	Attachment H (U) CalPERS Opposition to Malkenhorst's Motions in Limine Nos. 7 & 8 Page 1 of 3	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Edward Gregory (State Bar No. 128375) Jason Levin (State Bar No. 161807) Lisa Petrovsky (State Bar No. 239539) STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 439-9400 Facsimile: (213) 439-9599 egregory@steptoe.com jlevin@steptoe.com lpetrovsky@steptoe.com	Filed OAH By: elruiz Date:06/09/14 12:09
9 10 11	Attorneys for California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)	
12 13 14	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLO	MINISTRATION YEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM 'ALIFORNIA
15 16 17	In the Matter of the Calculation of Final Compensation of: BRUCE MALKENHORST, SR.,) CASE NO. 2012-0671) OAH NO. 2013080917
18 19 20	Respondent, and.)) CALPERS OPPOSITION TO) MALKENHORST'S MOTIONS IN) LIMINE <u>NOS. 7 & 8</u>
21	CITY OF VERNON,)) Hearing: June 13, 2014, 9:00 a.m.)
23 24 25	Respondent.)))
26 27		
28		

1 2

MOTIONS IN LIMINE NOS. 7 & 8 SHOULD BE DENIED

This administrative proceeding concerns the determination of Malkenhorst's retirement allowance under the Public Employees' Retirement Law ("the PERL," Government Code section 20000, et seq.). CalPERS will prove that its determination of Malkenhorst's retirement allowance is proper under the PERL, and to that end, will introduce ADP payroll records that pertain to Malkenhorst. These payroll records reflect, generally, that Malkenhorst worked in different positions for seven or more departments within the City of Vernon, a fact Malkenhorst disputes.

In his Motions, Malkenhorst points out that he does not have a complete set of ADP payroll records from 2004. He accuses CalPERS of intentionally withholding the missing records and argues the OAH should sanction CalPERS by excluding *all* ADP payroll records from evidence. Malkenhorst's attack on CalPERS is completely unjustified.

CalPERS has withheld nothing. CalPERS received the ADP payroll records from the City of Vernon, but the City did not provide a complete set. In response to a Public Records Act request, CalPERS then sent Malkenhorst all the ADP payroll records it had received from the City. Subsequently, on its exhibit list, CalPERS provided a separate entry for the 2004 payroll records and gave a precise page count. CalPERS made full disclosure.

In the weeks that followed the exchange of exhibit lists, counsel engaged in two lengthy telephone conferences and sent letters addressing various discovery and exhibit issues. Malkenhorst never mentioned the ADP payroll records. In fact, CalPERS was unaware Malkenhorst had a problem with the payroll records until it received his Motion. Had Malkenhorst raised the issue with CalPERS, he would have been informed that the missing 2004 payroll records are not within CalPERS' possession, custody, or control.

Malkenhorst has not explained the relevance of the missing payroll records or the prejudice that would arise if the missing records are not located. Nonetheless, Malkenhorst requests permission to subpoen the missing records from ADP. CalPERS has no objection to the request provided that Malkenhorst acts expeditiously to obtain the ADP records and agrees

Attachment H (U) CalPERS Opposition to Malkenhorst's Motions in Limine Nos. 7 & 8 Page 3 of 3	
that under no circumstances will the records provide cause for delaying or extending the	
Hearing.	
DATED: June <u></u> , 2014	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
DATED: June	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
	By:
	Jason Levin
	Attorneys for Complainant CalPERS