California Public Employees' Retirement System

2016–17 Approved Annual Budget and 2017-18 Projection



Contents

A Message from the Chief Financial Officer		1
GFOA Distinguished Award FY 2015-16		. 2

Introduction & Overview				3
CalPERS Organization				. 3
Strategic Goals and Strategies .				. 5
Short-Term Organization Factors				. 8
Budget Overview				10

				_		
Financial	Structure,	Policy	and	Process		. 12

CalPERS Organizational Chart				12
Expanded Organizational Chart .				13
Fund Description and Structure .				14
Department/Fund Relationship .				15
Basis of Budgeting and Accounting				17
Financial Policies				18
CalPERS Budget Process				20

FY 2016-17 Annual Financial Plan				22
Consolidated Financial Schedule .				37
Three-Year Consolidated & Fund Financial Schedules				38
Trust Fund Balance				
Revenues				42
Debt				45
Long-Term Financial Plans				45

Capital Expenditures and Enterprise Projects . $\ . 47$
Capital Expenditures
Impact of Capital Expenditures in Enterprise Projects Budget
Department Information
Position Summary
Performance Measures 60
Department Descriptions, Unit Goals
and Objectives
Statistical/Supplemental
Glossary

A Message from the Chief Financial Officer

The Total Budget for the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016-17 represents continued process improvements and reductions in cost. With continued fiscal prudence, this is the third budget process to deliver budget requirements lower than the previous year.

The CaIPERS Total Budget is designed to provide significant information about planning processes, annual performance, forecasting, and financial planning. It represents the financial resources needed to help us achieve our strategic priorities and initiatives for the organization and to those who serve California. The budget also demonstrates our commitment to reduce and control costs in a collaborative effort that also aligns with our long-term strategies.

The FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget is estimated to be \$1,788.5 million, a decrease of \$19.1 million or 1.1 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$1,807.6 million.

The following are the budget highlights:

- Continued optimization of the mylCalPERS system to realize increased operational efficiencies (\$23.5 million)
- Redesign of the Actuarial Valuation System (AVS) to provide information that meets actuarial practices and supports new Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) reporting requirements (\$4.8 million)

- Continued building of a sound Risk and Compliance Program for CalPERS to enhance internal controls (\$1.2 million)
- Ongoing Security Roadmap efforts to protect and secure CalPERS member and employer data (\$5.2 million)
- Increased workload in the Customer Services and Support area specific to increased death benefits processing, GASB reporting, and audit responses (\$1.5 million)
- Implementation of an in-house Opportunistic Investment Program (\$1.3 million)

The focus of the FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget continues to demonstrate the mission of CalPERS, priorities of the enterprise, and priorities of our stakeholders in a transparent manner, while delivering a value-based, managed-risk perspective.

Cheryl Eason, MBA, CPA CGA, RPA, DMC Chief Financial Officer

GFOA Distinguished Award FY 2015-16



The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to CalPERS for its annual budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2015. To receive this award, a governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan, and as a communications device. This award is valid for a period of one year.

CalPERS Organization

CalPERS is the largest defined benefit fund in the United States and is dedicated to providing world-class retirement and health benefit services to more than 1.8 million retirement system members, 1.4 million health plan members, and 3,007 public school, local agency and state employers.

Headquartered in Sacramento, CalPERS also operates eight Regional Offices located in Fresno, Glendale, Orange, Sacramento, San Diego, San Bernardino, San Jose, and Walnut Creek.

Led by a 13-member Board of Administration (Board) consisting of member-elected, appointed, and ex officio members, CalPERS membership (as of June 2015) consists of 1,204,621 active and inactive members and 611,078 retirees, beneficiaries, and survivors from state, school, and public agencies.

Established by legislation in 1931, the CalPERS System (System) became operational in 1932 for the purpose of providing a secure retirement to state employees. In 1939, new legislation allowed public agency and classified school employees to join the System for retirement benefits. CalPERS began administering health benefits for state employees in 1962, and five years later, public agencies joined the Health Program on a contract basis. The following page depicts the historical CalPERS milestones.

As a defined benefit retirement plan, CalPERS provides benefits based on a member's years of service, age, and highest compensation. In addition, benefits are provided for disability and death. Today CalPERS offers additional programs, including long-term care insurance, a deferred compensation retirement savings plan, and member education services.

Serving those who serve California

At CalPERS, we are part of the fabric of California. For more than eight decades, we've built retirement and health security for people who invest their lifework in the state. Their contributions help move all of us forward through services such as education, transportation, safety, and the environment. As stewards of the System, we ensure that their benefits and earned retirements are as enduring as the state they maintain.

To support our employers, members, and their beneficiaries, we also invest in the health and infrastructure of California building portfolios that bolster local business and funding enterprises that directly influence and stimulate our state economy. With an unwavering commitment to retirement security and wellness, we help create equity in the future for generations to come.

We are proud to serve those who serve California.

CalPERS Investments

As the nation's largest public pension fund with assets totaling approximately \$299.4 billion (as of July 2016), CalPERS investments span domestic and international markets.

The CalPERS Board has investment authority and sole fiduciary responsibility for the management of CalPERS assets. With the Board's guidance, the CalPERS Investment Committee and Investment Office carry out the daily activities of the investment program.

CalPERS has generated sustainable long-term returns by effectively managing investments to achieve the highest possible return at an acceptable level of risk. The CalPERS portfolio is diversified into several asset classes, so any weakness in one area is offset by gains in another. The Board follows a strategic asset allocation policy that targets the percentage of funds invested in each asset class.

CalPERS Historical Milestones



CalPERS implements the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA)

-2015

CalPERS adopts a Funding Risk Mitigation Policy that lowers the discount rate incrementally in years with good investment returns to provide better predictability and less volatility in employer contribution rates

CalPERS investment portfolio reaches

the \$300 Billion mark

Strategic Goals and Strategies

CalPERS' strategic plan contains the strategic goals and strategies of the organization over a five-year period and is used to align the enterprise budget structure with the organization's priorities, mission, and objectives. This is done in an effort to provide responsible and efficient stewardship of the System to deliver promised retirement and health benefits, while promoting wellness and retirement security for members and beneficiaries.

Strategic Planning

In 2012, the CalPERS Board adopted the CalPERS 2012-17 Strategic Plan that guides the development of our business plan. This comprehensive Business Plan supports and helps CalPERS accomplish our strategic goals, which are:

- Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability
- Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization
- Engage in state and national policy development to enhance the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of our programs

Business Planning

The business plan initiatives are shaped with the strategic goals as a guide and are intended to sharpen organizational focus and help sustain the pension and health programs, enhance customer service, strengthen our internal organization, and advance policy development. It is a systematic method to anticipate and adapt to expected changes. The CalPERS Business Plan sets the operational direction and focus on aligning the organization's work with the Strategic Plan over a two-year period.

Strategic planning is the key to assuring that our organization is prepared for the challenges of tomorrow and an essential first step in the development of a results-based planning process. With a focus on the long-term, the strategic goals and objectives set out in the strategic plan provide a sense of direction and continuity with an opportunity to influence the future.

The business plan development process is an organizational wide approach to establishing enterprise priorities and initiatives to focus the organization's efforts. The two-year business plan is reviewed and updated annually as part of a "rolling" two-year planning cycle including assessment of various performance measures for success in meeting established goals.

The CalPERS 2015-17 Business Plan builds on the progress made over the past three years, since adopting the CalPERS strategic plan in 2012. CalPERS is continuing the two-year planning cycle, which includes an annual refresh process. This will align the business planning with the budget process to ensure that the long-term strategic goals of the organization are met.

CalPERS core values of Quality, Respect, Accountability, Integrity, Openness, and Balance continue to guide our work. Executive leadership has identified specific, achievable objectives that play a fundamental role in accomplishing our goals. The comprehensive Business Plan supports and helps us accomplish our strategic goals. The following chart details the CalPERS strategic goals, objectives, and initiatives. Aligning Strategic, Business and Budget Planning

Strategic Planning

- » Long-term, 5-year plan (2012-17)
- » Foundation for business plan

Business Planning

- » 2-year plans
- » Formulation of initiatives
- » Approved by Board

Forecasting/Mid-Year Requests

- » Monthly management and status reporting
- » Quarterly forecasting
- » Budget transfers

Budget Planning

- » Identification of resourcing needs
- » Formulation of formal budget requests

Strategic Goals and Objectives

Strategic Goal	Objectives	Initiatives
Goal A: Improve long-term pension	Fund the System through an integrated view of pension assets and liabilities	Actively manage and assess funding risk through an asset liability management framework to guide investment strategy and actuarial policy
and health benefit sustainability	Educate employers and other stakeholders to make informed decisions about retirement security	Provide employers and other stakeholders with thorough, risk-based information about the expected course and variability of future pension contribution requirements
	and health care	Expand member and employer access to information regarding the cost and quality of health care and ways to impact those trends
		Provide existing and prospective Long-Term Care Program policy holders with premium and other information to improve decision making
	Deliver target risk-adjusted investment returns	Implement programs and initiatives that improve investment performance and ensure effective systems, operations, and controls are in place
	Ensure high-quality, accessible, and affordable health benefits	Implement new approaches and expand efforts already proven to reduce health care costs and improve health outcomes, including changing how we contract with health plans
	Create a lifestyle of wellness among members and employers	Establish partnerships that focus on increasing public and private sector focus on wellness
		Develop and administer an independent wellness platform that ensures the active engagement of employees and employers
Goal B: Cultivate a high-performing,	Use a focused approach to generate, test, refine, and implement new ideas	Adopt methodologies that empower staff to quickly test, vet, and refine ideas that improve internal and external performance and services
risk-intelligent, and innovative organization	Deliver superior end-to-end customer service that is adaptive to customer	Promote the use of business intelligence throughout the organization to optimize customer service and delivery
organization	needs	Enhance business processes to achieve high-quality, timely, effective, and efficient customer service delivery to our members and employers
	Recruit, retain, develop, and empower a broad range of talents against organizational priorities	Develop and implement a comprehensive talent management strategy that includes recruitment, knowledge transfer, succession planning, and a methodology to regularly gauge employee satisfaction
	Actively manage business risks with an enterprise-wide view	Develop a robust risk-intelligent culture through enhanced governance, risk assessment and mitigation, and collaboration with stakeholders
Goal C: Engage in state and	Clarify and communicate CalPERS perspective on pension, health, and financial markets	Establish principles and beliefs to guide public policy engagement by the System
national policy development to enhance the long-term	Provide education and engagement opportunities to shape policy agenda and expand impact	Develop new strategic partnerships with academic institutions, governmental organizations, non-profits, the financial sector, and the California business community
sustainability and effectiveness of		Develop a series of thought leadership initiatives that promote CalPERS priorities and policies
our programs		Elevate the profile of CalPERS Board of Administration and Executive Leadership through strategic involvement at industry and stakeholder events

Short-Term Organization Factors

The objective of the budget process is to address the immediate needs of the organization, such as preserving the current level of service to our members while making progress towards the implementation of CalPERS long-term goals.

Incorporated into the FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget are the following assumptions and factors that guided the development of the budget to meet the immediate needs of the organization:

- Resources to support proposed FY 2016-17 business plan initiatives are included
- Reductions in temporary staffing requirements are anticipated to reduce costs by \$1.5 million
- Targeted vacancy factor of 5.0 percent
- Reduction in State of California central administrative services (pro-rata) costs due to a reduced allocation assessed to the CalPERS organization (\$0.4 million)
- The FY 2016-17 approved budget excludes potential increases for State employee salary and benefit adjustments. Bargaining unit agreements expire on June 30, 2016, and it is unknown what, if any, salary and benefit adjustments will be forthcoming at this time.

Enterprise Priorities and Risks

The FY 2016-17 Total Budget is focused on core business needs critical to CalPERS long-term strategy and furthering our mission of building retirement and health security for those who serve California. The initiatives comprised in the budget will be implemented to achieve efficiencies, identify and implement costsaving measures, manage risks, and deliver quality customer service and strong affordable health care programs to effectively deliver retirement and health security for California public workers.

The approved budget provides the annual financial plan for FY 2016-17 and supports Goal B of the CalPERS 2012-17 Strategic Plan, which is to cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization. Through the annual budget process, CalPERS staff address efforts to mitigate certain risks throughout the enterprise. The end result allows for the enhancement of business processes, ultimately heightening the quality and efficiency of service to our members, employers, and stakeholders.

This document compiles the financial data needed to support CalPERS goals, is aligned with the initiatives outlined in the proposed CalPERS 2016-17 Business Plan, and is based on the departmental review of operations. In alignment with the CalPERS strategic goals and business planning, five key areas of focus were identified for the FY 2016-17 budget:

- Innovative approaches to improve benefit services and continued customer focus
- Effective information technology solutions
- · Cost efficiencies and workload capacity
- Effective risk management and compliance
- Sound management practices and leadership development

Budget Highlights

These five key areas of focus were used to identify the budgetary need for the fiscal year. Some of the major highlights of the FY 2016-17 Total Budget include:

- Continued optimization of the mylCalPERS system to realize increased operational efficiencies (\$23.5 million)
- Redesign of the Actuarial Valuation System (AVS) to provide information that meets actuarial practices and supports new Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) reporting requirements (\$4.8 million)
- Continued building of a sound Risk and Compliance Program to enhance internal controls (\$1.2 million)
- Ongoing Security Roadmap efforts to protect and secure CalPERS member and employer data (\$5.2 million)
- Increased workload in the Customer Services and Support area specific to increased death benefits processing, GASB reporting, and audit responses (\$1.5 million)
- Implementation of an in-house Opportunistic Investment Program (\$1.3 million)

In the previous FY 2015-16 Budget, key priorities of the organization that were met include:

- my|CalPERS optimization improvements, including simplification measures, workflow redesigns, and comprehensive reconciliations
- Completion of the Medicare-Only Health Contracting
 Alternatives
- Adoption of an Asset Liability Management Risk Mitigation Policy
- Adoption of the New Treasury Management Program and approved policy
- Support the growth in the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust Fund (CERBT) and improved service to our employers
- Continued enhancement of the Investment Office business effectiveness, resource allocation, and efficiency including the reduction of Investment External Management Fees

Budget Overview

The CalPERS Total Budget focuses on the organization's three strategic goals:

- Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability
- Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization
- Engage in state and national policy development to enhance the effectiveness of our programs

Total Budget Summary

The proposed FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget is \$1,788.5 million, which represents an overall decrease of \$19.1 million (1.1 percent) from the FY 2015-16 approved budget of \$1,807.6 million. Specifically, this is an increase of \$18.7 million (3.5 percent) in administrative and investment operating costs; a decrease of \$34.0 million (3.7 percent) in investment external management fees; an increase of \$3.9 million (10.3 percent) in enterprise project costs; an increase of \$0.9 million (3.1 percent) in headquarters building costs; and a decrease of \$8.6 million (3.0 percent) in third-party administrator fees.

FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget (in millions)

					Budget t	o Budget
	FY 2015-16 Approved Budget ¹	FY 2015-16 Forecast	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	FY 2017-18 Projection	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
Administrative Operating Costs	\$444.7	\$431.1	\$455.8	\$465.3	\$11.1	2.5%
Investment Operating Costs ²	83.0	83.0	90.5	90.5	7.6	9.1%
SUBTOTAL	527.7	514.1	546.3	555.8	18.7	3.6%
Investment External Management Fees	930.7	930.7	896.7	896.7	(34.1)	(3.7%)
SUBTOTAL: OPERATING COSTS	\$1,458.4	\$1,444.8	\$1,443.0	\$1,452.5	(\$15.4)	(1.1%)
Enterprise Projects Costs	\$37.5	\$35.6	\$41.4	\$17.6	\$3.9	10.3%
Headquarters Building Costs	30.3	28.4	31.3	31.3	.9	3.1%
Third-Party Administrator Fees	281.4	278.4	272.8	272.9	(8.6)	(3.0%)
CalPERS TOTAL BUDGET	<u>\$1,807.6</u>	<u>\$1,787.2</u>	<u>\$1,788.5</u>	<u>\$1,774.3</u>	<u>(\$19.1</u>)	<u>(1.1%</u>)
TOTAL POSITIONS	2,765.0		2,872.0		107.0	3.9%

¹ FY 2015-16 Mid-Year Budget approved by CalPERS Board of Administration on December 15, 2015.

² Investment operating expenses are not part of CaIPERS Administrative Operating Costs and therefore should not be included in CaIPERS pro-rata assessment. Key changes in the FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget include an overall net decrease of \$19.1 million (decrease of \$42.6 million offset by an increase of \$23.5 million) from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget.

Cost decreases of \$42.6 million are associated with:

Cost decreases (in millions)

Total decreases	(\$42.6)
Third-party administrator fees	(8.6)
Investment external management fees	(\$34)

These cost decreases were offset by increases of \$23.5 million primarily associated with:

Cost increases (in millions)

Administrative operating costs	\$11.1
Investment operating costs	7.6
Enterprise project costs	3.9
Headquarters building costs	0.9
Total increases	\$23.5

Each budget area will be covered separately and detailed to provide analysis of the historical trending and current proposal of the costs.

Financial Structure, Policy, and Process

CalPERS Organizational Chart



Expanded Organizational Chart



Fund Description and Structure

CalPERS has five budgetary funds which are approved by the CalPERS Board and funded at the beginning of each new fiscal year.

The CalPERS fiscal year is a 12-month period beginning every July 1 and ending June 30. The Board approves fund balances for purposes specific to that fund. Any appropriated funds that are not used over the course of the 12-month fiscal year are no longer accessible after fiscal year end. The five budgetary funds are independent of each other and appropriations cannot be commingled or transferred among the various budgetary funds. Authority for these budgetary funds are based on internal CalPERS policies or statutorily appropriated by the State of California Government Code.

CalPERS Budgetary Funds

- Total Operating Costs Fund The Total Operating Costs Fund is statutorily authorized per Article XVI, Section 17 of the California Constitution. It allows for the budget to fund all functional cost centers within the CalPERS enterprise.
- 2. Enterprise Projects Fund The Enterprise Projects Fund is statutorily authorized per Article XVI, Section 17 of the California Constitution. It allows for the budget to fund special projects that are temporary in nature.

- 3. Headquarters Building Costs Fund The CalPERS Headquarters Building Costs Fund is statutorily appropriated in California State law per Government Code \$20199. It provides for the purchase and maintenance of facilities that CalPERS owns and manages.
- 4. Investment External Management Fees Fund -The CalPERS Investment External Management Fees Fund is statutorily appropriated in California State law per Government Code \$20172, 20208, and 20210. The Investment External Management Fees Fund provides for expenditures related to external investment advisors and other investment related expenses.
- 5. Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund The Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund is statutorily authorized per Article XVI, Section 17 of the California Constitution. This budget tracks expenses for programs that provide benefits to members of CalPERS including: CalPERS Self-Funded Health Program, Long-Term Care Program, and Supplemental Income Plan.

Department/Fund Relationship

Budget Fund Structure

The diagram below provides a breakdown of CalPERS departments within each of the budget fund structures.



Total Operating Costs Fund

The Total Operating Costs Fund is the primary operating fund for departments within the enterprise and is used to account for financial transactions and resources of the organization. Costs are covered by revenues derived from the trust funds per Board approval of the annual budget. The operations and expense centers included in the Total Operating Costs Fund include:

- Actuarial Office
- Benefit Programs, Policy and Planning
- Communications & Stakeholder Relations
- Customer Services & Support
- Executive Office
- Financial Office
- General Counsel Office
- Investment Office
- Operations & Technology

Expense types for these areas include:

- Personnel services, which is broken out into base salaries and wages, benefits, temporary help, overtime, and incentive compensation.
- Operating expenses include office supplies, subscriptions, software, printing, postage, travel, training, consulting and professional services, audit services, communications, data processing, equipment, and other general operating expenses.
- Investment operating costs primarily include technology expenses for data, analytics, trading or portfolio management systems, and business operations tools; investment consultant and system consulting fees; portfolio management services and subscriptions; and legal, appraisal, and tax advisory services.

Enterprise Projects Fund

The Enterprise Projects Fund accounts for special projects (predominately technology related) and one time operations deemed temporary in nature. The projects may be completed in one budget cycle, or may need to be funded over multiple budget cycles. Expenses are primarily related to temporary staff, training, consulting and professional services, hardware, and software. Costs are covered by revenues derived from the trust funds per Board approval of the annual budget. At the conclusion of a special project, the ongoing maintenance and operational costs, if any, are transitioned over to the Total Operating Costs Fund.

Headquarters Building Costs Fund

The Headquarters Building Costs Fund provides for the purchase and maintenance of facilities that CalPERS owns and manages, and accounts for costs relating to maintaining CalPERS main campus, an emergency operations center, and two outlying properties at 1800 3rd Street and 1800 7th Street, Sacramento, California.

Costs consist of operating expenses related to:

- Building support for expenditures on items such as insurance, maintenance, and improvements.
- The Lincoln Plaza Building costs maintain the buildings that house CalPERS staff and provide support services such as utilities, security, landscaping, janitorial, maintenance, and engineering.
- The 1800 3rd Street costs maintain the properties and parking garage, which are available for tenant occupancy.
- The 1800 7th Street costs maintain the properties which are available for tenant occupancy.

Headquarters building costs are partially funded by outside rental income, and the remaining costs are covered by revenues derived from the trust funds per Board approval of the annual budget.

Investment External Management Fees Fund

The Investment External Management Fees Fund accounts for costs related to external management of CalPERS investment portfolios including base fees and performance fees. Costs are covered by revenues derived from the trust fund appropriations set by the Board in the formal budget process.

Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund

The Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund accounts for fees paid to third-party administrators that manage programs that provide health care and benefits to the members of CalPERS including the self-funded health care programs, pharmacy benefit management, the Long-Term Care Program, and supplemental income plans for three defined contribution programs. By contracting with health maintenance organizations to manage health benefits, the program allows CalPERS members ease of use, and inherent cost savings for these benefits. Recent increases in the number of health providers allows for more choice and thus, additional member participation in the program.

Costs are covered by revenues derived from the Trust Fund appropriations set by the Board in the formal budget process.

Basis of Accounting and Budgeting

The term "basis of accounting" is used to describe the timing of recognition, that is, when the effects of transactions or events should be recognized. The basis of accounting used for purposes of financial reporting in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) is not necessarily the same basis used in preparing the budget document. Explaining the major differences between the basis of accounting used in the budget document and the basis of accounting used in the GAAP financial statements helps stakeholders better understand and interpret the numbers presented in both documents.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) establishes GAAP used in the United States. GASB has jurisdiction over accounting and financial reporting by governmental entities and seeks to ensure that government organizations provide accurate and reliable information to end users who are typically tax-paying citizens who want information about financial transactions made by the government.

Accounting

The CalPERS accounting and financial reports are managed and prepared in accordance with the guidelines of GASB. In government, the basic objective is service to the public and not the realization of net profit. However, under the GASB's authoritative guidance, governmental financial statements are to be presented using the full accrual method to help users assess the finances of government, including the year's operating results, in a manner similar to private sector (for profit) company reports.

The full accrual method allows the performance of an organization to be measured by recognizing revenues when the activity that generates them occurs, not necessarily when actual payments are received. Expenses are also recognized at the time they are incurred, not necessarily after actual payments are made. This generates financial statements that more accurately describe the current financial situation of the organization.

Budgeting

At CalPERS, the basis of budgeting differs from the basis of accounting because the basis of accounting is the full accrual method and the basis of budgeting is the modified accrual method.

The modified accrual method reflects revenues when payments are actually received, unlike the full accrual method. Expenses, however, are recorded using the full accrual method and are recognized at the time they are incurred, not necessarily when actual payments are made.

Financial Policies

The following policies reflect the overall framework that CalPERS uses. These policies are reviewed annually and updated as required, and are adopted by the Board or approved by senior executives.

Governance Policies

- The Global Governance Policy—Provides CaIPERS with a system of policies and structure by which the long-term goals and strategic plans are guided. The global governance structure specifies the distribution of authority and accountability among different levels of the organization. It details best practices and guiding principles for making decisions throughout the organization and provides a mechanism for accountability. Good governance ensures that CaIPERS funds are used for the sole benefit of our members to produce the long-term, risk-adjusted returns that pay pensions.
- The Regulations Analysis Policy—Allows CalPERS to advocate for its members and stakeholders by providing information and in-depth policy analyses to state and federal governmental entities; educating CalPERS about relevant changes in state and federal laws; and works with members and stakeholders to ensure the integrity of the CalPERS trust funds.

General Policies

- Authorized Position Policy—Defines CalPERS internal controls and staff roles and responsibilities when establishing, tracking, and approving new authorized positions for the organization. All proposals are presented to the CalPERS Board, whose authority it is to review and approve the proposals to begin the process of establishing new positions. Position control resides with the Financial Office.
- Bill Analysis Policy—Provides analysis of legislative bills or the effects of proposed measures or amendments and the fiscal impact affecting the organization. The purpose of bill analysis is to provide the organization with information concerning the probable programmatic and fiscal effects of pending legislation. CalPERS puts forth a position when the organization supports or rejects proposed legislation that creates an impact to CalPERS.

- Contract and Amendments Policy—Provides transparency and ensures there is awareness of significant contracts; gives the executive team the opportunity to participate in significant contract decisions before being finalized; assists in monitoring responsibilities regarding contract decisions. Certain contracts and purchases that exceed a threshold of \$1 million or more will be reported on a prospective basis to the Board.
- **Pro Rata Policy**—Defines CalPERS established guidelines determined by the California Department of Finance to identify statewide central administrative service costs paid from the General Fund that are chargeable to, and can be recovered from, special funds. CalPERS has control processes in place to ensure the pro rata assessment charges are allowable and reasonable.

Fiscal Control and Operating Budget Policies

- Accounting Policy—Requires CalPERS to establish and maintain its accounting systems according to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and standards promulgated by the GFOA, GASB, and the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB).
- Administrative Budget Policy—Allows CalPERS to develop the administrative budget plan for the upcoming fiscal year and submit the plan to the Department of Finance for inclusion in the Governor's Budget. The primary purpose is to develop a plan to operate within authorized budgeted levels and to comply with any restrictions or limitations enacted by the Legislature.
- Annual and Mid-Year Budget Development Policy— Defines how the annual and mid-year operating plans and budgets are developed within timeframes for review by the Board. In conducting these formal budgeting processes, CalPERS ensures the timely distribution of the annual budget for the operation of the organization and provides a means for modification in the mid-year when projections reflect potential pressures or new opportunities.

- **Budget Policy**—Ensures CalPERS budgeting practices are in accordance with responsible fiscal management for the allocation and utilization of resources to meet the organization's mission, vision, and values. The policy sets out the budgeting principles for the preparation of the budget, the process for the budget formulation, and the responsibilities in the preparation, approval, and implementation of a total balanced budget.
- Budget Change Proposal Policy—Budget change proposals are traditional decision documents which propose a change to the existing budget level and are submitted to the California Department of Finance for review and analysis. This policy ensures consistency, provides guidelines when proposing a change to the level of service or funding sources for activities authorized by the California State Legislature to establish a new program that is not currently authorized, or to delete an existing program.
- Budget Check Error Policy—Specifies a control in place to verify funding and appropriation availability in the accounting system when expenditures are posted against funding sources or an established encumbrance. Daily checks implement the control and notification of funds and encumbrances availability in a timely manner to both the accounting and budget staff. This policy assures a balanced budget within the authorized budget funds.
- Budget to Actual Reconciliation Policy—The budget reconciliation process serves as a control to confirm transactions were authorized, accurate, and complete. The authorization and approval processes for transactions serve as initial components of the budget reconciliation.
- Budget Transfer Policy—Establishes roles, responsibilities, and guidelines to request and approve budget transfers between a division's budget line items, from one division's budget to another, to and from the Unallocated Reserve Account and to cover unanticipated business needs.
- **Cost Allocation Policy**—Identifies allowable direct and indirect organizational costs and communicates the methodology used to participants and stakeholders.

- Legislative Budget Hearings Policy—Defines roles and responsibilities in attending the California State Legislature's policy and fiscal committee. The majority of measures of proposed programmatic or public policy changes are sent to the policy committee for review. Measures that could have a fiscal impact on the state are also sent to a fiscal committee to measure financial implications.
- Quarterly Projections Policy—Explains the comparison of quarterly expenditure projections using actuals against budget, identifies the methodology used and the total amount of anticipated expenditures. The remaining months of the fiscal year are used to identify divisions' spending if they fall under, over or on budget, and to identify specific budget item concerns.
- Quarterly Reporting Policy—Details requirements so data is captured quarterly to develop reports displaying projected and actual expenditure activity and investment information to present to the Board.
- Revenue and Expenditure Analysis Policy— Compares revenue and expenditure projections against actuals to identify fiscal year surpluses and/or deficits within the organization. Ensures divisional funding is adequate and funding sources do not exceed the appropriation levels.
- Transferring Investment Expenses Policy—Maintains consistent reporting of investment costs to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and the Legislative Analyst's Office. Identifies investment-related expenses in the Total Operating Costs Budget that should be more appropriately charged to the Investment External Management Fees Budget.
- Treasury Management Policy—Defines CalPERS responsibilities, structure, and approach for the Treasury Management Program. The policy ensures the payment of member benefits and organizational obligations without interruption, provides an integrated oversight structure, maintains the appropriate coverage and reserve levels for programs and the enterprise, and manages enterprise liquidity risk.
- Treasury Management Reserve Policy—The Treasury Management (TM) Program will establish and manage TM reserves to ensure the payment of Member Benefits and other obligations without interruption while optimizing the use of fund assets.

CalPERS Budget Process

The CalPERS budget is a financial plan for the spending and allocation of resources needed to meet the organization's mission, vision, and values necessary to build and maintain retirement and health security for members that serve the State of California; in accordance with the California Constitution, Article XVI, Section 17. The budget reflects CalPERS values and priorities and is the basis in determining how resources will be used and the estimated expenditures for the approved period. Prior to building the budget, enterprise priorities are determined through a business planning process. The five-year strategic plan and the two-year business plan are approved by the Board. The strategic goals of the enterprise, the mission of CalPERS, and the priorities of our stakeholders are incorporated into the budget process.

CalPERS has two budget processes during the fiscal year-the annual and mid-year budget processes.

CalPERS Budget Process

Annual Budget

- » One-year funding source
- » Board approval in April of each year
- » Budget approval effective July 1 June 30

Mid-Year Budget

- » 6-month funding source for Board-directed, unanticipated, and emergency needs only
- » Board approval in December of each year
- » Budget approval effective January 1 June 30 of each year, if needed

Annual Budget Process

The annual budget process is for review of the organization's existing resources and requests for new resources required to meet CalPERS mission, strategic plan, and business plan priorities. The process begins in October of each year with submission of the Financial Office's annual budget memo to enterprise management. The memo provides a universal message detailing instructions, budget policy direction, communication of expectations, and objectives for the budget process.

In the annual budget process, divisions' operational budgets are reviewed to determine core operational budget needs to maintain current service levels. Additionally, divisions requiring additional resources for new services, initiatives, and projects submit detailed formal budget request documents for review and prioritization during the budget process. Executive management and the Financial Office work collaboratively with divisions through this process and in the recommended allocation of funding based on enterprise priorities.

The proposed budget is reviewed by the Board in an open public forum in March and April, with a first and second reading. The Board approves and adopts the annual budget in April with an annual budget effective date of July 1 of the new fiscal year.

Mid-Year Budget Process

The purpose of the mid-year budget process is to amend the approved annual budget with up to six months of funding for Board-directed, critical, emergency, or unforeseen circumstances that arise after the start of the new fiscal year needing to be addressed and funded in the current year. The process begins in late August and the proposed mid-year budget is reviewed by the Board in an open public forum in November and December, as first and second readings, respectively. The Board approves and adopts the mid-year budget in December with an annual budget effective date of January 1 in the current fiscal year.

Annual Budget Process Key Milestones								
October Annual budget process memo to the enterprise	Curve of the second sec	November Division Chiefs discuss FBRs, enterprise priorities, area needs, and projects for upcoming year	► December FBRs due to the Financial Office	December Financial Office analysis, prioritization, and recommendations of FBRs to executive management				
January Division Chiefs present their FBRs to executive management panel	February Executive management finalize recommendations on FBRs to go forward to Board for review and approval	March First reading of the annual budget to the Board	April Second reading of the annual budget to the Board for final approval	July Authorized budget takes effect on July 1st				

FY 2016-17 Annual Financial Plan

The following budget provides the annual financial plan for FY 2016-17. CalPERS is committed to maintaining efficient and effective administration that is accountable and transparent to its stakeholders. This document compiles the financial data needed to support CalPERS goals which is aligned with the initiatives outlined in the CalPERS 2015-17 Business Plan, and is based on the departmental review of operations. The \$1,788.5 million budget for CalPERS consists of five budgetary funds: Total Operating Costs Fund, Enterprise Projects Budget Fund, Headquarters Building Costs Fund, Investment External Management Fees Fund, and Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund.

FY 2016-17 Annual CalPERS Total Budget (in millions)

					Budget t	o Budget
	FY 2015-16 Approved Budget ¹	FY 2015-16 Forecast	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	FY 2017-18 Projection	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
Administrative Operating Costs	\$444.7	\$431.1	\$455.8	\$465.3	\$11.1	2.5%
Investment Operating Costs ²	83.0	83.0	90.5	90.5	7.6	9.1%
SUBTOTAL	\$527.7	\$514.1	\$546.3	\$555.8	\$18.7	3.6%
Investment External Management Fees	930.7	930.7	896.7	896.7	(34.1)	(3.7%)
SUBTOTAL: OPERATING COSTS	\$1,458.4	\$1,444.8	\$1,443.0	\$1,452.5	(\$15.4)	(1.1%)
Enterprise Projects Costs	\$37.5	\$35.6	\$41.4	\$17.6	\$3.9	10.3%
Headquarters Building Costs	30.3	28.4	31.3	31.3	.9	3.1%
Third-Party Administrator Fees	281.4	278.4	272.8	272.9	(8.6)	(3.0%)
CalPERS TOTAL BUDGET	<u>\$1,807.6</u>	<u>\$1,787.2</u>	<u>\$1,788.5</u>	<u>\$1,774.3</u>	<u>(\$19.1</u>)	<u>(1.1%</u>)
TOTAL POSITIONS	2,765.0		2,872.0		107.0	3.9%

¹ FY 2015-16 Mid-Year Budget approved by CalPERS Board of Administration on December 15, 2015.

² Investment operating expenses are not part of CaIPERS Administrative Operating Costs and therefore should not be included in CaIPERS pro-rata assessment.

CalPERS FY 2016-17 Budget by Branch (in thousands)

			Budget to Budget		
			Budget to I	Budget	
	FY 2015-16 Approved Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)	
ADMINISTRATIVE & INVESTMENT OPERATING COSTS					
Actuarial Office	\$8,036	\$9,301	\$1,265	15.7%	
Benefit Programs Policy and Planning	30,088	28,762	(1,326)	(4.4%)	
Communications & Stakeholder Relations	10,215	10,560	345	3.4%	
Customer Services & Support	103,971	109,434	5,463	5.3%	
Executive Office	6,581	6,603	22	0.3%	
Financial Office	29,777	29,978	201	0.7%	
General Counsel	27,515	26,210	(1,305)	(4.7%)	
Investment Office	151,621	161,796	10,176	6.7%	
Operations & Technology	138,038	142,015	3,977	2.9%	
Pro-Rata Assessment	21,814	21,651	(163)	(0.7%)	
SUBTOTAL	\$527,656	\$546,310	\$18,655	3.5%	
Investment External Management Fees	930,726	896,705	(34,021)	(3.7%)	
Enterprise Projects Budget	37,508	41,382	3,874	10.3%	
Headquarters Building Costs	30,350	31,295	946	3.1%	
Third-Party Administrator Fees ¹	281,360	272,809	(8,551)	(3.0%)	
CalPERS TOTAL BUDGET	\$1,807,600	<u>\$1,788,500</u>	<u>(\$19,100</u>)	<u>(1.1%</u>)	

¹ Includes Health Program Fees, Long-Term Care Fees, and Supplemental Income Management and Custodial Fees.

Total Administrative & Investment Operating Costs Budget Fund

The proposed FY 2016-17 CalPERS Total Budget is \$1,788.5 million, which represents an overall decrease of approximately \$19.1 million (1.1 percent) from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$1,807.6 million. Specifically, this is an increase of \$18.7 million (3.5 percent) in administrative and investment operating costs; a decrease of \$34.0 million (3.7 percent) in investment external management fees; an increase of \$3.9 million (10.3 percent) in enterprise project costs; an increase of \$0.9 million (3.1 percent) in headquarters building costs; and a decrease of \$8.6 million (3.0 percent) in third-party administrator fees.

Total Administrative & Investment Operating Costs Trend (in millions)



The Total Administrative and Investment Operating Costs for the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget are \$546.3 million compared to \$527.7 million in the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget, an increase of \$18.6 million (3.5 percent), as detailed below:

	\$ Change (in millions)	% Change
FY 2015-16 Administrative & Investment Operating Costs	\$527.7	
Cost Increases:		
Trading and Portfolio Management Systems	8.8	1.7%
Data Processing	7.4	1.4%
Personnel Services	5.5	1.0%
Appraisal Fees/Tax Advisory Services	3.2	0.6%
Master Custodial/Investment Expenses	2.1	0.4%
Other	2.5	0.5%
TOTAL	29.5	5.6%
Cost Decreases:		
External Counsel Fees	(3.2)	(0.6%)
Data Analytics	(2.7)	(0.5%)
Consultant and Professional Services	(2.0)	(0.4%)
Equipment	(1.7)	(0.3%)
Other	(1.3)	(0.2%)
TOTAL	(10.9)	(2.1%)
Net Increase	18.6	3.5%
FY 2016-17 ADMINISTRATIVE & INVESTMENT OPERATING COSTS	<u>\$546.3</u>	

Line Items of Expense (Financial Schedule)

The following pages highlight each notable change for the expense items within the Administrative and Investment Operating Costs.

Line Item Expense (in thousands)

				Budget to	Budget
		FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	\$ Change	% Change
	FY 2014-15 Actual	Approved Budget	Approved Budget	increase/ (decrease)	increase/ (decrease)
Administrative & Investment Operating Costs	Actual	Duuget	Duuget	(ueciease)	(uecrease)
[1] PERSONNEL SERVICES					
Salaries & Wages	\$194,733	\$222,110	\$230,026	\$7,915	3.6%
Temporary Help	14,335	۶222,110 14,424	\$230,020 10,619	(3,805)	(26.4%)
Overtime	2,208	2,660	2,395	(3,803)	(20.4%)
Benefits	88,739	113,271	2,393 114,963	1,692	1.5%
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICES	\$300,014	\$352,465	\$358,002	\$5,537	1.5%
Vacancy Savings	\$300,014	\$352,405 (17,144)	\$358,002 (17,226)	¢5,557 (81)	1.070
REVISED TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICES	\$300,014	\$335,321	\$340,776	\$5,455	1.6%
REVISED TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICES	\$500,014	<i>φ</i> 333,321	\$340,770	\$J,4JJ	1.070
[2] OPERATING EXPENSES & EQUIPMENT					
General Expense	\$7,362	\$9,826	\$9,849	\$22	0.2%
Fund Administrative Services/Subscriptions [2.1]	5,700	4,156	3,630	(526)	(12.7%)
Software [2.2]	2,662	2,051	2,724	673	32.8%
Printing [2.3]	1,252	1,393	1,724	331	23.8%
Postage [2.4]	1,240	1,305	1,433	128	9.8%
Communications	1,830	2,260	2,328	68	3.0%
Data Processing Services [2.5]	13,375	9,728	17,094	7,366	75.7%
Travel [2.6]	2,511	2,981	3,134	153	5.1%
Training [2.7]	1,417	1,394	1,746	352	25.3%
Medical Exam/Disability Travel [2.8]	1,795	1,150	1,703	553	48.0%
Facilities Operations	4,217	5,428	5,481	53	1.0%
Central Administrative Services	22,263	25,155	24,710	(445)	(1.8%)
External Legal Counsel [2.9]	12,507	18,739	15,489	(3,250)	(17.3%)
Professional Services	8,056	7,096	6,896	(200)	(2.8%)
Consultants	38,362	42,984	41,159	(1,825)	(4.2%)
Audit Services [2.10]	1,330	2,073	1,799	(273)	(13.2%)
Master Custodian Fees [2.11]	6,757	5,970	6,800	830	13.9%
Appraisal Fees/Tax Advisory Services [2.12]	6,285	6,275	9,453	3,178	50.6%
Federal Legislative Rep [2.13]	659	800	900	100	12.5%
Administrative Hearings [2.14]	754	500	760	260	52.0%
Other Administrative & Operating Expenses [2.15]	1,546	722	1,546	824	114.1%
Consolidated Data Centers	81	90	90		0.0%
Trading & Portfolio Mgmt Systems [2.16]	15,056	13,315	22,101	8,786	66.0%
Data/Analytics [2.17]	14,979	19,140	16,477	(2,663)	(13.9%)
Business Operations Tool/Other Technology [2.18]	1,041	650	1,060	410	63.1%
Equipment (Includes EDP) [2.19]	6,038	7,154	5,447	(1,708)	(23.9%)
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES & EQUIPMENT	\$ 179,075	\$192,335	\$ 205,533	\$13,198	6.9%
TOTAL COSTS	\$479,089	<u>\$527,656</u>	<u>\$546,309</u>	<u>\$18,653</u>	<u>3.5%</u>

[1] Personnel Services

Information provided in the Position Summary Schedule page 54.

[2] Operating Expenses & Equipment

Operating Expenses and Equipment costs of \$205.5 million represent an increase of \$13.2 million (6.9 percent) from the FY 2015-16 Budget of \$192.3 million.

Operating Expenses and Equipment Costs Trend (in millions)



[2.1] Fund Admin Services/Subscriptions

The fund administration services/subscriptions costs are estimated to decrease by \$526,000 primarily due to the wind down of the Absolute Return Strategy (ARS) portfolio and the transition to PEARS in Private Equity.

[2.2] Software

Software costs are estimated to increase by \$673,000 primarily due to the one-time purchase of eDiscovery Software for the Legal Office (\$400,000) and ongoing costs for security software in ITSB.

[2.3] Printing

Printing costs are estimated to increase by \$331,000. The PERSpective mailing costs are increasing due to a larger volume being distributed and increased costs by the Office of State Printing.

[2.4] Postage

Postage costs are estimated to increase by \$128,000 due to Board election postage costs.

[2.5] Data Processing Services

Data Processing Services costs reflect an increase of \$7.4 million. However, the current year budget has not been updated to reflect anticipated costs that are more in line with FY 2016-17 costs.

[2.6] Travel

Travel costs are estimated to increase by \$153,000 primarily due to the increased costs associated with the CalPERS Benefit Education Events and due to more in-house investment staff traveling.

[2.7] Training

Training costs are estimated to increase by \$352,000 primarily in the investment and information technology areas. This is due to having in-house staff perform functions previously done by outside consultants. Also, the fast-paced technological environment and high demands for system changes require the continuous learning and enhancement of the skills and knowledge of state staff.

[2.8] Medical Exams/Disability Travel

Medical exams/disability travel costs are estimated to increase by \$553,000 compared to the FY 2015-16 Budget. However, the proposed costs are lower than the FY 2014-15 actual expenses due to in-house medical staff who can assist with disability eligibility determinations.

[2.9] External Legal Counsel

External legal counsel costs are estimated to decrease by \$3.3 million due to a reduction in bankruptcy and investment-related legal costs.

[2.10] Audit Services

Audit services costs are estimated to decrease by \$273,000 primarily due to the trend over the past three years related to costs for auditing external managers.

[2.11] Master Custodian Fees

Master Custodian Fees are estimated to increase by \$830,000 to align with prior year actual expenses and to reflect added services in the Investment Office for the Emerging Manager portfolio.

[2.12] Appraisal Fees/Tax Advisory Services

Appraisal Fees and Tax Advisory Services are estimated to increase by \$3.2 million due to the increased frequency of real estate appraisals to normalize the schedule of asset valuations.

[2.13] Federal Legislative Representative

A recent change from one federal representative for all health, pension, and investment related issues to three individual representatives for each area of focus has increased federal legislative costs by an estimated \$100,000 due to moving from one to three firms.

[2.14] Administrative Hearings

Administrative Hearings costs are estimated to increase by \$260,000 due to additional member appeal cases that go to the Department of General Services - Office of Administrative Hearings. This is consistent with the FY 2014-15 expenditure level.

[2.15] Other Administrative & Operating Expenses

Other Administrative and Operating Expenses are estimated to increase by \$824,000 primarily due to the continued efforts toward the internalization of core, high value functions related to investing that were previously outsourced and the addition of the Emerging Manager portfolio.

[2.16] Trading & Portfolio Management Systems

Trading & Portfolio Management Systems costs are estimated to increase by \$8.8 million primarily due to the implementation of the ARTEMIS II and PEARS systems in the Investment Office. In addition, our subscriptions with Blackrock, Charles River, and Capital Analytics are expected to increase as we continue to insource our investment management.

[2.17] Data/Analytics

Data analytics costs are estimated to decrease compared to the FY 2015-16 projection by \$2.6 million based on continued INVO cost effectiveness efforts to assess tools needed for internal investment staff. This is a direct result of the Investment Office's continued effort to build infrastructure necessary to support the internal management of assets.

[2.18] Business Operations Tool/Other Technology

Business operations tool/other investment technology costs are estimated to increase by \$410,000 to align with prior year actual expenses and to reflect the increase in our trading activity as we more actively manage our cash holdings.

[2.19] Equipment (includes EDP)

Equipment costs are estimated to decrease by \$1.7 million primarily due to a reduction in the Hardware Refresh amount in FY 2016-17 (from \$5.8 million in FY 2015-16 to \$4.2 million in FY 2016-17).

Investment External Management Fees Fund

The FY 2016-17 Approved Budget of \$896.7 million is made up of \$677.5 million for External Management Base Fees and \$219.2 million for External Management Performance Fees which is an overall decrease of \$34.0 million or 3.7 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget. Total External Management Base Fees are decreasing by \$70.6 million or 9.4 percent and total External Management Performance Fees are increasing by \$36.6 million or 20.1 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget.

Investment External Management Fees Fund (in thousands)

				Budget to Budget		
			-	Budget to	Budget	
		FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	\$ Change	% Change	
	FY 2014-15 Actual	Approved Budget	Approved Budget	increase/ (decrease)	increase/ (decrease)	
	Actual	Dudget	Dudget		(ucci cusc)	
Global Equity [3.0]	\$50,457	\$51,265	\$92,750	\$41,485	80.9%	
Fixed Income	9,354	7,915	8,797	882	11.1%	
Real Assets [3.1]	199,279	206,296	165,378	(40,918)	(19.8%)	
Absolute Return Strategy [3.2]	40,260	2,641	4,000	1,359	51.5%	
Private Equity	414,137	440,582	391,000	(49,582)	(11.3%)	
Activist Funds [3.3]	28,464	31,772	6,185	(25,587))	(80.5%)	
Multi Asset Class ¹ [3.4]		7,650	9,358	1,708	22.3%	
EXTERNAL MGMT, BASE FEES	\$741,950	\$748,121	\$677,468	(\$70,653)	(9.4%)	
Global Equity [3.0]	\$69,715	\$32,096	\$72,258	\$40,162	125.1%	
Fixed Income	2,446	4,199	4,114	(85)	(2.0%)	
Real Assets ² [3.1]	472,688	89,672	142,865	53,193	59.3%	
Absolute Return Strategy [3.2]	46,250	2,938		(2,938)	(100.0%)	
Activist Funds [3.3]	93	44,945		(44,945)	(100.0%)	
Multi Asset Class ^{1,3} [3.4]		8,755		(8,755)	(100.0%)	
EXTERNAL MGMT, PERFORMANCE FEES ⁴	\$591,192	\$182,605	\$219,237	\$36,632	20.1%	
TOTAL EXTERNAL MANAGEMENT FEES	<u>\$1,333,142</u>	<u>\$930,726</u>	<u>\$896,705</u>	<u>(\$34,021</u>)	<u>(3.7%</u>)	

¹ For FY 2014-15, Multi Asset Class base and performance fees were unavailable.

² For budget reporting purposes, in FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17, performance fees for Real Assets do not include accrued profit-sharing fees. All real asset profit-sharing fees (including accruals) continue to be reported as Management & Performance Fees on the Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds along with the Other Supplementary Information section of the CAFR. ³ In FY 2015-16, performance fees for Multi Asset Class include profit-sharing accrual estimates. Beginning in FY 2016-17, for budget reporting purposes, profit-sharing accruals are not included. The profit-sharing accruals continue to be reported as Net Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value of Investments on the Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds.

⁴ Profit-sharing for Private Equity is deducted from the net returns earned in that asset class.

The following are the main variances from the previous year:

[3.0] Global Equity base fees are projected to increase by \$41.5 million as deployment of additional capital for three new Emerging Managers strategies took place in FY 2015-16. Performance fees are anticipated to increase by \$40.2 million based on a projection of a slight positive market return and represents a minimal increase of 4.0 percent compared to prior year actuals.

[3.1] Real Assets base fees are projected to decrease by \$40.9 million based on INVO's cost effectiveness focus of renegotiating current contracts and external manager feedback based on average net asset value (NAV) and market analysis. Projected paid profit sharing fees show an increase compared to FY 2015-16 projections of \$53.2 million based on market analysis and feedback from external managers on asset valuations. FY 2015-16 projections were based on historical paid incentive fees.

[3.2] Absolute Return Strategy (ARS) base fees are projected to increase by \$1.4 million based on a blended rate being applied to expected remaining average balances at the end of FY 2015-16. INVO expects any remaining ARS assets will be sold by the end of FY 2016-17. Performance fees are projected to be zero due to the wind down of this portfolio. **[3.3] Activist Funds** (previously Corporate Governance) base fees are projected to decrease by \$25.6 million and performance fees are projected to be \$0 as INVO discontinues these relationships and the assets under management (AUM) move to traditional GE managers on a lower base of fees.

[3.4] Multi Asset Class base fees are projected to increase by \$1.7 million based on NAV and contracted basis points, and performance fees are projected to decrease by \$8.8 million. No performance fees are anticipated to be paid out in FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17.

The following table shows the net decrease in investment costs, comparing the decrease in external management fees offset by the increase in investment administrative and operating costs.

Net Decrease in Investment Costs (in millions)

			Budget to	Budget
	FY 2015-16 Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
NVESTMENT COSTS				
dministrative	\$68.7	\$71.3	\$2.6	3.8%
vestment Operating Costs	83.0	90.5	7.6	9.1%
ternal Management Fees	930.7	896.7	(34.0)	(3.7%)
L	<u>\$1,082.3</u>	<u>\$1,058.5</u>	<u>(\$23.8</u>)	<u>(2.2%</u>)

Basis Points Trend

The expense ratio reflects investment expenses in relation to the value of the portfolio. The above chart shows the comparison, or ratios, of the External Management Fees and the Investment Administrative and Operating Costs to the net asset value (NAV) of the assets under management by fiscal year. In summary, there is a positive downward trend for External Management Fees relative to the NAV.

The increase in basis points in FY 2014-15 Actual External Management Fees from FY 2013-14 is due to higher increases in the performance fee expenses in comparison to increases in the NAV. The expense ratio of the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget total investment expenses is anticipated to be 29.45 basis points. This assumes a downward trend in the ratio as staff continue to negotiate more favorable portfolio management terms and bring outside external management fees in-house to state staff.



CalPERS Investment Expenses/Net Asset Value (in basis points)

Note: FY 2015-16, FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 forcasted NAV is based on the average annual percentage increase in net assets from FY 2010-11 to FY 2014-2015.

Enterprise Projects Fund

The FY 2016-17 Enterprise Project Costs of \$41.4 million represent an increase of \$3.9 million or 10.3 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$37.5 million driven by increases in system security costs, AVS redesign, system infrastructure modernization, and tobacco divestment study (totaling \$8.2 million) offset by the disaster recovery one-time cost decrease of \$4.0 million. Compared to the FY 2015-16 Forecast of \$35.6 million, the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget represents an increase of \$5.8 million or 16.2 percent.

The Enterprise Projects are detailed further in the Capital Expenditures Section on page 47.

				Budget	to Budget
	FY 2015–16 Approved Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	FY 2017-18 Projection	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
CURRENT PROJECTS	·				
[1] my CalPERS Business Optimization	\$23,000	\$23,470	\$10,000	\$470	2.0%
[2] Security Roadmap	4,000	5,179	1,340	1,179	29.5%
[3] Actuarial Valuation System Redesign	2,500	4,797	5,000	2,297	91.9%
[4] Infrastructure Modernization	-	4,200	-	4,200	-
[5] Business Intelligence	1,000	1,000	-	-	0.0%
[6] Compliance Program Infrastructure, Framework, & eGRC	500	550	500	50	10.0%
[7] Treasury Management	500	400	-	(100)	(20.0%)
[8] Tobacco Divestment Study and Outreach	-	500	-	500	-
[9] Miscellaneous Projects ¹	200	1,285	763	1,085	542.5%
PRIOR YEAR PROJECTS					
IT Backup/Restoration/Archiving/Disaster Recovery	4,000	-	-	(4,000)	(100.0%)
Data Governance	600	-	-	(600)	(100.0%)
Budget Analytics Software	500	-	-	(500)	(100.0%)
Enterprise Content Management Website Accessibility	225	-	-	(225)	(100.0%)
Miscellaneous Projects ²	483	-	-	(483)	(100.0%)
TOTAL OF ENTERPRISE PROJECTS	\$37,508	<u>\$41,382</u>	<u>\$17,603</u>	<u>\$3,874</u>	<u>10.3%</u>

Enterprise Projects (in thousands)

¹ Includes PeopleSoft HCM 9.2 Upgrade, Windows 10 Planning, Succession Planning, and Executive Compensation Project.

² Includes Information Security Risk Metrics, CalPERS Education Center Replacement, and Talent Management-Learning Management System Upgrade.

Headquarters Building Costs Fund

The FY 2016-17 Headquarters Building Costs are \$34.4 million, reduced by \$3.1 million in rent revenues, creating a net cost of \$31.3 million. Costs include operating expenses of \$18.9 million (utilities, engineering services, janitorial, security, etc.) and non-operating expenses of \$15.5 million (structural improvements, staff moves, insurance, etc.).

Headquarters Building Costs Trend (in millions)



Operating expenses in the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget of \$18.9 million is a \$0.3 million increase from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$18.6 million. Non-Operating expenses in the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget of \$15.4 million have increased by \$0.5 million from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$14.9 million.

The increase of \$0.8 million is broken down as follows: (in millions)

Utilities —(2.5% SMUD increase) and Stairwell Maintenance	\$0.4
Security —Increased salaries due to Government Code compliance	0.2
EOC (Sun Center) —Removal of 10-year uninterrupted power system battery replacement and cold aisle containment project from previous year	(0.5)
Owner Improvements —Decrease in tenant improvements project expenses	(0.2)
Building Improvements —Garage door conversion, dimming system replacement, emergency services replacements, drought tolerant supplies, concrete repairs, lighting upgrades	1.1
Building Insurance —Decrease based on current market and decrease in trending premiums	(0.4)
Miscellaneous—Net effect of all other changes	0.2
Total Change in Cost	\$0.8
Rent Revenue Offset	0.2
Budget Increase from FY 2015-16 to FY 2016-17	\$1.0

Headquarters Building Costs by Category (in thousands)

				Budget to I	Budget
	FY 2014-15 Actual Expenses	FY 2015-16 Approved Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
OPERATING:					
Utilities	\$2,456	\$2,258	\$2,455	\$198	8.8%
Engineering Services	2,572	3,004	3,085	81	2.7%
Janitorial	2,465	3,189	3,202	13	0.4%
Landscaping	753	822	840	18	2.2%
General Maintenance	1,826	2,043	2,218	175	8.6%
Security	2,164	2,231	2,454	223	10.0%
Property Mgmt & Administrative Fees	1,124	1,254	1,227	(27)	(2.1%)
Café Plaza	402	375	376	1	0.3%
Front St. Parking/Warehouse	473	644	660	16	2.5%
EOC (Sun Center) ¹	508	931	414	(517)	(55.5%)
Operating Other ²	1,795	1,873	1,979	106	5.6%
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	\$16,537	\$18,623	\$18,911	\$288	1.5%
NON-OPERATING:					
Owner Improvements ³	\$5,748	\$7,395	\$7,158	(\$237)	(3.2%)
Building Improvements ⁴	3,689	4,113	5,170	1,057	25.7%
Furniture & Fixtures	2,880	2,451	2,511	60	2.5%
Building Insurance	475	976	610	(366)	(37.5%)
TOTAL NON-OPERATING COSTS	\$12,793	\$14,934	\$15,449	\$515	3.4%
TOTAL COSTS	<u>\$29,330</u>	<u>\$33,558</u>	<u>\$34,360</u>	<u>\$803</u>	<u>2.4%</u>
Rent Revenue Offset ⁵	(3,385)	(3,208)	(3,065)	143	(4.5%)
TOTAL HEADQUARTERS BUILDING COSTS	<u>\$25,944</u>	<u>\$30,350</u>	<u>\$31,295</u>	<u>\$946</u>	<u>3.1%</u>

¹ Emergency Operations Center (EOC) includes utilities, janitorial, security, landscaping, generator maintenance.

² Includes ongoing expense categories that individually equal less than \$300K annually, such as electrical, HVAC, plumbing, general maintenance, waste removal, property taxes, child care center, and parking garage.

³ Non-Operating Owner Improvements include CalPERS operational enhancements and support for the movement of divisions, units, and/or staff within CalPERS.

⁴ Non-Operating Building Improvements are improvements made to the building structure or common areas.

⁵ The Headquarters Building Account receives rent revenue that is used to offset the annual expenses of the Headquarters Building Account.

Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund

Third-Party Administrator Fees cover plan administration, recordkeeping, marketing, and participant communication services for the CalPERS Health Program, Long-Term Care Program, and Supplemental Income Plan Program. Establishing strong partnerships to support delivery of health and pension services continues to strengthen our focus on improving long-term health and pension benefit sustainability for our members.

Total Third-Party Administrator Fees for the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget are \$272.8 million, a decrease of \$8.6 million or 3.0 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$281.4 million. The \$8.6 million decrease is mainly driven by decreased fees in the Health Program and a decrease in fees in the Long-Term Care Program. The following details each of the program areas:





Third-Party Administrator Fees (in thousands)

				Budget to	Budget
	FY 2014-15 Actual Expenses	FY 2015–16 Approved Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
Health Program ^{1,2}	\$251,560	\$242,477	\$234,401	(\$8,076)	(3.3%)
CVS Caremark Pharmacy ³	12,274	12,158	12,158		0.0%
Health Program - State Controllers' Office			780	780	0.0%
SUBTOTAL HEALTH	\$263,834	\$254,635	\$247,339	(\$7,296)	(2.9%)
Long-Term Care Program — LTCG	20,162	22,822	21,572	(1,250)	(5.5%)
TOTAL HEALTH	\$283,996	\$277,457	\$268,911	(\$8,546)	(3.1%)
Supplemental Income Plan — Voya	3,853	3,903	3,899	(5)	(0.1%)
TOTAL FEES	\$287,849	<u>\$281,360</u>	<u>\$272,809</u>	<u>(\$8,551</u>)	<u>(3.0%</u>)

¹ Excludes Kaiser global capitation system, which does not capture administrative fees separately.

² Includes Anthem Blue Cross, Blue Shield, Health Net, Sharp Health Plan, and United Healthcare.

³ Competitive solicitation planned for 2017.
Health Program



Health Program Fees Trend (in millions)

The Health Program provides health coverage to more than 1.4 million members and their families enrolled in CalPERS health plans which include all active and retired California State employees, as well as active and retired employees of local agencies, and school districts in California. Effective January 1, 2014, health coverage providers were expanded to include Anthem Blue Cross, Blue Shield, Health Net, Sharp Health Plan, and United Healthcare.

The FY 2016-17 Approved Budget of \$247.3 million represents a net decrease of \$7.3 million or 2.9 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget mainly driven by changes in health plan enrollment of the Blue Shield health plan. The FY 2015-16 Forecast shows a slight increase in costs of \$0.7 million in comparison to the FY 2015-16 Budget due to movement in membership amongst the various health plans. The FY 2017-18 Projection is estimated to be the same as the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget due to continued efficiencies identified in the health program although membership continues to increase.



Long-Term Care Program Fees Trend (in millions)

Long-Term Care Program

The Long Term Care Program, as administered by Long Term Care Group, Inc., provides comprehensive, personal, non-medical assistance, including care at home, adult care centers, assisted living facilities, and nursing homes to its members. Administrator fees include underwriting costs, billing/banking, care advisory services, claims administration, reports, and customer service.

The FY 2016-17 Approved Budget of \$21.6 million represents a decrease of \$1.2 million or 5.5 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget. This decrease is attributed to a reduction in projected enrollment and the associated savings in related administrative costs. The FY 2015-16 Forecast shows a decrease in costs of \$3.6 million in comparison to the FY 2015-16 Budget due to a decrease in enrollment. The FY 2017-18 Projection is estimated to be the same as the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget.

Supplemental Income Plan



Supplemental Income Plan Fees Trend (in millions)

The Supplemental Income Plans (SIP) program provides deferred compensation and defined contribution plans for employees of participating public agencies, schools, and the State of California. The Voya Group is the thirdparty administrator responsible for providing recordkeeping and administrative services for the SIP Program.

The FY 2016-17 Approved Budget of \$3.9 million is relatively the same as the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget. For the FY 2015-16 Forecast, the program estimates spending the entire budget. The FY 2017-18 Projection is also estimated to be the same as the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget.

Consolidated Financial Schedule

FY 2016-17 Consolidated Financial Schedule (in thousands)

	Total Operating Costs Fund	Enterprise Projects Fund	HQ Building Costs Fund	Investment External Mgmt Fees Fund	Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund	Total for FY 2016-17
REVENUES ¹	<u>\$546,309</u>	<u>\$41,382</u>	<u>\$31,295</u>	<u>\$896,705</u>	<u>\$272,809</u>	\$1,788,500
EXPENDITURES						
Personnel	\$327,762	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 327,762
Temporary Help & Overtime	13,014	-	-	-	-	13,014
General Expense	9,849	-	-	-	-	9,849
Communications	2,328	-	-	-	-	2,328
Data Processing Services	17,094	-	-	-	-	17,094
Facilities Operations	5,481	-	-	-	-	5,481
Travel & Training	4,879	-	-	-	-	4,879
Central Administrative Services	24,710	-	-	-	-	24,710
Professional Services	6,896	-	-	-	-	6,896
Consultants	41,159	-	-	-	-	41,159
External Legal Counsel & Audit Services	17,288	-	-	-	-	17,288
Trading & Portfolio Mgmt Systems	22,101	-	-	-	-	22,101
Data/Analytics	16,477	-	-	-	-	16,477
Other Investment Operating Costs	22,489	-	-	-	-	22,489
Other Administrative Costs	9,334	-	-	-	-	9,334
Equipment	5,447	-	-	-	-	5,447
my CalPERS Business Optimization	-	23,470	-	-	-	23,470
Security Roadmap	-	5,179	-	-	-	5,179
Actuarial Valuation System	-	4,797	-	-	-	4,797
Infrastructure Modernization	-	4,200	-	-	-	4,200
Business Intelligence	-	1,000	-	-	-	1,000
Compliance Infrastructure & Framework	-	550	-	-	-	550
Tobacco Divestment Study Outreach	-	500	-	-	-	500
Treasury Management	-	400	-	-	-	400
Miscellaneous Projects ²	-	1,285	-	-	-	1,285
Headquarters Building Costs	-	-	31,295	-	-	31,295
External Management - Base Fees	-	-	-	677,468	-	677,468
External Management - Performance Fees	-	-	-	219,237	-	219,237
Health Program Administrative Fees	-	-	-	-	235,181	235,181
Pharmacy Services - CVS Caremark	-	-	-	-	12,158	12,158
Long-Term Care Program - LTC Group, Inc.	-	-	-	-	21,572	21,572
Supplemental Income Plan - Voya	-	-	-	-	3,899	3,899
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>\$546,309</u>	<u>\$41,382</u>	<u>\$31,295</u>	<u>\$896,705</u>	<u>\$272,809</u>	\$ 1,788,500

1 The CalPERS program receives revenue for expenditures through allocation from the trust funds.

2 Includes Human Capital Management System 9.2 Upgrade, Windows 10 Planning, Succession Planning, and the Executive Compensation Project.

Three-Year Consolidated & Fund Financial Schedules

Three-Year Consolidated Financial Sources & Uses (in thousands)

	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
	Actual Expenses	Approved Budget	Approved Budget
REVENUES	¢ 470 000	¢ c o z c c c	¢ = 4 < 200
Total Operating Costs Fund Enterprise Projects Fund	\$479,089 22,921	\$527,656	\$546,309
Headquarters Building Costs Fund	25,944	37,508 30,350	41,382 31,295
Investment External Management Fees Fund	1,333,142	930,726	896,705
Third-Party Administrator Fees Fund	287,849	281,360	272,809
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 2,148,945	\$1,807,600	\$ 1,788,500
EXPENDITURES			
Personnel	\$ 300,014	\$ 335,321	\$ 340,776
Data Processing Services	13,375	9,728	17,094
Central Administrative Services	22,263	25,155	24,710
Consultant & Professional Services	46,418	50,080	48,055
External Legal Counsel	12,507	18,739	15,489
Equipment	6,038	7,154	5,447
Other Administrative Operating Costs	26,556	30,279	32,904
Investment Operating Costs	51,918	51,199	61,834
my CalPERS System	17,400	23,000	23,470
Security Roadmap	2,019	4,000	5,179
Actuarial Valuation System	132	2,500	4,797
Infrastructure Modernization	-	2,500	4,200
Business Intelligence	1,240	1,000	1,000
Compliance Program Infrastructure & Framework	1,240	500	550
Human Capital Management System	1,000	500	525
Tobacco Divestment Study and Outreach	1,000	-	500
Treasury Management		500	400
Windows 10 Planning		500	300
Succession Planning			260
Executive Compensation Project	140	200	200
IT Backup/Restoration/Archiving/Disaster Recovery	140	4,000	200
Data Governance	-	4,000	-
Budget Analytics Software		500	
PeopleSoft Financials eProcurement Module	496	500	
Enterprise Content Management Website Accessibility	490	225	
Information Security Risk Metrics	474	223	
CalPERS Education Center Replacement	-	173	
Talent Management - Learning Mgmt System Upgrade	-	110	-
	25.04.4		- 21 20 E
Headquarters Building Costs External Management - Base Fees	25,944	30,350	31,295
External Management - Performance Fees	741,950 591,192	748,121 182,605	677,468
			219,237
Health Program	251,560	242,477	235,181
CVS Caremark Pharmacy	12,274	12,158	12,158
Long Term Care Program - Long Term Care Group, Inc.	20,162	22,822	21,572
Supplemental Income Plan - Voya	3,853	3,903	3,899
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>\$2,148,945</u>	<u>\$1,807,600</u>	<u>\$1,788,500</u>

Trust Fund Balance

In accordance with the California Constitution, Article XVI, Section 17 "The assets of a public pension or retirement system are trust funds and shall be held for the exclusive purposes of providing benefits to participants in the pension or retirement system and their beneficiaries and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the System."

Fund condition statements for the CalPERS trust funds are presented below and include beginning balances, revenues, expenditures and ending balances for three years. Changes from year to year are described in the footnotes for each fund and are primarily based on membership/enrollment forecasts and investment return estimates. The Administrative Expenses identified in each fund displayed as follows are comprised of administrative and investment operating costs, investment external management fees, enterprise project costs, headquarters building costs, and third-party administrator fees, as applicable.

Annuitants' Health Care Coverage Fund (AHCCF) (in Thousands)

(III THOUSAHUS)			
	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$3,889,264	\$4,470,554	\$5,359,220
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	(7,453)	327,990	385,648
Contributions**	632,844	608,946	614,576
TOTAL REVENUES	\$625,391	\$936,936	\$1,000,224
EXPENDITURES			
Employer Health Reimbursement Expenses for Retirees	39,766	43,742	48,116
Administrative Expenses	4,335	4,528	5,833
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$41,101	\$48,270	\$53,949
ENDING BALANCE	\$4,470,554	\$5,359,220	\$6,305,495

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on projected return rate.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on percentage of salaries.

Public Employees' Contingency Reserve Fund (CRF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$7,933	\$8,280	\$7,848
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	803	838	775
Contributions**	26,712	26,656	27,717
Retiree Drug Subsidy***	6,000	4,600	4,600
TOTAL REVENUES	\$33,515	\$32,094	\$33,092
EXPENDITURES			
Retiree Drug Subsidy Payments	6,000	4,600	4,600
Administrative Expenses	27,168	27,926	28,004
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$33,168	\$32,526	\$32,604
ENDING BALANCE	\$8,280	\$7,848	\$8,336

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on prior year return percentage.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on annual health premiums multiplied by the administrative rate.

*** Pass-through subsidy from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; based on eligible enrollees.

Public Employees' Health Care Fund (HCF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$454,325	\$344,443	\$361,345
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	20,631	21,663	22,748
Contributions**	3,919,334	3,790,162	4,091,121
TOTAL REVENUES	\$3,939,965	\$3,811,825	\$4,113,869
EXPENDITURES			
Benefit Payments**	3,762,938	3,506,311	3,639,852
Administrative Expenses	286,909	288,612	287,945
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$4,049,847	\$3,794,923	\$3,927,797
ENDING BALANCE	\$344,443	\$361,345	\$547,417

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on a 5 percent increase over prior year.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on projected enrollment counts.

Judges' Retirement Fund (JRF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$57,705	\$41,788	\$35,004
REVENUES			
Investment Income	90	65	55
Contributions*	186,986	197,675	208,611
Contribution Refunds*	(134)	(48)	(48)
TOTAL REVENUES	\$186,942	\$197,692	\$208,618
EXPENDITURES			
Pension Benefit Payments**	201,734	203,139	208,162
Administrative Expenses	1,125	1,337	1,767
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$202,859	\$204,476	\$209,929
ENDING BALANCE	\$41,788	\$35,004	\$33,693

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on a rolling three year average.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on projected annual pension benefit payouts.

Judges' Retirement Fund II (JRF II)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$1,014,295	\$1,084,302	\$1,237,593
REVENUES			
Investment Income	(2,410)	75,913	86,662
Contributions*	87,871	88,939	91,621
Contribution Refunds	(16)	(53)	(53)
TOTAL REVENUES	\$85,445	\$164,799	\$178,230
EXPENDITURES			
Pension Benefit Payments**	14,024	9,877	11,927
Administrative Expenses	1,414	1,631	2,189
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$15,438	\$11,508	\$14,116
ENDING BALANCE	\$1,084,302	\$1,237,593	\$1,401,707

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on a rolling three year average.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on projected annual pension benefit payouts.

Public Employees' Retirement Fund (PERF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$300,326,385	\$302,892,380	\$317,954,209
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	9,716,616	22,720,025	23,854,324
Contributions**	13,563,154	14,097,582	14,631,866
TOTAL REVENUES	\$23,279,770	\$36,817,607	\$38,486,190
EXPENDITURES			
Pension Benefit Payments	18,922,292	20,310,543	21,800,644
Administrative Expenses	1,791,483	1,445,235	1,424,181
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$20,713,775	\$21,755,778	\$23,224,825
ENDING BALANCE	\$302,892,380	\$317,954,209	\$333,215,574

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on the projected fund balance and actuarial rates.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on the historical trend of annual percentage increases.

Legislators' Retirement Fund (LRF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$130,495	\$121,658	\$120,362
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	(30)	6,996	6,925
Contributions**	695	307	371
Contribution Refunds	(1,693)	(564)	(752)
TOTAL REVENUES	(\$1,028)	\$6,739	\$6,544
EXPENDITURES			
Pension Benefit Payments	7,393	7,506	7,925
Administrative Expenses	416	529	622
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$7,809	\$8,035	\$8,547
ENDING BALANCE	\$121,658	\$120,362	\$118,359

* 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates assume a 5.75 percent rate of return.

** 2015-16 and 2016-17 estimates are based on a rolling three year average.

Long-Term Care Fund (LTCF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$4,118,576	\$4,077,741	\$4,283,508
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	(36,550)	224,920	94,185
Contributions*	272,361	282,426	277,394
TOTAL REVENUES	\$235,811	\$507,346	\$371,579
EXPENDITURES			
Benefit Payments*	248,550	271,742	260,146
Administrative Expenses	28,096	29,837	30,035
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$276,646	\$301,579	\$290,181
ENDING BALANCE	\$4,077,741	\$4,283,508	\$4,364,906

* 2015-16 estimates are based on preliminary trial balance reports, and 2016-17 estimates are based on prior year averages.

Deferred Compensation Fund (DCF)

(Includes Public Agency Deferred Compensation Plan (IRC 457) and Supplemental Contribution Plan) (in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$1,311,888	\$1,474,727	\$1,410,575
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	40,876	13,980	27,428
Contributions*	131,239	27,832	79,536
TOTAL REVENUES	\$172,115	\$41,812	\$106,964
EXPENDITURES			
Benefit Payments*	2,888	99,507	49,350
Administrative Expenses	6,388	6,457	6,459
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$9,276	\$105,964	\$55,809
ENDING BALANCE	\$1,474,727	\$1,410,575	\$1,461,730

Replacement Benefit Custodial Fund (RBF)

(in Thousands)

	Actual 2014-15	Estimate 2015-16	Estimate 2016-17
Beginning Balance	\$-	\$-	\$-
REVENUES			
Investment Income*	299	293	296
Contributions*	10,524	10,148	10,336
TOTAL REVENUES	\$10,823	\$10,441	\$10,632
EXPENDITURES			
Benefit Payments*	10,816	10,434	10,618
Administrative Expenses	7	7	14
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$10,823	\$10,441	\$10,632
ENDING BALANCE	\$-	\$-	\$-

* 2015-16 estimates are based on preliminary trial balance reports, and 2016-17 estimates are based on prior year averages.

* 2015-16 estimates are based on preliminary trial balance reports, and 2016-17 estimates are based on prior year averages.

Revenues

CalPERS has eleven trust funds that provide 100 percent funding of the budget needs for the enterprise and does not incur debt to fund the costs of the system.The Board has authority under the California Constitution, Article XVI, Section 17, which states, "the retirement board of a public pension or retirement system shall have plenary authority and fiduciary responsibility for investment of moneys and administration of the System...".

\$2,148,945	\$1,807,600	<u>\$1,788,500</u>	(\$19,100)	(1.1%)
1,604	1,500	1,450	(50)	(3.3%)
\$2,147,341	\$1,806,100	\$1,787,050	(\$19,050)	(1.1%)
7	7	14	7	100.0%
416	529	622	93	17.6%
1,414	1,631	2,189	558	34.2%
1,125	1,337	1,767	429	32.1%
6,388	6,457	6,459	2	0.0%
4,335	4,528	5,833	1,305	28.8%
28,096	29,837	30,035	197	0.7%
286,909	288,612	287,945	(666)	(0.2%)
27,168	27,926	28,004	78	0.3%
\$1,791,483	\$1,445,235	\$1,424,181	(\$21,054)	(1.5%)
FY 2014-15 Actual Expenses	FY 2015-16 Approved Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
				o Budget
	Expenses \$1,791,483 27,168 286,909 28,096 4,335 6,388 1,125 1,414 416 7 \$2,147,341 1,604	Actual ExpensesApproved Budget\$1,791,483\$1,445,23527,16827,926286,909288,61228,09629,8374,3354,5286,3886,4571,1251,3371,4141,63141652977\$2,147,341\$1,806,1001,6041,500	Actual ExpensesApproved BudgetApproved Budget\$1,791,483\$1,445,235\$1,424,18127,16827,92628,004286,909288,612287,94528,09629,83730,0354,3354,5285,8336,3886,4576,4591,1251,3371,7671,4141,6312,1894165296227714\$2,147,341\$1,806,100\$1,787,0501,6041,5001,450	FY 2014-15 Actual Expenses FY 2015-16 Approved Budget FY 2016-17 Approved Budget \$ Change increase/ (decrease) \$1,791,483 \$1,445,235 \$1,424,181 (\$21,054) 27,168 27,926 28,004 78 286,909 288,612 287,945 (666) 28,096 29,837 30,035 197 4,335 4,528 5,833 1,305 6,388 6,457 6,459 2 1,125 1,337 1,767 429 1,414 1,631 2,189 558 416 529 622 93 7 7 14 7 \$2,147,341 \$1,806,100 \$1,787,050 (\$19,050) 1,604 1,500 1,450 (50)

Consolidated Financial Schedule (in thousands)

¹ Amounts received by CalPERS for services rendered. These reimbursements are actuarial valuations prepared for public agencies that qualify for reimbursement, cost of photocopies/postage related to the Public Records Act requests, annual employer conferences, and administrative assessments for employers' delinquent payroll.

CalPERS Revenue Sources and Allocation by Fund

PERF	Public Employees' Retirement Fund	79.7%
HCF	Health Care Fund	16.1%
CRF	Contingency Reserve Fund	1.6%
LTCF	Long-Term Care Fund	1.7%
AHCCF	Annuitants' Health Care	0.3%
	Coverage Fund	
DCF	Deferred Compensation Fund	0.4%
	(2 Funds)	
JRF	Judges' Retirement Fund	0.1%
JRF II	Judges' Retirement Fund II	0.1%
LRF	Legislators' Retirement Fund	0.0%
RBF	Replacement Benefit	0.0%
	Custodial Fund	
		100.0%

The Contingency Reserve Fund is an exception to the above-described authority. The mechanism to secure funding for administration of a portion of the health benefit program is through the annual California state budget bill and May budget revision process with the State of California.

The eleven trust funds that provide revenue sources for the CalPERS Budget are described as follows:

- **Public Employees' Retirement Fund (PERF)** is the main trust fund from which nearly all CalPERS retirement benefits are paid to State of California and other California public agency employees. The PERF benefits are funded by member contributions, employer contributions, and investment income.
- Public Employees' Health Care Fund (HCF) accounts for the activities of the CalPERS self-insured health care programs. Health premiums are collected from employers and members, and funding is used to directly pay for medical services and pharmaceutical usage. The HCF is funded by premium revenues. In addition, HCF receives Employer Group Waiver Plan (EGWP) subsidies. Under the EGWP, CalPERS contracts with federal prescription drug programs through the Pharmacy Benefit Manager (PBM) as the EGWP administrator, and the PBM manages all federal interaction. Investments of the HCF are a non-operational use of reserves until needed for claims.

- Public Employees' Contingency Reserve Fund (CRF)
 was established to fund administrative costs related to
 the CalPERS health care programs and to provide a
 contingency reserve for potential increases in future
 health care premium rates or health care benefit
 costs. The CRF is funded by administrative fees.
 The administrative fees that are collected are a
 composite of three items; enrollment, premium rates,
 and public agency health payments and remittances
 to contracted health care providers. Investments of
 the CRF include only liquid, short-term securities,
 as investment balances are used to fund operating
 cash flows.
- Public Employees' Long-Term Care Fund (LTCF) provides long-term care insurance to participating members and is funded through premium revenues and investment income.
- Annuitants Health Care Coverage Fund (AHCCF) is referred to as the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust Fund (CERBTF). It is an Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) fund for the pre-funding by employers of health, dental, and other non-pension benefits promised to employees when they retire. Funding to the CERBTF includes employer contributions and investment income.
- **Deferred Compensation Fund** provides CalPERS members with two defined contribution plans to help save for retirement:
 - The Board is the trustee for public agency participant assets in the Public Agency Deferred Compensation Plan (Internal Revenue Code [IRC] 457). Funding to the IRC 457 Plan consists of member contributions and investment income. The CalPERS Supplemental Income 457 Plan (457 Deferred Compensation Plan) is available to employees of public agencies and school districts within the State of California. Plan participation is voluntary to employees of contracting public agencies and school districts. Members may contribute up to IRC limits.
 - The Supplemental Contributions Plan was established effective January 1, 2000 to provide supplemental retirement benefits to State of California employees who are members of CalPERS. Program participation is voluntary and consists of member contributions and investment income. In March 2015, as directed by statute, the State Peace

Officers' & Firefighters' Supplemental Plan (SCP-POFF), an employer-provided benefit for state peace officers and firefighters in certain bargaining units, was terminated. Funds remaining in the plan after termination were rolled over into the Supplemental Contributions Plan as pretax SCP-POFF rollover accounts.

- Judges' Retirement Fund (JRF) provides retirement benefits to California Supreme and Appellate Court Justices and Superior Court Judges appointed or elected before November 9, 1994. Funding to the JRF includes employer contributions, member contributions, investment income, and State of California "balancing contributions" pursuant to state statute.
- Judges' Retirement Fund II (JRF II) provides retirement benefits to California Supreme and Appellate Court Justices and Superior Court Judges first appointed or elected on or after November 9, 1994.
 Funding to the JRF II includes employer contributions, member contributions, and investment income.
- Legislators' Retirement Fund (LRF) provides retirement benefits to California Legislators elected to office before November 7, 1990, and to constitutional,

legislative, and statutory officers elected or appointed prior to January 1, 2013. The Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) closed enrollment for new participants to the Legislators' Retirement Fund. The number of LRF members has been declining in the last decade as eligible incumbent Legislators leave office and are replaced by those ineligible to participate in the LRF. Actuarially determined contributions will continue to be made by the State of California to supplement the existing assets until all benefit obligations have been fulfilled.

• Replacement Benefit Fund (RBF) provides benefits to members of the PERF, LRF, JRF and JRF II whose retirement allowances exceed the Internal Revenue Code Section 415(b) limits. IRC Section 415(b) imposes a dollar limit on the annual retirement benefits an individual may receive from a qualified defined benefit pension plan. The RBF is funded on a "pay-as-you-go" basis. That is, the employer is invoiced for amounts payable to its former employees in the calendar year, and upon receipt of payment by the employers, CalPERS remits the replacement benefit to the retirees.

CalPERS Pension Buck

The CalPERS Pension Buck illustrates the sources of income that fund public employee pensions. Pension fund income over the last 20 years (as of June 2015) shows that investment earnings account for 65 cents of each dollar paid in pensions, 22 cents from employers and 13 cents from employees.

Pensions are jointly funded by employer and employee contributions, which are invested so that pension fund

dollars grow over time. Workers contribute up to 15.25 percent of their paycheck to help fund their own pensions.

CalPERS pension payments generate more than \$30 billion of economic activity per year in California, supporting more than 113,000 jobs and generating nearly \$800 million in sales and property tax revenue every year.



Debt

CalPERS has eleven trust funds that provide 100 percent recovery of the costs for the enterprise and does not incur debt to fund the budget. There is no borrowed principal and/or related interest payments for any budget year, as the expenditures are initially paid from the Public Employees' Retirement Fund from the remaining ten funds (as described on pages 43 and 44) that are appropriated by the Board, therefore there are no formally established debt limits. The debt limits can be interpreted as being directly correlated with the revenues provided by a cost recovery/cost allocation process, which is performed on a monthly basis, whereby the amounts necessary to appropriately fund the five main budgeting funds are submitted to the Board for approval during the annual and mid-year budget processes.

Long Term Financial Plans

CalPERS will be embarking on the development of the new five-year Strategic Plan for 2017-22 which will drive budget proposals in future years. Due to the anticipated large number of baby-boomer retirees over the next few years, not only will the retirement processing workload increase for the organization, but continued focus on the funded status of the system will be a high priority. For the health care program, efforts to identify cost saving programs and measures will continue to be a high priority. In the area of information security, the constant and more sophisticated threats to data require continued investment of cyber-security solutions.

To offset cost increases described above, the organization continues to identify cost savings and efficiencies. The success of the mylCalPERS Optimization efforts assists in this effort by improving the technological infrastructure and workload processing times. Further, as we continue to build a robust in-house investment management group, expensive external manager fees will continue to decrease. Process improvement efforts and monitoring of expenses will ensure further opportunities for ongoing cost savings.

FY 2017-18 Budget Projection

The FY 2017-18 Budget Projection will represent the first year of the new five-year 2017-22 Strategic Plan and the 2017-19 Business Plan.

The FY 2017-18 Projection estimates a decrease of \$14.2 million or 0.8 percent from the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget. The estimated administrative operating cost increase of \$9.0 million or 2.0 percent represents estimated merit salary increases in personnel services for CalPERS staff and an inflation adjustment of 2.6 percent for operating expenses (based on the estimated U.S. state and local government implicit deflator provided by the Department of Finance in their 2016-17 Price Letter).

No additional positions are included in the FY 2017-18 Projection. The organization is committed to continuing to manage vacancies and redirect positions when appropriate. Further, this will give the organization time to review program areas and study their staffing requirements based on a long term view of program area functions.

Projections for the Investment External Management Fees are unchanged from the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget as are the Investment Operating Costs. These are likely to change, but projections are unavailable. Costs of \$17.6 million for the multi-year projects reflect those initiatives currently undertaken by the organization. There are no anticipated changes to the Headquarters Building Costs and the Third Party Administrator fees.

FY 2018-19 Budget Projection

The FY 2018-19 Projection represents the second year of the new five-year Strategic Plan and assumes CalPERS overall strategic direction will remain the same. The FY 2018-19 Projection estimates an increase of \$7.9 million or 0.5 percent from the FY 2017-18 Projection, and includes the following:

- Increase of \$11.8 million or 3.4 percent for salary and benefit adjustments for existing staff.
- Increase of \$3.1 million or 2.6 percent in administrative operating costs based on the current CPI figure, and adjustment of ongoing project costs moved to the operating budget.

- Decrease of \$7.8 million or 44 percent in multi-year project costs.
- Increase of \$0.8 million or 2.6 percent in Headquarters Building Costs due to inflation as reflected in the cost of goods purchased and annual salary increases for engineers.
- No changes to the FY 2018-19 Projection for Third-Party Administrator Fees; while it is likely to change, projections are unavailable.
- No changes to the FY 2018-19 Projection for the Investment External Management Fees and the Investment Operating Costs; while both are likely to change, projections are unavailable.





*In FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15, the actual Investment External Management fees exceeded the budget due to higher than anticipated investment performance.

Capital Expenditures and Enterprise Projects

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures are incurred when capital assets purchased exceed an initial individual cost of \$5,000 or more, or \$1 million or more for intangible assets, and have an estimated useful life in excess of one year.

Enterprise Projects

Capital expenditures are budgeted and captured in the Enterprise Projects Fund. The FY 2016-17 Enterprise Project Costs of \$41.4 million represent an increase of \$3.9 million or 10.3 percent from the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$37.5 million driven by increases in system security costs, AVS redesign, system infrastructure modernization, and tobacco divestment study (totaling \$8.2 million) offset by the disaster recovery one-time cost decrease of \$4.0 million. Compared to the FY 2015-16 Forecast of \$35.6 million, the FY 2016-17 Approved Budget represents an increase of \$5.8 million or 16.2 percent.

The concentration of projects for FY 2016-17 are centered around the my|CalPERS business optimization component, reengineering of the Actuarial Valuation System, continued reinforcement efforts toward the Security Roadmap Project, Business Intelligence, and Enterprise Compliance initiatives.

Impact of Capital Expenditures in Enterprise Projects Budget on Administrative Operating Budget

The one-time and ongoing costs associated with capital expenditures in the Enterprise Projects Fund are analyzed for their return on investment (ROI), savings to the organization, improved business processes leveraged through technology, and cost-benefit analysis to determine if the project is a prudent investment of fund resources.

Anticipated operating costs associated with significant non-recurring capital investments are addressed during the operational budget planning phase as part of the process to build the annual budget. Forecasting helps determine the expected operational needs to migrate costs from the Enterprise Projects Fund to the Total Operating Costs Fund.

\$100 \$90 \$80 \$70 \$60 \$50 \$40 \$30 \$20 \$84.6 \$83.6 \$33.3 \$24.9 \$22.9 \$37.5 \$35.6 \$32.7 \$10 \$0 FY FY FY 2012-13 2014-15 2015-16 Budgeted Amount Actual Expenditures

Enterprise Project Budget and Expenditure Trend (in millions)

Potential ongoing operational needs are assessed when a Formal Budget Request proposes a new project and reassessed annually when a new Formal Budget Request is submitted.

Projects previously budgeted through the Enterprise Projects Fund are re-evaluated annually for readjustments of anticipated maintenance or staffing costs related to move in scope from a project cost to an ongoing operational cost.

The ongoing costs to maintain these projects are identified in life cycle costs.

Enterprise Projects (in thousands)

				Budget	to Budget
	FY 2015–16 Approved Budget	FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	FY 2017-18 Projection	\$ Change increase/ (decrease)	% Change increase/ (decrease)
CURRENT PROJECTS					
[1] my CalPERS Business Optimization	\$23,000	\$23,470	\$10,000	\$470	2.0%
[2] Security Roadmap	4,000	5,179	1,340	1,179	29.5%
[3] Actuarial Valuation System Redesign	2,500	4,797	5,000	2,297	91.9%
[4] Infrastructure Modernization	-	4,200	-	4,200	-
[5] Business Intelligence	1,000	1,000	-	-	0.0%
[6] Compliance Program Infrastructure, Framework, & eGRC	500	550	500	50	10.0%
[7] Treasury Management	500	400	-	(100)	(20.0%)
[8] Tobacco Divestment Study and Outreach	-	500	-	500	-
[9] Miscellaneous Projects ¹	200	1,285	763	1,085	542.5%
PRIOR YEAR PROJECTS					
IT Backup/Restoration/Archiving/Disaster Recovery	4,000	-	-	(4,000)	(100.0%)
Data Governance	600	-	-	(600)	(100.0%)
Budget Analytics Software	500	-	-	(500)	(100.0%)
Enterprise Content Management Website Accessibility	225	-	-	(225)	(100.0%)
Miscellaneous Projects ²	483	-	-	(483)	(100.0%)
TOTAL OF ENTERPRISE PROJECTS	\$37,508	<u>\$41,382</u>	<u>\$17,603</u>	\$3,874	10.3%

¹ Includes PeopleSoft HCM 9.2 Upgrade, Windows 10 Planning, Succession Planning, and Executive Compensation Project. ² Includes Information Security Risk Metrics, CalPERS Education Center Replacement, and Talent Management-Learning Management System Upgrade.

Capital Expenditure Projects

[1] my|CalPERS Business Optimization

In January 2015, an effort was jointly launched by both the program areas and the Information Technology Services Branch to leverage the my|CalPERS system to realize increased operational efficiencies across the CalPERS organization. The effort outlined initiatives to increase performance and functionality in four major areas: improved customer service, reduced financial and business risk, increased operational performance, and streamlined business processes. In its inaugural year of a three-year program, twenty-one optimization initiatives were implemented. Among the most significant were the

simplification of Medicare Service Payers, Disability Retirement Package automation, and comprehensive reconciliation of system, financial, and actuarial activity. Additionally, internal staff workflows were redesigned and streamlined to better serve our members and partners. Funding for the next fiscal year will support further optimizations for maturing retirement services, such as: expanded final compensation automation, simplification of PEPRA program support, process improvements in the service credit purchase program, enhanced State enrollments, interest payment functionality, as well as nearly fifteen additional optimization initiatives. The Optimization project is scheduled to be completed by June of 2018.

Project Life Cycle Costs – my CalPERS Business Optimization	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2014-15 Actuals	\$17,368,588	-	\$17,368,588
FY 2015-16 Forecast	23,000,000	-	23,000,000
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	23,470,000	-	23,470,000
FY 2017-18 Projection	10,000,000	-	10,000,000
TOTAL	<u>\$73,838,588</u>	<u>_\$-</u>	<u>\$73,838,588</u>

[2] Security Roadmap

The Security Roadmap Project (SRP) is a multi-year effort that will ensure CalPERS technology and member data will remain secure against constantly changing threats in the environment. For FY 2016-17, efforts will be directed towards the Enhanced Enterprise Identity System (EIS), Security Information and Event Management (SIEM), Database Encryption, and Two-Factor Authentication.

• EIS will focus on user password management, tighter controls around sensitive administrative accounts, and enhancing the end-user experience by consolidating usernames and passwords needed for cloud services.

- SIEM will proactively alert CalPERS of possible security breaches and fraudulent activity.
- Database Encryption will assess and implement multiple methods of personal identification and health-related information data encryption to reduce the risk of data loss.
- Two-Factor Authentication is a type of technology architecture that reduces possible security breaches. Currently, CalPERS utilizes Two-Factor Authentication for remote access. This effort will deploy the technology to additional critical systems.

Three of the initiatives will be completed in FY 2016-17. The EIS project will continue in FY 2017-18.

Project Life Cycle Costs - Security Roadmap	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2013-14 Actuals	\$4,995,614	-	\$4,995,614
FY 2014-15 Actuals	2,237,195	-	2,237,195
FY 2015-16 Forecast	3,999,320	-	3,999,320
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	5,179,410	420,000	5,599,410
FY 2017-18 Projection	1,340,000	420,000	1,760,000
TOTAL	<u>\$17,751,539</u>	\$840,000	<u>\$18,591,539</u>

[3] Actuarial Valuation System Redesign

The current Actuarial Valuation System (AVS) has been in existence for over 19 years and will no longer meet evolving actuarial practices, including changes required for employers to comply with new standards implemented by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The investment to reengineer the AVS system would provide the capabilities needed to keep current with evolving practices and comply with GASB 68 requirements. This is a multi-year request requiring funding for an estimated total investment of \$29.2 million. Phase I of the AVS system is underway to develop a conceptualization of a database model and analyze its feasibility. In the coming year, funding will be dedicated to Phase II of this initiative which will configure the new database with the existing AVS system and integrate data sources.

Project Life Cycle Costs - Actuarial Valuation System	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2013-14 Actuals	-	\$1,231,124	\$1,231,124
FY 2014-15 Actuals	132,230	361,677	493,907
FY 2015-16 Forecast	796,316	500,000	1,296,316
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	4,797,200	780,000	5,577,200
FY 2017-18 Projection	5,000,000	780,000	5,780,000
FY 2018-19 Projection	8,500,000	780,000	9,280,000
FY 2019-20 Projection	4,000,280	780,000	4,780,280
FY 2020-21 Projection	-	780,000	780,000
TOTAL	\$23,226,026	<u>\$5,992,801</u>	\$29,218,827

[4] Infrastructure Modernization

CalPERS currently uses the Oracle Engineering System which supports critical business applications such as PeopleSoft Financials, Business Intelligence, and the myICalPERS Identity and Access Management. This system is limited and approaching its end of life in June 2016. The Infrastructure Modernization project will be replacing the pre-engineered system with an open architecture standard that is now in use at CalPERS.

Project Life Cycle Costs – Infrastructure Modernization	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$4,200,000	-	\$4,200,000
FY 2017-18 Projection	-	800,000	800,000
TOTAL	\$4,200,000	<u>\$800,000</u>	\$5,000,000

[5] Business Intelligence

The Business Intelligence (BI) project is an ongoing multi-year effort that ensures CalPERS technology and information supports an environment where the business can make fact-based decisions using accurate and timely data and information. To date, communication and project management action plans have been developed, deliverables for Human Resources, the Financial Office, Audit Services, and Public Affairs have been completed, and the "CalPERS 2014 Retirement Trend Report" and "CalPERS Economic Impacts in California" report created using business intelligence have been presented to the Board. The activities planned for FY 2016-17 include creation of a BI product depository and development of a request for information (RFI) to understand retirement data warehouse and analytics market environment, as well as more self-service BI and reporting capabilities. When the project is complete, the business benefits will be:

- · Availability of timely and relevant data.
- Accurate data to support informed decisions.
- Ability of the business to access data directly.

Project Life Cycle Costs – Business Intelligence	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2013-14 Actuals	\$2,454,291	-	\$2,454,291
FY 2014-15 Actuals	1,240,223	-	1,240,223
FY 2015-16 Forecast	889,662	-	889,662
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	1,000,000	-	1,000,000
FY 2017-18 Projection	-	1,000,000	1,000,000
TOTAL	\$5,584,177	<u>\$1,000,000</u>	\$6,584,177

[6] Compliance Program Infrastructure,

Framework, and eGRC

This is the second year of a five-year plan to mature compliance capabilities within CalPERS. The project roles and responsibilities were defined and socialized with management, controls for investment trading were identified, and compliance protocols and educational programs are in development. In the coming year, protocols and processes for operational control, policy management, and reporting standards will continue to be developed in conjunction with compliance principles and culture. Technology consulting services are also planned in order to assist with development of compliance components in the CalPERS electronic Governance, Risk, Compliance (eGRC) system. The end goal for the five-year plan will be to roll out the protocols and processes to all program areas throughout the enterprise and develop a process for ongoing refinement as the project transitions into maintenance and operations.

Project Life Cycle Costs - Compliance Program Infrastructure, Framework, and eGRC	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2015-16 Forecast	\$500,000	-	\$500,000
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	550,000	-	550,000
FY 2017-18 Projection	500,000	-	500,000
FY 2018-19 Projection	500,000	-	500,000
FY 2019-20 Projection	500,000	-	500,000
TOTAL	\$2,550,000	-	\$2,550,000

[7] Treasury Management

Funding is proposed for consultants and software to implement the Treasury Management System that aggregates and centralizes treasury and cash management functions performed by the Financial Office and the Investment Office. This software is essential to ensure integrity of the cash forecasting, streamline cash management functions, and allow for robust reporting.

This new system would eliminate the use of over 2,000 spreadsheets annually allowing for increased focus on cash management analysis and tax workload.

Project Life Cycle Costs - Treasury Management	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2015-16 Forecast	\$500,000	-	\$500,000
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	400,000	-	400,000
TOTAL	\$900,000	-	\$900,000

[8] Tobacco Divestment Study and Outreach

Funding to perform further study and outreach on the divestment of tobacco.

Project Life Cycle Costs - Tobacco Divestment Study and Outreach	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
FY 2016-17 Approved Budget	\$500,000	-	\$500,000
TOTAL	\$500,000	-	\$500,000

Miscellaneous Projects

PeopleSoft HCM 9.2 Upgrade

The Human Resources Division in coordination with Information Technology is requesting funding to upgrade the current PeopleSoft Human Capital Management (HCM) system from version 9.1 to 9.2. The upgraded version of HCM supports automation strategies to recruit, develop, and empower the CalPERS workforce. Upgrading to version 9.2 will provide several benefits including Oracle's Premier Support services, increased functionality, a reduction in system customizations, and access to a new Product Update Manager that will assist with system patches. The need for the upgrade is urgent as Oracle Extended Support for the current HCM system version ends January 2018. Extended Support includes system troubleshooting, bug fixes, and system patching.

Windows 10 Planning

Funds are required to plan for the migration to the Windows 10 Operating System and to upgrade to Office ProPlus for the CalPERS enterprise. Windows 10 offers a more secured desktop while allowing for collaboration and mobile computing.

Succession Planning

The Human Resources Division is requesting funding to create and maintain the necessary structure for a Succession Planning Program in line with the CalPERS strategic and business plans. Due to the large segment of the CalPERS workforce nearing retirement age, it is recommended that CalPERS have a succession plan in place. Approval of this request will better position CalPERS to establish an internal talent pipeline from which qualified candidates can be sourced to fill key organizational positions as they become vacant.

Executive Compensation Project

The Human Resources Division requests funding to continue a comprehensive review of the Executive Compensation Program's components and propose modifications to simplify the program, increase transparency, and ensure alignment with CalPERS goals and strategies. In earlier stages of the project, an external vendor was engaged to administer a biennial salary survey. In the coming year, external consultants will advise on improvements to the existing compensation program structure and design, schedules and benchmarks for investment executives' annual performance awards, and the compensation for delegated executive staff.

Project Life Cycle Costs - Miscellaneous Projects	Project Budget	Operational Budget	Total
2014-15 Actuals	\$139,580	-	\$139,580
2015-16 Forecast	133,000	-	133,000
2016-17 Approved Budget	1,285,000	-	1,285,000
2017-18 Projection	763,000	-	763,000
TOTAL	\$2,320,580	-	\$2,320,580

Position Summary

FY 2016-17 Positions by Branch

	FY 2015-16 Authorized	FY 2016-17			Division	Branch
	Positions	Approved	Change in		Vacant	Vacant
	(as of 1/31/16)	Positions	Positions	% Change	Positions	Positions
ACTUARIAL OFFICE	58.0	59.0	1.0	1.7%		5.0
АСТО					5.0	
Long-Term Care Actuary Support			1.0			
BENEFIT PROGRAM POLICY & PLANNING	158.0	159.0	1.0	0.6%		13.0
ННРВ					1.0	
Clinical Pharmacy Oversight Support			1.0			
COMMUNICATIONS & STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS	59.0	61.0	2.0	3.4%		4.0
PAOF					4.0	
Increased Electronic Communication Workload			2.0			
CUSTOMER SERVICES & SUPPORT	905.5	992.5	87.0	9.6%		34.0
BNSD					14.0	
Increased Death Benefit Processing Workload			7.0			
BASS					1.0	
Improve Compliance and Reduce Operational Risk			2.0			
EAMD					4.0	
Public Agency Audit Review Workload			2.0			
Address SCO Review on Active Member Accounts			5.0			
Increased GASB 68 Workload			3.0			
CSOD					6.0	
Call Center Staffing - Convert Temporary Staff to Authorized Positions			68.0			
EXECUTIVE OFFICE	18.0	18.0	0.0	0.0%		1.0
FINANCIAL OFFICE	217.0	219.0	2.0	0.9%		19.0
ECOM					4.0	
Increased Enterprise Compliance Workload			2.0			

Table continues next page »

FY 2016-17 Positions by Branch continued

	FY 2015-16 Authorized Positions (as of 1/31/16)	FY 2016-17 Approved Positions	Change in Positions	% Change	Division Vacant Positions	Branch Vacant Positions
GENERAL COUNSEL	137.0	137.0	0.0	0.0%		14.0
INVESTMENT OFFICE	335.0	346.0	11.0	3.3%		32.0
INVO					32.0	
New Associate Investment Manager Positions			9.0			
Opportunistic Investment Program			2.0			
OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY	877.5	880.5	3.0	0.3%		62.0
OSSD					3.0	
Improve Compliance and Reduce Operational Risk			2.0			
HRSD					7.0	
Increased Succession Planning & Executive Development			1.0			
TOTAL	<u>2,765.0</u>	2,872.0	<u>107.0</u>	<u>3.9%</u>	<u>81.0</u>	<u>184.0</u>
Vacancy % to Total Authorized Positions					2.9%	6.7%

Salaries & Wages

The \$340.8 million represents a \$5.5 million net increase (1.6 percent) over the FY 2015-16 Approved Budget of \$335.3 million, as follows:

- Increase of \$5.9 million for 39.0 new positions
- Increase of \$2.4 million for anticipated merit salary adjustments
- Decrease of \$1.8 million for temporary staffing and overtime reductions
- Decrease of \$1.0 million for the delayed hiring of health-funded positions

To recognize the impact of vacancies on the cost of personnel services, an overall vacancy savings target of 5.0 percent has been applied, thus reducing costs by \$17.2 million, from \$358.0 million to a revised net cost of \$340.8 million. The current year-to-date vacancy rate is 6.7 percent. It is anticipated that the vacancy rate will decline, as each division continues to manage their existing vacancies and redirects or fills positions as part of their workforce planning.

The conversion of 68.0 temporary limited-term positions to permanent authorized positions in the Customer Contact Center is cost neutral and is reflected as a shift of \$2.3 million from the Temporary Help to Salaries and Wages line items in the budget. This conversion is a workforce planning strategy to retain these critical front-line representatives that service our customers directly.

The request for 107.0 new authorized positions (including the cost neutral conversion of 68.0 temporary limited-term appointments to permanent positions) at a total cost of \$5.9 million (salaries \$4.2 million and benefits \$1.7 million), resulted from an in-depth look at each business area's needs. The vacancy rate for divisions requesting positions is 5.9 percent. Staffing requests address new workload functionality and several key factors to further advance the strategic objectives of the organization. The 107.0 new authorized positions are summarized below and details by organizational unit are then provided.

Personnel Services Cost Trend (in millions)



FY 2016-17 New Positions

New Workload	Positions	(in millions)
Enterprise Compliance	6.0	\$0.6
Opportunistic Investment Program	2.0	1.3
Succession Planning	1.0	0.2
	<u>9.0</u>	<u>\$2.1</u>
Increased Workload		
Associate Investment Managers	9.0	\$1.9
Audit Resolution	7.0	0.7
Death Benefit Processing	7.0	0.5
GASB 68	3.0	0.3
Communication and Outreach	2.0	0.2
Pharmacy Operations	1.0	0.1
Long-Term Care Actuarial Assistant	1.0	0.1
	30.0	<u>\$3.8</u>
Temporary Limited-Term to Permanent Positions Conversion (cost neutral)		
Customer Contact Center	68.0	\$0
TOTAL NEW POSITIONS	107.0	\$5.9

Actuarial Office [\$104,000 - 1 PY]

Due to the insourcing and enhancement of the actuarial work on the Long-Term Care Program, a new position is proposed to assist with verifying accuracy of premiums in the system, creating the assumptions for the new Principles Based Valuation model, and performing product pricing rate verifications and analysis.

Benefit Program Policy & Planning [\$119,000 - 1 PY]

Due to the increase in the number of members whose pharmacy benefits are managed by CalPERS (from 358,000 to 462,000), this new position will handle day-to-day clinical operations support, member issues, and appeals. This additional position will support the continued effort to reduce pharmacy benefit costs with a particular focus on high-cost specialty drugs and new expensive pipeline brand drugs.

Communications and Stakeholder Relations [\$205,000 - 2 PYs]

These positions are proposed to address increases in core workload relating to (a) electronic communications strategies, (b) sales and outreach strategies, and (c) expanded communication support for new CalPERS Business Plan initiatives. These new positions will work to maintain service levels while providing support to the enterprise to address Strategic and Business Plan objectives.

Customer Service and Support [\$1,679,000 - 87 PYs]

To address the additional workload due to (a) the increase in the number of member death cases, (b) audit findings generated by increased Public Agency Audit Reviews (PAAR), and the State Controller's Office (SCO) Report on active member compensation, (c) new workload created by GASB 68, (d) the implementation of a compliance team in the Customer Services and Support branch, and (e) the conversion of temporary limited-term staff to permanent staff in the Customer Contact Center (CCC), 87.0 positions are proposed.

• Benefits Services Division [7.0]—To address the additional workload due to an increase in the number of member death cases in the CalPERS pension

system. If this workload is not addressed in a timely manner, interest must be paid to the beneficiaries of these accounts. Approval of these resources will result in a reduction in interest paid on delayed death benefit payments and reduce the need for overtime.

- PAAR & SCO [7.0]—To address increased retirement benefit audit findings requiring resolution and to comply with the Board's request that audit findings be resolved within twelve months, an additional 7.0 positions are needed in these areas. CSS had previously received 7.0 positions in the pre-retirement area toward the PAAR effort since FY 2011-12, but 2.0 additional positions are needed as the number of audits have increased and become more complex to resolve. An additional 5.0 positions are needed to address the findings from an SCO audit of CalPERS processes related to compensation review, retirement analysis, and the continued avoidance of pension spiking.
- GASB 68 workload [3.0]—To dedicate resources to GASB 68 workload which includes addressing inquiries from employers regarding the valuations provided in GASB 68 statements.
- CSS Compliance Team [2.0]—To support and strengthen the Board-adopted CalPERS 2015-17 Enterprise Compliance Program, these resources will be embedded in the CSS branch of the organization to expand on protocols and processes for operational control, policy management, and reporting standards. It is anticipated that this embedded compliance effort will be continued in future years for the remaining organizational units.
- Customer Service and Outreach Division (CSOD) [68.0]—To convert 68.0 temporary limited-term positions to permanent authorized positions to support ongoing core workload. This will enable the CCC to meet the CalPERS-established service levels for call wait times and electronic inquiry responses. In 2011, additional temporary positions were provided to the CCC to meet increased call volumes associated with the launch of mylCalPERS. In early 2013, the Contact Center Operational Efficiencies effort was initiated to ensure resources, processes, and technologies were aligned to produce optimum performance, and a staffing analysis was performed to determine the level of phone agents needed to meet service level

targets. Operational improvements were identified and a 15-month Action Plan was developed. It was also determined that the CCC historically had not been staffed according to industry standards to meet the service level targets. The temporary staff and operational efficiencies gained from the completion of the Action Plan allowed the CCC to transform and meet service levels. Cost Effectiveness Measurement ratings, as they pertain to customer service levels, are now above the median of our peer group. Of the original temporary positions, nearly half have been eliminated and 70.0 remain. Based on the staffing analysis mentioned above, it has been determined that 68.0 of those should be converted to permanent status to meet the current service level targets. As additional operational efficiencies are rolled out, we are confident the remaining 2.0 temporary positions will be eliminated. Converting to permanent positions will eliminate the significant challenges related to hiring limited-term employees such as attracting and retaining qualified candidates. Costs for recruiting and training are higher due to the turnover. The increased turnover results in less experienced staff on the phones, which in turn causes an increase in overall call handle times and call wait times.

Financial Office [\$243,000 - 2 PYs]

To continue to build and mature the compliance oversight function, these positions will continue to mitigate significant and potential risks to CalPERS. This will be accomplished through implementation of a risk-based compliance program and protocols across program areas. The positions will continue to enhance the compliance culture in CalPERS by providing awareness through education and expanding reporting and disclosure processes.

Investment Office [\$3,230,000 - 11 PYs]

To address the challenges in recruiting and retaining staff with the full complement of skills and functions necessary to effectively manage a highly complex investment portfolio, in April 2015, CalPERS was successful in obtaining approval for a new Associate Investment Manager (AIM) classification. The Investment Office (INVO) is requesting 9.0 new AIM positions in addition to upgrading 9.0 existing Investment Officer III positions to AIMs. These positions will be allocated across all asset classes and business groups and will (a) provide key investment management and technical expertise necessary to meet investment performance and financial management objectives, (b) effectively manage operational and investment risk, and (c) provide opportunities for staff development and improved retention.

To continue INVO's 2020 Vision around reducing costs and testing new business models within the private asset classes, 2.0 positions are proposed to leverage the existing Opportunistic Investment program. The program enables INVO staff to undertake investment strategies that do not cleanly fit into one of the existing four asset classes. Currently, CaIPERS undertakes these investments primarily through external managers in the private equity program. It is expected that this Opportunistic Investment program would help begin reducing dependence on external private equity managers, reducing management fees while taking advantage of CaIPERS long term time horizon and investment capacity.

Operations

[\$325,000 - 3 PYs]

To address new workload to operationalize a compliance team within the Operations & Technology Branch, expand the Succession Planning and Executive Development Program for the enterprise, and begin development of an Emerging Leader Program, 3.0 positions are proposed.

- OPT Compliance Team [2.0]—To support and strengthen the Board-adopted CalPERS 2015-17 Enterprise Compliance Program, these resources will be embedded in the Operations Support Services Division to expand on protocols and processes for operational control, policy management, and reporting standards. It is anticipated that this embedded compliance effort will be pushed out to additional organizational units.
- Succession Planning and Executive Development [1.0]—To develop and retain executive and senior leadership, this position will (a) manage and administer the expansion of the Succession Planning Program designed to more systematically onboard new executives and senior leaders, and (b) continue to deliver a Board Education Program.

Position History Growth

Over the three-year period through FY 2016-17, authorized positions have grown from 2,696 at the end of FY 2013-14 to 2,872; an increase of 176 positions, or 6.5 percent.

Position History Growth



Three-Year History of Position Growth

Position Increase Per Fiscal Year - by Branch

	Annual Positio	n Changes FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Three-Year Total	FY 2016-17 Total Positions	Three-Year % Increase to FY 2016-17 Position Total
Actuarial Office	0.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	59.0	5.4%
Benefit Programs Policy and Planning	2.0	3.0	1.0	6.0	158.0	3.9%
Communications & Stakeholder Relations	3.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	61.0	8.9%
Customer Services & Support	5.0	15.0	87.0	107.0	994.5	12.1%
Executive Office	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0%
Financial Office	7.0	12.0	2.0	21.0	219.0	10.6%
General Counsel	3.0	5.0	0.0	8.0	137.0	6.2%
Investment Office	7.0	0.0	11.0	18.0	346.0	5.5%
Operations & Technology	4.0	1.0	3.0	8.0	879.5	0.9%
CalPERS TOTAL POSITION GROWTH	<u>31.0</u>	<u>38.0</u>	<u>107.0</u>	<u>176.0</u>	<u>2,872.0</u>	<u>6.5%</u>

Performance Measures

Strategic performance measures build a framework that enables CalPERS to evaluate the organization's progress in meeting the goals of our Strategic Plan, and provide the linkage from business strategy to execution.

The CaIPERS Board of Administration approved the CaIPERS 2012-17 Strategic Plan in August 2012. To assist the Board in evaluating the success of the Plan, an initial set of Strategic Measures was developed and presented as part of a larger effort to support the use of enterprise-wide measurements to guide the organization. The Strategic Measures Project continues to use an iterative approach to develop the measures.

To ensure the strategic value of this long-term effort, the following steps are underway across the enterprise to build out the suite of strategic measures:

- Analyze and validate available data to ensure the integrity of the information being captured.
- Standardize the level and frequency in which information is reported from each program area to correctly align the interdependencies of the measures and minimize redundancies.
- Ensure the effectiveness of the technological tools being used for the capture and display of each measure.

The organization has continued to develop and evaluate the corporate performance measures listed on the following page. Over the past year, measurements have begun to be captured and analyzed. Some are still in process so data is not yet available. However, data for seven performance measures that was not available at this time last year have been updated in the following pages.

- Annualized excess investment returns relative to Actuarial Rate of Return Assumptions.
- Annualized excess investment returns relative to Policy Benchmark.
- Net value added relative to an appropriate peer universe median return adjusted for composition of asset allocation and after expenses.
- Affordability of health benefits as measured by the percentage of total average costs for covered benefits that a health plan will cover.
- Percentage of benefit payments issued to our customers within established service levels.
- Percentage of legislative bills enacted or defeated consistent with positions taken by the Board (not including neutral positions).
- CalPERS perception in the media.
- CalPERS perception among stakeholders.

Corporate Performance Measures for each Strategic Goal

Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability

PENSION:

- A1 Annualized excess investment returns relative to Actuarial Rate of Return Assumptions
- A2 Annualized excess investment returns relative to Policy Benchmark
- A3 Net value added relative to an appropriate peer universe median return adjusted for composition of asset allocation and after expenses

A4 Funded status

HEALTH:

- A5 Quality of health care provided as measured by observed ratio of all-cause readmissions compared to what would be expected
- A6 Access to health care as measured by the percent of CalPERS health beneficiaries reporting receipt of routine health care when needed, relative to benchmark data
- A7 Affordability of health benefits as measured by the percentage of total average costs for covered benefits that a health plan will cover
- A8 Wellness of our membership as measured by the percentage of members who smoke, have diabetes, or are obese, compared to state and national data

Goal B: Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization

EXTERNAL:

- B1 Percentage of benefit payments issued to our customers within established service levels
- B2 Customer satisfaction with CalPERS services as measured by surveys and other feedback methods

INTERNAL:

B3 Employee turnover

B4 Employee assessment of CalPERS Organizational Health

Goal C: Engage in state and national policy development to enhance the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of our programs

- C1 Percentage of legislative bills enacted or defeated consistent with positions taken by the Board (not including neutral positions)
- C2 CalPERS perception in the media
- C3 CalPERS perception among stakeholders

The following are examples of some of our key performance measures:

A1) Measure: Annualized excess investment returns relative to Actuarial Rate of Return Assumptions.

This measure provides a method to evaluate the ability to achieve superior investment performance relative to relevant targets. Over the longer term, this measure specifically targets the organization's ability to achieve the actuarial rate of return assumption over a full business cycle, thus capturing long-term capital market assumptions. This measure is the most important in terms of overall organizational health and has a direct impact on employer contribution rates and the ability to sustain beneficiary payments. Analysis: The annualized 10-year return underperformed by 237 basis points (bps) relative to the actuarial rate of return due primarily to the poor FY 2008-09 market environment and resulting returns and current year underperformance. Current challenges lay in the actual market opportunity set and CalPERS' ability to meet or exceed the actuarial rate of return. Extraordinarily low-interest rates, which are designed to help the global economic activity recover from the 2009 market crash have resulted in reduced expected returns for Global Fixed Income assets, in particular. This is now impacting longer-term returns, including the 10-Year horizon.

Annualized Excess Return vs. Actuarial Rate of Return

Type of Return	Return Period	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 June	2015 Dec.
	1 year	4.2%	11.1%	-10.6%	-31.3%	3.4%	13.0%	-6.7%	5.0%	10.9%	-5.1%	-7.6%
Excess Return	3 year	5.8%	6.5%	1.2%	-11.8%	-14.0%	-6.9%	2.9%	3.6%	2.9%	3.4%	-0.2%
(above/ below 7.5%)	5 year	-0.4%	4.8%	3.3%	-5.7%	-5.9%	-4.3%	-7.6%	-4.4%	5.0%	3.2%	-0.3%
	10 year	1.3%	1.1%	-1.1%	-5.1%	-5.1%	-2.4%	-1.6%	-0.5%	-0.3%	-1.3%	-2.4%



A2) Measure: Annualized excess investment returns relative to Policy Benchmark.

This measure provides a method to evaluate the ability to achieve superior investment performance relative to relevant targets, and targets the effectiveness in meeting the objective of achieving superior investment returns when compared against the policy benchmark.

Analysis: The 1-year return for the total fund is overperforming by 54 bps relative to the policy benchmark, and the 5-Year return outperformed by 15 bps relative to the policy benchmark. The 10-Year return underperformed by 106 bps relative to the policy benchmark. This is primarily due to the underperformance of the real estate portfolio during the financial crisis from 2008-2010.

Annualized Excess Return vs. Policy Benchmark

Type of Return	Return Period	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 June	2015 Dec.
	1 year	0.9%	1.2%	-0.9%	-6.5%	-4.0%	0.6%	-0.6%	1.5%	0.4%	-0.1%	0.5%
Excess Return	3 year	1.0%	1.0%	0.3%	-2.6%	-4.1%	-3.9%	-1.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%
(above/below the benchmark)	5 year	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%	-1.3%	-2.2%	-2.3%	-2.6%	-2.2%	-0.4%	0.3%	0.2%
	10 year	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	-0.3%	-0.8%	-0.9%	-1.0%	-0.8%	-0.9%	-1.0%	-1.0%



A3) Measure: Net value added relative to an appropriate peer universe median return adjusted for composition of asset allocation and after expenses.

This measure reflects net value added relative to an appropriate peer universe median return adjusted for composition of asset allocation and after expenses. The desired outcome is to appear in the upper left quadrant, indicating we are low cost and high value-add relative to our peer universe.

Analysis: While we are in the slight negative 5-Year net value add, we have improved versus the 2013 report year results of -1.9 percent. The desired outcome is to be low-cost and high value-add relative to our peer universe. Actions we are taking to improve our standing among peers include restructuring portfolios to improve returns, re-negotiation of existing terms with current managers, and investing in risk management and control capabilities.

1.5% 0.5% Net Value Added -0.0% -0.5% -1.0% -15% -2.0% -15bp -10bp 5bp 10bp 15bp 20bp 25bp 30bp Obp Excess Cost O CalPERS Peers

3-year Net Value Added Versus Excess Cost





2014 Net Value Added Versus Excess Cost



A4) Measure: Funded status.





* Estimated funding levels as of June 30, 2015.

A key aspect of sustainability is the funded status of the pension fund — therefore the ratio of our assets to our accrued liabilities is an important measure to monitor. The funded status is impacted by the change in the fair market value of the fund's assets. The estimated decrease in the funded status from 76 percent to 73 percent reflects the latest annual investment return of 2.4 percent as of June 30, 2015.

A5) Measure: Quality of health care provided as measured by observed ratio of all-cause readmissions, compared to what would be expected.

2012	0.79	0.83
YEAR	CalPERS	National Commercial Average

Table footnote: A lower score is better for this measure.

Analysis: CaIPERS' score is a relative 5 percent better than the National Commercial Average. Readmission percentages higher than expected may be due to poor quality health care, such as poor chronic disease management, improper discharge instructions, or missed opportunities to coordinate care better. By using this nationally standardized measure for health care quality, we can compare the quality of care of CaIPERS members to national populations. Updated readmission data beyond 2012 is underway. A6) Measure: Access to health care as measured by the percent of CalPERS health beneficiaries reporting receipt of routine health care when needed, relative to benchmark data.

Access to Routine Health Care, 2013-2015

2013-15	83.1	83.9
YEAR	CalPERS	National Commercial Average

Table footnote: A higher score is better for this survey-question measure.

Analysis: The score represents the percentage of CalPERS members who received routine health care as soon as needed in the last 12 months as measured by the CalPERS Health Plan Member Survey utilizing questions consistent with the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems survey. Measurement year 2015 marks the establishment of our baseline of 83.1 percent, our 3-year average. This baseline is in keeping with the national commercial 3-year average of 83.9 percent. Future CalPERS scores will be compared to national benchmarks in the context of this baseline, which will inform the need for interventions to improve the score.

A7) Measure: Affordability of health benefits as measured by the percentage of total average costs for covered benefits that a health plan will cover.

Actuarial Value of Health Benefits, 2008-2013

Plan	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Health Maintenance Organization (HMO)	93-97%	94-97%	94-97%	94-97%	95-97%	96-97%
Overall CalPERS Basic	90%	91%	91%	92%	92%	92%
Preferred Provider Organization	81-85%	77-85%	78-86%	78-87%	78-87%	81-87%

Table footnote: A higher score is better for this survey-question measure.

Analysis: Affordability of health benefits, in addition to other strategic measure results, provide context to aid CalPERS decision-making, health plan contract negotiations, and determining optimal strategies to achieving long-term health benefit sustainability. Health insurance plans in the marketplace are categorized into metal tiers – Bronze (60 percent), Silver (70 percent), Gold (80 percent), and Platinum (90 percent). CalPERS health plans have consistently ranked as Silver or higher. If the actuarial value is too high, benefits may be too rich for the employers to continue to pay long-term. If the actuarial value is too low, members will carry a greater burden of their health care costs.

A8) Measure: Wellness of our membership as measured by the percentage of members who practice preventative health measures, compared to state and national data. The preventative measurements are related to smoking, obesity, and daily monitoring of blood glucose levels by individuals with diabetes.

Analysis: Starting with measurement year 2013, changes were incorporated on the CalPERS Health Plan Member Survey to gather data related to the wellness of CalPERS membership. The information collected is designed to be comparable with state and national data. The annual survey results will contribute to these measurements providing essential baseline risk factor data.

Smoking Prevalence

5.3 percent of members compared to 12.9 percent of California adults are current smokers, with the national median at 18%.

Obesity Prevalence

27.3 percent of members compared to 24.1 percent of California adults have a Body Mass Index (BMI) of \geq 30.0 with the national median at 29.6 percent. BMI is a screening tool and commonly used for population assessment of overweight and obesity. It can be used to compare one's weight status against that of the general population.

Diabetes Prevalence

59.3 percent of members with diabetes perform daily self-monitoring of blood glucose levels compared to 63.5 percent of the national median. For individuals with diabetes, daily self-monitoring of blood glucose levels is amongst multiple important preventative care practices that help reduce diabetes related complications.

Prevalence of Current Smokers, 2013-2014



Percentage of Adults with Body Mass Index (BMI) \geq 30.0, 2013-2014



Percentage of Adults Performing Daily Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose, 2014



B1) Measure: Percentage of benefit payments issued to our customers within established service levels.

This measure captures the timeliness of four essential customer benefit payment streams: service retirement, refunds, disability retirement, and survivor benefits. Timely payments are essential to a positive customer experience. We focus heavily on benefit payments in our performance management to ensure customers always receive timely payments

Analysis: In FY 2014-15 our overall score was 95 percent. We exceeded our exception reporting threshold in each benefit payment measured. This result reflects the high efficiency of our operations as well as the importance we place on immediately addressing issues that may affect timeliness of payments.

B2) Measure: Customer satisfaction with CalPERS services as measured by surveys and other feedback methods.

CalPERS administers an extensive range of surveys online, by mail, in person, and through email to measure satisfaction with our processes and services. Collecting satisfaction data through surveys allows us to identify our customers' preferences and increases our flexibility and responsiveness to their needs.

Analysis: In FY 2014-15 our overall score is 91 percent in the three categories for which we administered surveys. We met or exceeded our exception reporting threshold, indicating our customers' overall high satisfaction with the services we provide.

Overall Benefit Payment Results



B3) Measure: Employee Turnover.

This measure identifies two employee turnover rates: (a) External Movement rate which reflects employees who separate and retire from CalPERS, and (b) Internal Movement rate which reflects transfers and promotions within CalPERS.

Analysis: In FY 2014-15, the external movement rate was 8.7 percent (240 separations/retirements) which is approximately half of the Bureau of Labor Statistics rate and one percent higher than the previous fiscal year. We expect to see this trend continue as more Baby Boomers exit the workforce. In FY 2014-15, the internal movement rate was 14.2 percent (390 promotions/ transfers), a drop of four percentage points from the previous fiscal year. Internal movement is positive and reflects workforce health, increased tenure, and employee retention. In addition, it builds our bench strength and supports knowledge transfer and succession planning. Business plan initiatives underway that align with our Strategic Goal B (cultivate a high performing, risk intelligent, and innovative organization)include: (a) training and knowledge transfer opportunities for staff, (b) reduced reliance on consultants, (c) recruitment outreach and marketing, (d) supervisory skills enhancements, and (e) comprehensive compensation program review. We consider our overall turnover rate to be healthy and are continuing to monitor; if we notice a change of 5 percent or more we will evaluate and determine if any adjustments are needed.

B4) Measure: Employee assessment of CalPERS Organizational Health.

Every three years, CaIPERS conducts an employee survey which provides an Organizational Health Index (OHI) used to measure organizational health from the employees' point of view. Based on the 2013 survey results, the executive team selected the Innovation and Learning category as a focus area. This specific measurement determines if the Innovation and Learning OHI score increased by 5 percent or more since the 2013 survey.

Analysis: In 2016, the score for Innovation and Learning was 5 percent higher (65 percent) than in 2013 (60 percent). Improvement can be attributed to an increase in bottom-up innovation initiatives, such as implementation of the "Idea Factory," an employee-driven internal innovation program. Based on the 2016 OHI survey, new areas of focus are being identified which will influence the 2017-22 CalPERS Strategic Plan process.

C1) Measure: Percentage of legislative bills enacted or defeated.

This measure reflects the percentage of California state legislation enacted or defeated consistent with positions taken by the Board of Administration.

Analysis: This is a biennial measure because the California Legislature operates on a 2-year cycle. Generally speaking, we always strive for 100%, especially on bills that are deemed to be harmful to our members, programs, and stakeholders. CalPERS continues to maintain a presence at the capitol and a voice in policymaking.

C2) Measure: CalPERS perception in the media.

This measure identifies key topics for CalPERS and how they are being framed in the media related to CalPERS strategic messages, policies, programs and beliefs, and ranks the favorability of CalPERS media coverage.

Analysis: This measure tracks the number of positive, negative, and neutral CalPERS media mentions in the first three quarters of FY 2015-16. Overall the media sentiment tone across all three categories was neutral. However there were increases of positive media coverage due to favorable outcomes from CalPERS legal cases, health care procedure cost savings, and CalPERS credit rating settlement.

Legislative Board Position Success Rate



CalPERS Perception in the Media (Dated by Quarter: FY 2015-16, Qtr. 1-3)


C3) Measure: CalPERS perception among stakeholders.

This measure gauges stakeholder perception in relation to effectiveness in communicating and engaging with stakeholders, and effectiveness as a policy advocate.

Analysis: Overall the perception of CalPERS engagement and advocacy work has remained steadily positive.

Historical Stakeholder Feedback from Online Survey (2011-2014)

Question: On a scale of one-to-ten (one is lowest, ten is highest), how would you rate CalPERS on being effective in engaging and communicating with stakeholders?



Historical Stakeholder Feedback From Online Survey Question: On a scale of one-to-ten (one is lowest, ten is highest), how would you rate CalPERS as an effective policy advocate?



Department Descriptions, Unit Goals, and Objectives

The following charts are enterprise-wide measures that include the percentage of budget expenditures and vacancies. This section also expands on each program area's purpose and individual objectives that have been achieved for past years and anticipated for 2016-17.

Measure: Percentage of Budget Expended.

Target: 100 percent

Budget Funds (in millions)

	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS				
Approved Budget	\$464.2	\$509.6	\$498.9	\$527.7
Expenditures	\$435.9	\$485.4	\$479.1	\$514.1
Percentage Expended	93.8%	95.3%	96.0%	97.4%
ENTERPRISE PROJECTS				
Approved Budget	\$84.6	\$33.3	\$24.9	\$37.5
Expenditures	\$83.6	\$32.7	\$22.9	\$35.6
Percentage Expended	98.8%	98.4%	92.0%	94.9%
HEADQUARTERS BUILDING COSTS				
Approved Budget	\$41.8	\$40.7	\$32.6	\$30.3
Expenditures	\$31.7	\$32.0	\$29.3	\$28.3
Percentage Expended	75.9%	78.8%	89.9%	93.4%
INVESTMENT EXTERNAL MANAGEMENT FEES				
Approved Budget	\$1,093.0	\$1,030.0	\$1,016.5	\$930.7
Expenditures	\$1,216.2	\$1,258.8	\$1,333.1	\$930.7
Percentage Expended	111.3%	122.2%	131.2%	100.0%
THIRD-PARTY ADMINISTRATOR FEES				
Approved Budget	\$103.0	\$274.5	\$285.3	\$281.4
Expenditures	\$105.4	\$196.0	\$287.8	\$278.4
Percentage Expended	102.3%	71.4%	100.9%	99.0%
TOTAL BUDGET				
Approved Budget	\$1,786.7	\$1,888.1	\$1,858.2	\$1,807.6
Expenditures	\$1,872.8	\$2,005.1	\$2,152.3	\$1,787.2
Percentage Expended	104.8%	106.2%	115.8%	98.9%

Analysis: The percentage of budget expended in FY 2015-16 is 98.9 percent and represents an estimate since year-end is not finalized. This is just below the benchmark of 100 percent. In FY 2014-15, the 115.8 percent expended exceeds the benchmark of 100 percent. This is primarily due to additional external management fees based on higher than expected investment returns. Improved forecasting and budget processes, as well as tighter spending controls, ensure that budgets are a more accurate reflection of the actual expenditures. The goal for spending the budget is 100 percent or less.



Measure: Vacancies as a percent of total Personnel Years (PY).

Target: 5 percent

CalPERS Performance Tracking & Reporting	Benchmark	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	Average
Authorized Positions - Enterprise-wide	-	2,696.0	2,727.0	2,765.0	2,746.0
% of Position Vacancy	5%	7.8%	5.8%	5.8%	5.9%

Analysis: The CaIPERS vacancy rate decreased from 7.8 percent in 2013-14 to 5.8 percent in 2015-16. The number of specialized positions within the financial, legal, actuarial, and investment offices contributes to the vacancy rate as there may be difficulties recruiting qualified candidates to fill positions. There will always be a certain number of vacancies in an organization this large, with diverse business lines.

Vacancy Rate by Year



Actuarial Office (ACTO)

CalPERS actuaries prepare actuarial valuations for the State of California, school employers, and contracting public agencies (cities, counties, municipalities, etc.). The valuations are based on the benefits adopted, actuarial methods and assumptions set by the Board, and the membership and financial data for each plan. The contribution rates employers pay to fund their employees' retirements are based on these actuarial valuations.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Redesigned GASB 68 Cost Sharing Multiple Employer Valuations into two reports, one for miscellaneous workers and one for safety workers, allowing completion of GASB 68 valuations in-house without the use of consultant actuaries.
- Improved the actuarial data extract process from the mylCalPERS system, allowing a more accurate and efficient valuation process with less need for manual intervention.
- In collaboration with FINO and CSR, participated in numerous stakeholder conferences and outreach events to continue to educate and inform stakeholders of the Asset Liability Management policy and its purpose and intent.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	59.0	58.0	54.0	55.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	5.2%	3.7%	7.3%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$9,301	\$8,248	\$7,684	\$8,070
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$9,301	\$7,991	\$7,647	\$7,953
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	96.9%	99.5%	98.6%
Employer Contribution Rate Valuation Reports Received	А	-	3,642	3,163	2,573
Employer Contribution Rate Valuation Reports Completed	А	-	3,642	3,163	2,573
Percentage of Reports Completed	А	100%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability.

Benefit Programs Policy and Planning (BPPP)

Benefit Programs Policy and Planning manages research, design, implementation, and maintenance of innovative products, services, and programs related to sustainable health and retirement offerings for CalPERS members. BPPP monitors health and retirement industry trends, raises the visibility of CalPERS within the health and retirement fields to impact policy, ensures competitive benefit programs are provided, and develops improvements to health benefit design. BPPP is also responsible for monitoring and representing CalPERS on state and federal issues and legislation that may impact our stakeholders, and providing policy research and education to support retirement security.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Developed and executed a Pharmacy Benefit Manager contract that fosters transparency, price competition and innovation, and saves at least \$60 million in the first year.
- Partnered with researchers at UC Berkeley to publish four articles on cost savings achieved by reference pricing programs established for CalPERS members.
- Published two thought-leadership research papers:
 - » 2014 Retirement Trend Report Analyzes trends from 15 years of CaIPERS retiree data including demographic, policy, and economic changes that may have impacted members' retirement decisions.
 - Attracting and Retaining Talent in a Post-Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) World

 Discusses the defined benefit plan's role in attracting and retaining employees and outlines several strategies California public employers may consider to attract and retain a quality workforce while supporting retirement security.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	159.0	157.0	156.0	143.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	8.0	10.0	16.0	14.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	6.4%	10.3%	9.8%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	A, B, C	\$28,762	\$29,729	\$28,193	\$31,280
Expenditures (in thousands)	A, B, C	\$28,762	\$26,672	\$25,491	\$27,692
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	89.7%	90.4%	88.5%

Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability.

Goal B: Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization.

Goal C: Engage in state and national policy development to enhance the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of our programs.

Communications & Stakeholder Relations (CSR)

Communications & Stakeholder Relations is responsible for monitoring and communicating CalPERS' position on issues that may impact our stakeholders. CSR directs and supports internal and external communications, including the overall communications strategy for the **www.calpers.ca.gov** and <u>my.calpers.ca.gov</u> websites.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Created a new digital communication strategic plan and developed a social media toolkit for employees.
- Developed comprehensive communication plans for the Operational Health Index employee survey, and an employee-driven internal innovation program called the Idea Factory.
- Issued 80 news releases and produced 29 webinars/webcasts.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	61.0	60.0	59.0	63.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	3.0	5.0	4.0	1.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	8.3%	6.8%	1.6%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$10,560	\$10,356	\$10,571	\$12,106
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$10,560	\$10,172	\$9,573	\$11,901
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	98.2%	90.6%	98.3%
Total CalPERS Facebook Followers	В, С	10,000	12,606	10,719	10,224
Increase in Social Media Followers	В, С	-	30,232	29,798	19,359

Goal B: Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization.

Goal C: Engage in state and national policy development to enhance the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of our programs.

Customer Services & Support (CSS)

Customer Services & Support provides the first point of contact for CalPERS employers and members at eight Regional Offices throughout the State of California and the main Customer Contact Center. CSS handles health and retirement account management for employers and members, calculates and manages benefit payments to members, retirees, and beneficiaries, as well as provides employer and member education.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Improved the timeliness of Disability Retirement Determinations by over 50%, resolving most cases in less than 4 months.
- Contracted with 22 new employers due to successful marketing, resulting in the addition of 11,000 total covered lives to the CalPERS Health Program.
- Closed 576 cases submitted by Employers, with 80% of those resolved within 1-3 days, gaining a Customer satisfaction survey score of 95%.
- Achieved an overall customer satisfaction rating of 90% or higher on business process surveys.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-2017 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	992.5	907.5	892.5	885.5
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	50.0	48.5	25.5	35.5
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	5.3%	2.9%	4.0%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$109.434	\$102,949	\$98,151	\$97,901
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$109.434	\$101,104	\$96,827	\$95,354
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Members added to Service Retirement Roll	В	-	-	33,832	30,993
Service Retirements paid within 45 days of retirement date or application receipt	В	95%	-	90.0%	90.0%
Members added to Disability Retirement Roll	В	-	-	1,602	1,533
Disability Retirements paid within 45 days of retirement or disability approval date	В	90%	-	90.0%	90.0%
Death Benefit cases completed	В	-	-	16,675	15,238
Death Benefit cases paid within 45 days of documentation receipt	В	100%	-	87.6%	89.6%
Call Wait Time (in minutes) – Target	В	-	1 or less	1 or less	6
Call Wait Time (in minutes) - Actual	В	-	1.5	2.0	3.0

Executive Office (EXEO)

The Executive Office provides stewardship of the System to deliver promised retirement and health benefits, while promoting wellness and retirement security for members and beneficiaries. The Executive staff is responsible for managing and executing all of CalPERS ongoing programs and services.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Engaged federal policy makers on important retirement issues, including protecting defined benefit plans and advancing retirement savings and retirement security.
- CalPERS CEO, Anne Stausboll, shares her ideas and experiences:
 - » "The Road to Recovery," Public Retirement Journal
 - "Managing CalPERS in Crisis," Western City Magazine
 - » "Peerless Leader," UC Davis Counselor Magazine
- CalPERS CEO spoke at United Nations in honor of the signing of the Paris Climate Change Agreement.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	18.0	18.0	18.0	20.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	5.6%	0.0%	10.0%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$6,603	\$4,910	\$4,894	\$4,307
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$6,603	\$3,947	\$3,999	\$3,611
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	80.4%	81.7%	83.8%

Financial Office (FINO)

The Financial Office is responsible for CaIPERS financial management through coordination and centralization of all CaIPERS finance activity. This includes managing and directing all accounting and budgeting activities for all funds and programs administered by CaIPERS. Risk management and enterprise compliance activities also fall under the Chief Financial Officer's responsibility within the Financial Office.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Developed CalPERS' first Risk Mitigation Policy ensuring long-term sustainability of the pension system by reducing funding risk and volatility over time.
- Successfully implemented a Treasury Management Program including semi-annual Treasury Management Section analysis and liquidity reporting to the CalPERS Board, a Treasury Management Reserve Policy, and 12 month rolling cash flow forecasts for all CalPERS funds.
- Completed FY 2014-15 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report in compliance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Pronouncement 68, which required the creation of GASB 68 reports, Statement of Net Position, and audit coordination.
- Developed CalPERS Budget Policy to ensure CalPERS maintains a fiscally sustainable balanced budget that recognizes the fiduciary duty owed to our members.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	219.0	216.0	206.0	209.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	11.0	14.0	18.0	38.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	6.5%	8.7%	18.2%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$29,978	\$24,277	\$42,361	\$40,339
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$29,978	\$21,570	\$41,751	\$39,857
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	88.8%	98.6%	98.8%
Achieve CAFR Certification of Excellence in Financial Reporting	А	Receive GFOA Award	Yes	Yes	Yes
Achieve Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Excellence in Budgeting Award	В	Receive GFOA Award	Yes	Yes	N/A
Receive Unqualified or Unmodified (clean) Audit Opinion on the CAFR	А	Receive Clean Audit Opinion	Yes	Yes	Yes

Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability.

General Counsel Office (GCO)

The General Counsel Office provides legal advice to the Board, Executive Office, staff, coordinates the use of external legal counsel, and analyzes and drafts legislation. They represent CalPERS at hearings, appeal boards, court cases, and other legal actions. GCO also manages internal auditing of CalPERS operations and programs in concert with an external auditor engaged by the Board.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Reached a settlement in CalPERS' case against Moody's credit rating agency, which resulted in a \$130 million recovery of investment losses by CalPERS from Moody's.
- Won a case in the Second District Court of Appeal (Department of Justice v. CalPERS, Resendez) that held employers have a mandatory duty to reinstate a member from disability retirement after CalPERS concludes the member is no longer incapacitated and all appeals have been exhausted.
- Implemented a new outside counsel electronic billing system that eliminates manual tracking of expenses.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	137.0	137.0	131.0	128.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	7.0	9.0	14.0	16.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	6.6%	10.7%	12.5%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$26,210	\$29,058	\$24,326	\$25,469
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$26,210	\$24,873	\$23,707	\$25,176
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	85.6%	97.5%	98.8%
Public Agency Audit Reviews Planned	А	240	126	90	93
Public Agency Audit Reviews Completed	А	-	125	105	99
Percentage of Public Agency Completed Audits	А	100%	99.2%	116.7%	106.5%
Internal Audits Planned	В	36	35	53	56
Internal Audits Completed*	В	-	32	58	58
Percentage of Internal Audits Completed	В	100%	91.4%	109.4%	103.6%

Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability.

Goal B: Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization.

*Estimates were received since year-end data is not available.

Investment Office (INVO)

Under the general direction of the CalPERS Board, Investment Committee, and Executive Office, the Investment Office is responsible for the successful investment of the CalPERS funds. Individual investment decisions are made by an internal advisory staff as well as external equity managers on behalf of the Board. Trading decisions are governed by policies established by the Investment Committee in accordance with applicable law and are reported to the Investment Committee on a monthly basis.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Active funds in private equity program have added \$24.2 billion in realized net gains to the fund from 1990. During that same time period, CalPERS' external investment partners have realized \$3.4 billion from profit sharing agreements with CalPERS.
- CalPERS annual ongoing costs for its investment operations declined by approximately \$134 million for the 5-fiscal-year period from 2010-15.
- Received an A+ from the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) Assessment Report, and received an A+ in Listed Equity Active Ownership. Fewer than eight assets owners of the 245 surveyed globally received such a score.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	346.0	335.0	334.0	341.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	17.0	33.0	27.0	36.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	9.9%	8.1%	10.6%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$161,796	\$67,301	\$63,272	\$57,859
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$161,796	\$63,987	\$61,760	\$57,548
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	95.1%	97.6%	99.5%
Net Investment Return as of June 30	А	7.5%	TBD	2.4%	18.4%

Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability

Operations & Technology (OPT)

The Operations & Technology staff perform system analysis, design, programming, testing, implementation, and maintain CalPERS computer systems and databases. OPT also provides technical support and training for personal computers, local area networks, and office automation facilities. In support of innovation, OPT conducts research, evaluation, and development of new information technology services that support the CalPERS mission. In addition OPT has jurisdiction over Human Resources, building maintenance contracts, procurement, strategic planning, diversity inclusion, and equal employment opportunity.

Recent Accomplishments:

- Diverted 18.49 tons of food waste from landfills to produce alternative fuel for the City of Sacramento's vehicle fleet in 2015.
- Reduced water consumption in 2015 by 44 percent (14.34 million gallons) over the 2013 baseline, exceeding the target reduction due to the California drought by almost 20 percent.
- Received CAPIO Gold Award for my|CalPERS website redesign.
- Built an internal professional networking/mentoring site called Illuminet, which provides staff increased opportunities for career development.

O	perations:
\sim	perations.

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	279.5	277.5	276.5	258.5
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	14.0	18.0	5.0	23.5
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	6.5%	1.8%	9.1%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$39,176	\$38,075	\$36,937	\$36,395
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$39,176	\$37,470	\$35,938	\$34,798
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	98.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Workflow documents processed within 24 hours	В	100%	98.0%	98.0%	-

Goal B: Cultivate a high-performing, risk-intelligent, and innovative organization

Technology:

Measure	Strategic Goal	2016-17 Target	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14
Authorized Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	601.0	599.0	600.0	593.0
Vacant Positions (2015-16 as of May 31, 2016)	-	30.0	29.0	48.0	40.0
Percentage of Position Vacancy	-	5%	4.8%	8.0%	6.7%
Approved Budget (in thousands)	В	\$102,839	\$105,192	\$98,287	\$107,904
Expenditures (in thousands)	В	\$102,839	\$102,255	\$97,063	\$107,745
Percentage of Budget Expended	-	100%	97.2%	98.8%	99.9%
Service Availability Uptime for myICaIPERS Application	В	100%	99.9%	99.9%	-

Statistical/Supplemental





Allotment

The approved portion of an amount (usually of an appropriation) to be expended for a particular purpose during a specified time period.

Appropriation

Authorization to make expenditures or incur liabilities from a specific fund for a specific purpose. It is usually limited in amount and has a specific period of time during which the expenditure is to be incurred. For example, appropriations made by the Budget Act are available for encumbrance for one year, unless otherwise specified.

Augmentation

An authorized increase to a previously authorized appropriation or allotment.

Baseline Adjustment

A change from the currently authorized budget necessary to maintain the current level of service or activities in the current year or in a future year.

Baseline Budget

The anticipated costs of carrying out the current level of service or activities as authorized by the Legislature (or CalPERS Board).

Board

CalPERS Board of Administration.

Budget

A plan of operation expressed in terms of financial or other resource requirements for a specific period of time.

Budget Change Proposal

A proposal to change the level of service or funding sources for activities authorized by the California Legislature, to propose new program activities not currently authorized, or to delete existing programs.

Budget To Actual Reports

A series of reports including a Budget to Actual report (includes the annual budget, month and year-to-date expenses, and encumbrance balance), encumbrance query, voucher expenditures query, and the on-line expense query.

CalPERS

California Public Employees' Retirement System.

California Legislative Analyst's Office

The California Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) is a nonpartisan government agency that has provided fiscal and policy advice to the California Legislature since 1941. It is known for its fiscal and programmatic expertise and nonpartisan analysis of the state budget. The office serves as the "eyes and ears" for the Legislature to ensure that the executive branch is implementing legislative policy in a cost-efficient and effective manner.

Continuous Appropriation

Permanent constitutional or statutory expenditure authorization that is automatically renewed each year without further legislative (or CalPERS Board) action.

Encumbrance

The commitment of part or all of an appropriation by a governmental unit for goods and services not yet received. These commitments are expressed by such documents as purchase orders, contracts, and future salaries, and cease to be encumbrances when they are paid or otherwise canceled.

Emergency Operations Center (EOC)

CalPERS off-site center that houses backup information technology data.

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) is a private, non-profit organization market regulator whose primary purpose is to establish and improve generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) within the United States in the public's interest. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) designated the FASB as the organization responsible for setting accounting standards for public companies in the U.S.

Fiscal Year (FY)

The period beginning July 1 and continuing through the following June 30.

Formal Budget Request (FBR)

A CalPERS proposal to change the level of service or funding sources for activities authorized by the CalPERS Board, to propose new program activities not currently authorized, or to delete existing programs.

Fund

A legal entity that segregates monies or other resources for obligations in accordance with specific restrictions or limitations.

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)

GAAP refers to the standard framework of guidelines for financial accounting. They are the common set of accounting principles, standards, and procedures that companies use to compile their financial statements and are the commonly accepted ways of recording and reporting accounting information.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB)

GASB is the source of GAAP used by state and local governments in the United States.

Governor's Budget

The recommendations and estimates for the state's financial operations for the ensuing fiscal year that the Governor presents to the Legislature by January 10 of each year. This document also displays the actual revenues and expenditures of the state for the immediate past year, updates estimates for the current year revenues and expenditures, and projects for the upcoming budget year revenues and expenditures.

Health Maintenance Organization (HMO)

An organization that provides or arranges managed care for health insurance, self-funded health care benefit plans, individuals, and other entities in the United States and acts as a liaison with health care providers (hospitals, doctors, etc.) on a prepaid basis. The Health Maintenance Organization Act of 1973 required employers with 25 or more employees to offer federally certified HMO options if the employer offers traditional health care options. Unlike traditionally indemnity insurance, an HMO covers care rendered by those doctors and other professionals who have agreed by contract to treat patients in accordance with the HMO's guidelines and restrictions in exchange for a steady stream of customers. HMOs cover emergency care regardless of the health care provider's contracted status.

Indirect Costs (cost allocation)

Costs which cannot be readily associated with a specific organization unit or program, such as general administrative expenses. Indirect costs are prorated to the organizational unit(s) or program(s) which are affected.

Merit Salary Adjustment (MSA)

A cost factor resulting from the periodic increase in salaries paid to personnel occupying authorized positions.

Object of Expenditure (Line Item)

A classification of expenditures based on the type of goods or services received. For example, the budget category of personnel services includes the objects of salaries and wages, and staff benefits.

One-Time Cost

A proposed or actual expenditure that is non-recurring (usually only in one annual budget) and not permanently included in baseline expenditures.

Operating Expenses and Equipment (OE&E)

A category of a support appropriation which includes general expenses, printing, communication, travel, data processing, equipment, and accessories for the equipment.

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) is an accounting concept created by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) by pronouncements designed to address expenses that entities may or may not be legally bound to pay, but pay as a moral obligation. GASB pronouncements apply to governmental entities, public benefit entities, public employee retirement systems, and public utilities, hospitals and other healthcare providers, and colleges and universities.

Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)

In health insurance in the United States, a Preferred Provider Organization is a managed care organization of medical doctors, hospitals, and other health care providers who have agreed with an insurer or a thirdparty administrator to provide health care at reduced rates to the insurer's or administrator's clients.

Pro Rata

Represents General Fund recoveries of statewide general administrative costs (i.e., indirect costs incurred by central service agencies) from special funds (California Government Code [GC] sections 11010, and 11270 through 11277). The pro rata process apportions the costs of providing central administrative services to all state departments that benefit from the services. This apportioned amount is further allocated to each state department's funding sources based on the percentage of total expenditures in each fund.

Personnel Services

A category of expenditure which includes payment of salaries and wages, the state's contribution to the Public Employees' Retirement Fund, insurance premiums for workers' compensation, the state's share of employees' health insurance, and the state's share of Social Security.

Personnel Year (PY)

The actual or estimated portion of a position expended for the performance of work. For example, a full-time position that was filled by an employee for half of a year would result in an expenditure of 0.5 Personnel Year. This may also be referred to as a PY equivalent. A PY is currently calculated using 1,776 working hours. Non-working hours related to vacation, sick leave, and holidays have been factored into this number.

Proposition 162

An initiative approved by the voters in 1992. It gives the CaIPERS Board absolute and exclusive authority over the administration and investment of pension funds.

Public Agency Audit Reviews (PAAR)

Audits of public agencies that participate in CalPERS.

Public Records Act (PRA)

The California Public Records Act (Statutes of 1968, Chapter 1473; currently codified as California Government Code \$6250 through 6276.48) was a law passed by the California State Legislature and signed by the Governor in 1968 requiring inspection and/or disclosure of governmental records to the public upon request, unless exempted by law.

Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA)

Effective January 2013, the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) changes the way CalPERS retirement and health benefits are applied, and places compensation limits on members. The greatest impact is felt by new CalPERS members. All members that don't fall into the definition of new members are considered classic members, who will retain the existing benefit levels for future service with the same employer.

Reimbursements

An amount received as a payment for the cost of services performed, or of other expenditures made for, or on behalf of, another entity (reimbursements represent the recovery of an expenditure).

Return on Investment (ROI)

A performance measure used to evaluate the efficiency of an investment or to compare the efficiency of a number of different investments.

Revolving Fund

An agency or office revolving fund (ORF) is not a fund but an advance from an appropriation. Agencies may use the cash advance to disburse ORF checks. The cash account is subsequently replenished by a State Controller's Office warrant which records the expenditure and reduces the balance of the appropriation.

Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 1000

A labor union representing 95,000 California state employees with nine different bargaining units. Local 1000 deals with issues such as salaries, benefits, working conditions, and contract negotiations.

Staff Benefits

An object of expenditure representing the state costs of contributions for employees' retirement, state disability insurance, health and welfare benefits, and non-industrial disability leave benefits.

Produced by CalPERS Financial Office, Financial Planning, Policy and Budgeting Division

For media inquiries, please contact (916) 795-3991 or newsroom@calpers.ca.gov.



California Public Employees' Retirement System 400 Q Street P.O. Box 942701 Sacramento, CA 94229-2701 www.calpers.ca.gov

July 2016 | 2016.7.1