

Board of Administration Agenda Item 8j

April 20, 2016

Item Name: Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Involuntary Reinstatement from Industrial Disability Retirement of OSCAR FUENTES, Respondent, and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION (SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON), Respondent.

Program: Benefit Services Division

Item Type: Action

Parties' Positions

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

Respondent Oscar Fuentes (Respondent Fuentes) argues that the Board of Administration should decline to adopt the Proposed Decision.

Strategic Plan

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

Procedural Summary

Respondent Fuentes was approved for Industrial Disability Retirement on December 1, 2005, based on orthopedic (back and neck) conditions. Subsequently, CalPERS notified Respondent Fuentes that it had determined, after a review of new medical reports and other information obtained by CalPERS, that he was no longer disabled from his job duties. Respondent Fuentes appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on January 26, 2016. A Proposed Decision was issued on February 12, 2016, denying Respondent Fuentes' appeal of the Involuntary Reinstatement from Industrial Disability Retirement.

Alternatives

A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated February 12, 2016, concerning the accusation against Oscar Fuentes; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees'
Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated
February 12, 2016, concerning the accusation against Oscar Fuentes, hereby rejects
the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record
produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and
arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED
FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated, concerning the accusation against Oscar Fuentes, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):
 - 1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the accusation against Oscar Fuentes, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the accusation against Oscar Fuentes.

Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

Attachments

Attachment A: Proposed Decision Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

/ masimism of respondent(s) / ngament(

DONNA RAMEL LUM
Deputy Executive Officer
Customer Services and Support

