

**NEW CASE REPORT**

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>Meyer, Lucky (Lorena) v. Stausboll, et al.</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	5/31/16
Attorney Contact(s):	Preet Kaur
Program Contact:	BNSD
Plaintiff(s):	Lucky (Lorena) Meyer
Defendant(s):	Anne Stausboll, CalPERS; Karen L. Litzenberg, Napa State Hospital; Dean Percy, Napa State Hospital; Gaylene Wong, Napa State Hospital; Selvi Stanislaus, Franchise Tax Board (FTB); Betty T. Yee, State Controller's Office; Fiona Ma, California Board Of Equalization.
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	<p>Petitioner Lucky (Lorena) Meyer, a CalPERS member, served a federal lawsuit asking the Court to: 1) prohibit the FTB from imposing levies or liens on Petitioner's income; 2) prohibit CalPERS from deducting any money from Petitioner's retirement payments; and 3) mandate CalPERS to refund Petitioner all the money it has deducted from Petitioner's retirement payments.</p> <p>CalPERS filed a Motion to Dismiss on July 22, 2016, arguing that the doctrine of res judicata bars this Complaint because all these issues have already been litigated at the Office of Administrative Hearings.</p>
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

**NEW CASE REPORT**

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>Volkswagen AG</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	1/22/16; Action filed 3/14/16
Attorney Contact(s):	Marte Castanos
Program Contact:	INVO
Plaintiff(s):	California Public Employees' Retirement System and 277 others.
Defendant(s):	VOLKSWAGEN AG, represented by its Board, which is represented by its chairman Matthias Müller, Berliner Ring 2, 38440 Wolfsburg
Other Parties:	n/a
Issues/Status:	Status: Complaint was filed on March 14, 2016, in the Regional Court of Braunschweig, Germany on behalf of 278 institutional investors claiming €3.25 billion in damages. Issues: On September 18, 2015, the U.S. Environment Protection Agency issued a notice of violation of the Clean Air Act against VW and other affiliates. On September 20, 2015, VW admitted to installing a so-called "defeat device software" in various 2.0 liter diesel engine models, which dramatically reduces the nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions of diesel cars during the testing, thereby distorting the outcome of official emission tests.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.