

November 16, 2016

**Item Name:** Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Appeal of Death Benefits Payable Upon the Death of TYRONE SHARPE SR. by TYRONE SHARPE, JR. Respondent and SADIE SHARPE, Respondent.

Program: Benefit Services Division

Item Type: Action

## **Parties' Positions**

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

Respondent Tyrone Sharpe Jr. (Respondent Sharpe, Jr.) argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

## Strategic Plan

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

# **Procedural Summary**

Respondent Tyrone Sharpe, Jr. submitted an application for death benefits as a statutory beneficiary pursuant to California Government Code section 21493(2). CalPERS denied the application, on grounds that it had received a written beneficiary designation pursuant to Government Code section 21490, naming Respondent Sadie Sharpe as the sole beneficiary. Respondent Tyrone Sharpe, Jr. appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on June 14, 2016 and on August 22, 2016. A Proposed Decision was issued on September 21, 2016, granting Respondent Tyrone Sharpe, Jr.'s appeal, on grounds that the written beneficiary designation was not valid, and that benefits were payable pursuant to statutory order, as set forth in Government Code section 21493.

#### Alternatives

A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated September 21, 2016, concerning the appeal of Tyrone Sharpe Jr.; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision. B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated September 21, 2016, concerning the appeal of Tyrone Sharpe Jr., hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated September 21, 2016, concerning the appeal of Tyrone Sharpe Jr., hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):
  - 1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Tyrone Sharpe Jr., as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Tyrone Sharpe Jr.



Agenda Item 9o Board of Administration Page 2 of 3 Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

# Attachments

Attachment A: Proposed Decision Attachment B: Staff's Argument Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

DONNA RAMEL LUM Deputy Executive Officer Customer Services and Support



Agenda Item 9o Board of Administration Page 3 of 3