MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

PENSION & HEALTH BENEFITS COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

ROBERT F. CARLSON AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, MAY 17, 2016 10:50 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

- Ms. Priya Mathur, Chairperson
- Mr. Michael Bilbrey, Vice Chairperson
- Mr. John Chiang, represented by Mr. Grant Boyken
- Mr. Rob Feckner
- Mr. Richard Gillihan, represented by Ms. Katie Hagen
- Ms. Dana Hollinger
- Mr. Henry Jones
- Ms. Theresa Taylor
- Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Mr. Alan Lofaso

BOARD MEMBERS:

- Mr. J.J. Jelincic
- Mr. Ron Lind
- Mr. Bill Slaton

STAFF:

- Ms. Anne Stausboll, Chief Executive Officer
- Mr. Matt Jacobs, General Counsel
- Ms. Donna Lum, Deputy Executive Officer
- Mr. Doug McKeever, Deputy Executive Officer
- Ms. Mary Anne Ashley, Chief, Legislative Affairs Division
- Dr. Kathy Donneson, Chief, Health Plan Administration
- Ms. Jennifer Jimenez, Committee Secretary

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

STAFF:

Mr. Gary McCollum, Senior Life Actuary

Mr. Anthony Suine, Chief, Benefit Services Division

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Ivan Carrillo, California Federation of Teachers

Ms. Diane Galo, CVS Health

Ms. Joanne Hollender, Retired Public Employees Association

Mr. Kent McKinney

Mr. Jai Sookprasert, California School Employees Association

Dr. Richard Sun, Medical Consultant

	I N D E X	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Executive Report(s)	2
3.	Consent Items Action Consent Items: a. Approval of the March 15, 2016, Pension and Health Benefits Committee Meeting Minutes	72
4.	Consent Items Information Consent Items: a. Annual Calendar Review b. Draft Agenda for June 14, 2016, Pension and Health Benefits Committee Meeting c. Federal Health Care Policy Representatives Update d. Federal Retirement Policy Representatives Update	6
Information Agenda Items		
5.	Preliminary 2017 Health Plan Rates	6
6.	Health Care Cost Trends	14
7.	Customer Services and Support Performance Update	16
Action Agenda Items		
8.	Assembly Bill 1878 (Jones-Sawyer) Retired School Member Death Benefits	29
9.	Assembly Bill 2028 (Cooper) Restoration of Credit for Service and Compensation Earnable	38
10.	Pharmacy Benefit Manager Contract Award	44
11.	Summary of Committee Direction	62
12.	Public Comment	62
Adjournment		63
Reporter's Certificate		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Good morning, everyone. I'm 3 going to call the open session of the Pension and Health 4 Benefits Committee meeting to order. First order of business is roll call. 5 6 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Priya Mathur? 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: I'm here. 8 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Michael Bilbrey? VICE CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Good morning. 9 10 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Grant Boyken for John Chiang? 11 12 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER BOYKEN: Here. 13 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Rob Feckner? 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Good morning. 15 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Katie Hagen for Richard Gillihan? 16 17 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Dana Hollinger? 18 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER: Here. 20 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Henry Jones? COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Here. 21 22 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Theresa Taylor? 23 COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR: Here. 2.4 COMMITTEE SECRETARY JIMENEZ: Alan Lofaso for 25 Betty Yee?

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER LOFASO: Here.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: And please note for the record that Mr. Lind and Mr. Slaton and Mr. Jelincic are also joining us for the Committee meeting.

Next order of business is the Executive Report.

Ms. McKeever.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Committee. Doug McKeever Calpers staff.

Good morning. We've got a full slate of business to take care of this morning. And obviously, we've got two important areas of discussion. One will be the preliminary rates for '17, and then the last item, which is an action item, is the final stage of the pharmacy benefit manager solicitation. So those will be the two heavy lifts for today.

I do want to provide us a couple of updates to the Committee on some activities that are going on. One is you may recall that our annual member health statement went out back in March. And it went out to a select group of individuals within CalPERS. And we're hoping to get those results this month. We'll then evaluate those results and then provide feedback on what this year's survey results are telling us.

I think it's also worthy, and a great

opportunity, to mention that for the first time we are preparing an annual report on the health care system for CalPERS that will be published in -- later this year in and submitted to the legislature in November. We are going to look to build some of the survey results into that particular report as well, so that folks can get a broader -- we can have a broader audience relative to the information, and how it's dispensed, and what our members are thinking about the plans that they're currently in.

As you all know, pharmacy continues to be an area where we place a great deal of emphasis, and certainly pay a great deal of attention to, given the past couple of years in which our pharmacy trend has increased, along with all others who are in the same space as CalPERS.

I wanted to bring to your attention a couple of areas in which we've been actively engaged. And, in fact, two of these items are noted in the federal health care report that's currently on the consent item, but I thought they were worthy of bringing forward to your attention.

The first is our support for the Center

Medicare -- Medicare and Medicaid Services for the Part B

drug payment model. We're currently a member of the

Healthcare Roundtable. The Healthcare Roundtable is made

up of State purchasers like CalPERS throughout the country

all having similar interests and concerns and questions

relative to the health care market, and in this case, on pharmacy in particular.

CalPERS signed on to a comment letter that was provided in support of CMS's Medicare Part B drug payment model. Essentially, this is aimed at curbing drug costs in the Medicare program by testing new payment models for medications administered in a physician's office or a hospital outpatient department. The letter was submitted on Friday, May the 6th, and it went to the Secretary of Health and Human Services as well as the CMS Acting Administrator Andy Slavitt.

We'll continue to update you on whether or not that gets any traction, but it does at least give you an indication of where we're lending our voice on the national effort in this important area.

The second is there's a Campaign for Sustainable Prescription Drug Pricing. And this is a broad coalition of payors, providers, unions, and others who on April 25th released 12 proposals to address the high cost of pharmaceuticals. Included in these are areas on limits on market exclusivity, additional reporting and transparency, and policies to evaluate and reward value.

The National Coalition of Health Care and the Pacific Business Group on Health are taking strong leadership roles on this particular issue. And as a

reminder, CalPERS is a board member and participant in both of those organizations.

Lastly, I want to mention the fact that there is the Drug Price Relief Act on the November ballot. And staff will be bringing in front of you next month our review and assessment of that particular initiative. Of note, you may have heard that last week there was an actual hearing, a joint Assembly and Senate hearing at the State Capitol, in which this particular item was discussed.

The Legislative Analyst's Office has also issued its report and we will make sure that we highlight both of those, along with our internal analysis, when we present that to the Committee next month.

Madam Chair, that concludes my comments.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you. I see no requests to speak, so let's move on to Agenda Item number 3, approval of the March 15th, 2016 meeting minutes.

VICE CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Move approval.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Second.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Motion was made by Bilbrey, seconded by Jones.

Any discussion on the motion?

Seeing none.

2.4

All those in favor say aye?

1 (Ayes.)

2 CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Motion passes.

Agenda Item 4 is a consent item. I've had no requests to pull anything off of consent.

So we'll move on to the big issue of the day.

Agenda Item number 5, preliminary 2017 health plan rates.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Thank you,

Madam Chair, members of the Committee. For this

particular item, I will turn it over to Kathy Donneson and

Gary McCollum.

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

DONNESON: Madam Chair, members of the Pension and Health

Benefits Committee, this month we are bringing forward the

preliminary unadjusted and risk-adjusted single-party

rates for Anthem Blue Cross, Blue Shield of California,

Health Net, Kaiser Permanente, Sharp, and UnitedHealthcare

basic HMO plans. We are also providing the PERS Choice,

PERS Select, and PERSCare basic plan single-party rates,

and the Kaiser and United Medicare Advantage plans

single-party rates.

We are also providing for you the PERS Choice,
PERS Select, and PERSCare Medicare Supplement Plan rates.
A handout has been provided to members who are present and the audience, and they were available on the back table.

Before providing a specific discussion of the

2017 rates, I would like to highlight a few changes for the 2017 plan year. Blue Shield will not be providing the NetValue plan in 2017. Members in the Blue Shield NetValue plan will automatically be enrolled in -- to Access+. They then may make a selection otherwise during open enrollment. The annual fee on health insurance providers will not be levied against CalPERS health plans for 2017 as a result of a change within the federal budget and the ACA reinsurance fee sunsets in 2016, and therefore will not be reflected in the 2017 rates.

Medicare payments to the health plans are driven in part by the federal government and largely contingent on federal budget adjustments and other costs outside of control of Calpers. Nonetheless, Calpers staff continue to analyze those aspects of the Medicare rates that are negotiable in order to minimize the increase in the Medicare trend. We are also providing individual health plan requests for HMO specific county expansions.

Now, turning to the 2017 health plan single-party premium handouts, we present the 2017 unadjusted single-party premiums, the 2017 adjusted single-party premiums, and the 2017 regional single-party premiums. I would like to remind the audience that these are preliminary rates and this is an informational item, and that we will continue to develop our work in working with

our health plans and our actuaries to provide the final rates in June.

For an explanation of the unadjusted and risk-adjusted rates, I will ask our CalPERS Health Actuary to explain the difference. Gary McCollum is to my left and he will discuss the difference between the unadjusted and adjusted rates.

SENIOR LIFE ACTUARY McCOLLUM: Thank you, Kathy.

Gary McCollum, CalPERS Health Actuary. As you know, CalPERS began risk adjusting rates for the 2014 plan year. Up until this year, CalPERS calculated the risk for the newer plans, in other words Anthem, Health Net, Sharp, and United, by looking at the risk profile of the more established Blue Shield HMOs.

Given that the new plans did not have sufficient experience with CalPERS, it was a best practice to use the risk profile of similar, albeit non-identical, plans.

This year, for the first time, CalPERS has a sufficient level of claims experience for its newer plans. And in accordance with best practice, and at the recommendation of Milliman, a national leader in risk adjustment and CalPERS risk-adjustment consultant, it is basing each year's -- each plan's risk score off of its own claims experience.

Attachment -- I'm sorry, attachment --

DONNESON: We have handed out for this agenda item attachment 1, which has two pages, and attachment 2, which has two pages. For attachment 1, you will see the preliminary health plan rates for the basic single-party rate. And then you will also see the State premiums for the Medicare program. And then in attachment 2, we have provided the adjusted and unadjusted regional rates by specific region.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Madam Chair, if I may just jump in quickly --

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Yes.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: -- I also want to note for those who are unable to attend today, these attachments have been posted to our web for access there, and then also they have been emailed to our stakeholder group.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Great. Thank you.

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

DONNESON: In conclusion, staff will continue to monitor

the medical and pharmacy claims trends to identify

possible improvements in the single-party premiums by

June. Also, at the conclusion of the pharmacy benefit

management procurement and selection, staff will have

final pharmacy pricing figures for those plans whose

pharmacy is currently managed by our current PBM.

Over 486,000 members use pharmacy services provided by CVS through the PERSCare, Choice, and Select plans, and the Anthem, Health Net, and Sharp, and UnitedHealthcare HMOs. Once the pharmacy benefit management company has been selected, CalPERS will update, between now and June, the pharmacy portion of premium, which will be part of -- will be part of our June 2017 presentation -- I guess it's not 2017, our 2016 presentation for the 2017 rates.

That concludes my presentation, and we are happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you. Any questions from the Committee?

Mr. Jelincic.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Doug, on page two of three of the items, where you talk about the expansion, you've listed -- you've got a table at the bottom that's got some asterisks. The agenda item didn't define what the asterisk is. Can you share that with us?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Thank you,
Mr. Jelincic an oversight on our part. My apologies. The
asterisks represent the fact that those counties are
already in the United portfolio. We are adding zip codes
within those counties.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Will the entire county be -- now, be included?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: That question I am not able to answer at this point. I don't know if those added zip codes now include the entire county or if it's still partially covered.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Okay.

2.4

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: We can follow up and provided that to you in June.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

Any further requests from the Committee? Seeing none.

I will ask for public comment at this time. We have two members of the public who wish to speak.

Kent McKinney and Joanne Hollender, if you could please come up and take one of these seats over to my left. The mics will be turned on for you. And if you could identify yourself and your affiliation for the record. You will each have three minutes in which to speak.

Go ahead, Mr. McKinney.

MR. McKINNEY: Am I first?

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Please. Yes, go ahead.

MR. McKINNEY: Is the microphone working okay?

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: It's working fine.

MR. McKINNEY: I'm Kent McKinney. I'm a CalPERS retired member and I'm not affiliated with an organization. And I would like to speak on the issue of combination health plans and rates for families that have members in both a Medicare plan and a basic plan.

The Board is considering plans and rates for the coming year, and they may choose to continue to offer some of the plans that they did last year. And it looks like they did from the handout I just received, where some of the carriers are only offering one of the plans. For example, the Anthem HMO doesn't have any Medicare plan associated with it.

So when considering these rates, my concern is for families that have a current enrollment that's restricted to a certain carrier only because the carrier that they would prefer to choose doesn't have any offering in the other plan. For example, if a basic -- a basic plan under Anthem was good for family members, and then the Medicare recipient in that plan is put into another plan or selects another plan like UnitedHealthcare or Kaiser Medicare Advantage, that currently isn't allowed in the procedures.

So as the Board is considering these new plans and rates for next year, I would like to urge the Board to

arrange for enrollment procedures to be revised and rate plans provided to allow families to enroll in basic and Medicare plans from two different carriers. I believe that currently the regulatory framework exists for that arrangement. I believe it was passed by the Board and made to regulations in 2013.

At this point, forms and procedures and rate plans need to be developed in order to be able to implement that. And then these families with combination enrollments would be able to take full advantage of the full range of plans CalPERS has to offer regardless of the carrier that's providing it.

The Board may also want to verify that those regulations are in place from the 2013 framework that was established. Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you for your comments.

Ms. Hollender.

MS. HOLLENDER: Yes. Good morning to all of you. I'm Joanne Hollender, director of health benefits with the Retired Public Employees Association. And I want to thank the Board and the Calpers health staff for bringing forward health plan rates a month early. We've been waiting many, many years. And I know last year was the first year we did that, and we really appreciate it.

And I don't mean to complain, but I believe last

year, and my recollection may be off, but I believe we had comparisons with the current health rates so we can see the adjustment up or down or if it's steady. And we can't really see that here. And I know these are preliminary rates, but it would be helpful for us to assess it. I guess we'll all go back and calculate these back in our offices, but it would be helpful for the general public so they can see the changes and also the overall rate increase or decrease or whatever in the various areas. But again, I'm not trying to complain, but I think it's more helpful for us to assess what we're seeing here.

The other thing that it would be helpful also if we could find out what the benefit modifications are going to look like for 2017 as well, so that we have some idea of what to expect. I think everybody has been going in the right direction, and I really appreciate that. Doug and your staff, Kathleen, you know, it's really good. I just want to bring these forward, because I think it would be helpful to your membership and to the public watching your meeting.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you for your feedback.

Okay. I see no requests to speak from the Committee, so we will move on to Agenda Item number 6, unless you had anything else on Agenda Item 5?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: No, ma'am. We're ready to move to 6.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Okay. Let's move on to 6, Health Care Cost Trends. Yes.

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

DONNESON: Madam Chair, members of the Committee. This report provides the Board with the status report on the health plan cost and use trends for fiscal year '14-'15 compared to the prior three fiscal years. In six months, we will provide the full calendar year trend report. So this is a fiscal year report.

I'd like to highlight some specific items related to current cost drivers identified within this report. For the pharmacy, we have experienced double digit pharmacy trends, especially specialty cost trends which continue to drive our overall health cost. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2014 and continuing into the first quarter of 2015, high cost Hepatitis C drugs, compounded prescriptions, and increasing utilization of certain specialty drugs drove the pharmacy cost trends between fiscal year '14 and '15.

Inpatient and outpatient hospitals showed increased cost trends were driven by facility outpatient, and the emergency room use. And I would like to point out that we intend to continue to look at the emergency room

for appropriateness of need. And we have already started that work.

Inpatient cost trends were a modest three and a half percent, and inpatient utilization trends had no change for fiscal year '14-'15.

The decline in the inpatient average length of stay may explain the inpatient hospital trend improvements. There appears to be a spike in mental health cost and use for both HMOs and PPO plans. And this also warrants further exploration with our health plans. Though that increase has spiked, mental health and substance abuse in the overall costs average three percent.

In fiscal year '14-'15, the overall PMPM costs increased approximately 6.9 percent, which is slightly lower than the 7.7 percent experienced in the previous fiscal year '13-'14, and it is consistent with what staff are observing currently. This concludes my report and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you. Any questions from Ms. Donneson on the health care trend -- cost trend report?

I don't see any.

So let's move on to Agenda Item number 7,
Customer Services and Support Performance Update.

Ms. Lum.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUM: Good morning,

Madam Chair and members of the Committee Donna Lum,

CalPERS staff. Today I'm reporting on Agenda Item number

7, which is an information item. It's our quarterly

update on Customer Services and Support performance.

Before we get started in the agenda, I just wanted to give you a brief update on what we consider to be a very successful conclusion that is coming related to our CalPERS Education Benefit -- Benefit Education Events. This year, we held eight CBEEs throughout the State, through northern and southern California, and we also added a few rural areas. And so far, we've had about 12,000 members who have benefited from our education events.

At these events, as you know, they have the opportunity to sit in workshops as well as interface with CalPERS staff one-on-one, and really get a lot of detailed information about their planning for their retirement future.

In the upcoming fiscal year, we do have nine CBEEs that are schedule, and for the public and those that are interested. We have all nine of them listed on the Calpers website. So you're welcome to go to www.calpers.ca.gov. And under the events tab, you'll be

able to see all of the CBEEs that are listed. We do have our final CBEE, which is going to be this Friday and Saturday up in Redding. And thus far, we have about 1,200 members that are already signed up to attend.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as always, I know that the staff greatly appreciates those of you that are able to stop by at one of the CBEEs, and I know many of you have. So we do enjoy your visits.

But also in addition to the CBEEs, you know, we recognize that member education is extremely important for our members, whether they're in the mid-cycle mid-year -of their careers or whether they're heading towards retirement. And so I just wanted to remind everyone that in addition to these Benefit Education Events, we do have a robust offering of other education that we provide to our members. And so included in that is we do have our instructor-led training classes that are held at all of our regional offices. We do go on-site, as requested by employers, to provide specific employer type of information -- or, excuse me, education to their We attend numerous associations' conferences. employees. We provide webinars, and we do a lot in terms of our computer-based training.

So I just wanted to make sure that for those of you that are interested and participating in any of these

events, and I know that in our audience we have a lot of associations that have members that may be interested, that you are aware that we do have these other educational offerings.

Now, I'd like to introduce Anthony Suine who will walk you through our third quarter performance. And I'd like to say again that overall the customer service experience and overall customer service performance continues to be very strong. And we do have, as we always have, additional opportunities to identify in areas of additional improvement. And we do have a number of projects that are underway this year and in the upcoming year business plan that will address our -- these areas of opportunity.

But consistent with our methodology that was approved by the Committee, we generally, in this report, are going to be reporting on what we call exceptions. And these are areas where our customer service level has fallen below our established targets. And so we just want to make sure that we're consistent with that reporting. And Anthony is going to give you the update on that. Thank you.

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE: Thanks, Donna.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: I'm sorry. Before we move

to Anthony Suine, we have a question from the Committee I think on the CBEEs. Sorry. One minute.

Mr. Jelincic, go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Yeah. I was at the Oakland event. And one of the things that was impressive is there were people lined up at 7:30 in the morning, which surprised me, surprised staff, quite frankly. But it really was very well received. Although, I did notice that it fell off Saturday afternoon, something about a conflict with some playoff game.

(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: So you may want to consider playoff games when you schedule the next ones. But it was an amazing event.

much for that feedback. I will add one comment. As we were watching the registration for the Oakland CBEE, it was far exceeding what we had originally anticipated in terms of attendance. And so in conjunction with the Customer Service team as well as Stakeholder Relations event management, and Public Affairs, we did some real quick last minute changes working with the venue to ensure that we could have adequate space and take advantage of everything that that venue had to offer to be able to accommodate the attendance. So thank you very much for

the observation.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thanks. Okay. Now proceed. Thanks, Mr. Suine.

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE: Thank
you. Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Committee.
Anthony Suine, Calpers staff. I wanted to take an
opportunity to share some customer service highlights in
the last quarter for our Customer Services and Support
Branch.

I want to first focus on our strategic measures and those which fell below our established thresholds. The first is in the area of death benefit payments. And as I've mentioned before, we have two types of death benefit payments we make: Those that are ongoing monthly payments which are more critical to keep typically a surviving spouse with an ongoing benefit stream, as well as health benefits; and, the other are lump sum death benefits that are paid to a designated beneficiary.

So when our volumes are excessively high, we focus on those critical monthly payments and we have been meeting our service levels ongoing in those areas.

However, in the lump sum death benefit areas, our service levels have fallen below our established goals.

The reason is because of an ongoing increase upwards of 25 percent in the reported number of deaths. And that is --

it doesn't seem to be decreasing at any point in the future.

We have mitigated this by submitting a formal budget request, asking for seven new positions in our death benefits area to handle this ongoing workload, and you were gracious enough to approve that.

And so as we ramp up these new staff, hiring them typically in July and getting them trained, we feel we can return to normal processing levels.

As reported last quarter, we also have a strategic goal on customer satisfaction surveys. And I reported last quarter on our payroll reporting process survey, which was below the threshold. And that continues to remain at about 85 percent, which is our -- below our established goal of 90 percent. And this is reporting the satisfaction of our business partners with reporting payroll to us.

And while 85 percent is still a great number, we do look at their comments and figure out what their needs are. And we have made several improvements, some that have just recently went into production and others that are queued up. And we believe once those get into production a bit and we have some time to issue future surveys we'll see those numbers exceed our 90 percent satisfaction goal.

In addition to our strategic measures, we're also measuring our other business processes for timeliness.

And one area I wanted to point out in our customer contact center, we have two areas of focus, and that is our call wait times, and our responses to secured messages that our members send us. In the first quarter -- or in the last quarter, those measures fell below our desired thresholds. As noted in the agenda item, the beginning of the year typically results in high call volume. And the call center also experienced a large number of vacancies and onboarding during this period.

So we feel with the staff that has hired and our peak periods kind of stabilizing, we will return to normal service levels. And April has already returned us to normal service levels in this area.

Also, our processing of service credit purchase requests has dipped below our desired level in the last quarter. We are attributing this -- as Donna mentioned how successful our Benefit Education Events are, we believe that we are further educating our members on the types of service credit that are available to them to increase their benefit, as well as how to submit that. And it's resulted in a 20 percent increase in our applications in this area.

We're utilizing overtime, we're filling vacancies

quickly, and we are going to continue to monitor that workload as we go forward hoping to return to normal service levels very soon.

I also wanted to point out some enhancements. As Donna mentioned, we're continuously looking for areas of enhancements. We have a functional optimization project that looks at our my|CalPERS system, and any enhancements we can make in that area. And one of those recent enhancements, as we've talked about before, is our ability for our member health statements to be delivered through member self-service.

And by June, our system will be enabled for on-line distribution of these health statements in time for our 2016 open enrollment period. And while this enhancement will reduce the cost of printing and sending those open enrollment materials, more importantly, it will increase our flexibility and timeliness of delivering these statements, and ultimately improve our customer service.

As a reminder, all our members have the ability to opt-in to receive a paper statement via the mail. And we also are continuing to work with our retiree stakeholders on their concerns with this transition and are assisting them with any communications, as needed or requested.

And besides our system enhancements, our business plan also calls for looking at other opportunities to improve customer service. And one such initiative we have is called event triggered outreach. And this is where we look to target certain customer bases with retirement information critical to where they are in their career path.

One of our recent efforts saw us mail over 9,000 of these postcards to our members who became eligible to retire in the last six months, based on their age and service credit.

And by identifying these type of milestones, we empower our members to take the appropriate actions at the right time for their retirement education.

That concludes my update, and I'm happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

Mr. Jelincic.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Yeah, one of the exceptions you reported on was payroll reporting. And you've made some enhancements. You believe we've got an upward trend. What is payroll reporting?

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE: Yeah. So this is where our business partners, our employers go on-line through my Calpers and submit their contribution

and payrate data to us. And so every time they do that submission on a monthly basis, they receive a survey asking them how the process was for them, do they have any comments on how we could improve that information? And their satisfaction has been 85 percent.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: So what's the enhancements we've made?

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE: So base on their comments, they would like a little -- they would like some additional reporting mechanisms, where they can run reports to view their members who are potentially not -- who are active who they may have as a separated or on a leave of absence, other ways to calculate their contributions. And so -- and an easier flow to the process. So we're responding to those inquiries by making those changes for them.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: How do we use demographic and mortality data to predict when we're going to see increases in need for services, and thereby sort of avoid the risk of a deterioration in service levels by acquiring those resources in advance?

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE: Yeah. So we use a lot of business intelligence data. We work with our IT department to run queries. We're getting better at

that, so we can be more proactive rather than reactive.

But at certain points, you don't want to always ask for

the resources right up front. You try to mitigate it with

overtime or improved processes.

But when it becomes an ongoing trend, and in this most recent FBR, where we asked for the seven positions in the death example, we looked at the current population of retirees, and looked at the average age of death, and those that were in that at-risk area to see does this look like an ongoing trend?

So that type of data helped us knowing, look, this isn't going to decrease anytime soon. So we continue to look at that type of data to help us be more proactive.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Okay.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUM: I would add to that also similarly in the area of the contact center. So we know the business cycles. We know when the high volume is expected for retirements, and we know what happens in terms of annual cycles for taxes, and COLA, and those types of things. So rather than augmenting the resources with permanent ongoing staff for those high peak areas, because we recognize that at certain other times of the year, we would then be overstaffed, because we don't have the same volume. We do have a lot of mitigation measures that are in place where we utilize internal resources that

have existing knowledge in those areas. And they're very good at redirecting those -- you know, whether they're trainers or quality assurance or other parts of the outreach -- Customer Service Outreach Division to mitigate during those periods of time.

We pride ourselves obviously in the call wait time, because we have a very aggressive goal in terms of the target itself. In previous years, we'd seen wait times beyond five to ten minutes during that period of time. So the fact that we've done a lot of streamlining, a lot of good training, and we have a lot of tools in place to be at a three minute wait time during these high peak periods, I think is still a pretty satisfactory level of customer service.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Sure. No, I think it is.

It's probably better than many who are offering customer service out there.

Okay. Thank you. That's helpful.

Mr. Jones.

Chair. On the transition of our health statements to on-line delivery, a mailing has already gone out, and do we have any sense of responses at this stage, and two, when is the deadline, and three, what happens if someone doesn't meet the deadline and have the desire to change

after that?

2.4

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE: Sure, I can answer those. So far, we've had 11 percent of the population of our health members opt in to receiving a paper health statement mailed to them. We have provided several communications already. We plan -- on the June retirement warrant, we plan to have a message of the month at the bottom to inform the retirees of the deadline of July 1st to opt-in to receive a paper health statement.

While that's a deadline for this open enrollment period, at any time after July 1st, they could contact us as well, and we'd be able to opt them in and generate their paper statement for this open enrollment period.

And we also have another communication planned for July besides the -- or, sorry, in June/July time frame besides the message of the month on the retiree warrant.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: And of the 11 percent, do you have any sense of how many what percent of the percentage are retirees?

BENEFIT SERVICES DIVISION CHIEF SUINE:

Seventy-five percent of the eleven percent are retirees, who are opting in.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

I see no further requests to speak, so we'll move

on to Agenda Item number 8, which is Assembly Bill 1878.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY: Good morning, Madam Chair and members of the Committee. Mary Anne Ashley, Calpers staff.

I'm presenting Agenda Item 8 this morning for your consideration. It is an action item. AB 1878 by Assembly Member Jones-Sawyer is sponsored by the California Federation of Teachers. The measure proposes to increase the death benefit paid to the designated beneficiary of a retired school member from the current \$2,000 to not less than \$5,000 In addition, the measure would also authorize the Calpers Board of Administration to adjust the benefit amount after each actuarial valuation, based on changes in the All Urban California Consumer Price Index.

Currently, CalPERS provides varying levels of death benefits according to the membership category and status. CalPERS State and school members have a retiree death benefits of \$2,000. Additionally, the death benefits offered by comparable public employee groups is higher than that offered by CalPERS. Recent studies have shown that the median cost of a full service funeral is new over \$7,000.

So this measure proposes to increase the death benefit to better align with the true cost of funeral

service, as well as to be comparable to the benefit received by other educators outside of the CalPERS system.

Staff estimates that there would be administrative costs of about \$50,000 to modify the my|CalPERS system, and also to update publications and website information. And furthermore, if enacted, the bill could add hundreds of millions of dollars to the unfunded liabilities of the school plans.

CalPERS staff is recommending a neutral, if amended position to include State miscellaneous plan members, as well as to identify a funding source.

Additionally, staff also notes that as currently written, the provision that would authorize the Board to adjust the benefit amount based on the changes in the CPI would apply to all CalPERS and not just school members. And we need to clarity with the author if that is indeed the intent.

The measure is currently on the suspense file in appropriations. Fiscal committees have until May 27th to clear all bills to the floor, and then June 3rd to pass from the House of origin.

And that concludes my presentation. I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you, Ms. Ashley.

Mr. Boyken.

2.4

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER BOYKEN: Thank you. I

think the staff recommendation is similar to what we've done in terms of similar proposals. I think the -- there is a twist with the sort of adjustment provision. But with that, I'd be happy if it's appropriate at this time, Chair, to make a recommendation for this -- to support the staff recommendation of neutral, if amended.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Is there a second to the motion?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Second.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Motion was made by Boyken, second by Jones.

Discussion on the motion?

Mr. Jelincic.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Well, as one of those State miscellaneous employees, I would like to see that amendment go through.

But the question I have is would we oppose the bill if it's -- if we don't get that amendment or are going to be neutral no matter what?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY: The Board -- the position that the Board is adopting today is that we're neutral if there are amendments. If there aren't amendments, then essentially the Board doesn't have a position. So if the amendments aren't taken, then there is no position, unless the Board asked us to bring the

1 bill back.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: So we're essentially neutral in either case?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY:

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Well, no position is not necessarily the same as neutral.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY: Right.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Yeah, Mr.

Jelincic, I think if there is a distinction between the two, the distinction is that if the Board takes a neutral position, we're actively engaged in the process. And, in fact, once the bill moves to -- if the bill were to move through the entire process and reach the Governor's office, we would be asked to perform an enrolled bill analysis providing our perspectives on this and the bill's position. So there are some unique distinctions between the two.

I think what's important to note here is when the Board takes a neutral position, it may not seem like it's affirmative or unaffirmative. But the signals that we've gotten from the consultants over at the legislature, they would like for us when there is impacts to our membership that we bring it to your attention and that we provide some direction, even if it's neutral, that you all have

considered these types of positions in bills that do have an ultimate impact on our membership.

BOARD MEMBER JELINCIC: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

Mr. Jones.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. On -- here we go. The cost that's identified is the future liabilities, but the method of payment is going to be included in the contribution rate of the school districts. And so I see you have percentages increase in the contribution rates. Do you have a dollar figure for per employee what that would represent?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY: I don't have that information available to me today.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Because -- the reason I'm asking the question is because if you look at the overall unfunded liability, it presents one optic in terms of how you're going to get all this money to pay. But when you really boil it down that it's going to be paid over 30 years, and it's on an increase in a contribution rate, the number is much smaller and maybe more palatable, in terms of trying to achieve this goal.

The other comment I have is that the -- taking a position because we can't get all three categories in, and so we're not going to go for the second category, to me

to -- that would be a race to the bottom. And so I think it's always better if we can continue to improve by including two of the tree as opposed to one of the three. So those are my comments.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

6 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY: Thank
7 you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Ms. Hagen.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Thank you. I just had a comment for my fellow Committee members that, as a reminder, most benefit improvements for current employees are typically negotiated through collective bargaining contracts. So that's my feedback.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

Okay. I see no further requests to speak from the Committee. We do have one member of the public who wishes to speak. Ivan Carrillo, if you could make your way to the front, sit here to my left, and the mic will be turned on for you. If you could identify yourself and your affiliation for the record and you'll have three minutes to speak.

MR. CARRILLO: Thank you. Good morning. My name is Ivan Carrillo. I'm with the California Federation of Teachers. We are the proud sponsor of AB 1878 and come here today to urge your support.

The death benefit for CalPERS school employees has not kept pace with the rising cost of funerals. Since established in 1945, the death benefit has only been increased one time. And since it was raised to \$2,000 in the year 2000, the average cost of funerals has gone up 40 percent, and when considering all factors, that the average funeral costs \$10,000 currently.

This is compounded with the fact that classified school employees are the lowest paid school staff, and most do not have life insurance. This leaves the survivors of these classified school employees in really difficult positions when their loved ones pass away. We've heard stories of people having to suck up their pride and ask friends and churches to help them raise money. We've also heard stories of others having to secure high interest loans to cover the cost.

We've also heard stories of people losing their housing because they couldn't afford to bury their loved one and rent at the same time.

We're here today because we've heard too many of these heartbreaking stories. As you all -- as is noted in the analysis, this bill has been introduced a number of times. It's one that's extremely important to our members. They see it as an equity issue. As was also noted CalSTRS members receive a death benefit of \$6,163.

So their colleagues in their own school sites lives appear to be valued more than classified members.

We're in discussions with the Chair of the Assembly Appropriations Committee about further ways to cut costs. Please know that it's something that we're attempting to be flexible on, but the problem is continue -- every year that we don't take action, the problem is worsening because the average funeral costs just continue to go up, while the death benefit for these school employees remains stagnant.

So we're hoping that this year we can make some progress to help stop the bleeding and bridge the gap. We have a broad coalition of labor and support of the bill, the California School Employees Association, the Labor Fed, SEIU, AFSCME, and CTA have all joined us on this bill. And we're hoping that you all will do the same. So thank you all very much for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you, Mr. Carrillo.

Mr. Jones.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. Maybe the gentleman --

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Oh, do you have a question for Mr. Carrillo?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: I have a question. I noticed the opposition is the Los Angeles County Office of

Education. Do we have a sense of what the other County Offices of Education position is on this item?

MR. CARRILLO: I haven't had any conversation with any other County Offices of Ed. I'm sorry, but I can look into that most certainly and report back.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Okay. Thank you.

All right. There is a motion on the table. I see no further requests to speak from the public or the Committee members.

Any further discussion?

11 Seeing none.

All those in favor say aye?

13 (Ayes.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Any opposition?

15 Any abstentions?

16 ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Abstain.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Please note Calhr's

18 abstention. And the motion passes.

We'll move on to Agenda Item number 9, Assembly Bill 2028.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY: Thank you. This is also an action item. Assembly Bill 2028 by Assembly Member Cooper is co-sponsored by the California School Employees Association, and California Professional Firefighters.

AB 2028 would require the credit for service and compensation earnable for wrongfully terminated school member or local safety member to include the amount of service that would have been credited, and the compensation earnable reported as though the member had not been wrongfully terminated.

Currently, there are two statutes that permit service credit to be granted retroactively to employees that have been subject to an involuntary termination and that action was subsequently reversed.

Current law provides the authority to reserve -restore service credit for State employees when the State
Personnel Board revokes or modifies a disciplinary or
adverse action. Additionally, current law also provides
authority to restore service credit for all CalPERS
members who retired after being wrongfully terminated, but
before the termination was reversed.

AB 2028 will clarify that the same treatment for wrongfully terminated school, safety, and some local agency employees will be provided as is that provided to State employees to ensure parity and equity between these employees and State workers.

CalPERS staff is recommending a support, if amended position to add clarifying language to apply the bill to all active CalPERS school and local agency members

40

```
1
    consistent with the treatment of all retired CalPERS
    members reinstated to active service following an
 2
 3
    involuntary termination as provided under existing law.
 4
             Any additional benefit costs would be borne by
5
    school and contracted employers and members. And costs to
    CalPERS would be minor and absorbable.
6
7
             And thank you. That concludes my presentation.
8
    And I am happy to answer any questions.
9
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you, Ms. Ashley.
10
             Mr. Jones.
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Madam
11
12
    Chair. I move that we approve the staff's recommendation.
13
             COMMITTEE MEMBER TAYLOR:
                                        Second.
14
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: And the staff's
15
   recommendation, just to be clear, is support, if amended.
16
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES:
                                      Yes.
17
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Motion made by Jones, second
18
   by Taylor.
             Discussion on the motion?
19
20
             Mr. Bilbrey
21
             VICE CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Can I get
22
   clarification? If it is not amended, what will happen, as
23
    far as our support?
2.4
             LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF ASHLEY:
```

it's not amended, then we don't essentially have a

25

position.

VICE CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: You don't have a position.

All right. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you. We do have one member of the public who wishes to speak. Jai Sookprasert if you could take a seat to my left, your mic will be turned on, note your name and affiliation for the record and you'll have three minutes to speak.

MR. SOOKPRASERT: Good morning, Madam Chairwoman and members. Jai Sookprasert with the California School Employees Association. We are a sponsor of this bill along with the firefighters. I'm here hopefully -- that last question is a very good one. We're hoping that this is seen in the light that, you know, we -- behest may be too strong of a words, but we did engage in this issue at the urging of Calpers staff when they looked at the provisions. These have been done in the past. And all we are doing here is to try to put into statute those practices that have been done in the past.

So while there are amendments from CalPERS, we'd like you to adopt this in sort of as full support as possible, because I believe that they are in support of the policy itself.

The statutory amendments that are going back and

forth a little bit right now has more to do with the technicality of when those dates are determined and so forth. This has moved through the Assembly at this stage with bipartisan unanimous support. And we really, you know, want to continue to ensure you that this is an effort to ensure that past practice will continue without disruption for school and safety employees.

So I almost want to call it a technical clean-up bill, but I realize that that can be -- you know, get complicated when you do those kinds of things to ensure that we have the right provisions in place.

But all of that is to say this is a really important bill, if you step back and look at these are people who were wrongfully terminated. It's no fault of their own that their service credit got denied. We're simply trying to make it whole for them. There's no reason for them to have to pay or have some additional cost be put upon them. They contribute -- the employee will contribute their share. We will make sure that Calpers doesn't have a additional liability to the extent possible.

But these are people who were wrongfully terminated. I really want to emphasize that. This is not a decision to leave or to be terminated, and so we want to make them whole.

We understand there are some issues and concerns from the Department of Finance. We will work it out with them. We believe that there's a way to make sure that that's covered also. We have not engaged in that question yet, but we will this month. Again, it's gone through the assembly bipartisan unanimous support on consent. And we hope that you will adopt a support position with that approach.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you for your comments.

Mr. Boyken.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER BOYKEN: Sorry, a question for you, Jay.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: A question for you, Jai.

MR. SOOKPRASERT: Absolutely.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER BOYKEN: So just a point of clarification, is CSEA and the author open to the amendment to make it --

MR. SOOKPRASERT: Absolutely.

ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER BOYKEN: Okay.

MR. SOOKPRASERT: We are. We're just quibbling over the little details of, you know, how it's said at this point. We want to make sure that we protect CalPERS -- essentially CalPERS, trying to make sure that you don't end up paying more for things that the district

```
1
   never sent you. We want to make sure you have the
    authority to go and say give us the paperwork that we need
 2
 3
    and to ensure that this is the right salary amount and so
 4
           And so we're trying to work on that word
    forth.
5
    "authority" at this stage.
6
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER BOYKEN:
                                               Thank you.
7
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: All right.
                                               Thank you.
8
             There is a motion before us. All those -- seeing
9
   no further requests to speak.
10
             All those in favor say aye?
11
             (Ayes.)
12
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: All opposed?
13
             Any abstentions?
14
             ACTING COMMITTEE MEMBER HAGEN: Abstain.
15
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Please note Calhr's
16
    abstention.
17
             Thank you very much.
18
             MR. SOOKPRASERT:
                               Thank you.
19
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Moving on to Agenda Item 10.
20
    Pharmacy benefit manager contract award.
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Madam Chair,
21
22
   members of the Committee. Doug McKeever, CalPERS staff.
23
             As the team is cycling up here, let me take a
24
    moment and open up this particular item. And due to the
```

importance of it, I'm actually going to read my comments

25

to you to ensure that I cover the pertinent areas, given the fact that this is such an important solicitation.

So I do want to take a minute to remind those in attendance, those watching, and others that pursuant to Government Code section 228570, the Board is not bound to State competitive bidding laws when it is soliciting to contract with health plans or entities that administer health benefits, such as pharmacy benefit managers.

In a traditional procurement, points are allocated, responses scored, and then a financial proposal is scored. Typically, the proposal with the highest points or the lowest bid wins the contract, which CalPERS must then negotiate. For this procurement, the Board elected to utilize the provisions under 22850, and go through a competitive solicitation, which allowed us to share information with the Board at each phase of the solicitation. And Kathy will be going through those phases momentarily.

It has also allowed CalPERS to do something that we typically don't do in this case and that's actually negotiate the contract during the solicitation process itself, so that we would actually have contractual agreements reached with each potential vendor during the same time that the remaining phases of the project are going forward.

And that's in the best interests of CalPERS to get both of those done now, and then deal with whatever the decision is relative to that moving forward.

So with that, I'd like to turn it over to Kathy Donneson who will run through the actual solicitation process, so folks understand what we've done over almost the last 18 months.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: And just before you start,

Kathy, I think before -- what we'll -- the way we'll do it
is we'll have you make your presentation, we'll have the

Committee ask questions, and then before we have a motion,

we'll call on the public to make any comment they wish to

make. And then we'll entertain a motion at that time.

Thank you.

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

DONNESON: Thank you, Madam Chair, and members of the

Pension and Health Benefits Committee.

Agenda Item number 10 asks the Committee to consider the cumulative results for all proposers responding to the pharmacy benefit manager solicitation number 2015-7755, and from such results select the firm whose proposals best demonstrate the ability to administer pharmacy benefit services to CalPERS members. Staff recommends to the Board of Administration the intent to identify the successful firm for this solicitation.

The PBM solicitation was released to solicit bids for pharmacy benefit services for 2017 to 2021. The solicitation was released in two phased approaches. Phase one was released on July 2nd, 2015, and phase two on September 10th, 2015. Proposers were allowed to submit written questions after the release of each phase and CalPERS provided responses.

Ten addenda were issued containing clarifying information and updated requirements. Nine companies responded to phase one. The nine responding firms were Anthem Blue Cross, Blue Shield of California, Catamaran, CVS Health, EnvisionRx, Express Scripts, Navitus, OptumRx, and WellDyne.

Of those nine companies, seven qualified to continue to phase two and two were disqualified. The two disqualified firms were Navitus and EnvisionRx.

Upon conclusion of phase one, Catamaran notified CalPERS that they had merged with OptumRx, and therefore did not submit as an individual firm in phase two. In phase two of the PBM solicitation, each firm was required to demonstrate their capabilities, management philosophy, including commitment to cross-organizational resources, and technical understanding of the required services needed to operationally support CalPERS and its plan members, as prescribed in the pharmacy benefit management

model contract and CalPERS pharmacy benefit management contractor manual, including all related attachments and exhibits.

And each firm also received CalPERS-specific de-identified pharmacy demographic and claims data set forth with a data dictionary, current pharmacy plan design, and evidence coverage for outpatient prescription drug program, and CalPERS prescribing guide for use by firms in responding to the solicitation.

Shortly after the release of phase two, and upon conclusion of the question-and-answer period, CalPERS staff conducted in-person confidential discussions with each firm. These discussions provided firms with another opportunity to seek clarification. Following the confidential discussions, Blue Shield and Anthem Blue Cross formally noticed CalPERS of their intention not to proceed in the pharmacy benefit management solicitation process, leaving CVS Health, Express Scripts, OptumRx, and WellDyne.

On November 13th, 2015, phase two solicitation submissions were received from the remaining firms with the exception of WellDyne who formally noticed CalPERS of their intent not to proceed with the phase two process. All submissions met the basic format requirements and contained the required documentation as evidenced by

passing the Operations Support Services Division's compliance review prior to being released to the Benefit Program's policy and planning staff for evaluation.

The first stage in the process was to evaluate and score the proposals using the automated scoring tool developed by Mercer Consulting. Multiple evaluation teams compiled initial consensus scores for the firm's capabilities, management work, staffing and financial plan components, and their relevant sections.

Upon completion of the first stage, the second stage of the process included on-site visits, and we had five teams visit 10 sites, reference checks, additional rounds of clarification and technical discussions.

On-site visits were conducted at multiple sites for each proposer with a focus on customer service. Reference checks were conducted and deemed satisfactory for each proposer.

Four rounds of submission clarifications had been requested of each firm to address qualitative and quantitative ambiguities in the financial terms, retail networks for mail at retail programs, formulary disruption, clinical programs, and so forth.

Then technical discussions were held in person here at CalPERS to ensure that each firm understood the requirements necessary to successfully execute any

required implementation activities.

2.4

Competitive negotiations with each firm were conducted preceding -- preceded by the technical discussions. The competitive negotiation process considered price, technical experience, operational performance, allowed for greater interaction with firms, flexibility, and transparency, ability to provide and obtain more accurate information, as well as agreement to contractual terms and conditions in advance of any intent to award and finalize the 2017 health plan rates.

At the conclusion of the competitive negotiations, each firm participating in the pharmacy benefit management solicitation process demonstrated the capability to effectively administer pharmacy benefit services and demonstrated competitiveness in terms of their acceptance of proposed contractual terms, demonstrated abilities to meet CalPERS needs for pricing for prescription drugs, administrative fees, discounts, rebates, and other guarantees.

At the conclusion of the competitive negotiation, staff finalized the qualitative and quantitative value result -- evaluation results, finalized pricing, and obtained contractual commitments for the five years 2017 to 2021.

The evaluation results are reflected as stars

provided in attachment five. In addition, today, CalPERS staff made available for the Committee and members in the audience the aggregate total projected cost for each firm's final proposal for each contract year.

With contract provisions already negotiated, an implementation can begin, should it be needed, at the announcement of the award with the full attention of the Health Plan Administration Division managers and staff, as well as the enterprise in support of our enterprise and our State Controller's office.

To facilitate this effort, a pharmacy benefit management charter anticipated the need for executive and senior staff oversight through a governance structure, which includes a steering committee, advisory committee, operational units, and staff, including assessment of risk and risk mitigation.

My staff have already developed an initial communication plan that would roll-out and be coordinated with different CalPERS divisions and implementation planning timelines, and milestones have been developed by each firm and CalPERS staff.

Finally, through our Stakeholder Relations

Office, CalPERS staff would provide detailed reporting through the Stakeholder Relations monthly committee and the Retiree Roundtable. Based on the competitive

five-year pricing, full agreement with contractual provisions, integrated basic and Employer Group Waiver Plan, Medicare services platform, expected improvements in customer service, and a Walgreens and potentially Rite Aid network, and based on the information provided to you today and in previous open and closed discussions, CalPERS staff recommend that OptumRx be awarded the contract for 2017 to 2021.

I'd like to pause there for any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

Before I turn to question, I just want to congratulate staff on a robust and innovative process, that I think really allowed us to get great transparency into all of the issues that matter to our members, including costs, but also customer service and other elements. I think it's really -- regardless of whatever the outcome is, I think it was a real enhancement in our process. So thank you for all of your work on it.

Now, I'll turn to questions.

Mr. Jones.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you for the presentation. The -- if we were to change our pharmacy benefit manager from the one we have currently, how did you or how do you assess the

disruption value going from -- you know, this will be the second time we've changed in a relatively short period of time. So how do we manage this disruption that will occur? And also, the question of -- it indicates, as a footnote, that it's before member copays and insurance. And when you add that, what difference does it make?

So those are my two. And primarily, the disruption on formulary.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Thank you, Mr. Jones. We'll collective respond as a team on this, because essentially it is going to require a team effort in any transition that may take place.

Relative to disruption, I think there is one critical piece of the disruption that you brought up, and that has to do with formulary. We recognize, based on past experiences when we've had transitions between the PBM from one to another, that individuals who are on certain medications want to remain on those medications. And typically, there is a formulary change between one PBM and another. And that results in a very assertive and, what I would consider to be, aggressive communication effort with our stakeholders, so that they understand what those changes are and why they're in place.

Oftentimes, many of those changes are due to the fact that you have 10, 12 drugs for a particular chronic

condition, and a PBM may decide to narrow down those 10 to 12 available drugs to two, or three, or four. And by doing so, it obviously lowers the cost.

Now, of course, they have huge formulary committees that take this into consideration. They have medical doctors and pharmacists that review all of this data to make sure that the drugs that they do provide are if not the same, as close as the same, as all the others that are in that category of drugs.

So we were going -- we will do our best, in any transition, to ensure that the information is available to our members on what the formulary is, what the differences in the formularies are. I think it's also worthy to note, we have internal processes to deal with potential member disruption when it comes to continuity of their medications, in which they can go to a physician. Their doctor -- if their doctor believes they should be on that same drug, then there is an ability for that doctor to make that request.

We also have an appeals process internally, in which we can review that information to ensure that the member is getting the right drug, at the right time, at the right place. So there are already internal processes in place to address some of the disruption that takes place today, what may take place in the future, and

certainly ongoing communication is going to be important on our part. I don't know if anybody else wanted to add. Donna.

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUM: Certainly. So recognizing that our members are going to be going through a transition, and we know that transitions of this nature can be rather challenging, especially for the retirees who need to better understand how they're going to continue to go about getting their prescriptions, I wanted to reassure you that from the customer service teams at CalPERS, and although we recognize that a lot of the support that our members are going to need will also be provided by the PBM, but we are ready to partner with Kathy and Doug and their teams to ensure that we are able to provide the level of customer service that we know our members are going to need through this transition.

Apart of that is ensuring that we have a well developed toolkit, which includes ensuring that our contact center and our regional office staff are well trained and informed with, you know, frequently asked questions, and other processes, so that as members do contact Calpers, we're able to assist them through the process.

And then certainly with open enrollment on the horizon, we would work with the teams to ensure that this

change is included in our open enrollment communications and information, again giving early notice to our members of what they can expect.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. And I have full confidence in customer service from our team, your staff. What about the provider, what are they doing to address the transition and the potential disruption?

DR. SUN: As mentioned -- this is Richard Sun, medical consultant. As mentioned previously, there's an entire transition plan that's been developed with each of the vendors. And there are literally thousands of steps that are going to be taken by any of the vendors in order to make the transition smooth.

They -- you asked about formulary, and I wanted to address that. In an earlier analysis done my Mercer, they compared the Express Scripts and Optum formularies to the CVS formulary. And in the Express Scripts formulary, there would be approximately 65,000 positive changes. That is approximately 65,000 CalPERS members will experience a change in tiers that's beneficial to them, a lower copay or they would experience a drug that was excluded on the CVS formulary that they could now take, because it's not excluded on the Express Scripts formulary.

However, if the decisions to go with Express

Scripts, the analysis -- no, I'm talking about Express
Scripts right now. If the decision is to go with Express
Scripts, then there would be disruption in terms of prior
authorization. Express Scripts in the Mercer analysis has
far more drugs on prior authorization than does CVS. And
prior authorization, while it's important for controlling
costs and for assuring evidence-based practice, is
something that our members do not necessarily like.

On the Optum side, there were approximately 27,000 positive tier changes. That is, on the Optum formulary, approximately 27,000 people would have lower copayments, or they would have non-excluded drugs. However, there were approximately 60,000 negative formulary changes.

And in contrast, for OptumRx, there were only -there are only 25 drugs that we're aware of on prior
authorization. So members would have a positive
experience in having fewer drugs subject to that
utilization management.

On the whole then, there are pluses and minuses when dealing with -- when changing formularies from CVS to either Optum or ESI.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: And you -- I thought I heard also that the medical exception that the member can go to their physician and get an exception. And what if

that is denied, what's the next step?

DR. SUN: Yes, if the member's physician or other prescriber requests an exception, that would go through the process in either ESI's or Optum's utilization management team. If that is denied, there is a appeal process that is mandated by the Affordable Care Act, which involves internal and external components.

In addition, should the external review deny the drug, there is still a CalPERS administrative review process, which is led by Dennis DeVore and his staff in my unit. And if the administrative review were to continue to deny the drug, there is the administrative hearing process.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you.

Any further requests from --

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

18 | DONNESON: Oh, I would like to add one more --

19 CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Yes, please. Go ahead, Ms.

20 Donneson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

DONNESON: -- to answer Mr. Jones question --

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Please.

24 | HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF

25 | DONNESON: -- on the copays. There's no effect. The

copays do not change, so the copays remain the same for both.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: They'll be the same regardless of which PBM we select.

HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATION DIVISION CHIEF DONNESON: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you. I see no further requests from the Committee, so I will call up public comment at this time.

We have two members of the public who wish to speak Gerald Carrig and Diane Galo.

And I'll ask you to take one of these seats here to the left. The mic will be turned on for you. And if you could identify yourself and your affiliation -- actually, sorry to the left -- to my left. Sorry about that. If you could identify yourself and your affiliations for the record, please. And you'll have three minutes to speak.

MS. GALO: Okay. Diane Galo, group head of employer sales with CVS Health. Madam Chair and Board members, CVS health would like to thank the CalPERS Board and staff for the opportunity to support your quality access and cost savings goals through the service to your membership for the past four and a half years. We are also grateful for the opportunity to have participated in

the CalPERS 2017 PBM procurement.

With our clients and members in mind, CVS has a long history of industry leading innovation to make health care more affordable, such as being the first PBM to address held on the unsustainable inflation rates for brand prescriptions by introducing a new formulary in 2012. This innovation caps price increases for more than 90 percent of manufacturer contracts.

By offering mail pricing through our retail channel in 2008, and by stepping away from tobacco, removing it from our shelves in the CVS stores in 2014.

We fulfill our mission to help people on their path to better health when we interact with your members across our entire enterprise. Every day we fill over 2,700 90-day prescriptions at our CVS pharmacies, saving the plan money as well as providing members a convenient alternative to mail service.

Every day, we talk with 830 CalPERS members. And more than 98 percent of the time, we resolve their questions or issue in that first call.

Every day our specialty pharmacy dispenses 80 high cost, high touch prescriptions to highly sensitive patients who have complex conditions, such as MS, Hepatitis C, and rheumatoid arthritis. And every day, CVS mail service dispenses over 2,200 prescriptions to CalPERS

members' homes. And every day, we interact with nearly a thousand patients and an average of 650 physicians, helping members to stay adherent to important medications they need to treat chronic conditions.

2.4

We have a proven record of successfully guiding your members through difficult health decisions resulting positive outcome for your plan and membership. In 2012, our clinical solutions helped deliver \$200 million in direct savings to the CalPERS plan. By helping CalPERS maximize CMS subsidies for the retiree population, we helped CalPERS save \$186 million over the past three years. And since 2013, CVS health has delivered \$384 million of savings through rebates, exceeding our guarantees by 60 percent.

With more than 23,000 employees living and working in the State, CVS Health is committed to California and take pride in our ability to service Calpers members.

On behalf of the senior leadership team, the entire CVS Health organization, I respectfully request that the Board vote to award us the 2017 contract, so that we can continue to build on the outstanding results we've delivered --

CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Thank you, Ms. Galo.

I will now entertain a motion.

```
1
             Mr. Bilbrey.
 2
             VICE CHAIRPERSON BILBREY: Thank you, Madam
3
            I move to award the five-year contract in
    connection with the Solicitation 2015-7755 Pharmacy
 4
5
    Benefit Manager to Optum.
6
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR:
                                  Is there a second?
7
             COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER:
                                           Second.
8
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: Motion made by Bilbrey,
9
    seconded by --
10
             COMMITTEE MEMBER HOLLINGER: Hollinger.
11
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: -- Hollinger. Sorry.
             Any discussion on the motion?
12
13
             Seeing none.
14
             All those in favor say aye?
15
             (Ayes.)
16
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: All opposed?
17
             Motion passes.
18
             Okay. We will now move on to agenda item number
19
    11, which is summary of Committee direction.
20
             DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER McKEEVER: Madam Chair,
    I don't believe there was any direction provided by the
21
22
    Committee this morning.
             CHAIRPERSON MATHUR: I don't think there was.
23
2.4
             We'll now move to Agenda Item number 12, Public
    Comment. I don't see any requests.
25
```

```
Is there any member of the public who wishes to
 1
 2
    speak at this time?
 3
              Seeing none.
 4
              We are adjourned.
              (Thereupon the California Public Employees'
 5
             Retirement System, Board of Administration,
 6
             Pension & Health Benefits Committee open
 7
              session meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,
Board of Administration, Pension & Health Benefits

Committee open session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 23rd day of May, 2016.

2.4

James & College

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10063