



Consent

Agenda Item 4b

February 17, 2016

ITEM NAME: Judges' Retirement System Actuarial Valuation Report and Employer and Employee Contribution Rates

PROGRAM: Actuarial Office

ITEM TYPE: Action Consent

RECOMMENDATION

1. Approve the June 30, 2015 Judges' Retirement System Actuarial Valuation Report and the corresponding transmittal letter to the Governor and Legislature.
2. Continue to encourage the Governor and Legislature to adopt an employer contribution schedule that includes advanced funding of the Judges' Retirement System.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The funded status, as well as other key results of the valuation, is shown in the following table:

<u>Comparison of Current and Prior Year Results</u>		
	<u>June 30, 2014</u>	<u>June 30, 2015</u>
Present Value of Benefits	\$ 3,467,143,251	\$ 3,365,609,774
Accrued Liability	3,414,779,730	3,322,609,989
Market Value of Assets	57,198,659	41,177,519
Funded Status (Market Value Basis)	1.7%	1.2%
Estimated Pay-as-you-go Contribution	\$ 225,157,030	\$ 227,341,695

Over the last year, the State elected to continue funding the Judges' Retirement System on a pay-as-you-go basis. This means that there is no build-up of assets to secure the benefits for members, as shown by the funded status in the table above.

Similarly, the lack of assets means that this system is failing to take advantage of a substantial amount of investment income that would offset the cost of the benefits.

It is not within the Board's authority to require the State to fund this system. Accordingly, CalPERS cannot adopt a required contribution rate that will remedy the funding situation. As in the past, we recommend that the Board encourage the administration to institute proper funding of the plan.

STRATEGIC PLAN

This action item is being presented as part of the regular and ongoing workload of the Actuarial Office and supports the Strategic Plan Goal A: Improve long-term pension and health benefit sustainability.

BACKGROUND

This report is presented in accordance with Section 75109.5 of the Judges' Retirement System Law. The information included provides information regarding retirement and ancillary benefits for judges elected or appointed prior to November 9, 1994.

ANALYSIS

Attachment 1 is the transmittal letter to the Governor and Legislature. Also attached (as Attachment 2) is the actuarial valuation report as of June 30, 2015 for the Judges' Retirement System (JRS). The results of the valuation are contained in the attached report and key results are included earlier in this agenda item.

As can be seen in the report, JRS continues to be unfunded due to the pay-as-you-go contribution basis followed by the State. Projections of expected statutory contributions and projected future benefit payouts are shown on pages 16 and 17 of the valuation report.

The market value of assets for JRS as of June 30, 2015, is \$41.2 million. This is significantly less than the expected benefit payments in the year after the valuation date. If the only contributions to the system were those determined in accordance with statutory requirements, there would be insufficient assets to pay the benefits in the year after the valuation.

BENEFITS/RISKS

One risk measurement is the funded status of a plan. The funded status of a pension plan is defined as the ratio of assets to a plan's accrued liabilities. This measure, when below a certain level, indicates whether a plan is at risk of not meeting future benefit obligations. The funded status of this plan on a Market Value of Assets basis is 1.2 % and indicates that there are insufficient assets accumulated to pay future benefits.

Although it is unlikely the State would fail to pay ongoing benefit payments, as they are due, the lack of pre-funding means there is no benefit security for members of this plan. It also means the total cost is higher to the State since there is no accumulation of assets and, consequently, little to no investment earnings can be used to defray costs.

OTHER ISSUES

Subsequent Events

In the case of Robert M. Mallano, et al. v. John Chiang, Controller of the State of California (SCO), the Judges' Retirement System (JRS), and the Judges' Retirement System II (JRS II), the judge issued a Statement of Decision, which orders judicial salary increases to be given to the judges for the fiscal years 2008-09, 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2013-14 plus 10% interest per annum for each year that the judicial salaries were not increased within those fiscal years. The increases and amounts owed have not been calculated yet. We anticipate the impact of this lawsuit to be reflected in the June 30, 2016 valuation.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 - Transmittal letter to the Governor and Legislature

Attachment 2 - Judges' Retirement System Actuarial Valuation Report as of June 30, 2015

BARBARA WARE
Senior Pension Actuary

ALAN MILLIGAN
Chief Actuary