

### NEW CASE REPORT

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>Kia, Lalia v. CalPERS and Rahim Kia</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	November 27, 2012
Attorney Contact(s):	Marguerite Seabourn
Program Contact:	LEGO
Plaintiff(s):	Lalia Kia
Defendant(s):	CalPERS & Rahim Kia
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	Federal Court Complaint against CalPERS for alleged violations of the regulations pertaining to COBRA notifications for the ex-spouse of a member. A Motion to Dismiss has been filed.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>In Re Mendocino Coast Health Care District (Bankruptcy)</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	November 28, 2012
Attorney Contact(s):	Patricia B. Miles
Program Contact:	FCSD
Plaintiff(s):	N/A
Defendant(s):	N/A
Other Parties:	Debtor: Mendocino Coast Health Care District
Issues/Status:	In late 2012 the Mendocino Coast Health Care District (the "District") filed a voluntary petition for bankruptcy under chapter 9 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. CalPERS provided health benefits to the District through December 31, 2012. As of that date, the District owed CalPERS \$213,547.31. The District and CalPERS have entered into a Stipulation which has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>CDF Firefighters v. CalPERS</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	January 3, 2013
Attorney Contact(s):	Wesley Kennedy
Program Contact:	RAS
Plaintiff(s):	CDF Firefighters, a labor organization on behalf of itself and its members; Shaun Olden; Monty Phelps; Sam Davis; and Paul Van Gerwen, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated
Defendant(s):	CalPERS
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by CDF Firefighters, the union representing state firefighters. Contends that CalPERS is constitutionally required to allow Petitioners who were employed prior to January 1, 2013, to continue to purchase Additional Retirement Service credit (ARSC) as a vested benefit, because it was made available to petitioners during the course of their employment, despite the enactment of the Public Employee's Pension Reform Act of 2013.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

<b>Name of Case (full name):</b>	<b>Malkenhorst, Sr., Bruce v. CalPERS and Board (Los Angeles Superior Court)</b>
Date Received By Legal Office:	January 17, 2013
Attorney Contact(s):	Wesley Kennedy
Program Contact:	RAS
Plaintiff(s):	Bruce Malkenhorst, Sr.
Defendant(s):	CalPERS and Board of Administration
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Injunctive Relief, Extraordinary Relief and Damages and Petition for Writ of Mandate alleging CalPERS is collaterally estopped from re-litigating issues pertaining to member's final compensation that it allegedly raised and resolved in a prior administrative process.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.