

NEW CASE REPORT

Name of Case (full name):	Aurora Advisors Inc. vs. CalPERS
Date Received By Legal Office:	
Attorney Contact(s):	Christopher Phillips
Program Contact:	LEGO
Plaintiff(s):	Aurora Advisors, Inc.
Defendant(s):	CalPERS
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	<p>Petition for Writ of Mandate Under the California Public Records Act filed 2/27/14 in San Francisco Superior Court.</p> <p>Seeks records related to the California Public Employee Retirement System's (CalPERS) investments in private equity funds, from 1990 until March 31, 2012. CalPERS believes it has provided all of the requested records, in part after the petition was filed. Aurora Advisors disputes this.</p>
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

Name of Case (full name):	Malkenhorst, Sr., Bruce v. CalPERS, et al.
Date Received By Legal Office:	3/27/14
Attorney Contact(s):	Renee Salazar
Program Contact:	RAS
Plaintiff(s):	Bruce Malkenhorst, Sr.
Defendant(s):	California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS); Board of Administration of CalPERS; and State of California, Department of General Services, Office of Administrative Hearings, Los Angeles Office
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	This case involves Petitions for Writ Of Mandate under CCP §§1085 and 1094.5, and a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, including an Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order, to estop CalPERS from reducing Mr. Malkenhorst's pension during the administrative appeal process wherein his correct pension allowance will be determined. Mr. Malkenhorst is also seeking injunctive relief against the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) to force it to use ad hoc procedures that alter the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) provisions regarding pleadings, burden of proof, evidence and jurisdiction.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.