

# Investment Committee Agenda Item 7b

May 16, 2016

Item Name: Investment Office Cost Effectiveness

**Program:** Total Fund

Item Type: Information

## **Executive Summary**

This agenda item provides an overview of the Investment Office (INVO) Roadmap Strategic Objective - Cost Effectiveness. The topics include an analysis of INVO's cost drivers and cost structure vs. peers. It also reports on INVO's expense trends and key cost savings and financial reporting accomplishments.

#### **Strategic Plan**

This agenda item supports the Strategic Plan goal to improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability.

#### **Investment Beliefs**

This item supports Investment Belief 8 – Costs matter and need to be effectively managed.

#### **Background**

Cost Effectiveness is one of the INVO Roadmap strategic objectives. INVO aims to enhance cost effectiveness of the investment program to improve net returns on assets.

### **Analysis**

INVO's strategy has been to deliver more value for cost by pursuing the following efforts:

- Reduce the reliance on external consultants and advisors, especially for key control and portfolio monitoring functions
- Transition assets from external managers to internal management when it is possible to build internal capabilities
- Reduce external management fees paid

The total cost to manage the CalPERS portfolio for Fiscal year 2014-15 was approximately \$1.4 billion; 91% of these costs were attributable to fees paid to external investment managers. Excluding profit sharing, which is very volatile, the cost to manage the portfolio has decreased by \$134 million over the past five fiscal years.

The reduction in costs has enabled INVO to fund an increase in internal portfolio management capabilities.

Each year, INVO participates in a CEM Benchmarking (CEM) survey which analyzes our costs against a customized peer group comprised of both US and global pension investment organizations.

The total cost reported to CEM for calendar year 2014 to manage the CalPERS portfolio was 41.1 basis points. The CEM analysis concludes that INVO is cost-advantaged compared to its peers, due to:

- Internal management of public assets
- Index-oriented management for 2/3 of equity portfolio
- Lesser use of fund-of-funds than peers for private assets

INVO is also partnering with the Financial Office to further refine management reporting and budgetary tools to properly control total investment costs and provide the Board with comprehensive reporting of the total costs to manage the portfolio.

#### **Attachments**

Attachment 1 – Cost Effectiveness PowerPoint presentation

Wylie Tollette	
Chief Operating Investment Officer	

