

Board of Administration Agenda Item 8s

August 17, 2016

Item Name: Proposed Decision – In the Matter of Accepting the Late Application for Industrial Disability Retirement of DANIEL A. PFAU, Respondent, and CITY OF ALHAMBRA, Respondent.

Program: Benefit Services Division

Item Type: Action

Parties' Positions

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision, as modified.

Respondent Daniel A. Pfau (respondent Pfau) argues that the Board of Administration should decline to adopt the Proposed Decision.

Strategic Plan

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

Procedural Summary

Respondent Pfau submitted an application for service pending industrial disability retirement based on an orthopedic (left and right shoulders) condition, over four years after his service retirement. CalPERS denied the application on the grounds that it was untimely and uncorrectable under Government Code section 20160. Respondent Pfau appealed this decision and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on April 7, 2016. A Proposed Decision was issued on June 27, 2016, denying his eligibility to apply for industrial disability retirement.

Alternatives

A. For use if the Board decides to modify and adopt the Proposed Decision, as modified, as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, pursuant to Government Code section 11517(c)(2)(C), which authorizes the Board to "make technical or other minor changes in the Proposed Decision", hereby modifies the Proposed Decision, inserting the word "industrial" before the words "disability retirement" on page two, and the words "and uncorrectable" before the words "under Government Code section 20160" on pages two, four and nine of the Proposed Decision, and hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated

June 27, 2016, as modified, concerning the appeal of Daniel A. Pfau; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated June 27, 2016, concerning the appeal of Daniel A. Pfau; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

C. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated June 27, 2016, concerning the appeal of Daniel A. Pfau, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

D. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated June 27, 2016, concerning the appeal of Daniel A. Pfau, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- E. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):
 - 1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Daniel A. Pfau, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Daniel A. Pfau.



Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

Attachments

Attachment A: Proposed Decision Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

DONNA RAMEL LUM
Deputy Executive Officer
Customer Services and Support

