# Agenda Item 8c

November 18, 2015

**ITEM NAME:** Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Calculation of Final Compensation of REVELINA TALAVERA, Respondent, and CITY OF CHULA VISTA, Respondent.

**PROGRAM:** Employer Account Management Division

**ITEM TYPE:** Action

#### PARTIES' POSITIONS

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

Respondent Revelina Talavera (Respondent Talavera) argues that the Board of Administration should decline to adopt the Proposed Decision.

### STRATEGIC PLAN

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

### PROCEDURAL SUMMARY

Respondent Talavera submitted an application for service retirement. CalPERS determined that the eight percent pay enhancement reported by the City of Chula Vista on behalf of Respondent Talavera as Temporary Upgrade Pay should not be included in the calculation of Respondent Talavera's final compensation. Respondent Talavera appealed this decision and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on August 25, 2015. A Proposed Decision was issued on September 25, 2015, denying Respondent Talavera's appeal.

### **ALTERNATIVES**

A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated September 25, 2015, concerning the appeal of Respondent Talavera; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

Agenda Item 8c Board of Administration November 18, 2015 Page 2 of 3

- B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:
  - RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated September 25, 2015, concerning the appeal of Respondent Talavera, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.
- C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:
  - RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated September 25, 2015, concerning the appeal of Respondent Talavera, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.
- D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):
  - 1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:
    - RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Respondent Talavera, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.
  - For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.
    - RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Respondent Talavera.

**BUDGET AND FISCAL IMPACTS:** Not applicable

Agenda Item 8c Board of Administration November 18, 2015 Page 3 of 3

## **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A: Proposed Decision Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

DONNA RAMEL LUM
Deputy Executive Officer
Customer Services and Support