which ended up contentious and parties just dropped the issue; no specific decision was found one way or the other. Ms. Rojo stated maybe Mr. Kinsey has a valid point to have the parties get together and revisit the topic. Mr. Kinsey stated what he is hearing from counsel is that there is a kit of latitude in providing the Pool with the ability to interpret the intent of the Pooling Plan; if the Pool decides what the intent of the Pooling Plan was, and then they will follow that interpretation. Counsel stated clear direction is needed. Chair Mura stated it was heard in these discussions that a working group of interested parties needs to be formed to work it out and frame it, then bring it back for discussion on how to take this forward. Chair Mura stated if the current plan is disincentive to the 85/15 Rule practice, then it is something that should be looked at and worked towards clearing up for all the parties' benefit. Mr. Kinsey inquired about the application date, which was asked earlier, and in putting together an Appropriative Pool committee to provide Watermaster with some guidance on how they want to apply the 85/15 Rule. Counsel Slater stated if the committee can develop a consensus on interpretation, and noted that would be strongly encouraged because if one party thinks it is an interpretation and another thinks is an amendment and this ends up in front of the judge arguing that point, and then it becomes a Watermaster issue. Mr. Alvarez noted Watermaster is not suggesting to change anything in the past but to move forward with this year's Assessment Package. A lengthy discussion regarding this matter ensued. Ms. Hoening offered final comments and cautioned the parties to what to go back and fix things retroactively prior to today. Chair Mura concurred with Ms. Hoening's comments and noted a working committee can address many of the topics discussed today prior to the next Assessment Package. A lengthy discussion regarding forming a committee and what the committee will be working on with this regard ensued. Chair Mura inquired if there were any volunteers for the working committee. Chair Mura noted the City of Ontario, City of Upland, Monte Vista Water District, and Fontana Water Company stated they would like to participate on this committee. Mr. Zvibulis stated this group should be as inclusive as possible and more of a workshop instead of just certain parties attending. Mr. Alvarez stated a workshop will be scheduled in the near future for any member of the Appropriative Pool who wishes to attend.

B. PRESENTATION ON THE STATE OF THE BASIN REPORT (For Information Only)
Mr. Alvarez introduced the State of the Basin presentation and noted this will be the first in a series of several parts for this report. Mr. Wildermuth stated the State of the Basin Report is done every two years. Mr. Wildermuth stated this year Wildermuth Environmental prepared a coffee table book which has nothing but pictures, tables, and charts in it. Mr. Wildermuth stated embedded into the charts are text boxes filled with information, which will be helpful when reviewing this report. Mr. Wildermuth gave the Groundwater Levels and Storage 2000 to 2010 presentation which included maps and charts in detail. A discussion ensued after the presentation concluded regarding several of the slides and information presented.

C. GROUNDWATER MODEL UPDATE (For Information Only)
Mr. Wildermuth stated great progress is being made on the groundwater model. However, there are a couple of the water agencies who are not responding to the data requests which are hindering this project. Mr. Wildermuth stated it was Wildermuth Environmental's intention in the
AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER
Mr. Alvarez pulled the Appropriative Pool August 11, 2011 minutes from the Consent Calendar and noted a change needs to be made to one of the motions. Mr. Alvarez noted the minutes will be resubmitted in the October 13, 2011 meeting package.

I. CONSENT CALENDAR
   A. MINUTES (Pulled)
      1. Minutes of the Appropriative Pool Meeting held August 11, 2011

   B. FINANCIAL REPORTS
      1. Cash Disbursements for the month of July 2011
      2. Watermaster VISA Check Detail for the month of July 2011
      3. Combining Schedule for the Period July 1, 2010 through July 31, 2011
      5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through July 2011

Mr. Garibay inquired about Item B1 Cash Disbursements regarding the Pre-Paid Legal Service bill. Mr. Jaszewski stated this is a service that the employees pay for each month for a credit service that monitors employees' social security accounts. Money is deducted from the employee's payroll checks, and then Watermaster pays the actual bill from Pre-Paid Legal Services.

Motion by Garibay second by Zvirbulis, and by unanimous vote
Moved to approve Consent Calendar Item B, as presented

II. BUSINESS ITEMS
   A. APPLICATION OF THE 85/15 RULE (For Information and Discussion)
      Mr. Alvarez stated this item is before this Committee at the request of the Appropriative Pool and Advisory Committee for staff to make a presentation on the 85/15 Rule and its applicability. Mr. Alvarez gave the 85/15 Rule presentation which included topics on Background, Paying for Replenishment of Overproduction, Judgment Language, 85/15 Rule Participation, General Application, Replenishment Application, Application in Recent Assessment Packages, Future Application, and Water Transfer Example. Mr. Alvarez asked for any comments or questions. Ms. Hoeming inquired if this application of the 85/15 Rule will be on a go-forward basis as there are some transactions that have occurred that are not listed on the table in the staff report. Mr. Alvarez stated this is for Production Year 2010-2011, and the intent will be that staff will apply the 85/15 Rule consistent with the language in the Judgment so that only that portion of the transfer that makes up the overproduction for the previous year will be subject to the 85/15 Rule. Mr. Alvarez stated if there is a transfer that has gone the other way then staff will work with the parties to try and resolve any inconsistencies. Ms. Hoeming stated since this is an application that has been done over the years, and this has now come to light and staff wants to do a course correction, are those transfers that have already occurred under the past practice going to be considered. Mr. Alvarez stated staff is trying to be consistent with how the Judgment actually reads. Mr. Kinsey stated that is an interesting concept - changing the interpretation of the Pooling Plan. In terms of how the 85/15 Rule applies to presumptive replenishment water purchases. Mr. Kinsey offered further comment on this matter and noted it appears there is an interpretive change in a long-standing practice that the parties have. Mr. Kinsey stated if there have been any inconsistencies in the application of the 85/15 Rule in the past, then maybe that should be addressed. Mr. Kinsey stated since this is the Pooling Plan, it’s an Appropriative Pool issue and a committee should be formed to talk about how this issue should be addressed. Mr. Kinsey offered further comment on a few questions which need to be addressed. Counsel Slater stated it is correct in terms of trying to group the activities into segments. Counsel Slater stated the Pooling Plan is part of the Judgment and it is intended to amend the 85/15 Rule and provide exact direction, which is in conflict with words that are on paper; a Judgment amendment is needed. Counsel Slater stated, on the other hand, the Appropriative Pool is free to interpret its own Pooling Plan by their own procedures and Watermaster will follow interpretive guidelines.