

NEW CASE REPORT

Name of Case (full name):	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection v. Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System
Date Received By Legal Office:	May 4, 2012
Attorney Contact(s):	Margo Seabourn
Program Contact:	BNSD
Plaintiff(s):	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF)
Defendant(s):	CalPERS
Other Parties:	Ronald Smith (Real Party in Interest)
Issues/Status:	Petition for Writ of Mandate filed in Los Angeles Superior Court by CDF to overturn Board's decision granting member's appeal of his employer-initiated disability retirement.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

Name of Case (full name):	Brynan, Cynthia v. Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance and California Public Employees' Retirement System
Date Received By Legal Office:	May 8, 2012
Attorney Contact(s):	Jeanlaurie Ainsworth
Program Contact:	HPAD
Plaintiff(s):	Cynthia Brynan
Defendant(s):	Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance; CalPERS
Other Parties:	
Issues/Status:	Petition for Writ of Mandate filed in Los Angeles Superior Court to overturn Board's decision regarding allowable amount of member's reimbursement for medical services provided by a non-preferred provider.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.

Name of Case (full name):	Dawson, Betty L. v. Board of Administration of Merced County Employees' Retirement Association; Merced County Employees' Retirement Association; County of Merced
Date Received By Legal Office:	May 9, 2012
Attorney Contact(s):	Wesley Kennedy
Program Contact:	JRS
Plaintiff(s):	Betty L. Dawson
Defendant(s):	Board of Administration of Merced County Employees' Retirement Association; Merced County Employees' Retirement Association (MCERA); County of Merced
Other Parties:	Judges' Retirement System II (JRSII); Board of Administration of CalPERS (Real Parties in Interest)
Issues/Status:	Petition for Writ of Mandate filed in Merced County Superior Court against MCERA, challenging MCERA's interpretation of member's reciprocity between MCERA and JRS II. The dispute centers on whether MCERA is required to utilize the JRSII compensation received by the member to calculate her separate MCERA pension, without reduction, coordination or offset. CalPERS and JRS II are named as Real Parties in Interest. There are no causes of action against CalPERS or JRSII, and no remedies have been sought against either entity.
Potential Monetary Impact:	Unknown at this time.