	Attachment H (Y) CalPERS Opposition to Malkenhorst's Motion in Limine No. 12 Page 1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Edward Gregory (State Bar No. 128375) Jason Levin (State Bar No. 161807) Lisa Petrovsky (State Bar No. 239539) STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 439-9400 Facsimile: (213) 439-9599 egregory@steptoe.com jlevin@steptoe.com lpetrovsky@steptoe.com	Filed OAH By: elruiz Date:06/09/14 11:37
9 10 11	Attorneys for California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)	
12 13 14	BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16 17	In the Matter of the Calculation of Final Compensation of:) CASE NO. 2012-0671)) OAH NO. 2013080917
18	BRUCE MALKENHORST, SR.,)
19 20	Respondent, and.) CALPERS OPPOSITION TO) MALKENHORST'S MOTION IN) LIMINE <u>NO. 12</u>
21 22	CITY OF VERNON,)) Hearing: June 13, 2014, 9:00 a.m.
23	Respondent.))
24)
25 26		
20 27		
28		

1 2

MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 12 SHOULD BE DENIED

This administrative proceeding concerns the determination of Malkenhorst's retirement allowance under the Public Employees' Retirement Law (Government Code section 20000, et seq.). CalPERS recently recalculated Malkenhorst's retirement allowance to correct an error, and CalPERS will prove that the recalculation is consistent with the PERL.

Malkenhorst argues that even if the recalculation is proper, CalPERS does not have the authority to recoup past overpayments. This same argument was the subject of CalPERS' "OPPOSITION TO MALKENHORST'S MOTION ON STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND LACHES," filed May 16, 2014. CalPERS adopts here the argument made in that Opposition, which is summarized below.

The PERL itself describes two methods by which CalPERS may recoup overpayments from members like Malkenhorst. First, CalPERS may elect to file a civil lawsuit to recover overpayments, subject to a three-year limitations period. (Gov. Code § 20164(b) ["For the purposes of payments into or out of the retirement fund for adjustment of errors or omissions ... the period of limitation of actions shall be three years...."].) Second, CalPERS may recover overpayments through the process of administrative adjustment, modifying a member's allowance "so that the retired person ... will receive the actuarial equivalent of the allowance to which the member is entitled." (Gov. Code § 20163(a).) CalPERS is directed to make adjustments so that "the status, rights, and obligations of all parties ... are adjusted to be the same that they would have been if the act that would have been taken, but for the error or omission, was taken at the proper time." (Gov. Code § 20160(e).)

Malkenhorst argues that CalPERS does not have the authority to recoup past overpayments without even addressing the PERL statutes that indicate otherwise. Instead, Malkenhorst cites *City of Oakland v. Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System* (2014) 224 Cal.App.4th 210, claiming the court there, "ruled that [] CalPERS has no authority or right to reduce or change a benefit until a final determination has been made be either the CalPERS Board or by the appropriate court of law." (Motion, p. 4.) Malkenhorst misdescribes *Oakland*

	Attachment H (Y) CalPERS Opposition to Malkenhorst's Motion in Limine No. 12 Page 3 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Police, a decision that has nothing to do with CalPERS or the PERL – it analyzes retirement benefits under the Oakland City Charter. And even under the City Charter, recoupment of certain overpayments was permissible. The Court held that the retirement board was not, "barred by theories of equitable estoppel or laches from recouping benefits improperly paid to PFRS retirees" (City of Oakland v. Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System, supra, 224 Cal.App.4th at p. 249.) In separate briefing, the parties address Malkenhorst's estoppel and laches arguments. Independent of those arguments, and in light of the PERL, Malkenhorst has no authority for his contention that CalPERS cannot recoup overpayments. Motion No. 12 should be denied.	
11		
12	DATED: June 1, 2014 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP	
13		
14	By:	
15 16	Jason Levin	
17	Attorneys for Complainant CalPERS	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		