## **NEW CASE REPORT**

Name of Case (full name):	Diaz, Rose E. v. CalPERS, et al.
Date Received By Legal Office:	2/16/16
Attorney Contact(s):	Kevin Kreutz
Program Contact:	EAMD-R
Plaintiff(s):	Rose E. Diaz
Defendant(s):	California Public Employees Retirement System; California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration; College of The Sequoias Community College District; Board of Trustees, College of The Sequoias Community College District,

Other Parties:	
Π	
	Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief was served on CalPERS and the

Issues/Status:	Board of Administration alleging that CalPERS erred when it refused to include Ms. Diaz' workers'
	compensation payments in the calculation of her final compensation.

Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.
----------------------------	-----------------------

Name of Case (full name):	NDEX West, LLC v. Edmund L. Anderson
u	·
Date Received By Legal Office:	2/26/16
Attorney Contact(s):	Christopher Phillips
<b></b>	,n
Program Contact:	BNSD
Plaintiff(s):	NDEX West, LLC
Defendant(s):	Edmund L. Anderson
Other Parties:	Edmund L. Anderson; Dean A. Bochner; Danz. Bochner; California Franchise Tax Board; Board Of Administration and CalPERS
	CalPERS was named as defendant in a Complaint in Interpleader action brought by NDEX West, LLC (the

Issues/Status:	CalPERS was named as defendant in a Complaint in Interpleader action brought by NDEX West, LLC (the foreclosure trustee). The Complaint seeks to determine who should get the excess proceeds of a foreclosure sale from July 15, 2015, on the residence of Eva Anderson. In 2011, CalPERS obtained a judgment against Ms. Anderson for \$144,206.08 and filed a lien against this property in Los Angeles County. After the foreclosure sale, various claims were made on the excess proceeds. A "satisfaction of judgment" was provided to the foreclosure trustee by an heir to Ms. Anderson indicating that the debt owed to CalPERS had been paid. However, no payments were ever received by CalPERS. After inspection of the document, it appears to be fraudulent. Similar circumstances surround a debt owed to the Franchise Tax Board.
	This action was brought by the foreclosure trustee to ask the Superior Court of Los Angeles to determine where the excess foreclosure proceeds should go. The total excess proceeds are \$147,954.62.

Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.
----------------------------	-----------------------